# ON CHUNG'S STRONG LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS IN GENERAL BANACH SPACES 

Bong Dae Chol and Soo Hak Sung

Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ be a sequence of independent Banach valued random variables and $\left\{a_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ a sequence of real numbers such that $0<a_{n} \uparrow \infty$. It is shown that, under the assumption $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E \phi\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\|\right) / \phi\left(a_{n}\right)<\infty$ with some restrictions on $\phi, S_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. if and only if $S_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability if and only if $S_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}$. From this result several known strong laws of large numbers in Banach spaces are easily derived.

## 1. Introduction

Let $(B,\| \|)$ be a real separable Banach space. The laws of large numbers for Banach-valued random variables have been studied by many authors ([1], [3], [4], [5], [8]). Hoffmann-Jorgensen and Pisier [3] and Korzeniowski [4] have investigated the geometric structure on the Banach space for which an analogue of the strong laws of large numbers (SLLN) holds true. de Acosta [1] and Kuelbs and Zinn [5] have shown that many classical SLLN hold for random variables taking values in a general Banach space under the assumption that the weak law of large numbers (WLLN) holds.

In this paper, we apply several inequalities (maximal inequality [2] and de Acosta inequality [1]) to obtain Chung's SLLN in a general Banach space under the assumption that WLLN holds. From this result several known SLLN in Banach spaces are easily obtained.

## 2. Main result

To prove the main theorem we will need the following several lemmas. The following lemma is a generalisation of a classical result (Stout [7], P. 127-128), but its proof is standard and is omitted.

Lemma 1. Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ be a sequence of random variables stochastically dominated by $X$ with $E|X|^{r}<\infty$ for $0<r<\infty$; that is, $P\left(\left|X_{n}\right| \geqslant t\right) \leqslant P(|X| \geqslant t)$, for $t \geqslant 0$. Then
(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\beta / r}} E\left|X_{n}\right|^{\beta} I\left(\left|X_{n}\right| \leqslant n^{1 / r}\right)<\infty$ for $0<r<\beta$
(ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha / r}} E\left|X_{n}\right|^{\alpha} I\left(\left|X_{n}\right|>n^{1 / r}\right)<\infty$ for $0<\alpha<r$.

Recently Etemadi [2] proved the following maximal inequality which holds for $B$ valued random variables.
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Lemma 2. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be independent random variables. Let $S_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} X_{j}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and $t>0$. Then

$$
P\left(\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left|S_{i}\right|>t\right) \leqslant 4 \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} P\left(\left|S_{i}\right|>t / 4\right) .
$$

The following lemma plays an essential role in our main Theorem.
Lemma 3. (de Acosta [1]). Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be independent $B$-valued random variables with $E\left\|X_{i}\right\|^{r}<\infty$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and $1 \leqslant r \leqslant 2$. Then

$$
E \mid\left\|S_{n}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{r} \leqslant C_{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}\right\|^{r},
$$

where $C_{r}$ is a positive constant depending only on $r$; if $r=2$ then it is possible to take $C_{2}=4$.

Let $\phi$ be a positive, even and continuous function on R such that as $|x|$ increases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(x)}{x} \uparrow \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\phi(x)}{x^{2}} \downarrow \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ be a sequence of independent $B$-valued random variables and $\left\{a_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ constants such that $0<a_{n} \uparrow \infty$. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E \phi\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\|\right)}{\phi\left(a_{n}\right)}<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $E\left\|S_{n}\right\| / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$;
(ii) $S_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ a.s.;
(iii) $S_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability.

Proof: (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). Let $X_{n}^{\prime}=X_{n} I\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\| \leqslant a_{n}\right), X_{n}^{\prime \prime}=X_{n} I\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\|>a_{n}\right), S_{n}^{\prime}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\phi$ is increasing, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left(\left\|X_{i}\right\|>a_{i}\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P\left(\phi\left(\left\|X_{i}\right\|\right)>\phi\left(a_{i}\right)\right) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E \phi\left(\left\|X_{i}\right\|\right)}{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty
$$

Thus it follows by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that $S_{n}^{\prime \prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. The proof will be completed by showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first hypothesis in (1), we have

$$
\frac{|x|}{a_{i}} \leqslant \frac{\phi(|x|)}{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)} \quad \text { for }|x|>a_{i} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|}{a_{i}} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E \phi\left(\left\|X_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right)}{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty .
$$

Thus $E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\| / a_{n} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\| / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ by the Kronecker lemma. From this result and (i), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\| / a_{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (3), for $k \geqslant 0$ we define $m_{k}=\inf \left\{n, a_{n} \geqslant 2^{k}\right\}$. First we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}}{a_{m_{k}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4), it is enough to show that $\left(\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|\right) / a_{m_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. From Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k=0, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} P\left(\left|\frac{\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}\right|>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{\substack{k=0, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}} E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{\substack{k=0, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{k}} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left(\sum_{\substack{\left\{k: m_{k} \geqslant i, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}\right\}}}^{\infty} 1 /\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{k=0, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}^{\infty}$ means that the summation is taken over all k such that $m_{k} \neq$ $m_{k+1}$. Now we estimate $\sum_{\left\{k: m_{k} \geqslant i_{1} m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}\right\}} 1 /\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}$. Let $k_{0}=\min \left\{k: m_{k} \geqslant\right.$
$\left.i, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}\right\}$. Then $m_{k_{0}} \geqslant i, m_{k_{0}+1}>m_{k_{0}}$ and $a_{m_{k_{0}}}<2^{k_{0}+1}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{\left\{k: m_{k} \geqslant i, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}\right\}}} 1 /\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2} \leqslant \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(2^{k}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{1-(1 / 2)^{2}} \frac{1}{\left(2^{k_{0}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{\left(2^{k_{0}+1}\right)^{2}} \\
& \quad<\frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{\left(a_{m_{k_{0}}}\right)^{2}} \leqslant \frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{a_{i}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k=0, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} P\left(\left.\| \frac{\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}} \right\rvert\,>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{16}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{a_{i}^{2}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{16}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E \phi\left(\left\|X_{i}\right\|\right)}{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\left(\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|\right) / a_{m_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. By observing that

$$
\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{n}} \leqslant \frac{\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}+\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}
$$

we will obtain $S_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. if we show that
(6)

$$
\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. }
$$

First we observe that $\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\| / a_{m_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ and hence we have that
$\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\| / a_{m_{k}} \leqslant \varepsilon / 8$ for $k \geqslant k_{1}$, because we have by (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}} & \leqslant \frac{E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}+\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}+\frac{1}{2^{k}} \max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{a_{n} E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{n}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}+\frac{a_{m_{k+1}-1}}{2^{k}} \max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{n}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{E\left\|S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}+2 \max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{n}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{k=k_{1}, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} P\left(\max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} \frac{\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \leqslant 4 \sum_{\substack{k=k_{1}, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} \max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} P\left(\frac{\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{m_{k}}}>\frac{\varepsilon}{4}\right) \\
& \leqslant 4 \sum_{\substack{k=k_{1}, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} \max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} p\left(\frac{\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\| \mid}{a_{m_{k}}}>\frac{\varepsilon}{8}\right) \\
& \left.\leqslant 4 \frac{8^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{\substack{k=k_{1}, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}} \max _{m_{k} \leqslant n<m_{k+1}} E \right\rvert\,\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}-S_{m_{k}}^{\prime}\right\| \|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{4^{2} 8^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{\substack{k=0, m_{k} \neq m_{k+1}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{k+1}-1} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{4^{2} 8^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left(\sum_{\left\{k: m_{k+1}-1 \geqslant i,\right.} 1 /\left(a_{m_{k}}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \left.\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \neq \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{k}+1}\right\} \\
& <\frac{4^{2} 8^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{16}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{a_{i}^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{4^{2} 8^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \frac{16}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E \phi\left(\left\|X_{i}\right\|\right)}{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the desired result (6) follows by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma. The implication (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) is obvious. Now we show that (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Assume $S_{n} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability. From the proof of $(\mathrm{i}) \Longrightarrow$ (ii), we have $E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\| / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $S_{n}^{\prime \prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. Hence we obtain $S_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability. Thus it is enough to show that $E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\| / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 3,

$$
E\left(\frac{\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|}{a_{n}}\right)^{2} \leqslant \frac{4}{a_{n}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

since

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}}{a_{i}^{2}} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{E \phi\left(\left\|X_{i}\right\|\right)}{\phi\left(a_{i}\right)}<\infty
$$

Hence $\left(\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|\right) / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability. Recalling that, $S_{n}^{\prime} / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in probability we have $E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\| / a_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 5. ([1], [5]). Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ be a sequence of independent $B$ valued random variables such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left\|X_{n}\right\|^{\alpha} / n^{\alpha}<\infty$ for some $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 2$. Then

$$
S_{n} / n \rightarrow 0 \text { in probability if and only if } S_{n} / n \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. if and only if } S_{n} / n \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L^{1} .
$$

Proof: It is clear that $\phi(x)=x^{\alpha}$ satisfies the condition (1).
Corollary 6. (Marcinkiewicz SLLN). ([4]). Let $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. $B$-valued random variables with $E\left\|X_{1}\right\|^{r}<\infty$ for $1 \leqslant r<2$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ a.s.;
(ii) $S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ in probability;
(iii) $S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}$;
(iv) $S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{r}$.

Proof: Let $X_{n}^{\prime}=X_{n} I\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\| \leqslant n^{1 / r}\right), \quad X_{n}^{\prime \prime}=X_{n} I\left(\left\|X_{n}\right\|>n^{1 / r}\right), \quad$ and $S_{n}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{\prime}$ and $S_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. First we show that (i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iii). Since $E\left\|X_{1}\right\|^{r}<\infty$, we have $S_{n}^{\prime \prime} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. and $S_{n}^{\prime \prime} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}$. Hence it is enough to show that $S_{n}^{\prime} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. if and only if $S_{n}^{\prime} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ in probability if and ouly if $S_{n}^{\prime} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left\|X_{n}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} / n^{2 / r}<\infty$ by Lemma 1 , these equivalences are seen by applying Theorem 4 to $\left(X_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\phi(x)=x^{2}$. Now we show that (iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv). Since the implication (iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) is obvious, it remains to show that(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv). Assume $S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}$.

$$
E\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{r} \leqslant 2^{r-1} E\left|\left\|S_{n}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}\right\|\right|^{r}+2^{r-1}\left(E\left\|S_{n}\right\|\right)^{r} .
$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} E\left|\left\|S_{n}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}\right\|\right|^{r} \rightarrow 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|\left\|S_{n}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}\right\|\right|^{r}=E\left|\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}+S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}+S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right|^{r} \\
& \leqslant E\left(\left|\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|\right|+\left|\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right|+2 E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right)^{r} \\
& \leqslant 2^{2 r-2} E\left|\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|\right|^{r}+2^{2 r-2} E\left|\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right|^{r}+2^{2 r-1}\left(E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right)^{r} \\
& \leqslant 2^{2 r-2}\left(E\left|\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|-E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime}\right\|\right|^{2}\right)^{r / 2}+2^{2 r-2} E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|-\left.E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right|^{r}+2^{2 r-1}\left(E\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right)^{r} \\
& \leqslant 2^{3 r-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)^{r / 2}+2^{2 r-2} C_{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{r}+2^{2 r-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right)^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

By a standard calculation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} / n^{2 / r} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{r} / n \rightarrow 0 \text { and } \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\| / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the proof is completed.
Remark: For i.i.d. real valued random variables, Pyke and Root [6] have shown that

$$
E\left|X_{1}\right|^{r}<\infty \Longleftrightarrow S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \Longleftrightarrow S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L^{r}
$$

Recall that the Banach space $(B,\| \|)$ is of type $p$ if there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
E\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}\right\|^{p} \leqslant C \sum_{k=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{k}\right\|^{p}
$$

for all independent $B$-valued random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ with mean zero and finite $p$ th moments; ([3], [8]).

Corollary 7. ([10]). If the Banach space $B$ is of type $p$ for $1<p \leqslant 2$ and $\left\{X_{n}, n \geqslant 1\right\}$ are independent $B$-valued random variables with $E X_{n}=0$ for $n=$ $1,2, \ldots$ and $E\left\|X_{n}\right\|^{p} \leqslant \Gamma$ for some constant $\Gamma$, then

$$
S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0 \text { a.s. } \quad \text { for } 1<r<p
$$

Proof: To apply Theorem 4, we will show that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left\|X_{n}\right\|^{p} /\left(n^{1 / r}\right)^{p}<\infty \text { and } S_{n} / n^{1 / r} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L^{1}
$$

The first one is obvious. The second one is true by the following fact:

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\|\frac{S_{n}}{n^{1 / r}}\right\| & \leqslant \frac{1}{n^{1 / r}}\left(E\left\|S_{n}\right\|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant \frac{C^{1 / p}}{n^{1 / r}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left\|X_{i}\right\|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant \frac{C^{1 / p} \Gamma^{1 / p} n_{n^{1 / p}}}{n^{1 / r}} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$
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