Hybridizations within the Genus *Schistosoma*: implications for evolution, epidemiology and control
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**SUMMARY**

Hybridization of parasites is an emerging public health concern in our changing world. Hybridization and introgression in parasites and pathogens can have major impacts on the host and the epidemiology and evolution of disease. Schistosomiasis is a Neglected Tropical Disease of profound medical and veterinary importance across many parts of the world, with the greatest human burden within sub-Saharan Africa. Here we review how early phenotypic identification and recent confirmation through molecular studies on naturally occurring infections, combined with experimental manipulations, have revealed evidence of viable hybridization and introgressions within and between human and animal schistosome species. Environmental and anthropogenic changes in selective pressures following, for instance, new dam constructions, altered agricultural practices, together with mass drug administration programmes, may all be predicted to further impact the availability of suitable definitive and intermediate hosts for schistosomes. It is therefore imperative to understand the distribution and role of such novel zoonotic hybrid schistosomes on host range, drug efficacy, and hence ultimately transmission potential, if we are to achieve and maintain sustainable control.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The evolution and impact of introgressive hybridization is now well recognized in plants and certain animal species, although examples from within parasitic organisms remain rare (Barton, 2001; Arnold, 2004; Baack and Rieseberg, 2007; King et al. 2015). Hybridization (i.e. interbreeding between two species) and introgression (i.e. the introduction of single genes or chromosomal regions from one species into that of another through repeated backcrossing of an interspecific hybrid with one of its parent species) in parasites and pathogens can have a major impact on the host and the epidemiology and evolution of disease. The acquisition of new genes may affect virulence, resistance, pathology and host use and potentially ultimately lead to the evolution and emergence of new parasitic organisms and new diseases (Arnold, 2004; Detwiler and Criscione, 2010; King et al. 2015). Today, in a changing world, hybridization of parasites is an emerging public health concern as the geographic distribution of human, domestic animals and wildlife is altering and novel infectious agents and infectious agent combinations may occur more frequently, including those involving co-infections by parasites from different lineages or species within individual hosts (Patz et al. 2000; Slingenbergh et al. 2004; Lafferty, 2009; Shuman, 2010; Nichols et al. 2014).

Schistosomiasis (or bilharzia) is a chronic and debilitating disease caused by parasitic trematodes, inducing a range of morbidities including, but not exclusive to, severe anaemia, hypertension and organ damage, sometimes causing death. It affects more than 240 million people, mainly in tropical and sub-tropical regions, and with the greatest burden within sub-Saharan Africa (Steinmann et al. 2006; Colley et al. 2014). There are currently six main species of schistosome infecting humans: *Schistosoma mansoni*, *S. haematobium*, *S. intercalatum*, *S. guineensis*, *S. mekongi* and *S. japonicum*, the latter two species being acknowledged zoonoses (diseases that are naturally transmitted between vertebrate animals and humans), able to infect a broad range of livestock and wildlife. Schistosomiasis is also a disease of substantial veterinary importance (see Fig. 1). It has been estimated that, for instance, about 165 million cattle are infected with schistosomiasis worldwide, with chronic infections resulting in a range of pathologies depending on the infecting species, including haemorrhagic enteritis, anaemia, emaciation and death (De Bont and Vercruysse, 1997, 1998). Of the 19 species reported to naturally infect animals, nine have received particular attention, mainly because of their recognized veterinary significance for ruminants in Asia and Africa: *S. mattheei*, *S. bovis*, *S. curassoni*, *S. spindale*, *S. indicum*, *S. nasale*, *S. incognitum*, *S. margrebowiei* and *S. japonicum*. Finally, wild animals also represent significant hosts for schistosomes.
with, for example, *S. rodhaini*, *S. ovuncatum* and *S. kisumuensis* being schistosome species of rodents. Moreover, rodents and non-human primates can also act as important zoonotic reservoirs, as demonstrated for *S. japonicum* in Asia (He et al. 2001; Rudge et al. 2009, 2013; Lu et al. 2010, 2011) and for *S. mansoni* in Africa (Fenwick 1969; Muller-Gräf et al. 1997; Duplantier and Sene, 2000) and the Caribbean (Théron et al. 1992; Théron and Pointier, 1995).

*Schistosoma* spp. have an asexual stage occurring in an invertebrate intermediate host, a freshwater snail, and a sexual stage within the vascular system of a definitive vertebrate host; parasite eggs are voided with the definitive host’s urine or feces, depending on the infecting parasite species. One exception being *S. nasale*, where adult pairs are located in the blood vessels of the nasal mucosa and eggs are excreted through nasal discharge. Schistosomes are dioecious, rather than hermaphroditic as it is the case for most other trematodes. This potentially creates enhanced opportunities for interactions between male and female schistosomes within their definitive host. Several schistosome species also overlap in their geographical and host range, which allows males and female schistosomes of difference species to pair within their definitive hosts. It was traditionally believed that the combination of host specificity and physiological barriers (i.e. intestinal schistosomes being located around the mesenteric system as adults, urogenital schistosomes are nearby the bladder) would prevent heterospecific interactions or pairings to occur (Jourdane and Southgate, 1992; Southgate et al. 1998). However, subsequent evidence revealed that closely related species, in particular *S. haematobium* with *S. mattheei* and *S. haematobium* with *S. guineensis* (previously known as *S. intercalatum*) have the potential, and the propensity, to pair and hybridize both in the wild and experimentally in the laboratory (Taylor, 1970; Morgan et al. 2003; Webster and Southgate, 2003b; Webster et al. 2013b). Even distantly related schistosome species such as *S. mansoni* and *S. haematobium* often pair (Khalil and Mansour, 1995; Cunin et al. 2003; Koukounari et al. 2010). Whilst such pairings are likely to result predominantly in parthenogenetic egg production, recent molecular evidence suggests that under certain conditions, such distance pairings may also result in introgression and the production of viable offspring (Huyse et al. 2009).

Here we review studies performed on natural and experimental schistosome hybrids and discuss how

---

**Fig. 1.** Schematic phylogeny of the interrelationships of members of the *Schistosoma* genus and their principal vertebrate hosts (only indicated for the main schistosome species in term of human and veterinary health) (adapted from Lawton et al. (2011) and Webster et al. (2006)).
new molecular tools have improved our understanding of the evolution and epidemiology of these hybrids. We consider the factors that may be predicted to further influence the potential for novel zoonotic hybrid parasites to emerge and establish and present the theoretical and applied implications and applications for both schistosomiasis and other important host–parasite associations that impact humans, livestock and wildlife today and in the future.

HISTORY OF THE SCIENTIFIC WORK
UNCOVERING THE EVOLUTION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF SCHISTOSOMA HYBRIDS

From some of the earliest scientific literature on schistosomes, evidence of potential crosses and hybridizations between different species of schistosomes have been reported. These first identifications were mainly based on phenotypic eggs observations. For example, Alves in 1948 reported potential _S. haematobium_–_S. mattheei_ hybrids amongst cases of human urogenital schistosomiasis in Southern Rhodesia, Zimbabwe (Alves, 1948). This observation was followed by several others proposing the existence of the same hybrids occurring in both Zimbabwe and South Africa (Le Roux, 1954b; Pitchford, 1959, 1961; Kruger et al. 1986a, b; Kruger and Hamilton-Attwell, 1988), as well as other potential hybridized pairings, predominantly between _S. haematobium_ with _S. guineensis_ in Cameroon (Wright et al. 1974; Southgate et al. 1976; Rollinson and Southgate, 1985; Ratard et al. 1990; Ratard and Greer, 1991; Tchuem Tchuente et al. 1997b) and Gabon (Burchard and Kern, 1985; Zwingenberger et al. 1990) (see Table 1). However, the viability of these eggs was rarely, if ever, assessed and these early phenotypic observations have often been considered, or even dismissed, as misleading identifications (Teesdale, 1976; Kinoti and Mumo, 1988). Likewise, early reports of apparent human infections with pure animal _Schistosoma_ spp., such as _S. bovis_, _S. curassoni_ or _S. mattheei_ (Raper, 1951; Grétillet, 1962; Albaret et al. 1985; Chunge et al. 1986; Mouchet et al. 1988), as were based primarily on egg morphologies, were again subsequently dismissed as misdiagnoses (Capron et al. 1965; Vercreusse et al. 1984; Rollinson et al. 1987; Kruger and Evans, 1990; Brémond et al. 1993). The use of biochemical markers confirmed, however, some of the earlier phenotypic observations made on schistosome hybrids, albeit not of any apparent cases of pure animal schistosome species infecting humans, and furthermore revealed new hybridization between different species. The first study on hybrid schistosomes using isoelectric-focusing of enzymes was made by Wright and Ross (1980), which confirmed hybridization between _S. haematobium_ with _S. mattheei_ in Eastern Transvaal, South Africa. By the 1990s, studies reported hybridization between _S. bovis_ with _S. curassoni_ in cattle, sheep and goats through the identification of gene flow using biochemical markers (Brémond, 1990; Brémond et al. 1990; Rollinson et al. 1990a). Likewise, by 1993, Brémond et al. (1993) used both morphological and biochemical markers to assess, for the first time, natural introgression of _S. haematobium_ by genes from _S. bovis_ in Niger.

The increasing use of molecular techniques available for parasitological research resulted in a growing number of reports on hybridization and introgression in schistosomes. Furthermore, these are providing new insights for understanding the evolution and epidemiology of the disease. For instance, new methods have been developed which can discriminate between different schistosomes species and their hybrids, in particular multi-locus approaches, combining both nuclear and mitochondrial DNA markers, as single-locus approaches are not appropriate to detect hybridization or introgression events (Norton et al. 2008b; Huyse et al. 2009; Webster et al. 2010a). The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) is a particularly powerful marker to detect introgression. This region can retain both parental copies for several generations before they are homogenized by concerted evolution, the nuclear DNA profiles resulting in double chromatogram peaks at the species-specific mutation sites (Dover 1986; Sang et al. 1995; Aguilar et al. 1999; Kane et al. 2002; Huyse et al. 2009, 2013; Webster et al. 2013b; Moné et al. 2015). The ITS marker has therefore repeatedly been used to detect hybridization events across the _Schistosoma_ genera. Webster et al. (2007) used a single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis of the second internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) of nuclear ribosomal DNA for the identification of _S. haematobium_, _S. guineensis_ and their hybrids in Loum, Cameroon. This analysis revealed that some individuals previously considered to be _S. haematobium_, based on egg morphology and sequence data alone, were actually hybrids and this would not have been detected without employing such high-resolution analysis. Recent studies in Senegal, using sequence data of nuclear (ITS1+2) and mitochondrial (coxI) loci, reported the bidirectional hybridization between _S. haematobium_ with _S. bovis_ and _S. haematobium_ with _S. curassoni_ in school children and also in both _Bulinus_ snails and between _S. bovis_ with _S. curassoni_ in cattle (Huyse et al. 2009; Webster et al. 2013b). Molecular analyses on cercariae from infected snails in Kenya and Tanzania have also observed hybrids between the human schistosome _S. mansoni_ and its sister species, _S. rodhaini_, from rodents (Morgan et al. 2003; Steinauer et al. 2008). Furthermore, these authors, using microsatellite markers, demonstrated that the hybrids produce
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References (year)</th>
<th>Species combination (original host)</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Host species detected in</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al. (1974); Southgate et al. (1976)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. guineensis</em> (human)</td>
<td>Biochemical markers</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Loum, Cameroon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright and Ross (1980)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. mattheei</em> (livestock)</td>
<td>Egg morphology</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright and Ross (1980)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. mattheei</em> (livestock)</td>
<td>Biochemical markers</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brémond et al. (1990); Brémond et al. (1999)</td>
<td><em>S. bovis</em> (livestock) × <em>S. curassoni</em> (livestock)</td>
<td>Biochemical markers</td>
<td>Cattle, sheep, goats</td>
<td>Niger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rollinson et al. (1990a); Zwingenberger et al. (1990)</td>
<td><em>S. bovis</em> (livestock) × <em>S. curassoni</em> (livestock)</td>
<td>Biochemical markers</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Senegal, Mali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratard et al. (1990); Ratard and Greer (1991)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em> (or <em>S. curassoni</em>) (livestock)</td>
<td>Egg morphology, biochemical markers</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brémond et al. (1993)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em> (or <em>S. curassoni</em>) (livestock)</td>
<td>Egg morphology, biochemical markers</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Bont et al. (1994)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. mattheei</em> (livestock)</td>
<td>Egg morphology, biochemical markers</td>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>Zambva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vercruysse et al. (1994)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. guineensis</em> (human)</td>
<td>Egg morphology, biochemical markers</td>
<td>Human (1, 2) Cattle (2, 3)</td>
<td>Mali Zambva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tchuem Tchuenté et al. (1997b)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. guineensis</em> (human)</td>
<td>Egg morphology</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Loum, Cameroon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1. (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References (year)</th>
<th>Species combination (original host)</th>
<th>Host species detected in</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huyse et al. (2009)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em></td>
<td><em>B. truncatus</em></td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moné et al. (2012)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em> (livestock)</td>
<td><em>B. truncatus</em></td>
<td>Benin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moné et al. (2013a)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em> (livestock)</td>
<td><em>B. truncatus</em></td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moné et al. (2013b)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em> (livestock)</td>
<td><em>B. truncatus</em></td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moné et al. (2013)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em> (livestock)</td>
<td><em>B. truncatus</em></td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vercruysse et al. (1984); Webster et al. (2013b)</td>
<td><em>S. haematobium</em> (human) × <em>S. bovis</em></td>
<td><em>B. truncatus</em></td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concurrent with research under field conditions, hybridization experiments in the laboratory began...
in the 1940s. Some were conducted between schistosome species that are unlikely to hybridize in the wild, because they have not shared the same geographical range [e.g. S. mansoni with S. japonicum (Vogel, 1941, 1942; Imbert-Establet et al. 1994; Fan and Lin, 2005)]. These distant pairings were reported to result in the production of non-viable or apparently parthenogenetic eggs. Likewise, the experimental crosses conducted between the two phylogenetically distant species S. mansoni and S. haematobium, S. guineensis or S. mattheei also resulted in non-viable or parthenogenetic eggs (Taylor et al. 1969; Tchuem Tchuenté et al. 1994; Khalil and Mansour, 1995; Webster et al. 1999). Several experimental studies in laboratory have, however, confirmed that certain closely related schistosome species can successfully hybridize for several generations. Most of experimental research on interspecies crosses has been conducted within the S. haematobium group species (see the list of all crossings in Table 2). In the S. mansoni group, successful experimental crossings have been repeatedly performed only between S. mansoni with S. rodhaini (Le Roux, 1954a; Taylor, 1970; Brémond et al. 1989; Théron, 1989; Norton et al. 2008b). It appears that the successfully hybridization, or not, of these pairings will vary in part with the geographical origin as well as the strain of the parasite. For example, Taylor (1970) observed that the cross between a S. haematobium from Nigeria and S. bovis from Iran was viable, while the cross between S. haematobium and S. bovis both from Iran was of very low viability. Also, Wright and Ross (1980) showed that F1 hybrids issued from the cross between S. haematobium from Durban and female S. mattheei from Transvaal presented heterosis (i.e. hybrid vigour), whereas the same crossing with S. mattheei from Zambia with S. haematobium from the Ivory Coast did not (Tchuem Tchuenté et al. 1997a). More importantly, even viable crosses of the same species are not always reciprocal. For example, crossing only produces viable and fertile hybrid descendants between male S. haematobium and female S. guineensis or female S. mattheei (Wright et al. 1974; Wright and Ross, 1980; Tchuem Tchuenté et al. 1997a; Southgate et al. 1998). However, crossings between S. haematobium and S. bovis or S. curassoni appear bidirectional and involve both male and female of each species (Huyse et al. 2009; Webster et al. 2013b). One hypothesis could be that laboratory studies will mainly be on F1 crosses, whereas molecular analyses on parasites from natural population in the field will detect repeated backcrossing and hence more evidences of bidirectional introgression.

Further experimental infections and crossings are required to study the mating behaviour of different schistosome species and to study the biological characteristics of the hybrid lines such as fecundity, infectivity, longevity, cercarial production and response to praziquantel, the drug routinely used to control human schistosomiasis, and, in some parts of the world, in Asia for example, animal schistosomiasis too. However, we must keep in mind that the laboratory system might bias studies on hybridization due to selection and genetic bottleneck events because of less compatible rodent or snail hosts in experimental infections. Most of the crossings performed to date have been obtained in rodents and we do not know yet how hybrids would develop in other mammalian hosts, in particular domestic livestock other than sheep, which may be predicted to be potentially more relevant to ongoing natural transmission cycles.

There also remains a great deal to elucidate concerning the genetics and genomics of hybridization and introgression across the Schistosoma genus and in parasites in general, such as, for example, how hybridization may affect spread and pathogenicity. Genetic introgression could occur in areas of the genome affecting the evolution of virulence, transmission and host specificity, among others characteristics. Modern molecular techniques can expose the signature of hybridization in the genome more rapidly and accurately and the recent whole genome sequencing of the three main human schistosome species S. japonicum, S. mansoni and S. haematobium (Berriman et al. 2009; Schistosoma japonicum Genome Sequencing and Functional Analysis Consortium 2009; Young et al. 2012) will undoubtedly provide new insights into the study of schistosomes’ hybridization and Neglected Tropical Diseases research in general (Webster et al. 2010b).

**EFFECT OF HYBRIDIZATION ON CERCARIAL EMERGENCE FROM SNAIL INTERMEDIATE HOST**

Cercarial emergence is a heritable trait shaped by the definitive hosts’ behaviour and this can vary within species, as Lu et al. (2009) observed within S. japonicum with two different emergence peaks, one in late afternoon emergence compatible with a nocturnal rodent reservoir, and one early emergence consistent with a diurnal cattle reservoir. Norton et al. (2008a) also showed that co-infection and therefore competition between S. mansoni and S. rodhaini was influencing cercarial chronobiology resulting in a slight shift in the S. mansoni shedding pattern and a reduction of the S. rodhaini shedding period. In hybrids with different definitive host species, one could predict different chronobiology of cercariae shedding emergence depending on their relative parental species. Evidence in support of this has been provided by Théron (1989) with hybrids between S. mansoni with S. rodhaini showing two unequal emergence peaks, one diurnal (characteristic of S. mansoni for human infection) and the other nocturnal (characteristic of S. rodhaini for rodents’
### Table 2. Reports of experimental hybridizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References (year)</th>
<th>Species combination (original host)</th>
<th>Crossing outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Vogel (1941, 1942) | • S. mansoni (human) × S. haematobium (human)  
                     • S. mansoni (human) × S. japonicum (human)  
                     • S. mansoni (human) × S. rodhaini (wildlife)  
                     • S. mansoni (human) × S. mattheei (livestock) | Low viable parthenogenetic eggs |
| Le Roux (1954a)    | S. mansoni (human) × S. mattheei (livestock) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Taylor et al. (1969) | 1. S. mattheei (livestock) × S. mansoni (human)  
                          2. S. bovis (livestock) × S. mansoni (human)  
                          3. S. mattheei (livestock) × S. bovis (livestock)  
                          4. S. mattheei (livestock) × S. haematobium (human)  
                          5. S. bovis (livestock) × S. haematobium (human)  
                          6. S. mansoni (human) × S. rodhaini (wildlife) | Few parthenogenetic eggs viable up to F1  
                                                                 1. Parthenogenetic offspring, viable up to F1  
                                                                 2. –Non-viable offspring  
                                                                 3. Very low viable offspring up to F3  
                                                                 4. Fully viable offspring up to F4  
                                                                 5. Fully viable offspring up to F3  
                                                                 6. Fully viable offspring up to F4 |
| Taylor (1970); Taylor and Andrews (1973); Taylor et al. (1976, 1982) | S. guineensis (human) × S. mattheei (livestock) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Wright (1974)      | S. haematobium (human) × S. guineensis (human) | Viable offspring up to F2 |
| Wright et al. (1974); Wright and Southgate (1976); Southgate et al. (1976, 1982) | S. guineensis (human) × S. intercalatum (human) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Frandsen (1978); Bjørneboe and Frandsen (1979) | S. haematobium (human) × S. mattheei (livestock) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Wright and Ross (1980) | S. haematobium (human) × S. mansoni (human) | Non-viable parthenogenetic offspring |
| Basch and Basch (1984) | S. haematobium (human) × S. guineensis (human) | Viable offspring up to F7 |
| Mutani et al. (1985) | S. haematobium (human) × S. guineensis (human) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Rollinson and Southgate (1985) | S. japonicum (wildlife) × S. mekong (human) | Viable offspring up to F2 |
| Kruatrachue et al. (1987) | S. mansoni (human) × S. rodhaini (wildlife) | Viable offspring up to F2 |
| Brémont et al. (1989); Théron (1989) | S. haematobium (human) × S. mattheei (livestock) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Kruger and Evans (1990) | S. haematobium (human) × S. guineensis (human) | Viable offspring up to F1, decreased viability in F2 |
| Pages and Theron (1990) | S. haematobium (human) × S. guineensis (human) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Rollinson et al. (1990b) | S. haematobium (human) × S. mattheei (livestock) | Viable offspring up to F1 |
| Rollinson et al. (1990a) | S. bovis (livestock) × S. curassoni (livestock) | Viable offspring up to F4 |
| Brémont et al. (1993) | S. haematobium (human) × S. curassoni (livestock) | Viable offspring up to F2 |
                                                                 Viable offspring up to F1 in sheep (carried on up to F3) |
| Imbert-Establet et al. (1994) | S. japonicum (human) × S. mansoni (human) | Low viable parthenogenetic offspring/unknown |
| Khalil and Mansour (1995) | S. mansoni (human) × S. haematobium (human) | Viable parthenogenetic offspring |
| Southgate et al. (1995) | S. mattheei (livestock) × S. haematobium (human) | Low viable parthenogenetic offspring |
| Tchuem Tchuenté et al. (1997a) | S. haematobium (human) × S. mattheei (livestock) | Viable offspring |


### Table 2. (Cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species combination (original host)</th>
<th>Crossing outcome</th>
<th>References (year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. haematobium (human) × S. mansoni (human)</td>
<td>Non-viable parthenogenetic offspring</td>
<td>Webster et al. (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. intercalatum (human) × S. guineensis (human)</td>
<td>Viable offspring up to F4</td>
<td>Pages et al. (2001, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mansoni (human) × S. margrebowiei (livestock)</td>
<td>Non-viable offspring</td>
<td>Cosgrove and Southgate (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. haematobium (human) × S. guineensis (human)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Cosgrove and Southgate (2003a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. intercalatum (human) × S. mansoni (human)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Cosgrove and Southgate (2003a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. haematobium (human) × S. guineensis (human)</td>
<td>Viable offspring up to F2</td>
<td>Webster and Southgate (2003a, b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. japonicum (human) × S. mansoni (human)</td>
<td>Low viable (parthenogenetic?) offspring</td>
<td>Fan and Lin (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mansoni (human) × S. rodhaini (wildlife)</td>
<td>Viable offspring</td>
<td>Norton (2008b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. haematobium (human) × S. bovis (livestock)</td>
<td>Viable offspring</td>
<td>Webster (2013b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. bovis (livestock) × S. curassoni (livestock)</td>
<td>Viable offspring</td>
<td>Webster (2013b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unless stated, offspring viability has not been determined after the generation indicated.

Factors potentially favouring hybrid evolution and establishment

Environmental and/or anthropogenic changes, through natural phenomena (e.g. climate change) or human activities, such as dam constructions, changes in agricultural practices or drug treatments, can substantially impact the dynamics and distribution of schistosomiasis and infectious diseases in general, with potential positive and negative effects upon human and animal health (King et al. 2015). These environmental and anthropogenic changes place selective pressures on human and animal schistosomes and increase the opportunities for mixing of different species. This mixing within the human or animal hosts may be predicted to further influence the potential for novel zoonotic hybrid parasites, which may impact their potential for disease transmission and morbidity (Fig. 2). For example, it has been suggested that local deforestation may have
altered the environment in Loum area (Cameroon) and allowed Bulinus truncatus (previously named B. rohfsi), the intermediate host for S. haematobium, to become established, and the increase of human exchanges through the introductions of the railways created areas of sympatry between S. guineensis and S. haematobium, leading to the formation of hybrids (Southgate et al. 1976; Southgate, 1978).

In the north of Senegal, the rehabilitation of the Lac de Guiers area (Mbaye, 2013) provided new accesses to freshwater. These new contact areas are used both by people and livestock and are important sites where mixing of animals and humans schistosome species can happen. Likewise in Senegal, the construction of Diama dam on the Senegal river, for the creation of irrigation canals and development and extension of rice culture in the Senegal River basin, resulted in a reduction in salinity and more stable water flow, with a subsequent occurrence of new outbreaks of schistosomiasis, as well as other trematodiases, in humans and livestock in this region (Vercruysse et al. 1994; Diaw et al. 1998).

N’Goran et al. (1997) also observed a strong increase in human urogenital schistosomiasis prevalence around the Kossou and Taabo Lakes in Côte d’Ivoire between 1970 and 1992 after the construction of the two Dams of Kossou and Taabo. The recent deliberate crossing/hybridization of local cattle breeds with European cattle, in an effort to increase milk and meat yield (Nicolas Diouf, personal communications), in Senegal may also be predicted to have consequences on the spreading of zoonotic hybrid schistosomes. These new hybrid cattle may be predicted to have different susceptibilities for schistosome establishments and infection. The introduction of exotic cattle has already proved to accelerate the spread of several parasitic organisms. For example, the southern cattle tick Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus, initially a parasite of Asian bovid species, has spread over the tropical and subtropical belts to become a major invasive pest in many agrosystems (Barré and Uilenberg, 2010). Its current geographic distribution and its dramatic expansion over the last century can primarily be explained by the introduction of highly susceptible European cattle (Bos taurus) breeds to tropical areas (Chevillon et al. 2013; Léger et al. 2013). In contrast to both wild and domestic tropical Bovidae, these introduced hosts of European origin are almost incapable of mounting efficient immune responses to R. microplus infestations (Frisch, 1999).

Temperature, among other factors, can also have a significant effect on the schistosome life cycle and the survival of its intermediate snail host (Mas-Coma et al. 2009). Climate change (e.g. desertification) taking place in West Africa has also been argued to be responsible for important changes in the movement of domestic livestock, where animals may have to move long distance for food and water and may be in contact with multiple potential transmission sites. Indeed such livestock movement changes have been proposed to have brought S. bovis and S. curassoni into contact and may have led to hybridization between them (Rollinson et al. 1990a). In addition to human and animal movements, the current climate of global warming may also offer the potential to novel zoonotic hybrids to be a global disease. Many schistosome species infecting livestock could have a broader geographical range beyond Asia and Africa if compatible snail intermediate hosts are present. This appears now the case in parts of Europe, where novel introgressed hybrids between human S. haematobium with the
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Fig. 2. Schematic of causes and consequences of schistosome hybridization. The circumstances producing increased opportunity for hybridization are intensification of drug administration, agricultural practices and land use and modifications of environment due to human activities. This will then modify the ecology of both schistosomes’ intermediate and definitive host but also biology of the parasites. We outline what we think would be the most important and/or potentially dangerous effects of hybridization: an increase in transmission potential and morbidity and an altered response to drug therapy.
livestock *S. bovis* have recently been identified in Corsica (France), and sporadically in Spain and Portugal, with substantial ongoing transmission amongst both local Corsican residents and tourists (De Laval et al. 2014; Boissier et al. 2015; Moné et al. 2015; Berry et al. 2016; Webster et al. 2016).

**Implications for Control**

The recurrent hybridization between schistosome species in nature may have major implications in light of the current global push and shift from controlling morbidity to interrupting transmission (Webster et al. 2014). How such introgression may alter host range and transmission dynamic is perhaps the most pressing area for future research (King et al. 2015) (Fig. 2).

Since the first observations of hybridization of animal and human schistosomes, the main concern has been the possible complication of control measures occasioned by the existence of an animal reservoir infection (Wright and Southgate, 1976; Wright and Ross, 1980). Indeed, schistosomiasis control has focused almost exclusively on treatment of humans with mass drug administration using praziquantel. However, the extent to which hybridization may increase the role of wild mammals and livestock as reservoir hosts for infection, due to hybrid vigour for example, is poorly understood, although it is widely accepted that zoonotic diseases may be harder to eliminate due to the presence of animal reservoirs driving ongoing transmission (Webster et al. 2016). It has been shown that *S. haematobium* alone is incapable of developing in sheep (Vercruysse et al. 1984), but *S. haematobium* with *S. mattheei* hybrids have that ability (Tchuem Tchuente et al. 1997a). Similarly, Taylor et al. (1973) and Vercruysse et al. (1984) showed experimentally that *S. bovis* or *S. curassoni* cannot infect baboons as a single species, but they can when hybridized with *S. haematobium*. Hybrids between *S. mansoni* with *S. rodhaini* in Kenya may also be predicted to prove problematic, particularly in the elimination era. Rodents are reservoirs for several schistosome single species (*S. mansoni*, *S. bovis*, *S. rodhaini*, *S. kisumuensis*, *S. mansoni* and *S. rodhaini*), and co-infections in a single host individual has been observed, suggesting that this host species could be responsible for the production of hybrid schistosomes found in the area (Hanelt et al. 2010).

In a worst-case scenario, one could predict that this could lead to a comparable situation as observed in China today, where after over 50 years of concerted and multi-faceted interventions (including chemotherapy, snail control, health education, sanitation and environmental improvement), *S. japonicum* remains endemic among humans and transmission has even re-emerged in some areas where schistosomiasis was thought to have been eliminated. It has been demonstrated, by combining field data with novel mathematical modelling, that spillover from animal zoonotic transmission is maintaining such human schistosomiasis in China (Lu et al. 2009, 2010a, b, 2011; Rudge et al. 2009, 2013).

There are also other potential serious implications of wide-scale hybridization events in nature. For instance, introgressive hybridization may lead to phenotypic changes that can dramatically influence disease dynamics and evolution of the parasites. Hybridization between different *Schistosoma* species have already been suggested to affect the success of drug treatment; Pitchford and Lewis (1978) have suggested that the poor response of *S. mattheei* to oxamniquine treatment in children, in a trial they conducted in Eastern Transvaal, may be due to hybridization with *S. haematobium*, which is not susceptible to the drug. Although the efficacy of praziquantel, which is currently the only anti-schistosome drug in wide-scale use, is not well documented in terms of livestock, as distinct from human, *Schistosoma* species, changes in mass drug administration (MDA) pressures could be predicted to play an important role in the evolution of hybrid schistosomes. Drug resistance or decreased sensitivity of *S. mansoni* to praziquantel has been documented under both field and laboratory conditions (Cioli et al. 1993; Fallon and Doenhoff, 1994; Bonesso-Sabadini and de Souza Dias, 2002; Botros et al. 2005; Alonso et al. 2006; Melman et al. 2009; Pica-Mattoccia et al. 2009; Lamberton et al. 2010; Valentim et al. 2013; Webster et al. 2013a). To which extent hybrid schistosomes may differ in terms of praziquantel efficacy, and how MDA could differentially select for hybrids, is not known but should be considered in the control of schistosomiasis (Fenwick and Webster, 2006; Webster et al. 2008, 2014). Hybridization and the occurrence of large animal reservoirs may, however, also have a positive role in the context of reducing the risk of drug resistance emergence or establishment by increasing the proportion of untreated worms, and hence *Refugia*, through the untreated animal host populations. Human infection could also be reduced as selection imposed by drug treatment in humans may be predicted to lead to a shift in host preference, favouring strains that prefer nonhuman hosts. Conversely, if livestock, particularly in Africa, were to also be intensively treated with praziquantel in the future, then the risk of drug resistance emerging would be exacerbated. This could be due both to the relative loss of *Refugia*, but also the increased risk of resistance developing in the veterinary field through treatment mismanagement, as has been the case with all the current veterinary anthelmintics to date, and its subsequent impact for human treatment, particularly critical for zoonotic hybrids (Webster et al. 2016).
Hybrid infections may also be predicted to result in a differential morbidity profile in both humans and livestock, relative to their single-species infection counterparts. Schistosomiasis morbidity is caused primarily by parasite eggs being trapped within the host tissues. Previous studies have reported higher bladder morbidity in mixed S. haematobium–S. mansoni mixed infections compared with single S. haematobium infections. They suggested that S. haematobium males were mating with S. mansoni females and deviating the eggs to the urogenital tract, thereby reducing the amount of egg granulomas in liver tissues whilst increasing the egg output at the vesicle venous plexus and therefore aggravating urogenital schistosomiasis in co-infected individuals (Koukounari et al. 2010; Gouvras et al. 2013). To date there has been no such morbidity surveys performed related to introgressed schistosomes within the S. haematobium group. Any such differential morbidity in hybrid infections may have major implications for current methods of monitoring and evaluation of human morbidity levels and control programme efficacy.

Hybrid vigour is also a potential issue for successful disease control. As it has already been observed for hybrids between Leishmania major and Leishmania infantum, with hybrids having enhanced transmission potential and fitness (Volf et al. 2007), schistosome hybrids may exhibit heterosis. Laboratory experiments have shown that F1 and F2 hybrids between S. haematobium and S. guineensis exhibited greater infectivity for snail intermediate hosts and for hamsters, as well as an increased longevity, growth rate and reproductive potential (i.e. females produced more eggs and larger numbers of eggs were passed in hamster feces relative to single-species infections) (Southgate et al. 1976; Wright and Southgate, 1976; Webster and Southgate, 2003a). Similar results were observed by Wright and Ross (1980) and Taylor (1970) on F1 hybrids between S. haematobium males with S. mattheei females showing increased infectivity for snails and hamsters experimentally. Work has also been done on hybrid vigour in term of extended intermediate host range. Due to the potential inheritance of a snail infectivity factor by hybrid schistosomes, Schistosoma hybrids might be predicted to be able to break down the host specificity barrier and develop in both the intermediate snail hosts of the parental species, as it has already been observed. For example, Huyse et al. (2013) identified S. haematobium with S. bovis hybrids within both B. globosus and B. truncatus which are the intermediate snail hosts of S. haematobium and S. bovis respectively. In other experimental studies, hybrids of S. haematobium and S. guineensis were found to be able to infect both B. forskali and B. truncatus (Southgate et al. 1976; Wright and Southgate, 1976; Wright and Ross, 1980; Webster and Southgate, 2003a), but also B. globosus and B. wrighti (Mutani et al. 1985). And finally, hybrids of S. haematobium and S. mattheei have been shown to be able to develop in both B. globosus and B. forskali (Wright, 1974).

The excretory route of certain Schistosoma hybrids may also have substantial implications for their control. Hybrids between S. haematobium and S. guineensis are, for instance, predominantly passed with the host urine and not the feces, akin to pure S. haematobium. In humans, prevention of environmental contamination from urine might be harder to achieve relative to that from stool, and least in terms of human behavioural practices, and this could be of some importance in term of transmission where some level of local sanitation has been achieved (Southgate et al. 1976).

Finally, in Cameroon it has been suggested that hybridization between S. haematobium and S. guineensis has caused disease outbreaks and that, rapidly after the establishment of S. haematobium, S. guineensis had been replaced by the hybrid and S. haematobium; S. haematobium and the hybrids offspring being more competitive than S. guineensis (Wright et al. 1974; Southgate et al. 1976, 1982; Southgate, 1978; Tchuen Tchuenté et al. 1997b; Morand et al. 2002; Cosgrove and Southgate, 2003a; Webster and Southgate, 2003b). Other studies have also observed competitive exclusion of one species by the other, S. mansoni males being more competitive than S. intercalatum and S. guineensis males at pairing with their respective females (Tchuen Tchuenté et al. 1993, 1995, 1996; Cosgrove and Southgate, 2003b), S. haematobium being more competitive than S. mansoni males (Webster et al. 1999; Cunin et al. 2003; Koukounari et al. 2010; Gouvras et al. 2013) or than S. mattheei males (Southgate et al. 1995), and S. rodhaini males over S. mansoni counterparts (Norton et al. 2008b).

Hybrids may therefore be predicted to outcompete current single species as these inter-specific interactions would affect parasite establishment, growth, maturation, reproductive success and drug sensitivity (Norton et al. 2008b; Webster et al. 2008).

Conclusions and perspectives

There is a gathering and convincing body of evidence for the natural hybridization between human and animal schistosome species. These raise a number of critical questions regarding evolution, epidemiology, health impact and ultimate control of schistosomiasis. The implications of hybrids in terms of human health remains unclear, but the emergence and spread of hybrid schistosomes, and in particular zoonotic hybrids, could prove problematic in terms of maintaining transmission in our current era of control/elimination, particularly if they can replace existing species and parasite strains, extend...
intermediate and definitive host ranges or present an increased infectivity and virulence. In term of future work, it is necessary to accurately identify these species. In particular, are the evolution and expansion of these hybrids a recent phenomenon, in response to new anthropogenic changes and pressures, or are they simply better detected now due to improvements in molecular diagnostics? This will allow us to understand the populations at risk and the transmission dynamics of infection with novel zoonotic hybrid schistosomes and will help to elucidate their role on host range, praziquan-
tel efficacy, host morbidity and hence ultimately transmission potential, with a view to informing control programmes. This is especially important in today’s era of ‘elimination of schistosomiasis as a public health problem’ implemented in the WHO roadmap (WHO, 2012) whereas schistosome zoonotic
hybrids have the potential to become a global disease (De Laval et al. 2014; Boissier et al. 2015; Moné et al. 2015; Berry et al. 2016). More generally, these research these questions could enhance our understanding of a wide spectrum of multi-host parasitic diseases of humans and animals, and in par-
ticular the role of hybridizations within major taxo-
nomic groups in our rapidly changing world.
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