

---

## APSA Council Minutes

---

The second Council meeting of the year was held August 31-September 1, 1975 at the San Francisco Hilton.

Present: Hayward R. Alker, Jr., Lucius J. Barker, Leonard J. Binder, James MacGregor Burns, Martin Diamond, Ada W. Finifter, William J. Keefe, Evron M. Kirkpatrick, Seymour Martin Lipset, Theodore J. Lowi, Herbert McClosky, Wilson Carey McWilliams, Dale Rogers Marshall, Betty A. Nesvold, Elinor Ostrom, Samuel C. Patterson, Frances Fox Piven, Nelson W. Polsby, Francine F. Rabinovitz, Austin Ranney, Mulford Q. Sibley, John E. Turner, Sidney Verba, Aaron Wildavsky.

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved with minor corrections.

### Administrative Committee Report

The Administrative Committee reported the following actions to the Council:

#### Open Listing Recommendation of the Committee on the Status of Women

The Committee on the Status of Women in the Profession requested that the Open Listing Policy of the APSA Personnel Service state that it is normally a professional obligation to list temporary and visiting appointments as well as permanent appointments with the APSA Personnel Service. The recommendation was based on the Committee's view that further specific inclusion of categories in the language of the policy will enhance its scope and make it more effective and useful. Finifter moved that the Administrative Committee approve this recommendation. Barker seconded the motion. Unanimously approved.

#### Reprint Permission Policy

Kirkpatrick pointed out to the Committee that the Association's reprint permission policy was not explicit about charging for an article to be reprinted in a second and/or third edition of a book after an initial charge had been made for the article to appear in the first edition. Since an author received royalties for each new edition of a book, McClosky moved and Finifter seconded that all reprint permissions apply only to one edition and permission must be obtained, and a fee of \$50 charged by the Association for any article or major portion thereof to be reprinted in each new edition of a book. Motion unanimously approved.

#### William Anderson Award

The Committee received a memorandum from a number of members of the Association requesting authorization to seek funds to endow a William Anderson Award, to be granted at the awards ceremony of the annual American Political Science Association meeting for the best dissertation completed and accepted during the previous year in the general field of intergovernmental relations in the United States.

The request was unanimously approved by the Committee.

## Report of President Austin Ranney's Recommendation for Managing Editor of the APSR

### I. Procedures

#### A. Controlling Legislation

1. Constitution, Article VI, paragraph 1: "...the Managing Editor of *The American Political Science Review* shall be appointed by the Council, after it hears the recommendation of the President."
2. By-Laws, Chapter II, section 2.1: Paragraph (b): "The President, in consultation with an ad hoc search committee selected by the procedures stipulated in Chapter IV, 1.2 (h), shall review candidates for the post of Managing Editor of the *APSR*, and shall recommend *one* to the Council for appointment."

Paragraph (c): "The Council shall appoint the Managing Editor of the *APSR* for a term of three years. It shall be Council policy to appoint a Managing Editor for a total of not more than six years. The Council shall stipulate the salary and other conditions of the Managing Editor's appointment at the time of appointment."

#### B. General Voting Procedures:

1. Constitution, Article VII, section 2: "Nine members (of the Council) shall constitute a quorum and a majority vote of the members in attendance shall control its decisions."

#### C. Accordingly, after consultation with the Administrative Committee and the Chairperson of the Rules Committee, I shall employ the following procedures:

1. I shall recommend one person for the post of Managing Editor and state my reasons for making that recommendation. The recommendation will have the status of a nomination.
2. Any other Council member may then be recognized for the purpose of making another nomination (one nomination per Council member).
3. After the nominations are closed and the discussion of the nominee is ended, the Council will by secret ballot vote for *one* of the nominees by writing his or her name on a ballot paper.
4. The votes will be counted by the Secretary and the result announced. If no nominee has a majority of the members present and voting, the nomi-

nee with the fewest votes will be dropped, and another ballot will be held and the result announced.

5. This process will continue until one person receives a majority of the members present and voting; that person shall be the Managing Editor-Elect.
6. Only *after* the Managing Editor is selected will the Council set the conditions of the appointment. This order of things proceeds from the general conviction, which I fully share, that the benefits offered by the institutions of the various nominees are, at most, very peripheral matters.

We should select a person we believe will make an excellent Managing Editor, and then negotiate the best deal we can with that person's institution.

## II. My (President Ranney's) Recommendation

**Preface:** I feel compelled to preface my recommendation by trying to give you my very vivid sense of the fact that our Association has a substantial number of persons who would make excellent Managing Editors. *But*, the Constitution and the By-Laws require me to recommend only one person.

Accordingly, I shall describe in some detail both the qualifications of the person I shall recommend *and* the consultative processes by which that recommendation has been arrived at.

*And* I shall *not* comment at all upon the merits of the many other persons I might have recommended but cannot.

With this preface very much in mind, I recommend that the Council select as the next Managing Editor of the *APSR* Dr. Charles O. Jones, presently Maurice Falk Professor of Politics at the University of Pittsburgh.

A. I make this recommendation for three main reasons:

1. The consultation process leading up to this recommendation has been by far the most open and thorough ever followed in the selection of the Managing Editor.
2. All along I have felt obligated to work within that process unless in my judgment it were to produce no well-qualified candidate. And that is very far indeed from being the situation before us.
3. For, in my judgment, that process has produced in Professor Jones a person of outstanding qualifications for the very special and demanding requirements of this post.

B. To emphasize this point, let me begin by reviewing briefly the main stages in the consultation process:

1. The Council in September, 1974 authorized the creation and funding of the Editorial Selection Advisory Committee and directed me to make a recommendation to the Council meeting of August-September, 1975.
2. The advisory committee was appointed with the approval of the Administrative Committee in February, 1975, with these members: The President-Elect, James MacGregor Burns; The Managing Editor, Nelson W. Polsby; Three members of the Council, Martin Diamond, Dale Rogers Marshall and John E. Turner; One member of the Editorial Board, Stephen Stephens.
3. The Executive Director made requests for suggestions to:
  - a. All APSA members, in the Winter *PS*.
  - b. All department chairpersons, in a letter circulated to all chairpersons on our official list.
  - c. All caucuses and groups within the Association: Women's Caucus, National Conference of Black Political Scientists, Caucus for a New Political Science, Ad Hoc Committee.
4. On April 15 the Executive Director sent a memo to the Council listing the 13 names thus far received in response to these solicitations, and asking the Council for comments and further suggestions.
5. On April 23 the Executive Director sent a memo to the Council listing five more names, and again requesting comments on the 18 names and suggestions of other names.
6. The advisory committee held an all-day meeting in Chicago on May 2.
  - a. The committee members added another ten names—so there were before us a grand total of 28 names.
  - b. We discussed each of the 28 names.
  - c. After much discussion, we took several votes *by secret ballot*—and there emerged an agreed-upon short list of six names: Richard Brody, Ada Finifter, Charles Jones, Robert Putnam, Sidney Verba, and James Wilson.
7. The Executive Director contacted each person on the short list to learn of their interest in being considered further. Putnam, Verba, and Wilson withdrew.
8. On May 15 I sent a letter to Brody, Finifter, and Jones asking for their views on how the journal should be managed; the Executive Director also asked administrators at their institutions what support the institution

- would be willing to give the *Review* if located there.
9. On May 20, Brody withdrew.
  10. On June 2, the Executive Director circulated to the Council Lowi's letter (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes) about Danelski and Danelski's vita.
  11. On June 6 came the Alker letter (a copy of which is attached to the record copy of these minutes) announcing his intention of making one or more alternative nominations.
  12. On June 16 I wrote to both Alker and Lowi explaining my idea of the procedures for considering alternative nominations, and suggesting they gather materials similar to those being gathered for Finifter and Jones.
  13. On June 12 the Executive Director sent to the Council a copy of Brody's letter of withdrawal and letters from Michigan State and Pittsburgh about possible support for the *Review*.
  14. On July 1 letters by Finifter and Jones on their views about managing the journal were circulated to the Council.
  15. On July 8 came Alker's letter notifying me of his intention to nominate Ted Robert Gurr.
  16. On July 29 came a letter from Cornell concerning its possible support for the *Review*.
  17. On July 30 came Gurr's statement of policy and his vita, both being circulated to the Council.
  18. On August 1 came the last item, Jacob's letter about Northwestern's institutional support for the *Review*.
- C. In the course of all these events, in addition to the five other members of the Council who were members of the ad hoc advisory committee, I received comments and suggestions from seven other members of the Council—for which I am most grateful. So all told, 12 of the 25 members of the Council other than myself communicated their views to me.
- D. Finally, Charles Jones' qualifications for the post.
1. His outstanding scholarly record.
    - a. At the age of 43 he has already published 7 books, 15 articles in major journals, including the *APSR* and every major regional journal plus the *Public Administration Review* and also 7 article-length chapters in books.
    - b. Of the 15 articles, 4 have been reprinted in one anthology; 4 have been reprinted in 3 anthologies; and
  2. The broad spectrum of his intellectual interest.
    - a. Classifying his articles as to subject matter, they are: 3 on methodology; 6 on Congress; 1 on comparative politics; 3 on electoral behavior; 7 on policy studies—his heaviest emphasis, and one particularly welcome since, in my view, this is the major new field of political science and the fastest growing; others on public administration, parties, state government and teaching
  3. Editorial experience.
    - a. He has served on the boards of editors of three regional journals.
    - b. He has been heavily used by the current Managing Editor of *APSR* and by the previous editor.
  4. His strong commitment to the profession and the welfare of other members beyond his own particular intellectual interests is shown by the many offices, both elective and appointive, he has held in both the APSA and the regional associations:
    - a. He was elected a member of the APSA Council, and as APSA Treasurer.
    - b. He was appointed to the Program Committee twice, to the chairmanship of the Kammerer Award Committee, and to the Board of Trustees of the Trust and Development Fund.
    - c. He has also been elected to the Council of Pi Sigma Alpha and appointed Program Chairman of the Midwest Political Science Association, and chair of the Executive Council of ICPR.
  5. His philosophy for managing the *APSR* shows his strong commitment to what are, in my opinion, the most important values in managing it:
    - a. He sees *APSR's* obligation as that of publishing the best work being done in the discipline, without any special protection for or special animus against any particular field.
    - b. He has no feeling of mission to make the discipline into the kind of intellectual enterprise that suits his personal vision; rather he has a mission to make *APSR* continue its reputation as one of the world's leading journals in any social science.

- c. He emphasizes wide consultation in developing a roster of referees, including consultation with the editors of the regional journals and with department heads.
- d. He stresses having an editorial board that is both of high quality and broad representation.
- e. He is well aware of the journal's problems, both financial and editorial, and offers no pat answers.
- f. He himself puts the most important point of all in his letter: "The President and Council," he says, "should select someone in whom they have trust and confidence."
- g. I have known him well personally—as a referee for the *APSR*, as a member of the Council, as Treasurer, and now as a Trustee of our Trust and Development Fund.

And I am absolutely confident from this personal knowledge that he is a person of great integrity, eminent fairness, high standards, and a decent respect for the opinions of others.

I believe he will make an outstanding Managing Editor, many of those with whom I have consulted feel the same, and I recommend him to the Council with complete confidence and enthusiasm.

### **Selection of the Managing Editor of the *Review***

Lowi nominated David Danielski of Cornell University for the position of Managing Editor. In making his nomination, Lowi stated:

"Dave is not my candidate for this position. He is Cornell's candidate. Having the *Review* here would be good for Cornell as well as for the Association. We are now a department of 28 members covering the full range of subject matter with highly visible persons in each of the sub-disciplines. Nevertheless it is a well-integrated department capable of giving the Managing Editor the kind of intellectual support he will need. The University has a long history of cordiality to learned journals—two relevant examples, *Human Organization* and *Administrative Science Quarterly*, were created at Cornell. This kind of reassuring context makes possible Dave's willingness to accept the Managing Editorship if it is offered to him.

"I was tardy in submitting this nomination because we wanted to be clear on Cornell's ability, and Dave wanted to be clear on his own personal position. Those who know Dave will appreciate this hesitation, because he never takes on a task without throwing himself completely into it. His most recent administrative activity was University Ombudsman, whose duties he carried out with such efficiency and dispatch that he became the University's most valued negotiator on a variety of issues far

beyond the jurisdiction of the Ombudsman. Despite his heavy teaching load and the Ombudsman's office, he has also maintained his involvement in Departmental affairs and also in a variety of civil rights and civil liberties cases, since he is a lawyer as well as a Ph.D. To us this has meant enormous vigor and efficacy. We are sure he will bring all of that to the *Review* and will be able to maintain the best of relationships among editors and contributors.

"These administrative capabilities are put first only because they are less likely to be known to the selection committee. His scholarly attainments and his position in the profession are already well-known, or we would not be submitting his nomination. First of all, he is equipped by training and academic experience to deal as an expert with the heaviest flow of submissions. His primary field of training was with Herman Pritchett in Public Law, American Government, and Judicial Behavior. He has published and has done vast editorial work in the legal and philosophical side of those questions and in the behavioral side. He has collaborated editorially with political theorists such as Roland Pennock and John Chapman as well as Glendon Schubert and Harold Lasswell. Last year he brought out a new volume on the notes and letters of former Chief Justice Charles Evans Hughes, has done critical work in the public policy side of public law, the sociology of law, and the psychological basis of judicial behavior. It seems to us, therefore, that as Managing Editor he would be a continual reassurance to contributors and to the membership-at-large as to the ability of the Journal to deal in all areas without methodological bias.

"Another extremely important point to note about Dave's scholarly work is his involvement in comparative law. He has spent considerable time in Japan, has become a very good comparativist, and has actually begun to publish some important pieces on Japanese law and the administration of justice in Japan. He has many political science colleagues in the "non-Western" world and he possesses unusual sophistication on these matters, far beyond most of us who do work on American politics. Since the Journal is likely to face an increasing number of contributions in comparative politics, especially non-Western politics, it is important to have this point of view represented in the Managing Editor, while at the same time it is important to maintain the largest capacity of expertise in American and Western topics. It seems to us that Dave possesses an ideal balance of these abilities.

"Dave has also chalked up a sufficient amount of time and acquaintanceship in Association and other professional organization affairs. He has been a regular participant in the activities at the Annual Meetings, has served as section chairman, as a member of an awards committee, a member of one of the most important SSRC Committees and other activities that give him tremendous familiarity with the most active and productive members of the profes-

sion. He has also had a wide variety of experience as a journal editor, a member of various editorial boards, and as an editorial advisor to an important publisher. The rest of his qualifications can easily be found in his curriculum vitae and among the many members of the Council who already know him quite well.

"I would like to leave you with one final observation. For better or worse, the standing and the legitimacy of the Journal rests heavily on appearances. I have seen the list of nominees for Managing Editorship and find that many of them are extremely well-qualified for the job. This means that the Council will in all probability be able to make their decision on the basis of such marginal factors as appearances. This involves such considerations as geography, subject-matter and methodological orientation, source of Ph.D., and relation of all this to previous Managing Editors. In most of these marginal considerations, Dave's candidacy proves extremely strong. Complaints in recent years about the location of the Journal in the West and Midwest can be balanced off very well by Cornell. We are in the Ivy League yet remain very much a Great Lakes institution. We are a privately endowed University, yet contain several important state components. There have also been many complaints in recent years about too many behavioral or quantitative articles. Here Dave personally will make a good impression because he is in a traditional field but has the reputation for handling it in an untraditional way. There have also been complaints about the paucity of articles in the public policy field; Dave's major field of public law is one of the great sources of public policy studies. Finally, one occasionally hears complaints about Yale dominance. This is a matter on which I myself feel a little sensitive, but I do feel that Dave provides a subtle but substantial response to this by having a Chicago Ph.D., a law degree from a small middle Western institution, and academic service at two important state universities and considerable faculty service at Yale before coming to Cornell.

"Dave's candidacy speaks extremely well for itself."

Alker advised the Council that Ted Robert Gurr had withdrawn from candidacy for Managing Editor of the *Review*.

Piven moved to postpone until January the selection of a Managing Editor with the understanding that the present Editor not accept any additional manuscripts for publication. The motion was defeated by a vote of 5-16.

A secret ballot vote was held for selection of Managing Editor with the following results: Charles O. Jones—17; David Danielski—6; abstain—1.

#### **Committee on Educational Policy and Programs**

Barker, for the Administrative Committee, moved to establish a Council Committee on Educational Policy and Programs as follows:

1. **Size and Composition:** Six members (three Council members; three non-Council members).
2. **Selection:** Appointed by the President with advice and consent of the Council.
3. **Terms:** Two year terms. Initially three members shall be appointed for one year, and three for full two-year terms.
4. **Responsibilities:**
  - A. Development and review of policies and operating principles with respect to the role of the Association in educational programs and activities. Proposed policies and principles shall be submitted to the Council for approval.
  - B. Consistent with educational policies and operating principles approved by the Council, the Committee shall make recommendations to the Council concerning:
    1. Review and clearance of grant proposals in the educational field made in the name of the Association.
    2. Review and clearance, prior to distribution, of reports and studies concerning educational programs that may be prepared under Association auspices.
  - C. General oversight and coordination of all Association educational programs, including relations with other educational organizations and/or activities that directly affect education in political science.
  - D. An annual report to the Council reviewing the educational programs of the Association, making any appropriate recommendations with respect to the present and future role of the Association in educational programs.

The motion to establish the Committee was unanimously approved.

The Administrative Committee recommendation for a \$3,000 appropriation to support two meetings in this fiscal year, with the budgeted amount for grant income to be increased by \$3,000 to balance the budget, was unanimously approved.

Appointments to the Committee on Educational Policy and Programs were discussed. McWilliams moved to postpone the appointment of members of the Committee until the January meeting of the Council. Following discussion of the need for a meeting and activity by the Committee this fall, McClosky moved a substitute motion that the President and President-Elect confer and bring in a set of recommendations for appointments to the Committee to be voted on the following day. The substitute motion passed by a voice vote. The substitute motion then became the main motion which, following discussion, passed by a voice vote.

## Rules Committee Report

The Council reviewed a report of the Rules Committee and took actions as follows: (Italicized words are language changes recommended by the Committee.)

The Council accepted, by voice vote, the Rules Committee recommendation of an addition to Chapter I, Section 4.1 of the By-Laws that "The Council shall normally meet three times a year: 1) during January of each year, 2) during the Spring, and 3) immediately prior to the Annual Business Meeting.

The Committee recommended an addition to Chapter I, section 8.1 of the By-Laws, as follows: "In order to prepare for the January meeting of the Council, the Administrative Committee shall meet and prepare an agenda prior to the certification of new Council members." Following discussion, Kirkpatrick moved a substitute motion that "In order to prepare for the January meeting of the Council, the Administrative Committee shall be consulted in the preparation of an agenda prior to the certification of new Council members." The Committee accepted the substitute motion, and the motion was carried by a voice vote.

The Committee recommended an addition to Chapter V, Section 4 of the By-Laws as follows:

"In designating members of each Committee, the President shall attempt to provide for fair representation of different orientations within the Association. Proposed appointments to each Committee shall be presented together to the Council at its meetings. A majority vote of the Council shall constitute sufficient evidence of consent to the appointments to a Committee, except where a group of the elected members of the Council, equal to one divided by the total number of appointees being appointed by the President at this time, do not consent and designate a single replacement by written proposal signed by all members of such a group. In such a case, the Committee will then be composed of the group originally proposed by the President minus the person withdrawn by the President and replaced by the person designated by all members of the dissenting group."

The recommendation was defeated by a show of hands vote: 9 for; 14 opposed.

The Committee recommended an amendment to Article V, Section 2 of the Constitution that: "The President shall appoint *with the advice and consent of the Council* and with due regard to geographical distribution and the fields of professional interest, three members to a Nominating Committee of six, to serve for two-year terms; and the President shall designate the chair." The Council, without dissent, recommended to the membership the approval of this amendment.

The Committee recommendation that an addition to Chapter II, Section 2.1(b) of the

By-Laws that "At the same time, the President shall also supply to the Council the short list of candidates considered for the position [of Managing Editor of the *Review*]. The deliberations over the choice of the Managing Editor shall be in camera." was defeated by a voice vote.

The Committee recommended the following change in Chapter II, Section 3.3 of the By-Laws: "Members of the Board of Editors shall be appointed by the Managing Editor *with the advice and consent of the Council*. (deleting the sentence "The Council shall be advised of these appointments at the meeting which follows their selection.") The service of members of the Board of Editors shall not extend beyond the service of the Managing Editor who appointed them." The recommendation was defeated by a show of hands vote.

The Committee recommended that the Constitution be amended to add the italicized phrase to Article VII, Section 1, as follows:

"The membership of the Association duly assembled in the Annual Business Meeting or in a special meeting duly called resolves policy questions brought to it, and may confirm, revise, or repeal the action of the Council, or any officer *except in cases where mail ballots are required*."

The Council, without dissent, recommended that the membership adopt this amendment.

The Committee proposed the following revision of Chapter I, Section 11.3 of the By-Laws:

"The Administrative Committee is authorized to make internal adjustments in the annual budget *and is the only body which can authorize emergency disbursements*. It shall report on such adjustments, appropriations *and emergency disbursements* to the next Council meeting. The Executive Director shall be responsible for disbursing funds *only* within the terms set by the Administrative Committee."

Following discussion, the recommendation was tabled; no action was taken.

The Committee recommended including a new section (II.4) in Chapter I of the By-Laws as follows:

"For the forthcoming fiscal year (July 1 to June 30) Committee budget authorizations are established by the Council at its spring meeting. Committees are invited by letter from the Executive Director in February to submit budget proposals. Committees are informed prior to the beginning of the fiscal year of their budget authorization and receive quarterly reports on expenditures. Disbursements above budgeted levels by Committees will not be made unless approved by the Administrative Committee or the Council."

The recommendation was unanimously approved.

The Committee recommended changing Chapter IV, Section 2.2a of the By-Laws to read as follows:

"The [Rules] Committee shall annually review the actions of the Council and include any changes or additions made by the Council in the By-Laws. In addition, the Committee shall also recommend to the Council any additions, deletions, and modifications of the By-Laws it deems desirable. The revised By-Laws shall be published in an official APSA publication annually."

The Administrative Committee recommended substituting for the last sentence in the above recommendation the following: "The By-Laws shall be published in an official APSA publication at least every three years and shall always be made available, on request, to any member of the Association." The Rules Committee accepted the proposed substitution, and the recommendation, as revised, was unanimously approved.

The Committee recommended that the Treasurer's Report, which is published annually in *PS*, include the salary ranges for all professional positions of the national office staff as well as the total salaries paid to staff of the national office. The recommendation was unanimously approved.

The Council, by voice vote, rejected a Rules Committee recommendation that the Election Committee be composed of five rather than three members.

The Council unanimously approved a Committee recommendation that the phrase "and to members of the Association" be added to Chapter II, 1.3(b)(5) of the By-Laws.

The Committee recommended a Constitutional Amendment which would replace references to male persons in the Constitution with references to both sexes as follows:

To change: Article II, Section 3: Add "or she" after "he." Article III, Section 7: Add "or her" after "his." Article IV, Section 2: Change "chairman" to "chair" in two instances. Article V, Section 1: Add "or she" after "he." Article V, Section 1: Add "or her" after "his." Article V, Section 2: Add "or she" after "he." Article V, Section 2: Change "chairman" to "chair." Article V, Section 3: Add "or her" after "his." Article V, Section 3: Add "or her" after "him." Article V, Section 3: Add "or she" after "he." Article VII, Section 3: Add "or she" after "he" in two instances. Article VII, Section 4: Add "or she" after "he." Article VII, Section 5: Add "or she" after "he" in four instances. Article VII, Section 7: Add "or she" after "he" in four instances. Article VII, Section 7: Add "or her" after "him." Article VII, Section 9: Change "chairman" to "chair."

The vote on the proposed amendment was:

For: Alker, Binder, Burns, Diamond, Finifter, Keefe, Kirkpatrick, Lipset, Lowi, McClosky,

Marshall, Nesvold, Ostrom, Patterson, Ranney, Turner, Verba, Wildavsky.

Against: None.

Abstain: McWilliams, Polsby, Sibley.

The Council, without dissent, recommended that the membership adopt this amendment.

The Committee recommended deleting from Article 2, Section 2 of the Constitution the sentence "It [the Association] will not commit its members on questions of public policy nor take positions not immediately concerned with its direct purpose as stated above," and recommended the following wording for this section of the Constitution:

"The Association as such is non-partisan. It will not support political parties or candidates. It will not commit its members on questions of public policy nor take positions not immediately concerned with its direct purposes as stated above. But the Association nonetheless actively encourages in its membership and its journals, research in and concern for significant contemporary political and social problems and policies, however controversial and subject to partisan discourse in the community at large these may be." (deleting the sentence "The Association shall not be debarred from adopting resolutions or taking such other action as it deems appropriate in support of academic freedom and of freedom of expression by and within the Association, the political science profession, and the university, when in its judgment such freedom has been clearly and seriously violated or is clearly and seriously threatened." and adding the sentence) "The Association may, moreover, take stands on matters of academic freedom and public policy issues affecting the independence, self regulation and financial support for scholarly and educational endeavors."

The Committee recommendation was defeated by a show of hands vote: 8 for; 10 opposed.

The Committee recommended the following Constitutional Amendment to Article IV, Section 1:

"The elective officers" (deleting the phrase "together with the Executive Director, the Managing Editor and the Chairman of the Program Committee") "shall constitute the voting members of the Council of the Association." (and adding the sentence) "The Executive Director, the Managing Editor, and the Chair of the Program Committee shall constitute the non-voting members of the Council."

Following discussion, Wildavsky moved that the Program Chair be deprived of a vote on the Council. McClosky offered a substitute motion to separate the vote on the three different positions. Wildavsky accepted the substitute. The motion, as amended, was approved by a voice vote.

The motion to make the Executive Director a non-voting member of the Council was approved by a vote of 12-8; the motion to make the Managing Editor a non-voting member was defeated by a vote of 9-12; the motion to make the Program Chair a non-voting member was defeated by a vote of 9-9; a motion to reconsider the vote on the Executive Director was carried by a vote of 14-6; the motion to make the Executive Director a non-voting member was defeated by a vote of 9-13.

A final Rules Committee recommendation was made to amend Rule 4.17 of the Rules for the Annual Business Meeting and Association Election as follows:

"Release of election results shall be the duty of the Elections Committee. It shall promptly *inform the Executive Director of the Association who shall* inform all candidates, agents, sponsors, proponents and opponents of issues and may use other appropriate means to inform the membership. In addition, it shall certify results to the President and Executive Director and report on such results to the Council. In addition, it shall report on any new rulings that may have been applied."

The recommendation was unanimously approved.

### Role of the Administrative Committee

Following extensive discussion of the role of the Administrative Committee, there was general consensus among members of the Council that the Administrative Committee shall not make recommendations on policy questions to the Council.

### Committee Appointments

#### A. Committee on Educational Policy and Programs

President Ranney asked the Council for consent on the following appointments to the Committee on Educational Policy and Programs:

For two year terms: John C. Wahlke, University of Iowa, Chairman; William Daniels, Union College; Leonard Binder, University of Chicago.

For one year terms: Ada W. Finifter, Michigan State University; Seymour Martin Lipset, Stanford University; John Schaar, University of California, Santa Cruz.

Barker moved for consent to the appointments. Motion unanimously approved.

#### B. Steering Committee on Undergraduate Education

President Ranney requested consent of the Council on the appointment of the following members of a Steering Committee on Undergraduate Education to advise on the administration of the NSF grant in this field: Elinor Ostrom, Indiana University, Chairperson; Hayward R. Alker, Jr., Massachusetts Institute of

Technology; Donald E. Stokes, Princeton University; Davis B. Bobrow, University of Maryland; Kenneth Boulding, University of Colorado; Harold Guetzkow, Northwestern University; Neil J. Smelser, University of California, Berkeley.

Following extensive discussion of the Committee, Marshall moved that the President and President-Elect consult—drawing on such additional persons as might be helpful—and come back to the Council with a new list of names, preferably during the Council meeting but, if that proves impossible, to have the committee appointments voted on by mail. Lowi offered a substitute motion to change the name of the committee and redefine the objectives, narrowing it to specific functions to differentiate it from other committees. Lipset moved to end debate. The motion to end debate was carried by a voice vote. The Lowi substitute motion was carried by a voice vote. Lipset moved the previous question, which was carried by a show of hands vote: 11-6. The Council discussed whether there was sufficient representation on the Committee to enable it to perform the task of preparing a plan for an education project in policy sciences. There was unanimous agreement that there should be more persons on the Committee versed in institutional analysis and in knowledge of substantive policies. Since all the persons nominated to the Committee are satisfactory to the Council, the Council discussed how to proceed to propose an expansion of the Committee. Alker moved to table the main motion on President Ranney's proposed appointments. Thomas Mann of the national office staff explained to the Council that NSF can withdraw the grant if a committee is not appointed. The motion to table was defeated by a vote of 8-10.

Lipset moved to refer to the President-Elect, in consultation with both the staff of the national office and those members of the newly selected Council Committee on Educational Policy and Programs to come up with a list of names to be discussed with NSF and to come back to the Council with a new list of names after lunch that day. Motion carried by a voice vote.

### Proposed Dues Increase

The Administrative Committee recommended that the following dues schedule be submitted to the membership in a mail referendum:

Gross Professional Income	Proposed Dues
Less than \$12,000	\$25
\$12,000-\$14,999	\$30
\$15,000-\$19,999	\$35
\$20,000-\$24,999	\$40
\$25,000 and over	\$45

Alker moved as a substitute to the Administrative Committee recommendation a motion he had prepared as follows:

1. The Council adopt/propose a proportional dues schedule, calculated in terms of total professional income;

2. An appropriate percentage, fitting closely the middle ranges of the Administrative Committee's proposal, would be 1/5 of 1% (this would mean dues of \$40 for those with professional incomes of \$20,000 and \$20 for those with incomes of \$10,000);
3. A minimum dues figure be \$15, with the possibility of some reduction for those not wishing to use/receive various Association services of publications;
4. Professional income information in Association files be considered confidential, except as necessary in any regular and appropriate monitoring of dues payments.

Following discussion of the two proposals, McClosky moved the previous question; the motion on the previous question passed by a voice vote.

The vote on the Alker substitute motion was as follows:

For: Alker, Binder, McWilliams, Piven, Rabinovitz, Sibley.

Against: Barker, Burns, Diamond, Finifter, Keefe, Lipset, Lowi, McClosky, Marshall, Nesvold, Patterson, Polsby, Turner, Verba.

Abstain: Kirkpatrick.

The motion failed, 6-14.

Lowi moved to amend the Administrative Committee recommendation to add another category to the proposed dues making the figures \$25,000-\$29,999, \$45; and over \$30,000, \$50. The Lowi amendment was approved without dissent. The main motion on the Administrative Committee proposal, as amended, passed by voice vote.

McClosky moved that the President and President-Elect write a letter to the membership explaining the need for a dues increase and the rationale for the proposed schedule, and to authorize the necessary funds for mailing the letter. The motion was approved by a voice vote.

## Committee Appointments

### A. Election Committee

Because Aaron Wildavsky, a member of the Election Committee, is a candidate for office in the forthcoming election, President Ranney requested Council approval on replacing Wildavsky on the Election Committee with Dale Rogers Marshall. Unanimously approved.

### B. Steering Committee for the NSF Project

President-Elect Burns requested that the Council consent to the appointments to the Steering Committee for the NSF Project recommended earlier by President Ranney with the addition of three persons to be selected by NSF from among the following names: Thomas Cronin, Holy Cross College; Martin Landau, University of California, Berkeley; Lorenzo Morris, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Stuart Nagel,

University of Illinois; Karren Orren, University of California, Los Angeles; Paul Peterson, University of Chicago; Randall Ripley, Ohio State University; Robert Salisbury, Washington University, St. Louis; Martin Shefter, Cornell University; Howard Zinn, Boston University.

The appointments were unanimously approved.

### C. Committee on Ethical Issues in Undergraduate Instruction

President Ranney requested consent on the following appointments to a Committee on Ethical Issues and Undergraduate Instruction to advise on the administration of the grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities: Martin Diamond, Northern Illinois University, Chairperson; Wilson Carey McWilliams, Rutgers University; Hanna Pitkin, University of California, Berkeley; Stanley Rothman, Smith College; Vernon Van Dyke, University of Iowa.

### D. Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom

President Ranney informed the Council that Maurice Woodard, Howard University, had declined appointment to the Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom and requested consent on the appointment of Victor Rosenbloom, Northwestern University, to this committee. Unanimously approved.

### E. Nominating Committee

President-Elect Burns advised the Council that he will delay appointment of members of the Nominating Committee until the January meeting of the Council.

### F. Awards Committees

President-Elect Burns advised the Council that he will delay appointment of the various awards committees of the Association until the January meeting of the Council.

### Resolution Amending the Protection Against Discrimination Resolution of 1974

Lowi moved that the Council recommend to the Business Meeting favorable action on the following resolution:

The APSA, having resolved that sexual orientation, marital status, physical handicap or age shall not constitute grounds for denying educational opportunities or employment, urges Congress and state and local legislatures to offer protection by law against such discrimination in academic institutions. This resolution shall not be construed to mean that the APSA opposes reasonable retirement provisions.

The motion carried without dissent.

### Resolution Amending APSA Membership List Rental Policy

Professor Jeff Fishel submitted the following resolution to the Council:

"WHEREAS: The American Political Science Association currently restricts selling the membership mailing list to any individual, firm, or organization which seeks to use the list for purposes of soliciting money or contributions; and,

"WHEREAS: Recent changes in the federal regulation of campaign finance laws for presidential campaigns necessitates that candidates develop new means for reaching small donors, including most importantly through direct mail;

"RESOLVED therefore that the American Political Science Association make available to all legitimate presidential candidates, or their designated representatives, said mailing list, the cost of which shall be determined by the Executive Director."

McWilliams moved that the Council accept the Fishel resolution as Association policy. Motion unanimously approved.

#### **Charge to Employers for Listing Positions in the APSA Personnel Service Newsletter**

Patterson, for the Administrative Committee, moved that the Association levy a reasonable and modest charge for advertising in the Personnel Service newsletter by non-members of the Departmental Services Program. Such departments should be billed for listing in the Personnel Service newsletter but exempted from payment if their budget makes it impossible for them to pay. The fee would be \$25 for positions listed initially, \$15 for listing a second time, and \$10 for a third time, creating a maximum revenue of \$8,600. The Administrative Committee felt that the charge for listing in the newsletter for non-Departmental Services departments would encourage more departments to join the Departmental Services Program, and thus obtain the further benefits of the Program. The motion was unanimously approved.

#### **Program Development Committee**

Harold Lasswell, Chairman of the Program Development Committee, discussed the work of the Committee in general. President-Elect Burns reported on the proposal of the Committee for a project to be carried out in the Bi-Centennial Era. He described the project in general and agreed that he would bring a more specific statement of the proposal or would send out a more specific statement prior to the January meeting of the Council. He agreed to Diamond's suggestion to include the period of ratification of the Constitution (1787-1789) in his proposal. Burns cited also the need for an association between this project and the education projects.

#### **Dates for January Council Meeting**

It was agreed that the Council would meet on Saturday and Sunday, January 24 and 25, and that the Executive Director would advise all nominees for offices and Council of the dates of the meeting.

#### **1976 Program Committee**

Frances Fox Piven, Co-Chairperson of the 1976 Program Committee, requested Council approval to add Twiley Barker, University of Illinois, Chicago Circle, to the 1976 Program Committee to head a section on the judiciary and constitutional law. Unanimously approved.

#### **Report of the Executive Director**

Kirkpatrick called the attention of the Council to a memorandum prepared for the Council by Samuel Patterson and John Turner concerning: the job market, ratings of departments, the National Science Foundation, funding of research, and scholarly communication, and expressing the hope that there be some discussion of these subjects by the Council. Kirkpatrick indicated that he also was concerned about these problems, and the Council agreed that the above items should be placed on the agenda for discussion at the January meeting of the Council. Thomas Mann of the national office staff reported on how the data on supply and demand for political scientists are collected and on what activities are being pursued to expand job opportunities.

The complete Report of the Executive Director is printed in the Sumer issue of *PS*.

#### **Expression of Appreciation**

The Council unanimously expressed appreciation to Austin Ranney for his contributions to the work of the Association and the Council during the past year.

Wilson Carey McWilliams, Secretary

---

### **APSA 1975 Annual Business Meeting Minutes**

---

Wednesday, September 3, 1975  
San Francisco Hilton Hotel

President Austin Ranney opened the Meeting at 4:15 p.m.

#### **Nomination of Candidates**

Professor Frank J. Sorauf, Chairman of the APSA Nominating Committee, offered the following nominations for the Committee:

President-Elect: Samuel H. Beer, Harvard University.

Vice Presidents: Richard F. Fenno, University of Rochester; Barbara Hinckley, University of Wisconsin, Madison; Aaron Wildavsky, University of California, Berkeley.