# Strongyloides ratti and S. venezuelensis – rodent models of Strongyloides infection

# MARK VINEY<sup>1</sup>\* and TAISEI KIKUCHI<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TQ, UK
<sup>2</sup> Division of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Miyazaki, Miyazaki, Japan

(Received 3 November 2015; revised 18 December 2015; accepted 23 December 2015; first published online 3 March 2016)

#### SUMMARY

Strongyloides spp. are common parasites of vertebrates and two species, S. ratti and S. venezuelensis, parasitize rats; there are no known species that naturally infect mice. Strongyloides ratti and S. venezuelensis overlap in their geographical range and in these regions co-infections appear to be common. These species have been widely used as tractable laboratory systems in rats as well as mice. The core biology of these two species is similar, but there are clear differences in aspects of their within-host biology as well as in their free-living generation. Phylogenetic evidence suggests that S. ratti and S. venezuelensis are the result of two independent evolutionary transitions to parasitism of rats, which therefore presents an ideal opportunity to begin to investigate the basis of host specificity in Strongyloides spp.

Key words: Strongyloides, rats, rodent.

# INTRODUCTION

The genus *Strongyloides* contains some 60 species that infect a wide range of mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians. Two species, *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis*, parasitize brown rats (*Rattus norvegicus*) and, largely for this reason, have been domesticated for laboratory study in rodent hosts. No species of *Strongyloides* has been described from any species of mouse, despite the extensive parasitological interest directed at such hosts. Both *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis* can experimentally infect laboratory mice (*Mus musculus domesticus*), though not as easily compared with their infection of rats (Dawkins *et al.* 1983; Sato and Toma, 1990; Amarante and Oliveira-Sequeira, 2002).

#### NATURAL HISTORY

Strongyloides ratti was first described from rats caught on a Baltimore (USA) rubbish dump (Sandground, 1925) though earlier work also reported Strongyloides sp. from R. norvegicus in Italy (reported in Sandground, 1925). Strongyloides ratti appears to be widespread (if not ubiquitous) around the world, occurring wherever rats are present. All S. ratti infections to date have been recorded from R. norvegicus rather than other Rattus spp., but this finding, at least in part, likely reflects sampling bias.

Strongyloides venezuelensis was first described from rats in Venezuela (Brumpt, 1934). This

description was brief and incomplete, so the species was then redescribed from R. norvegicus in the USA, this time including a description of the free-living generation (Little, 1966). Strongyloides venezuelensis is widely distributed in warm regions of the world, and in addition to its original descriptions it has been reported from Israel, Brazil and Japan (Wertheim and Lengy, 1964; Araujo, 1967; Hasegawa et al. 1988). All of the locations from which it has been reported are between the 35° north and south parallels, suggesting that it requires a warm climate for development in the external environment (Hasegawa et al. 1988). All the reports of S. venezuelensis are from brown rats (R. norvegicus). Strongyloides ratti and S. venezuelensis therefore infect the same host species though S. venezuelensis has a more limited climatic range compared with S. ratti.

There is little information on the prevalence or intensity of wild infections since most reports of infection are anecdotal. For S. ratti the original species description reported a prevalence of about 60% (Sandground, 1925). One study of wild rats in the UK found that the prevalence was 62% (sample size 111) (Fisher and Viney, 1998). These latter infections were diagnosed by faecal culture, which is a more sensitive diagnostic method compared with identification of eggs or larvae in faeces. These data also showed that there was a range of infection intensities, probably reflecting the wellknown overdispersed distribution of macroparasites. For S. venezuelensis the prevalence can be similarly high, reported as 71 and 100% in 14 and 8 rats in New Orleans and Japan, respectively (Little, 1966; Kikuchi, unpublished observations), though at 25%

*Parasitology* (2017), **144**, 285–294. © Cambridge University Press 2016. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. doi:10.1017/S0031182016000020



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TQ, UK. E-mail: Mark.Viney@bristol.ac.uk

among 431 rats in Israel (Wertheim and Lengy, 1964).

Strongyloides ratti and S. venezuelensis naturally co-infect hosts (Little, 1966; Wertheim, 1970*a*; Hasegawa *et al.* 1988); for example, in wild rats in Israel co-infection was common with an overall Strongyloides prevalence of 36%, but among the infected rats 45% of infections were S. ratti and S. venezuelensis co-infections (Wertheim and Lengy, 1964). In experimental co-infections, there are synergistic effects between the two species such that the infection success of each species is greater in co-infections compared with in single-species infections (Wertheim, 1970b). The intestinal position (both longitudinal and radial) of each species did not differ between co-infections and singlespecies infections (Wertheim, 1970b).

## COMPARATIVE MORPHOLOGY

The morphology of S. ratti and S. venezuelensis are similar, but there are a few key characters that differentiate them. The principal morphological difference between them is in the arrangement of the ovaries and intestine in the parasitic females: in S. ratti the ovaries lie parallel to the intestine, whereas in S. venezuelensis they are intertwined (Little, 1966). In addition to this feature, the shape of the parasitic female stoma and the stage passed in the faeces of the host also differentiates the species. The stoma of the parasitic female of S. venezuelensis is 'ornate', whereas for S. ratti it is 'badgeshaped'; for the stage passed in faeces, for S. ratti this is a mixture of eggs and larvae whereas for S. venezuelensis only early stage eggs are passed (Little, 1966). (To observe this latter character, fresh faeces must be examined because eggs rapidly develop and hatch once passed from a host.) The distance from the cephalic apex to the nerve ring and to the excretory pore is also reported to differentiate these species (Hasegawa et al. 1988). In summary, there are a number of characters of the parasitic female that can easily distinguish these species. Importantly though, there is no reliable way to distinguish the free-living stages of these species (Little, 1966). The conditions in which the free-living stages are grown and the within-host conditions can affect the morphology of the free-living and parasitic generation of Strongyloides spp., respectively (Speare, 1989), and this has been shown specifically for the infective third stage larvae (iL3s) of S. venezuelensis (Islam et al. 1999).

In addition to these morphological differences, the species have different karyotypes (S. ratti 2n = 6, S. venezuelensis 2n = 4), and a molecular phylogeny of Strongyloides show that they belong to two distinct groups (Hino *et al.* 2014; Hunt *et al.* 2016). In one group are S. ratti and the parasite of humans S. stercoralis, and the other S. venezuelensis,

S. papillosus and S. fuelleborni (a parasite of humans and other primates). Together this suggests that Strongyloides has independently evolved parasitism of rats twice (Hino et al. 2014; Hunt et al. 2016).

# HOST INFECTION AND WITHIN-HOST MIGRATION

Strongyloides ratti and S. venezuelensis, as with all Strongyloides spp., infect hosts by their iL3s penetrating host skin. Experimental S. ratti infections where the iL3s were applied to the skin and left to penetrate naturally showed that most larvae (70%) penetrate the skin within 5 min (Tindall and Wilson, 1988). For S. venezuelensis skin penetration is completed 10–20 min after exposure (Wertheim, 1970a).

The within-host migration route of *S. ratti* has been studied in detail, which has rigorously demonstrated that larval migration *via* the naso-frontal part of the head is at least one route to the host gut (Tindall and Wilson, 1988). For *S. venezuelensis* after skin penetration larvae are found throughout the body, in the skin, muscles and lung, which has suggested that these sites are all part of the route of migration to the gut (Takamure, 1995). Almost no larvae were found in the head region, suggesting that *S. venezuelensis* has a different within-host migration route compared with *S. ratti*.

Both *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis* can be transmitted transmammarily (Nolan and Katz, 1981; Wilson and Simpson, 1981; Kawanabe *et al.* 1988). The window of opportunity for transmammary transmission of *S. venezuelensis* appears to be small, occurring when a mother becomes infected shortly after giving birth (Nolan and Katz, 1981). For *S. ratti*, considerable evidence has been presented supporting the idea that migrating larvae are actively diverted to the mammary glands when a mother is suckling (Wilson and Simpson, 1981).

# LABORATORY MAINTENANCE

Both *S. venezuelensis* and *S. ratti* are easy to maintain in the laboratory. Rats can be infected by a subcutaneous injection of iL3s, and the infections are then patent from 4 to 5 days post infection (dpi) (Takamure, 1995). If desired, a more natural style infection can be used where iL3s are applied to the animal's skin. This is most usually done by shaving a small patch of skin of an anaesthetized rat before applying the larvae to the skin (Tindall and Wilson, 1988).

Strongyloides ratti and S. venezuelensis have different efficiencies at infecting the host and/or different effects on the host. For S. ratti, 500 iL3s are typically used to initiate an infection in a rat and this has no overt clinical effect; a dose of c. 5000 iL3s would be the typical maximum dose that could be tolerated by a rat. This, though, does vary depending on the source of S. ratti; for example, a recent wild isolate of S. ratti was severely pathological to rats at a dose of 500 iL3s (Hunt and Viney, unpublished observations). In contrast, with S. venezuelensis doses of up to 30 000 iL3s are used and this does not appear to be overtly harmful to the rats (Taira et al. 1995). Although there is limited information, it would appear that S. venezuelensis and S. ratti infect with similar efficiencies. For single iL3 infections of S. ratti approximately two-thirds of infections become patent (Viney, unpublished observations), whereas for S. venezuelensis about half do (Kikuchi, unpublished observations). Extrapolating from this further suggests that the very large doses of S. venezuelensis iL3s given to rats results in similarly large intensities of infection, therefore suggesting that S. venezuelensis is less pathogenic to rats than S. ratti.

Once animals are infected, then faeces can be collected from them and this used as the source for growing the free-living generation. For S. ratti and S. venezuelensis this can be simply done by maintaining the faeces damp and at an ambient, or slightly elevated, temperature. The free-living stages then grow in the faeces and infective larvae are seen, typically migrating away from the faecal mass. This development is swift. For S. ratti, in faecal cultures maintained at 19 °C, free-living males and females are present after 2 days of incubation, and their iL3 progeny are present the next day. For S. venezuelensis, free-living males and females are rarely observed in the laboratory cultures maintained at 25 °C. This means that only directly developing iL3s are produced in cultures, with these larvae being present after 2 days. More detailed methods are available in (Viney and Lok, 2015).

Multiple strains of laboratory rats appear to be similarly susceptible to *S. venezuelensis*, though the age and sex of Wistar rats does affect the dynamics of infection, such that female rats become more resistant to infection as they age, whereas male rats become more susceptible to infection with age (Takamure, 1995; Baek *et al.* 1998; Rivero *et al.* 2002; Marra *et al.* 2011). Laboratory mice have been also been widely used to study *S. venezuelensis* infections, and mouse strains differ substantially in their susceptibility to infection. For example, C57BL/6 mice are highly susceptible to *S. venezuelensis* infection, while BALB/c, C3H/He and NIH are more resistant (Sato and Toma, 1990; Amarante and Oliveira-Sequeira, 2002).

Other host species that are susceptible to S. venezuelensis are Mongolian gerbils Meriones unguiculatus (Horii et al. 1993; Tsuji et al. 1993; Baek et al. 2002), Syrian golden hamsters Mesocricetus auratus (Shi et al. 1994), Indian soft-furred rats, Millardia meltada (Tiuria et al. 1995) and cotton rats, Sigmodon hispidus (Attamimi et al. 2002).

Because parasitic females reproduce by mitotic parthenogenesis (shown formally for S. ratti, but presumed to be true for S. venezuelensis too; Viney, 1994), isofemale lines (i.e. lines derived from a single parasitic female) can be made. This is done by injecting a single iL3s into a rat and searching for progeny in faeces (Graham, 1938a). All three life-cycle morphs (free-living males, free-living females and directly developing iL3s) can develop from a single parasitic female, and so an isofemale line can be established from a single, diploid Strongyloides genome. If an isofemale line is maintained by passage of directly developing iL3s (and thus without the sexual reproduction of the freeliving adults), then this will genetically maintain the original diploid genome of the foundress parasitic female. If the isofemale line is maintained via freeliving adults, then their sexual reproduction will lead to loci becoming homozygous.

Laboratory maintenance of any parasite can significantly affect important life-history traits (Viney, 2013). In *S. ratti*, this has been studied explicitly by maintaining infections for up to 50 generations derived from either iL3s that developed early in infection or from those that developed late in infection (Paterson and Barber, 2007). This selection resulted in differences in the fecundity of the lines, particularly how their fecundity changed because of density-dependent effects over the course of an infection (Paterson and Barber, 2007).

#### THE BIOLOGY OF THE PARASITIC FEMALES

The parasitic females of both species live in the rat small intestine. In laboratory S. ratti infections, parasitic females are concentrated in the c. 30 cm of the intestine distal to the rat's stomach (Mogbel and Denham, 1977; Korenaga et al. 1983; Kimura et al. 1999; Wilkes et al. 2004). Strongyloides venezuelensis has a somewhat more anterior distribution, being concentrated in the proximal most 15 cm of the gut, with over half of worms in the first 5 cm distal to the pylorus (Wertheim, 1970b; Hasegawa et al. 1988). However, these positions are dynamic. For S. ratti, as the infection progresses and an anti-S. ratti immune response develops, the parasitic females become more spread out (though maintaining the same anterior extent) along the intestine. Later still in an infection some parasitic females move to the caecum and colon where they continue to reproduce (Kimura et al. 1999). Presumably this change in position in the gut is a way for the worms to continue to maximize their fitness as the host immune response makes their current niche less desirable; for example, this distal move may be a way to escape from a localized mucosal immune response. This extreme distal migration of parasitic females was seen in very highintensity laboratory infections, so whether such

phenomena occur in natural low-intensity infections is not known (Kimura *et al.* 1999). Of note, *S. venezuelensis* will establish in the ileum if it is directly implanted there (Maruyama *et al.* 2002).

Strongyloides spp. is a tissue-dwelling, rather than luminal-dwelling, parasite. Strongyloides ratti is found in the intestinal mucosa epithelium, though there are some reports of it in the crypts of Lieberkühn (Dawkins et al. 1983; Hasegawa et al. 1988). Studies of S. ratti in mice found that a single parasitic female was mainly in the epithelium across up to four villi (with occasionally some portions of the body protruding into the lumen), and sometimes close to the villus crypts (Dawkins et al. 1983). Strongyloides venezuelensis is also found in the luminal surface of the intestinal mucosa (Araujo, 1967; Wertheim, 1970b). For both species, the parasitic females appear to continuously migrate through intestinal tissue, such that histological descriptions of their precise position within and between villi should perhaps be treated with some caution. (Also, given that the host immune status also affects the longitudinal positioning of S. ratti in the intestine, parasitic females' radial position may similarly be affected by these factors.) However, the consequence of this intra-mucosal migration is that the worms build a mucosal tunnel among the mucosal cells, along which the worms migrate, laying clumps of eggs as they go (Dawkins et al. 1983; Maruyama et al. 2000). A vacuole often appears to form around the worms, being delimited by a continuous host cell membrane, suggesting that the worm tunnels are fluid-filled (Dawkins et al. 1983).

The biology of these tunnels is rather unexplored (but fascinating). It is likely that the worms are feeding on host tissue as they migrate, and so the tunnel is the result of them excavating their food. Indeed, the worm's head appears to be in close contact with epithelial cells (Dawkins *et al.* 1983). Because the host mucosa continually turns over (and this is perhaps speeded by the *Strongyloides*' burrows), when the mucosa sloughs off and is passed out of the host, *Strongyloides* eggs and larvae pass out of the host too.

In vitro studies with S. venezuelensis have shown that parasitic females release copious amounts of a sticky product from their mouths (produced from oesophageal glands) with which they adhere to laboratory plastic ware (Maruyama and Nawa, 1997; Maruyama et al. 2003); it seems likely that the biology of S. ratti will be similar in this respect. These products were glycosylated, were distinct from rat mucins, and were recognized by S. venezuelensis-infected rat sera (Maruyama and Nawa, 1997). In vivo these secretions presumably fill the tunnel ahead of the migrating worms and line the tunnel walls (as shown by antibodies against these products staining the tunnel lining; Maruyama et al. 2003).

Genomic and proteomic analyses of S. ratti suggest that it secretes large quantities of proteases and other gene products, which presumably contribute to these secretions (Hunt et al. 2016). Direct proteomic analysis of the S. venezuelensis products shows that trypsin inhibitor like (TIL) domain containing proteins, as well as some proteases, are likely to be the main components of these products (Kikuchi, unpublished observations). These TIL domain containing proteins appeared to be highly O-glycosylated, which probably contributes to the stickiness of these secretions. Again, it seems likely that these secretions (combined with the worms' forward movement) is what is excavating the mucosal tunnels (Maruyama et al. 2003; Hunt et al. 2016). But further, the concentration of these worm-derived molecules in these tunnels may be creating a physiological niche for the parasite, one that is distinct from the surrounding host mucosa.

#### THE EFFECTS OF THE HOST IMMUNE RESPONSE

The immunobiology of *Strongyloides* is being considered elsewhere in this volume, so here we address the biological effect of the host immune response on *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis*.

Laboratory infections of rats with S. ratti and S. venezuelensis provoke an immune response so that infected rats expel most of the parasites by about 10–14 dpi.

After worms are expelled, rats are strongly immune to reinfection, seen as diminished worm burdens, decreased egg output and rapid expulsion of a challenge infection (Sato and Toma, 1990; Korenaga et al. 1991; Wilkes et al. 2007). In common with most helminths (Finkelman et al. 1997), the rat anti-S. ratti immune response is a T-helper type 2 (Th2) response (Wilkes et al. 2007). But rat anti-S. ratti immune responses are parasite dose-dependent (Uchikawa et al. 1991). At low doses this is a T-helper type 1 (Th1) response which is ineffective against S. ratti, only becoming an effective Th2 response at higher parasite doses (Bleay et al. 2007). The magnitude of the Th2 response is also dose dependent (Bleay et al. 2007). Put simply, the dose of S. ratti administered to a rat affects the qualitative and quantitative nature of the host anti-S. ratti immune response.

Strongyloides ratti is also subject to immune-dependent density-dependent effects that affect the establishment, subsequent survival and fecundity of the parasitic females (Paterson and Viney, 2002). These phenomena have not been investigated for *S. venezuelensis*.

Parasitic females change their intestinal position (above), shrink and become less fecund in the presence of an anti-*S. ratti* immune response (Moqbel and Denham, 1977; Wilkes *et al.* 2004). These effects can be reversed by transplantation to naïve hosts or by host immunosuppression (Moqbel *et al.* 1980; Viney *et al.* 2006). Also, when *S. ratti* parasitic females move to an extreme distal position in the host gut, they appear to recover some of the immune-dependent reduction in their size and fecundity (Kimura *et al.* 1999). This phenomenon whereby parasitic nematodes shrink and lower their fecundity because of the host immune response is known from other nematode infections too (Stear *et al.* 1997). The niche of *S. ratti*, and presumably *S. venezuelensis* too, parasitic females are therefore dynamic, largely being driven by the host immune response.

There are also other effects of the host immune response that have been studied in *S. venezuelensis* (Moqbel *et al.* 1980). As parasitic females are exposed to the host immune response their intestinal tissue is damaged, and their gut lumen is often empty. The mouth and peri-oral region of the parasitic females become clogged with immunoglobulincontaining material (Moqbel *et al.* 1980). Together, these observations suggest that the host immune response progressively prevents the worms from feeding (and likely their ability to build tunnels in host tissue), which presumably underlies their reduced size and fecundity (Moqbel and McLaren, 1980).

Putting these observations together – the *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis* parasitic females burrow through the host mucosa, with this aided by the parasites' oral secretion of enzymes and other molecules, and that these molecules are immunogenic, and the host immunoglobulins bind these secretions blocking the mouth of the parasitic females. This interferes with the feeding of the parasite (both because the parasite-derived molecules are functionally neutralized by host immunoglobulin and because these immunoglobulin-containing complexes physically block the mouth of the parasitic females) with the result that the worms shrink, become less fecund, and also change their position in the host gut.

The immunological responses of wild rats naturally infected with S. ratti have not been investigated. However, it is likely that they are substantially different compared with those of laboratory rats, for the following reasons. In laboratory rats, S. ratti and S. venezuelensis infection generate an immune response that eliminates worms from rats and makes them strongly resistant to reinfection (Moqbel and Denham, 1977; Moqbel et al. 1980; Harvey et al. 2000). If such processes occurred in wild Strongyloides-infected rats, then S. ratti and S. venezuelensis would likely be rare infections, perhaps only existing as epidemics when sufficient Strongyloides-naïve rats were present in a population. In fact, both S. ratti and S. venezuelensis are common parasite of wild rats, therefore suggesting that sterile anti-Strongyloides immunity does not commonly develop in the wild. Wild animals do not appear to be generally immuno-impaired compared with their laboratory cousins (Abolins et al. 2011; Viney et al. 2015), suggesting that the apparently better survival of *S. ratti* in wild rats is either because most infections are very low intensities (thus not inducing a Th2 response) and/or that the anti-*S. ratti* immune responses in wild rats is different compared with that of laboratory rats. Of note, long-term experiments with *S. ratti* in which infections were established with single iL3s, showed that these infections lasted in excess of 6 months (Graham, 1938a, b, 1940a, b).

#### GENETIC VARIATION FOR INFECTION TRAITS

Comparison of different *S. ratti* isofemale lines has shown that they differ in their survival within the host and in their *per capita* fecundity, particularly as the host immune response develops (Paterson and Viney, 2003). This suggests that different isofemale lines are differently affected by the host immune response with respect to the life-history traits of survival and reproduction. These data also suggested that there may be a trade-off between the lines' survival and reproduction (Paterson and Viney, 2003), as is commonly seen among other taxa.

## BIOLOGY OF THE FREE-LIVING GENERATION

The free-living generation of *S. ratti* consists of a single adult generation (Yamada *et al.* 1991; Viney and Lok, 2015) that contains a developmental choice. Specifically, eggs passed from an infected host are genetically male or female, and female larvae can choose to develop directly into iL3s (ready to infect a new host) or they can develop into adult free-living females (the so-called indirect development) (Viney, 1996; Viney and Lok, 2015).

The free-living adult generation of S. venezuelensis is also known and was described at the species' second description (Little, 1966). However, in many S. venezuelensis isolates no free-living males are observed (Hino et al. 2014; A. Muro, personal communication), and therefore any free-living females present will not be fertilized, and so only directly developing iL3s will be produced. This phenomenon may be an artefact of long-term laboratory maintenance of S. venezuelensis, and/or variation among different wild sources. In support of the latter hypothesis even fresh, wild S. venezuelensis isolates have been found to not have any freeliving males, and free-living females only rarely (Hasegawa et al. 1988). Long-term laboratory maintenance of S. ratti has not resulted in a similar decline in the free-living adult generation. Isofemale lines of S. ratti that developed by mixed direct and indirect development have been

successfully artificially selected into separate lines where direct or indirect development dominated (Viney, 1996). There was a rapid response to this selection, which is consistent with the diversity of patterns of development seen among wild-derived isofemale lines.

In S. ratti the direct vs indirect female-only choice is affected by the worms' environment. A number of factors have been identified that affect this choice in S. ratti as well as in other Strongyloides spp. (Graham, 1939; Viney, 2002). In S. ratti, an interaction of the immune response of the host from which the developing eggs came and the temperature external to the host are key to control of the development of the free-living generation (Harvey et al. 2000). The host immune response promotes indirect development of free-living females, as does a higher external temperature. The interaction of these factors is seen as female eggs/developing larvae being more sensitive to temperature as the immune response of their host increases (Harvey et al. 2000). The sex ratio of the progeny of the parasitic females is also affected by the host immune response, with a more male-biased ratio occurring in the presence of a host immune response. Together the host immune response therefore promotes the development of the free-living adult generation. In laboratory infections the host immune response increases as the infection progresses, so that indirect development via free-living adults is increasingly favoured at the expense of direct development during an infection (Viney et al. 1992; Viney, 1996).

This is a finding with important consequences. Firstly, it means that developing female larvae developmentally respond to the host immune response. There are other examples of parasitic nematodes specifically responding to the host immune response (Viney, 2013), but the response of S. ratti's larvae to this host cue occurs external to the host. Secondly, that developing larvae integrate different cues (the host immune response and temperature) in making their developmental choice (Crook and Viney, 2005). Thirdly, that these cues are separated in space and time, implying that these worms have some way of remembering information (Viney, 2013). This could be by a direct neuronal mechanism (perhaps analogous to the ability of Caenorhabditis elegans adults to remember the temperature at which they were fed as larvae; Ardiel and Rankin, 2010), by the host immune response affecting the local faecal environment of the larvae, or the larvae's parasitic female mothers epigenetically setting the developmental course of their progeny. These three possibilities for larval memory are not mutually exclusive.

The developmental pattern of the free-living generation of *S. ratti* varies among genotypes (Viney *et al.* 1992). Specifically, comparison of different

S. ratti isofemale lines shows that they differ in the degree of direct vs indirect development. Isofemale lines derived from isolates obtained in the UK develop almost exclusively by direct development. Isofemale lines from Japan and the USA have mixed direct and indirect development (Viney et al. 1992). Because the development of the lines changes as the host immune response develops, then these developmental differences of the lines also show that lines vary in their developmental sensitivity to the host immune response. The adaptive value of different developmental strategies is not clear, but the observed diversity is presumably some reflection of adaptation to the local ecology and epidemiology of where these S. ratti genotypes originated (Fenton et al. 2004). Note, the amongline variation in free-living development must also be considered with the context of the among-line variation in the immune-dependent survival and fecundity of the parasitic female generation (see above; Paterson and Viney, 2003).

# THE *STRONGYLOIDES* FREE-LIVING GENERATION AND THE FREE-LIVING NEMATODE DAUER LARVA ANALOGY

The infective larvae of parasitic nematodes have long been considered to be analogous to the dauer larvae of free-living nematodes (most thoroughly studied in C. elegans), and that such dauer larvae were a key step in the evolution of nematode parasitism (Hotez et al. 1993). Dauer larvae are developmentally arrested, non-feeding, third stage larvae. In freeliving nematodes such as C. elegans, young larval stages have a choice between developing into dauer larvae or continuing to grow into reproductive adults (Riddle and Albert, 1997). The conventional understanding with C. elegans is that conditions of environmental stress can favour the development of dauer larvae; more recent results suggest that the drivers of dauer larva formation are more complex and diverse than a simple environmental stress response, and may be driven by a range of complex ecological interactions (Viney and Diaz, 2012; Diaz et al. 2014).

The free-living phase of the *Strongyloides* lifecycle particularly invites comparison with the life-cycle and developmental choice of free-living nematodes, and molecular confirmation of this analogy has been sought. The molecular control of the initiation of *C. elegans* dauer larva development is well known. Key to this developmental choice is the gene *daf*-7, encoding a *C. elegans* TGF- $\beta$ ligand such that DAF-7 activity supresses *C. elegans* dauer larva formation (Ren *et al.* 1996). In *C. elegans*, the expression of *daf*-7 is high in first stage larvae (L1s), but it is not expressed in dauer-destined L2s, dauer larvae, or adults. If the developmental choice of *S. ratti* and *C. elegans* 

were molecularly conserved, the expression of the daf-7 homologues of C. elegans and S. ratti would be predicted to be conserved too. However, when investigated this pattern was not found; instead, in S. ratti daf-7 has maximum expression in iL3s, and this expression is immediately reduced upon their exposure to hosts (Crook et al. 2005). This pattern was also seen in Parastrongyloides trichosuri, and analogous findings were made in hookworms and filarial nematodes (Gomez-Escobar et al. 2000; Brand et al. 2005; Freitas and Arasu, 2005). Together this suggests that in parasitic nematodes daf-7 has evolved to have a role in the control of the infective larval stage of parasitic nematodes (Gomez-Escobar et al. 2000; Brand et al. 2005; Freitas and Arasu, 2005; Viney et al. 2005). This makes some considerable sense because in freeliving nematodes the critical life-cycle choice is between dauer or non-dauer development, whereas in parasitic nematodes the key choice is for infective larvae to decide whether they have met the correct host conditions (so that they can resume their development and infect the host), or whether they have not (and so they remain developmentally arrested, continuing to seek the correct host). It is perhaps for this reason that in parasitic nematodes daf-7 has been used in this key developmental choice of infective larvae, rather than in the formation of infective larvae per se.

# COMPARATIVE LIFE-HISTORY BIOLOGY OF THE TWO ADULT GENERATIONS

The life-cycle of *Strongyloides* has two adult generations: one parasitic, female-only and parthenogenetic and one free-living, dioecious and sexually reproducing. The comparative life-history of these two adult phases can give us an insight into likely selection pressures operating on these different lifecycle phases. Comparison of the ageing biology of these phases is particularly instructive. The evolutionary theory of ageing explains that a species with high extrinsic mortality rate will evolve a shorter lifespan compared with one with a low extrinsic mortality rate (Gardner *et al.* 2006). This prediction has been well supported by many among-taxa comparative studies.

In S. ratti, the lifespan of the two adult female forms is c. 80-fold different (Gardner et al. 2006). Parasitic females in an immuno-incompetent host live for over a year before they die, apparently due to senescence (Gardner et al. 2006). In contrast, the free-living females live a maximum of 5 days when maintained in apparently optimized conditions (Gardner et al. 2004). [And the life-time fecundity of parasitic female (c. 16 000) is 400-fold greater than that of the free-living females (c. 40) (Gardner et al. 2006; Thompson et al. 2009).] These two vastly different lifespans are controlled from one genome, showing that this lifespan plasticity is epigenetically controlled.

The vastly different lifespans of these two forms can therefore tell us about the relative extrinsic mortality rates of the parasitic and free-living female morphs. Specifically, that the free-living females likely have a comparatively very high extrinsic mortality rate compared with the parasitic females (Gardner et al. 2006). This may, initially, be counter intuitive, because we tend to think of a within-host environment (containing an active host immune response etc.) as hostile and unpleasant. In fact, these results suggest that it is a comparatively more benign environment compared with a freeliving environment, perhaps because a within-host environment is much more predictable and resource rich, to which a species or life-cycle morph can adapt and specialize.

# STRONGYLOIDES RATTI AND S. VENEZUELENSIS AS MODELS OF STRONGYLOIDIASIS IN HUMANS

Parasitic nematodes, including *Strongyloides*, are important pathogens of humans and other animals. For this reason, there is considerable interest in developing amenable animal models of infection. In this context *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis* infections of mice have been used, particularly for immunological studies. An apparently unique feature of human *S. stercoralis* infection is the occurrence of internal autoinfection (Lok *et al.* 2016). This phenomenon does not naturally occur with *S. ratti* or *S. venezuelensis*, and neither can it be artificially induced. Therefore, neither of these species are models that recapitulate this aspect of human strongyloidiasis, meaning that neither of these parasite of rodents are particularly good models for human strongyloidiasis.

# OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS

The existence of two species of *Strongyloides* in rats, but the apparent absence of a species naturally infecting mice is an intriguing feature of the natural history of the Strongyloides genus. That each of these two species is from different subclades of Strongyloides argues that S. ratti and S. venezuelensis represent two independent evolutionary transitions to parasitism of rats. This accident of natural history presents an ideal opportunity to study the biology of nematode host-specificity, since features (physiological, genetic, etc.) of S. ratti and S. venezuelensis that are concordant, but which are also discordant compared with other respective close Strongyloides spp. relatives parasitizing other host species, can identify what it takes for Strongyloides spp. to specifically parasitize rats. There has been one, ultimately unsuccessful, attempt to artificially select S. ratti to change its host specificity (Gemmill et al. 2000).

Further, there has been considerable recent interest in parasite co-infections (Viney and Graham, 2013) and the existence of two con-generic species infecting rats (along with the ability of other infections to be introduced), presents a superb opportunity to unpick many key aspects of co-infection biology. Also, because S. ratti appears to be ubiquitous in the wild, but S. venezuelensis more restricted, then different wild sources of S. ratti will have evolved in different co-infection contexts, particularly with respect to S. venezuelensis co-infection. Comparative study of S. ratti from these different settings could therefore be used to understand how co-infection drives the evolution of parasite traits. With respect to Strongyloides and the developmental choice of its free-living cycle, it would also be interesting to understand how the co-infection context of these two species affects their developmental choices.

In conclusion, *S. ratti* and *S. venezuelensis* are common parasites of rats and tractable laboratory systems. There is now a good understanding of their within-host biology showing that while these species are broadly similar in their biology there are clear, notable differences. The biology of the free-living generation of *S. ratti* is now well understood, and it is clear that the free-living generation is of less importance for *S. venezuelensis*. The recent genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of these and other *Strongyloides* spp. (Hunt *et al.* 2016) now provides a firm platform for investigating the molecular basis of key aspects of *Strongyloides* biology.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

TK would like thank Akina Hino for providing information about *S. venezuelensis* maintenance.

#### FINANCIAL SUPPORT

MV would like to thank the Wellcome Trust, MRC and NERC for funding.

#### REFERENCES

Abolins, S. R., Pocock, M. J. O., Hafalla, J. C. R., Riley, E. M. and Viney, M. E. (2011). Measures of immune function of wild mice, *Mus musculus*. *Molecular Ecology* **20**, 881–892.

Ardiel, E. L. and Rankin, C. H. (2010). An elegant mind: learning and memory in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Learning and Memory* 17, 191–201.

Amarante, A. F. T. D. and Oliveira-Sequeira, T. (2002). Strongyloides venezuelensis infection susceptibility of seven inbred strains of mice. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinaria e Zootecnia 54, 273–278.

Araujo, P. (1967). Distribuição de helmintos no intestino delgado de *Rattus novergicus* naturalmente infestado. II. *Strongyloides ratti* Sanground, 1925 e *S. venezuelensis* Brumpt, 1934. *Revista da Faculdade de Farmácia e Bioquímica de São Paulo* 1, 179–190.

Attamimi, F., Noviana, D., Muktiandini, A., Jamilah, A.Y., Tsuchiya, K., Tiuria, R., Yamauchi, K., Rivero, J. C. and Horii, Y. (2002). Enhanced protection against the migratory phase, but defective protection against the intestinal phase of *Strongyloides venezuelensis* infection in cotton rats, *Sigmodon hispidus*. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* 64, 1031–1035.

Baek, B. K., Islam, M. K. and Kim, J. H. (1998). Development of an *in vitro* culture method for harvesting the free-living infective larvae of *Strongyloides venezuelensis. Korean Journal of Parasitology* **36**, 15–22.

Baek, B. K., Whang, I. S., Islam, M. K., Kim, B. S. and Kakoma, I. (2002). Persistent infection with *Strongyloides venezuelensis* in the Mongolian gerbil (*Meriones unguiculatus*). *Korean Journal of Parasitology* 40, 181–186.

Bleay, C., Wilkes, C. P., Paterson, S. and Viney, M. E. (2007). Densitydependent immune responses against the gastrointestinal nematode *Strongyloides ratti. International Journal for Parasitology* **37**, 1501–1509.

Brand, A. M., Varghese, G., Majewski, W. and Hawdon, J. M. (2005). Identification of a DAF-7 ortholog from the hookworm *Ancylostoma* caninum. International Journal for Parasitology **35**, 1489–1498.

Brumpt, E. (1934). Précis de Parasitologie, 6th Edn. Masson et Cie., Paris, 1042 pp.

Crook, M., Thompson, F. J., Grant, W. N. and Viney, M. E. (2005). The role of daf-7 in the development of *Strongyloides ratti* and *Parastrongyloides trichosuri*. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* **139**, 213–223.

Crook, M. and Viney, M. E. (2005). The effect of non-immune stresses on the development of *Strongyloides ratti*. *Parasitology* **131**, 383–392.

Dawkins, H.J.S., Robertson, T.A., Papadimitriou, J.M. and Grove, D.I. (1983). Light and electron microscopical studies of the location of *Strongyloides ratti* in the mouse intestine. *Parasitology Research* 69, 357–370.

**Diaz, S. A., Lloyd-Jones, G., Spinner, W., Wharam, B. and Viney, M. E.** (2014). Diverse and potentially manipulative signalling with ascarosides in the model nematode *C. elegans. BMC Evolutionary Biology* **14**, 46.

Fenton, A., Paterson, S., Viney, M.E. and Gardner, M.P. (2004). Determining the optimal developmental route of *Strongyloides ratti*: an evolutionarily stable strategy approach. *Evolution* **58**, 989–1000.

Finkelman, F.D., Shea-Donohue, T., Goldhill, J., Sulivan, C.A., Morris, S. C., Madden, K. B., Gause, W. C. and Urban, J. F. (1997). Cytokine regulation of host defense against parasitic gastrointestinal nematodes: lessons from studies with rodent models. *Annual Review of Immunology* **15**, 505–533.

Fisher, M. C. and Viney, M. E. (1998). The population genetic structure of the facultative sexual parasitic nematode *Strongyloides ratti* in wild rats. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* **265**, 703–709.

Freitas, T. C. and Arasu, P. (2005). Cloning and characterisation of genes encoding two transforming growth factor-b-like ligands from the hookworm, *Ancylostoma caninum*. *International Journal for Parasitology* **35**, 1477–1487.

Gardner, M. P., Gems, D. and Viney, M. E. (2004). Aging in a very short-lived nematode. *Experimental Gerontology* **39**, 1267–1276.

Gardner, M. P., Gems, D. and Viney, M. E. (2006). Extraordinary plasticity in aging in *Strongyloides ratti* implies a gene-regulatory mechanism of lifespan evolution. *Aging Cell* **5**, 315–323.

Gemmill, A. W., Viney, M. E. and Read, A. F. (2000). The evolutionary ecology of host-specificity: experimental studies with *Strongyloides ratti*. *Parasitology* **120**, 429–437.

**Gomez-Escobar, N., Gregory, W.F. and Maizels, R.M.** (2000). Identification of *tgh*-2, a filarial nematode homolog of *Caenorhabditis elegans daf*-7 and human transforming growth factor  $\beta$ , expressed in microfilarial and adult stages of *Brugia malayi*. *Infection and Immunity* **68**, 6402–6410.

Graham, G. L. (1938a). Studies on *Strongyloides* II. Homogonic and heterogonic progeny of the single homogonically derived *S. ratti* parasite. *American Journal of Hygiene* 27, 221–234.

Graham, G.L. (1938b). Studies on *Strongyloides* III. The fecundity of single *S. ratti* of homogonic origin. *Journal of Parasitology* **24**, 233–243.

**Graham, G. L.** (1939). Studies on *Strongyloides* IV. Seasonal variation in the production of heterogonic progeny by singly established *S. ratti* from a homogonically derived line. *American Journal of Hygiene* **30**, 15–27.

Graham, G. L. (1940a). Studies on *Strongyloides* VI. Comparison of two homogonic lines of singly established *S. ratti. Journal of Parasitology* 26, 207–218.

Graham, G.L. (1940b). Studies on *Strongyloides* VII. Length of reproductive life in a homogonic line of singly established *S. ratti. Revista de Medicina Tropical y Parasitologia* 7, 89–103.

Harvey, S. C., Gemmill, A. W., Read, A. F. and Viney, M. E. (2000). The control of morph development in the parasitic nematode Strongyloides ratti. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 267, 2057–2063.

Hasegawa, H., Orido, Y., Sato, Y. and Otsuru, M. (1988). Strongyloides venezuelensis Brumpt, 1934 (Nematoda: Strongyloididae) collected from Rattus norvegicus in Naha, Okinawa, Japan. Japanese Journal of Parasitology 37, 429-434.

Hino, A., Tanaka, T., Takaishi, M., Fujii, Y., Palomares-Rius, J. E., Hasegawa, K., Maruyama, H. and Kikuchi, T. (2014). Karyotype and reproduction mode of the rodent parasite *Strongyloides venezuelensis*. *Parasitology* **141**, 1736–1745. Horii, Y., Khan, A. and Nawa, Y. (1993). Persistent infection of *Strongyloides venezuelensis* and normal expulsion of *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis* in Mongolian gerbils, *Meriones unguiculatus*, with reference to the cellular responses in the intestinal mucosa. *Parasite Immunology* **15**, 175–179.

Hotez, P., Hawdon, J. and Schad, G. A. (1993). Hookworm larval infectivity, arrest and amphiparatenesis: the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Daf-c paradigm. *Trends in Parasitology* 9, 23–26.

Hunt, V. L., Tsai, I. J., Coghlan, A., Reid, A. J., Holroyd, N., Foth, B. J., Tracey, A., Cotton, J. A., Stanley, E. J., Beasley, H., Bennett, H., Brooks, K., Harsha, B., Kajitani, R., Kulkarni, A., Harbecke, D., Nagayasu, E., Nichol, S., Ogura, Y., Quail, M. A., Randle, N., Xia, D., Brattig, N. W., Soblik, H., Ribeiro, D. M., Sanchez-Flores, A., Hayashi, T., Itoh, T., Denver, D.R., Grant, W., Stoltzfus, J.D., Lok, J. B., Murayama, H., Wastling, J., Streit, A., Kikuchi, T., Viney, M.E. and Berriman, M. (2016). The genomic basis of parasitism in the *Strongyloides* clade of Nematodes. *Nature Genetics*. doi:10.1038/ng.3495.

Islam, M. K., Matsuda, K., Kim, J.-H. and Baek, B.-K. (1999). Effects of *in vitro* culture methods on morphological development and infectivity of *Strongyloides venezuelensis* filariform larvae. *Korean Journal of Parasitology* **37**, 13–19.

Kawanabe, M., Nojima, H. and Uchikawa, R. (1988). Transmammary transmission of *Strongyloides ratti. Parasitology Research* **75**, 50–56.

Kimura, E., Shinotoku, Y., Kadosaka, T., Fujiwara, M., Kondo, S. and Itoh, M. (1999). A second peak of egg excretion in *Strongyloides ratti*-infected rats: its origin and biological meaning. *Parasitology* **119**, 221–226.

Korenaga, M., Nawa, Y., Mimori, T. and Tada, I. (1983). Effects of preintestinal larval antigenic stimuli on the generation of intestinal immunity in *Strongyloides ratti* infection in rats. *Journal of Parasitology* **69**, 78–82.

Korenaga, M., Hitoshi, Y., Yamaguchi, N., Sato, Y., Takatsu, K. and Tada, I. (1991). The role of interleukin-5 in protective immunity to *Strongyloides venezuelensis* infection in mice. *Immunology* **72**, 502–507.

Little, M.D. (1966). Comparative morphology of six species of *Strongyloides* (Nematoda) and redefinition of the genus. *Journal of Parasitology* 52, 69–84.

Lok, J. B., Shao, H., Massey, H. C., Jr. and Li, X. (2016). Transgenesis in *Strongyloides* and related parasitic nematodes: historical perspectives, current functional genomic applications and progress towards gene disruption and editing. *Parasitology*. doi:10.1017/S0031182016000391.

Marra, N. M., Chiuso-Minicucci, F., Machado, G. C., Zorzella-Pezavento, S. F., Franca, T. G., Ishikawa, L. L., Amarante, A. F., Sartori, A. and Amarante, M. R. (2011). Migratory route of *Strongyloides venezuelensis* in Lewis rats: comparison of histological analyses and PCR. *Experimental Parasitology* **127**, 334–339.

Maruyama, H. and Nawa, Y. (1997). Strongyloides venezuelensis: adhesion of adult worms to culture vessels by orally secreted mucosubstances. Experimental Parasitology 85, 10–15.

Maruyama, H., Yabu, Y., Yoshida, A., Nawa, Y. and Ohta, N. (2000). A role of mast cell glycosaminoglycans for the immunological expulsion of intestinal nematode, *Strongyloides venezuelensis*. *Journal of Immunology* **164**, 3749–3754.

Maruyama, H., Hirabayashi, Y., El-Malky, M., Okamura, S., Aoki, M., Itagaki, T., Nakamura-Uchiyama, F., Nawa, Y., Shimada, S. and Ohta, N. (2002). *Strongyloides venezuelensis*: longitudinal distribution of adult worms in the host intestine is influenced by mucosal sulfated carbohydrates. *Experimental Parasitology* **100**, 179–185.

Maruyama, H., El-Malky, M., Kumagai, T. and Ohta, N. (2003). Secreted adhesion molecules of *Strongyloides venezuelensis* are produced by oesophageal glands and are components of the wall of tunnels constructed by adult worms in the host intestinal mucosa. *Parasitology* **126**, 165–171.

Moqbel, R. and Denham, D.A. (1977). *Strongyloides ratti*: 1. Parasitological observations on primary and secondary infections in the small intestine of rats. *Journal of Helminthology* **51**, 301–308.

Moqbel, R. and McLaren, D. J. (1980). *Strongyloides ratti*: structural and functional characteristics of normal and immune-damaged worms. *Experimental Parasitology* **49**, 139–152.

Moqbel, R., McLaren, D. J. and Wakelin, D. (1980). *Strongyloides ratti:* reversibility of immune damage to adult worms. *Experimental Parasitology* **49**, 153–166.

Nolan, T.J. and Katz, F.F. (1981). Transmammary transmission of Strongyloides venezuelensis. *Proceedings of the Helminthological Society of Washington* 48, 8–12.

Paterson, S. and Barber, R. (2007). Experimental evolution of parasite life-history traits in *Strongyloides ratti* (Nematoda). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 274, 1467–1474.

Paterson, S. and Viney, M.E. (2002). Host immune responses are necessary for density-dependence in nematode infections. *Parasitology* **125**, 283–292.

Paterson, S. and Viney, M. E. (2003). Functional consequences of genetic diversity in *Strongyloides ratti* infections. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B* 270, 1023–1032.

Ren, P., Lim, C-S., Johnsen, R., Albert, P.S., Pilgrim, D. and Riddle, D. L. (1996). Control of *C. elegans* larval development by neuronal expression of a TGF- $\beta$  homolog. *Science* **274**, 1389–1391.

Riddle, D. L. and Albert, P. S. (1997). Genetic and environmental regulation of dauer larva development. In *C. elegans II* (ed. Riddle, D. L., Blumenthal, T., Meyer, B. J. and Priess, J. R.), pp. 739–768. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

Rivero, J. C., Inoue, Y., Murakami, N. and Horii, Y. (2002). Age- and sex-related changes in susceptibility of Wistar rats to *Strongyloides venezuelensis* infection, *Yournal of Veterinary Medical Science* 64, 519–521.

Sandground, J.H. (1925). Speciation and specificity in the nematode genus *Strongyloides*. *Journal of Parasitology* **12**, 59–80.

Sato, Y. and Toma, H. (1990). Effects of spleen cells and serum on transfer of immunity to *Strongyloides venezuelensis* infection in hypothymic (nude) mice. *International Journal for Parasitology* **20**, 63–67.

Shi, B.B., Ishikawa, N., Khan, A.I., Tsuchiya, K., Horii, Y. and Nawa, T. (1994). *Strongyloides venezuelensis* infection in Syrian golden hamster, *Mesocricetus auratus*, with reference to the phenotype of intestinal mucosal mast cells. *Parasite Immunology* **16**, 545–551.

Speare, R. (1989). Identification of species of *Strongyloides*. In *Strongyloidiasis: A Major Roundworm Infection of Man* (ed. D. I. Grove), pp. 11–83. Taylor and Francis, London.

Stear, M. J., Bairden, K., Duncan, J. L., Holmes, P. H., McKellar, Q. A., Park, M., Strain, S., Murray, M., Bishop, S. C. and Gettinby, G. (1997). How hosts control worms. *Nature* **389**, 27.

Taira, N., Nakamura, Y., Almeida, M.A. and Saeki, H. (1995). Massive experimental infection with Strongyloides venezuelensis in rats and absence of sudden death. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* 57, 855–858.

Takamure, A. (1995). Migration route of *Strongyloides venezuelensis* in rodents. *International Journal for Parasitology* 25, 907–911.

Tindall, N. R. and Wilson, P. A. G. (1988). Criteria for a proof of migration routes of immature parasites inside hosts exemplified by studies of *Strongyloides ratti* in the rat. *Parasitology* **96**, 551–563.

Thompson, F.J., Barker, G.L.A., Nolan, T., Gems, D. and Viney, M. E. (2009). Transcript profiles of long- and short-lived adults implicate protein synthesis in evolved differences in ageing in the nematode *Strongyloides ratti*. *Mechanisms of Ageing and Development* 130, 167–172.

Tiuria, R., Horii, Y., Makimura, S., Ishikawa, N., Tsuchiya, K. and Nawa, Y. (1995). Effect of testosterone on the mucosal defence against intestinal helminths in Indian soft-furred rats, *Millardia meltada* with reference to goblet and mast cell responses. *Parasite Immunology* **17**, 479–484.

Tsuji, N., Nakamura, Y. and Taira, N. (1993). Long-lasting parasitism of *Strongyloides venezuelensis* in Mongolian gerbils (*Meriones unguiculatus*). *Journal of Parasitology* **79**, 305–307.

Uchikawa, R., Ichiki, H. and Komaki, E. (1991). Antibody responses and protective immunity in rats receiving repeated inoculations of *Strongyloides ratti. Journal of Parasitology* **77**, 737–741.

Viney, M. E. (1994). A genetic analysis of reproduction in *Strongyloides ratti. Parasitology* 109, 511–515.

Viney, M. E. (1996). Developmental switching in the parasitic nematode Strongyloides ratti. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B 263, 201–208.

Viney, M. E. (2002). Environmental control of nematode life cycles. In *The Behavioural Ecology of Parasites* (ed. Lewis, E. E., Campbell, J. F. and Sukhedo, M. V. K.), pp. 111–128. Wallingford: CABI Publishing.

Viney, M. E. (2013). Life history plasticity and responses to host defence. In *Parasitic Nematodes: Molecular Biology, Biochemistry and Immunology,* 2nd Edn (ed. Kennedy, M. W. and Harnett, W.), pp. 15–29. Wallingford: CABI Publishing.

Viney, M. E. and Diaz, A. (2012). Phenotypic plasticity in nematodes: evolutionary and ecological significance. *Worm* **1**, 98–106.

Viney, M. E. and Graham, A. L. (2013). Patterns and processes in parasite co-infection in animals and humans. *Advances in Parasitology* 82, 321–369.

Viney, M. E. and Lok, J. B. (2015). The biology of *Strongyloides* spp. In *WormBook* (ed. The *C. elegans* Research Community), doi: 10.1895/wormbook.1.141.2, http://www.wormbook.org

Viney, M. E., Lazarou, L. and Abolins, S. R. (2015). The laboratory mouse and wild immunology. *Parasite Immunology* 37, 267–273. Viney, M. E., Matthews, B. E. and Walliker, D. (1992). On the biological and biochemical nature of cloned populations of *Strongyloides ratti*. *Journal of Helminthology* **66**, 45–52.

**Viney, M. E., Thompson, F. J. and Crook, M.** (2005). TGF- $\beta$  and the evolution of nematode parasitism. *International Journal for Parasitology* **35**, 1473–1475.

Viney, M. E., Steer, M. D. and Wilkes, C. P. (2006). The reversibility of constraints on size and fecundity in the parasitic nematode *Strongyloides ratti*. *Parasitology* **133**, 477–483.

Wertheim, G. (1970a). Growth and development of *Strongyloides vene*zuelensis Brumpt, 1934 in the albino rat. *Parasitology* 61, 381-388.

Wertheim, G. (1970b). Experimental concurrent infections with *Strongyloides* ratti and *S. venezuelensis* in laboratory rats. *Parasitology* **61**, 389–395.

Wertheim, G. and Lengy, J. (1964). The seasonal occurrence of Strongyloides ratti Sandground, 1925 and of S. venezuelensis Brumpt,

1934 in a population of *Rattus norvegicus*. Journal of Helminthology **38**, 393–398.

Wilkes, C. P., Thompson, F. J., Gardner, M. P., Paterson, S. and Viney, M. E. (2004). The effect of the host immune response on the parasitic nematode *Strongyloides ratti. Parasitology* **128**, 661–669.

Wilkes, C. P., Bleay, C., Paterson, S. and Viney, M. E. (2007). The immune response during a *Strongyloides ratti* infection of rats. *Parasite Immunology* 29, 339–346.

Wilson, P. A. G. and Simpson, N. E. (1981). Dynamics of infection in rats given low doses of homogonic and heterogonic *Strongyloides ratti*. *Parasitology* 83, 459–475.

Yamada, M., Matsuda, S., Nakazawa, M. and Arizono, N. (1991). Species-specific differences in heterogonic development of serially transferred free-living generations of *Strongyloides planiceps* and *Strongyloides stercoralis*. *Journal of Parasitology* **77**, 592–594.