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ABSTRACTS

THE TRANSFORMATION OF THE SWEDISH MODEL
ECONOMIC IDEAS, DISTRIBUTIONAL CONFLICT, AND INSTITUTIONAL CHANGE

By MARK BLYTH

This article examines the transformation of the Swedish model of economic regulation from an ideational perspective. While the majority of arguments about the decline of the Swedish model have focused on the role of structural factors, this article looks to illuminate the ideational factors that made possible both the emergence and the transformation of the Swedish model. The article details how, during the 1930s and 1940s, economic ideas provided the Swedish state and its trade union allies with the means to construct the institutions of the Swedish model. By the 1970s, however, Swedish business suffered diminishing returns to continued participation within these institutions and responded to labor's challenges by adopting a two-pronged strategy of withdrawal from and ideological contestation of labor's supporting institutions. The politics of ideas was key in this regard. During the 1980s Swedish business marshaled alternative economic ideas to contest and thus delegitimize existing institutions and the patterns of distribution they made possible. Swedish business thus began the process of overturning the Swedish model long before capital mobility or domestic inflation was ever a problem. By highlighting these factors, the article offers an explanation of the transformation of the Swedish model that stresses the centrality of ideational contestation for understanding institutional change in general.

ORGANIZATION AND LABOR-BASED PARTY ADAPTATION
THE TRANSFORMATION OF ARGENTINE PERONISM IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE

By STEVEN LEVITSKY

This article examines the capacity of Latin American labor-based parties to adapt to the challenges of economic liberalization and working class decline. It presents an organizational approach to explaining party change, highlighting the ways in which informal and weakly institutionalized structures may contribute to party adaptation. It argues that loosely structured labor-based parties, such as many mass populist parties, possess a distinctive advantage in adapting to environmental change. Though a source of inefficiency and even internal chaos, populist legacies such as fluid internal structures, nonbureaucratic hierarchies, and centralized leaderships yield a high degree of strategic flexibility. The argument is applied to the case of the Argentine Justicialista Party (PJ), a mass populist party that adapted with striking success to the socio-economic changes of the 1980s and 1990s. The weakly institutionalized nature of Peronism's party-union linkage facilitated the dismantling of traditional mechanisms of labor participation, which resulted in the PJ's rapid transformation from a labor-based party into a predominantly patronage-based party. At the same time, the PJ's nonbureaucratic hierarchy and weakly institutionalized leadership bodies provided President Carlos Menem with substantial room for maneuver in developing and carrying out a radical neoliberal strategy that, while at odds with Peronism's traditional program, was critical to its survival as a major political force. The conclusion places the Peronist case in comparative perspective by examining the cases of five other Latin American labor-based parties.

WAGE-SETTING MEASURES
A SURVEY AND ASSESSMENT

By LANE KENWORTHY

Wage setting has been one of the most heavily studied institutions in the field of comparative political economy over the past two decades, and quantitative measures of wage-setting arrangements have played a major role in this research. Yet the proliferation of such measures in recent years presents researchers with a sizable array from which to choose. In addition, some scholars are rather skeptical about the validity and/or reliability of these measures. This article offers a survey and assessment of fifteen wage-setting measures. It attempts to answer questions about
(1) how these indicators differ from one another in conceptualization and measurement strategy;
(2) which are the most valid and reliable; (3) the strengths and weaknesses of measures of wage
centralization versus those of wage coordination; (4) particular countries or time periods for
which there are noteworthy discrepancies in scoring; (5) how sensitive empirical findings are to
the choice of wage-setting measure.

"NEW" AND "OLD" CIVIL WARS
A VALID DISTINCTION?
By STATHIS N. KALYVAS
This article questions the prevalent argument that civil wars have fundamentally changed
since the end of the cold war. According to this argument, "new" civil wars are different from
"old" civil wars along at least three related dimensions—they are caused and motivated by private
predation rather than collective grievances and ideological concerns; the parties to these conflicts
lack popular support and must rely on coercion; and gratuitous, barbaric violence is dispensed
against civilian populations. Recent civil wars, therefore, are distinguished as criminal rather than
political phenomena. This article traces the origins of this distinction and argues that it is based
on an uncritical adoption of categories and labels, combined with deficient information on "new"
civil wars and neglect of recent historical research on "old" civil wars. Perceived differences be-
tween post–cold war conflicts and previous civil wars may be attributable more to the demise of
readily available conceptual categories caused by the end of the cold war than to the end of the
cold war per se.

THE REGIME QUESTION
THEORY BUILDING IN DEMOCRACY STUDIES
By GERARDO L. MUNCK
This review article assesses the accomplishments and limitations of the best of recent re-
search on democratization and democracy in Europe, South America, and post-Soviet Eurasia
with regard to the challenge of theory building. Concerning the dependent variables of this lit-
erature, the article argues that the concepts of democratic transition, democratic consolidation,
and democratic quality, as currently conceptualized, do not provide a clear focus for causal theo-
rizing. It recommends, rather, that the proper subject matter of regime analysis should be the
origins and stability of regime types and suggests how the semantic field of democracy studies
could be clarified through a focus on the concepts of democratic transition and democratic sta-
ility. Relatedly, it argues that democracy scholars have made unwarranted use of aggregate and
dichotomous measures and advocates instead the use of more disaggregate and nuanced mea-
sures. Concerning causal theories, the article shows that researchers have identified a range of
potential explanatory factors and proposed suggestive complex causal models. Nonetheless, it
also argues that democracy scholars have rarely formulated clearly specified general causal mod-
els and identifies some key pitfalls to be avoided as scholars tackle two key tasks: the develop-
ment of thick and general theory and the definition of causal models. The conclusion raises the
need to place theory building in context and argues that scholars must also turn their attention
to the demanding challenges of data generation and causal assessment.