## Editorial Policy & Guidelines for Contributors

The European Constitutional Law Review (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of European states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

## Submitting an article, case note or book review

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Manuscripts should be sent in Microsoft Word format (and not, specifically, in PDF format) to enable editing, anonymisation and comments. EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. Authors are required to state clearly, when submitting, that their contribution is not under consideration elsewhere.

Articles and case notes can be sent by email to euconst@uva.nl. Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

Book reviews can be sent to our book review editors Nik de Boer and Vestert Borger at euconst-books@uva.nl. Book reviews should not exceed 5,000 words. For more information on the EuConst book review section, see <cambridge.org/euconst> under Information – Book review info.

All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker with a background in law. Accepted contributions will be edited, linguistically and substantively, subject to authors' approval. Authors should ensure that their submissions conform to the house style. A style sheet is available on the journal website, <cambridge.org/euconst> under Information – Instructions for contributors.

#### Special sections

EuConst is happy to host a special section of articles stemming from a conference or research project in one of its issues each year. We are especially interested in sets of articles that form a coherent whole of excellent research and fit well into the scope of our journal. A call for proposals is issued each year and one proposal selected. Please see our journal homepage <cambridge.org/euconst> for any active call for proposals.



The *European Constitutional Law Review* is edited at the G.K. van Hogendorp Centre for European Constitutional Studies, a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam.

# EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW 2021 Volume 17 Issue 2

## **CONTENTS**

Articles

Anthoula Malkopoulou – Greece: A Procedural Defence of Democracy against the Golden Dawn - 177

Conor Casey and Eoin Daly – Political Constitutionalism under a Culture of Legalism: Case Studies from Ireland – 202

Jeremy B. Bierbach – The 'Person of Northern Ireland': A Vestigial Form of EU Citizenship? – 232

Tim Wolff – True Believers? – Sincerity and Article 9 of the European Convention on Human Rights – 259

Nuno Garoupa, Marian Gili and Fernando Gómez Pomar – Mixed Judicial Selection and Constitutional Review: Evidence from Spain – 287

Niels Petersen and Konstantin Chatziathanasiou – Balancing Competences? Proportionality as an Instrument to Regulate the Exercise of Competences after the PSPP Judgment of the Bundesverfassungsgericht – 314

Case Note

Lucía Alonso Sanz – Deconstructing *Hirsi*: The Return of Hot Returns ECtHR 13 February 2020, Nos. 8675/15 and 8697/15, *ND* and *NT* v *Spain* – *335* 

Book Review Essay

Susanne K. Schmidt – Just Hitting the Nail or Also the Thumb? The Court's Deference to Member States – 353

For further information about this journal please go to the journal website at:







