

A RELATION BETWEEN LAPLACE AND HANKEL TRANSFORMS

by B. R. BHONSLE

(Received 3 October, 1960)

The Laplace transform of a function $f(t) \in L(0, \infty)$ is defined by the equation

$$\mathcal{L}\{f; p\} = \int_0^\infty e^{-pt}f(t) dt \quad (\Re(p) > 0), \tag{1}$$

and its Hankel transform of order ν is defined by the equation

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu\{f; \xi\} = \int_0^\infty tf(t)J_\nu(\xi t) dt \quad (\xi > 0). \tag{2}$$

The object of this note is to obtain a relation between the Laplace transform of $t^\mu f(t)$ and the Hankel transform of $f(t)$, when $\Re(\mu) > -1$. The result is stated in the form of a theorem which is then illustrated by an example.

THEOREM. *If f and $\mathcal{H}_\nu\{f; \xi\}$ belong to $L(0, \infty)$ and if $\Re(\mu) > -1$, $\Re(\mu + \nu) > -1$, $\Re(p) > 0$, then*

$$\mathcal{L}\{t^\mu f(t); p\} = \int_0^\infty k(p, \xi)\mathcal{H}_\nu\{f; \xi\} d\xi,$$

where

$$k(p, \xi) = \Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)\xi(p^2 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+1)}P_\mu^{-\nu}\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{(p^2 + \xi^2)}}\right).$$

Proof. Since $f \in L(0, \infty)$ we have, by the Hankel inversion theorem [2, p. 52], that

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty \xi \mathcal{H}_\nu\{f; \xi\} J_\nu(t\xi) d\xi.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{L}\{t^\mu f(t)\} = \int_0^\infty \xi \mathcal{H}_\nu\{f; \xi\} \mathcal{L}\{t^\mu J_\nu(t\xi)\} d\xi;$$

the change of order of integration is justified because $e^{-pt}t^\mu \in L(0, \infty)$ if $\Re(\mu) > -1$, $\Re(p) > 0$ and $\mathcal{H}_\nu\{f, \xi\} \in L(0, \infty)$, $J_\nu(t\xi)$ being a bounded function of both the variables. The theorem then follows from the fact that

$$\mathcal{L}\{t^\mu J_\nu(t\xi); p\} = \Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)(p^2 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+1)}P_\mu^{-\nu}\left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{(p^2 + \xi^2)}}\right) \tag{3}$$

[2, p. 182].

As an example of the use of this result, let $f(t) = t^{m-1}e^{-\alpha t}$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}\{t^\mu f(t); p\} = (p + \alpha)^{-m-\mu} \Gamma(m + \mu)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu\{f; \xi\} = \int_0^\infty t^m e^{-\alpha t} J_\nu(t\xi) dt = \mathcal{L}\{t^m J_\nu(t\xi); \alpha\}.$$

This last integral can be evaluated by using (3). Substituting these expressions in the theorem we obtain the result

$$\int_0^\infty \xi (p^2 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu+1)} (\alpha^2 + \xi^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(m+1)} P_\mu^{-\nu} \left(\frac{p}{\sqrt{(p^2 + \xi^2)}} \right) P_m^{-\nu} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{(\alpha^2 + \xi^2)}} \right) d\xi = \frac{\Gamma(m + \mu)(p + \alpha)^{-m-\mu}}{\Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)\Gamma(m + \nu + 1)},$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\Re(p) > 0$, $\Re(\mu) > -\frac{1}{2}$, $\Re(m + \mu) > 0$, $\Re(\mu + \nu) > -1$, $\Re(m + \nu) > -1$.

My thanks are due to the late Dr N. G. Shabde for his help and guidance during the preparation of this note, and also to the referee for kindly rewriting this note in the present form.

REFERENCES

1. I. N. Sneddon, *Fourier transforms* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1951).
2. A. Erdélyi, editor, *Tables of integral transforms* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954), vol. 1.

GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY
RAIPUR (M.P.), INDIA