TWO THEOREMS ON THE CLASS NUMBER OF POSITIVE DEFINITE QUADRATIC FORMS

YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA

- **0.** In this note we study the estimate from above and below and the asymptotic behaviour of the class number of positive definite integral quadratic forms.
- 1. Let S_1, S_2 be positive definite matrices of degree m; then S_1, S_2 are called equivalent (resp. equivalent in the narrow sense) if $S_1 = {}^tTS_2T$ for some T in $GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $SL(m, \mathbb{Z})$). By definition E(S) is the order of the unit group of S, i.e., the number of matrices in $GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ such that ${}^tTST = S$. Let m, D be natural numbers; by $H_m(D)$ (resp. $h_m(D)$) we denote the number of equivalence classes (resp. equivalence classes in the narrow sense) in positive definite integral matrices of degree m and determinant D.

THEOREM 1. Let m be a natural number larger than 2, and ε be any positive number. Then we have

$$c_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(m)D^{_{(m-1)/2}} \leq H_{\scriptscriptstyle m}(D) \leq c_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(m,\varepsilon)D^{_{(m-1)/2+\varepsilon}}$$
 ,

where $c_1(m)$ is a positive constant depending on m, and $c_2(m, \varepsilon)$ is a positive constant depending on m and ε . Moreover we can take 0 instead of ε if we consider cases of square-free D.

COROLLARY. For even m we have

$$h_m(D) \sim^* 2H_m(D)$$
 as $D \to \infty$.

THEOREM 2. Let m be a natural number; then

$$H_m(D) \sim 2 \sum \frac{1}{E(S)}$$
 as $D \to \infty$,

Received October 30, 1972. Revised February 12, 1973.

^{*)} $f(x) \sim g(x)$ as $x \to \infty$ means $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1$

where S runs over a set of representatives of different equivalence classes in positive definite integral matrices of degree m and determinant D.

COROLLARY. Let m be an odd natural number. Then we have

$$\lim_{D o\infty} \ rac{H_m(D)}{D^{(m-1)/2}} = \pi^{-m(m+1)/4} \prod_{k=1}^m \Gamma\Bigl(rac{k}{2}\Bigr)^{(m-1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} \zeta(2k)$$
 , Square-free

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function.

Remark. It is possible that we obtain the similar result to Theorem 2 for the number of classes in a genus on some assumptions (for example, on the assumption that D is square-free).

2. LEMMA 1. The number of groups of finite order in $GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ is finite up to conjugacy.

Proof. Let G be a group of finite order in $GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ and S be the positive definite matrix $\sum_{A \in G} {}^t AA$. Then there exists an element U in $GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ such that ${}^t USU$ is reduced in the sense of Minkowski and the integral orthogonal group of ${}^t USU$ contains $U^{-1}GU$. From Satz 4 in [8], absolute values of all entries of $U^{-1}MU(M \in G)$ are not larger than some constant depending on m.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let S be a positive definite integral matrix of degree m and determinant D. Then the mass M(S) of S is by definition

$$\sum \frac{1}{E(S_k)}$$
 ,

where S_k runs over the representatives of equivalence classes in the genus of S, and it is well known ([7])

$$M(S) = rac{2 arGamma(1/2) arGamma(2/2) \cdots arGamma(m/2)}{\pi^{m(m+1)/4} \prod_p lpha_p} \cdot D^{(m+1)/2} \qquad (m>1)$$
 ,

where $\alpha_p = \alpha_p(S)$ is the density of S at the prime p and it is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2}\lim_{\ell\to\infty}(p^{\ell})^{-m(m-1)/2}M(S;p^{\ell}),$$

where $M(S; p^{\ell})$ is the number of integral matrices $T \mod p^{\ell}$ such that ${}^{\ell}TST \equiv S \mod p^{\ell}$.

If p does not divide 2D, then we have ([3], [7])

$$lpha_p = egin{cases} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1-p^{-2k}) & m \colon ext{odd} \ , \ \left(1-\left(rac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{p}
ight)p^{-m/2}
ight)\prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-p^{-2k}) & m \colon ext{even} \ . \end{cases}$$

If

(1)
$$S \cong egin{pmatrix} 1_{m-2} & & & & & \\ & arepsilon_p & & & \\ & & Darepsilon_p^{-1} \end{pmatrix} ext{ over } oldsymbol{Z}_p ext{ for } p|D ext{ and } p
eq 2 \,,$$

where ε_p is a unit of Z_p , then we have ([3])

$$lpha_p = 2D^{(p)} egin{cases} \left(1-\left(rac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2}arepsilon_p}{p}
ight) p^{-(m-1)/2}
ight) \prod\limits_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2-1} (1-p^{-2k}) & m \colon ext{odd} \; , \ & \prod\limits_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-p^{-2k}) & m \colon ext{even} \; , \end{cases}$$

where $D^{(p)}$ represents the p-part of D.

If 8|D, and

$$S\cong\left(egin{array}{c}A&\ D\end{array}
ight) \;\;\; {
m over}\;\; {m Z}_2$$
 ,

where A is unimodular over Z_2 with determinant 1, then by the similar proof to Hilfssatz 10, 11 in [3] we have

$$M(S; 2^{\ell}) = 2^{\ell(m-1)}M(A; 2^{\ell})M(D; 2^{\ell})$$
,

and so

$$\alpha_2(S) = 4D^{(2)}\alpha_2(A) ,$$

where $D^{(2)}$ represents the 2-part of D. Thus, on the assumption (2) if 8|D, we have

$$lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\!(S)/D^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)} \leq c_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$
 ,

where c_i depends on only m. From now on, c_i represents a positive constant depending on only m, and $c_i(\varepsilon)$ depends on m and ε .

If S satisfies the above condition (1) for any odd prime p, then we have

$$\prod_{p
eq 2} lpha_p^{-1} = egin{dcases} rac{D^{(2)}}{D} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} \zeta(2k) \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1-2^{-2k}) \prod_{\substack{p
i D \ p
eq 2}} 2^{-1} (1-p^{-(m-1)}) \\ & imes \left(1-\left(rac{(-1)^{(m-1)/2} arepsilon_p}{p}
ight) p^{-(m-1)/2}
ight)^{-1} & m \colon ext{ odd }, \ & rac{D^{(2)}}{D} \prod_{\substack{p
i D \ p
eq 2}} 2 \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} \zeta(2k) \cdot L\left(rac{m}{2}, \left(rac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{*}
ight)
ight) \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-2^{-2k}) \\ & imes \left(1-\left(rac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{2}
ight) 2^{-m/2}
ight) & m \colon ext{ even }. \end{cases}$$

Thus on the assumptions (1), and (2) if 8|D, the mass M(S) satisfies

$$M(S)\geq c_2D^{(m-1)/2}\prod\limits_{egin{subarray}{c} p\mid D\ p
eq2} 2^{-1}iggl\{iggr_{egin{subarray}{c} p
eq2} iggl\{iggl(1+iggl(rac{-arepsilon_p}{p}iggr)p^{-1}iggr) & m=3\ 1 & m\geq 4\ . \end{array}$$

Therefore if the number of odd primes dividing D is zero or one, and S satisfies above conditions (1) and (2) if 8|D| (for example, $S=\binom{1_{m-1}}{D}$), then

$$H_m(D) \ge M(S) \ge c_3 D^{(m-1)/2}$$
 for $m \ge 3$.

Suppose that odd primes dividing D are $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t (t \geq 2)$, and put the p-part of $D = p^{u_p}$. If there exists j such that u_{p_j} is odd, then for any given unit ε_{p_i} of $Z_{p_i} (i \neq j)$ there exist a unit ε_{p_j} of Z_{p_j} and a positive definite integral matrix S with |S| = D such that S satisfies the condition (1) and

$$S \cong \left(egin{array}{cc} \mathbf{1}_{m-1} & \ D \end{array}
ight) \quad ext{over} \,\, oldsymbol{Z}_2 \,.$$

If any u_{p_i} is even, then for any given unit ε_{p_i} of \mathbf{Z}_{p_i} there exist a unit ε_{2} of \mathbf{Z}_{2} and a positive definite integral matrix S with |S| = D such that S satisfies the condition (1) and

Hence we obtain

and for m=3

$$egin{align} H_{m}(D) &\geq \sum \limits_{egin{subarray}{c} \left(rac{arepsilon_{p_i}}{p_i}
ight) = \pm 1} M(S) \geq c_2 D 2^{-t} \sum \prod \limits_{i=1}^t \left(1 + \left(rac{-arepsilon_{p_i}}{p_i}
ight) p_i^{-1}
ight) \ &\geq c_2 D 2^{-t-1} \sum \prod \limits_{egin{subarray}{c} i=1 \ i
eq j} t \left(1 + \left(rac{-arepsilon_{p_i}}{p_i}
ight) p_i^{-1}
ight) \ &= 2^{-2} c_2 D \ . \end{array}$$

Thus, we have proved $H_m(D) \geq c_4 D^{(m-1)/2}$.

Let c_5 be the maximal order of groups of finite order in $GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have

$$H_m(D) \leq c_5 \sum M(S)$$
,

where S runs over the representatives of genera of positive definite integral matrices of degree m and determinant D. This implies

(3)
$$H_m(D) \leq c_6 D^{(m+1)/2} \prod_{p\nmid 2D} \alpha_p^{-1} \prod_{p\mid 2D} (\sum \alpha_p^{-1})$$
,

where $\sum \alpha_p^{-1}$ is the sum of the inverses of densities of matrices, up to equivalence, over \mathbf{Z}_p of degree m and determinant D. On the other hand, we have

$$\prod_{p
eq 2D} lpha_p^{-1} = egin{cases} \prod_{p
eq 2D} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1-p^{-2k})^{-1} & m : ext{ odd ,} \ \prod_{p
eq 2D} \left(1-\left(rac{(-1)^{m/2}D}{p}
ight) p^{-m/2}
ight)^{-1} \prod_{k=1}^{(m/2)-1} (1-p^{-2k})^{-1} & m : ext{ even ,} \ \leq c_7 \, . \end{cases}$$

Let

where S_i are unimodular and $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_s$, and put $n_i = \text{degree}$ of S_i , $m_i = \sum_{k=1}^s n_k$. Then we get

$$\alpha_p(S) = 2^{s-1} p^{\omega(t_i, n_i)} \prod\limits_{i=1}^s \alpha_p(S_i)$$
 for odd prime p ,

where $\omega(t_i, n_i) = \sum_{k=1}^s t_k n_k (m_k - (n_k - 1)/2)$, and the sum $\sum \alpha_p^{-1}$ in (3) is

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i} \alpha_{p}^{-1} &= \sum_{n_{k}, t_{k}} \sum_{\substack{\text{deg } S_{t} = n_{t} \\ \text{if } |S_{t}| = D/D(p)}} \alpha_{p}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n_{k}, t_{k}} \frac{2^{1-s}}{\mathcal{D}^{\omega(t_{k}, n_{k})}} \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1} . \end{split}$$

We, now, estimate $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1}$:

$$\begin{split} & \sum \prod_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1} \\ & = \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1} \prod_{n_{k}\neq 2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1} \\ & = \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{-|S_{k}|}{p}\right) p^{-1}\right)^{-1} \prod_{n_{k}\neq 2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1} \\ & = \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-|S_{k}|}{p}\right) p^{-1}\right) \prod_{n_{k}=2} (1 - p^{-2})^{-1} \prod_{n_{k}\neq 2} \alpha_{p}(S_{k})^{-1} \\ & \leq \left\{ \prod_{k=2}^{m} (1 - p^{-k})^{-1} \right\}^{c_{8}} \sum \prod_{n_{k}=2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{-|S_{k}|}{p}\right) p^{-1}\right). \end{split}$$

If some n_k is not 2, then we can take any unit of Z_p as $|S_k|$ for k satisfying $n_k=2$, and $\sum_{n_k=2} \left(1+\left(\frac{-|S_k|}{p}\right)p^{-1}\right)=2^{s-1}$. If all n_k are 2, then $\sum_{k=1}^{s} \left(1+\left(\frac{-|S_k|}{p}\right)p^{-1}\right)=2^{s-1}\left(1+\left(\frac{(-1)^{m/2}D/D^{(p)}}{p}\right)p^{-m/2}\right)$. This implies

$$\sum \alpha_p^{-1} \le \left\{ \prod_{k=2}^m (1 - p^{-k})^{-1} \right\}^{c_0} \sum_{n_k, t_k} \frac{1}{p^{\omega(t_k, n_k)}} \quad \text{for odd } p,$$

Put $D^{(p)}=p^{u_p}$, then $u_p=\sum n_k t_k$ and $\omega(t_k,n_k)\geq u_p$ and the equality arises if and only if $n_1=m-1$, $n_2=1$, $t_1=0$ and $t_2=u_p$.

If we confine ourselves to the case of square-free D, then we have $n_1=m-1$, $n_2=1$, $t_1=0$ and $t_2=u_p$ (= 1). Hence in this case, we have

$$\prod\limits_{\substack{p\mid D\ p
eq2}}\sum lpha_p^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}\leq c_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}D^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)}/D$$
 .

We come back to the case of general D. Let β_s be the number of partitions $m = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i, n_i > 0$, and put $\ell = \omega(t_k, n_k) - u_p = t_s n_s (n_s - 1)/2 + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} t_k n_k (m_k - (n_k + 1)/2)$; then in case of s > 1, we have $t_{s-1} \le \ell$ and $0 \le t_{s-i} \le \ell - i + 1$. This implies that the number of systems $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^s$ such that $\ell = \omega(t_k, n_k) - u_p$ for some n_k satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^s n_k = m, n_k > 0$, $\sum n_k t_k = u_p$, and $0 \le t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_s$ is at most $(\ell + 1) \ell (\ell - 1) \cdots (\ell - s + 3)$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n_k, t_k} p^{-\omega(t_k, n_k)} &\leq \frac{1}{D^{(p)}} \left\{ \sum_{s=2}^m \beta_s \sum_{\ell=s-2}^\infty \frac{(\ell+1)\ell \cdots (\ell-s+3)}{p^\ell} \right\} + p^{-u_p(m+1)/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{D^{(p)}} \left\{ \sum_{s=2}^m \beta_s \frac{(s-1)!}{(p-1)^s} p^2 + p^{-u_p(m-1)/2} \right\}, \end{split}$$

and finally we have

$$\prod_{\substack{p \mid D \\ n \neq 2}} \sum \alpha_p^{-1} \leq c_{10}(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{D^{(2)}}{D}\right)^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

Now we estimate $\sum \alpha_2^{-1}$:

Let $S \cong {S_1 \choose S_2}$ over Z_2 and S_1 is unimodular of degree n and $S_2 \equiv 0(2)$; then from the similar proof of Hilfssatz 10, 11 in [3] it follows that

$$M(S; 2^{\ell}) > (2^{\ell-1})^{(m-n)n} M(S_1; 2^{\ell}) M(S_2; 2^{\ell})$$

and so $\alpha_2(S) \geq 2^{1-(m-n)n}\alpha_2(S_1)\alpha_2(S_2)$. Let

$$S \cong egin{pmatrix} 2^{t_1}S_1 & & & & \ & \ddots & & \ & & \ddots & \ & & & 2^{t_s}S_s \end{pmatrix} \;\; ext{over} \;\; oldsymbol{Z}_2$$
 ,

where S_i are unimodular and $0 \le t_1 < \cdots < t_s$ and put $n_i =$ degree of S_i and $m_i = \sum_{k=i}^s n_k$; then we get

$$\alpha_{2}(S)^{-1} < 2^{-(s-1)-\omega(t_{k},n_{k})+\frac{s-1}{k}\sum_{k=1}^{s-1}n_{k}m_{k+1}}\prod \alpha_{2}(S_{i})^{-1}$$
.

The number of unimodular matrices, up to equivalence, of degree $\leq m$, and the number of partitions $\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_i = m$, are finite, hence we get

$$\sum \alpha_2(S)^{-1} \le c_{11} \sum 2^{-\omega(t_k, n_k)}$$
 $\le c_{12} \frac{1}{D^{(2)}}.$

From these we have

$$H_m(D) \leq c_{13}(\varepsilon)D^{(m-1)/2+\varepsilon}$$
.

4. LEMMA 2. Let L be a positive definite quadratic lattice over Z, and suppose that there is a non-trivial isometry σ of L such that σ has 1 as an eigenvalue of σ . Then there exist non-zero two sublattices L_1, L_2 such that

$$L\supset L_1 \mid L_2\supset c_{14}L$$

where c_{14} is a natural number depending on the rank of L.

Proof. Let n be the order of σ . Then n is not larger than some constant depending on the rank of L. The assumption implies $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^{i} \neq 0$. Put $L_{0} = \{x \in L; \sigma x = x\}$. Then $L_{0} \neq 0$, since there exists some x in L such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^{i} x \neq 0$, and the rank of L_{0} is not equal to the rank of L. For any element x in L, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^{i} x$ is in L_{0} , and $nx - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma^{i} x$ is in L_{0}^{1} . This means

$$L\supset L_0+L_0^\perp\supset nL$$
 .

Remark. $L \supset L_1 \perp L_2 \supset c_{14}L$ is equivalent to

$$L_1 \mid L_2 \supset c_{14}L \supset c_{14}(L_1 \mid L_2)$$
.

5. Lemma 3. By $H_m^0(D)$ we denote the number of equivalence classes of positive definite integral matrices of degree m and determinant D which have a non-trivial unit with 1 as an eigenvalue. Then we have

$$H_m^0(D) < c_{15}(\varepsilon)D^{(m-2)/2+\varepsilon}$$
 for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. For m=2, $c_{16}(\varepsilon)D^{1/2-\varepsilon} \leq H_2(D) \leq c_{17}(\varepsilon)D^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ is proved by Siegel. From Lemma 2 it follows

$$egin{align*} H_m^0(D) &\leq c_{14}^{na} \sum_{a=1}^{c_{14}^{na}} \sum_{b=1}^{\lfloor m/2
brace} \sum_{c \mid aD} H_b(c) H_{m-b}(aD/c) \ &\leq c_{18}(arepsilon) \sum_{a=1}^{c_{14}^{na}} \sum_{b=1}^{\lfloor m/2
brace} (aD)^{(m-b-1)/2+arepsilon} \sum_{c \mid aD} c^{(2b \cdot m)/2} \ &\leq c_{19}(arepsilon) \sum_{a=1}^{c_{14}^{na}} a^{(m-2)/2+2arepsilon} D^{(m-2)/2+2arepsilon} \ &\leq c_{20}(arepsilon) D^{(m-2)/2+2arepsilon} \,. \end{split}$$

6. Proof of Corollary of Theorem 1.

Let S be a positive definite integral matrix of even degree m and

determinant D. Suppose that any matrix which is equivalent to S is always equivalent to S in the narrow sense; then the unit group of Scontains a unit of whose determinant is -1. This implies that the difference $2H_m(D) - h_m(D)$ is at most the number of equivalence classes which have a unit of determinant -1. From Lemma 3 and Theorem 1 follows our corollary.

7. Proof of Theorem 2 In case of m=2, let $S=\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$ and $D=ac-b^2$ and $c\geq a\geq 2|b|$. Since E(S)>2 implies c=a or a=|2b|, the number of equivalence classes which have a non-trivial unit is at most $c_{21}(\varepsilon)D^{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This completes the proof in case of m=2. From Lemma 3 it is sufficient to prove Theorem 2 that we estimate the number of equivalence classes such that they have a non-trivial unit and any non-trivial unit has not 1 as an eigenvalue. Let S be such a matrix, and L be a lattice over Z corresponding to S. We denote the orthogonal group of L (= the unit group of S by G. From the assumption, we see that G contains a unit σ such that σ has not 1 as an eigenvalue and the order q of σ is an odd prime or 4. If q=4, then $\sigma^2=-1$. If $q\neq 4$, then $\sigma+\cdots$ $+\sigma^q=0$. Hence the ring $Z[\sigma]$ is isomorphic to the maximal order O of $Q(\sqrt[q]{1})$. Since, then, L is a torsion-free O-module, from the theory of modules over Dedekind domain it follows that L is O-isomorphic to a direct sum of ideals of $Q(\sqrt[q]{1})$:

$$L \cong A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_n$$

where $A_1 = \cdots = A_{n-1} = 0$, and the ideal A_n is a (fixed) representative of some ideal class. (This ideal class is uniquely determined by L.) This identification transforms S to a totally positive definite Hermitian matrix $H(S) = (h_{ij})$ with h_{ij} in $(A_i \overline{A_j} \theta)^{-1}$, where the bar denotes the complex conjugate and θ is the different of $Q(\sqrt[q]{1})$. Moreover if S_1, S_2 are equivalent and have σ as a unit and $S_1=S_2[T]$ for some T in $GL(m,\mathbf{Z})$ satisfying $\sigma T = \sigma T$, then for corresponding Hermitian forms $H(S_1)$, $H(S_2)$ there exists a matrix $X = (x_{ij})$ such that

$$H(S_1) = XH(S_2)^t \overline{X}$$
, and $x_{ij}, x'_{ij} \in A_i^{-1} A_j$,

where $(x'_{ij}) = X^{-1}$. We remark that there is a natural number c such that all entries of cH(S) are integers in $Q(\sqrt[q]{1})$, and the group $G = \{X\}$

 $=(x_{ij})$; x_{ij} , $x'_{ij} \in A_i^{-1}A_j$, where $(x'_{ij}) = X^{-1}$ and GL(n,O) are commensurable. On the other hand, any totally positive definite Hermitian matrix is equivalent (with respect to GL(n,O)) to some element in $\bigcup_{i=1}^{d} S\{X_i\}$, where S is a sufficiently large Siegel domain and X_i is a non-singular integral matrix. (S,X_i,d) depend on only q and n.) This implies that the class number of positive definite Hermitian forms with the norm of determinant $\leq D$ is at most $c(q)D^{n/2}$, where the constant c(q) depends on only q. From these it follows that the number of equivalence classes in which there is some positive definite matrix S such that S has σ as a unit and $|S| \leq D$ is at most $c_{22}D^{n/2}$. Since m > 2 implies n < m - 1, we have proved Theorem 2.

7. Proof of Corollary of Theorem 2.

It is easy to calculate the mass of square-free and odd determinant by using [3], [6]:

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{S} rac{1}{E(S)} &= rac{D^{(m-1)/2}}{4\pi^{m(m+1)/4}} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \Gammaigg(rac{k}{2}igg)^{(m-1)/2}_{\quad k=1} \zeta(2k) \ &\qquad imes \Big\{ (1 + 2^{-(m-1)/2}) \Big(1 + \delta \Big(rac{-1}{D}\Big)^{rac{m+1}{2}} D^{-(m-1)/2} \Big) \ &\qquad + (1 - 2^{-(m-1)/2}) \Big(1 - \delta \Big(rac{-1}{D}\Big)^{rac{m+1}{2}} D^{-(m-1)/2} \Big) \Big\} \; , \end{aligned}$$

where S runs over a set of representatives of classes of positive definite integral matricies of odd degree $m \geq 3$ and of square-free and odd determinant D, and $\delta = (-1)^{(n+1)(n+2)/2+((D-1)/2)n}(n=(m-3)/2)$. Corollary follows from this.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras, Interscience Pub., 1962.
- [2] M. Kneser, Klassenzahlen quadratischer Formen, Jahresbericht d. DMV, 61 (1958), 76-88.
- [3] O. Körner, Die Maße der Geschlechter quadratischer Formen vom Range ≤3 in quadratischen Zahlkörpern, Math. Ann., 193 (1971), 279-314.
- [4] H. Minkowski, Diskontinuitätsbereich für arithmetische Äquivalenz, J. reine angew. Math., 129 (1905), 220-274.
- [5] O. T. O'Meara, Introduction to quadratic forms, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [6] H. Pfeuffer, Einklassige Geschlechter totalpositiver quadratischer Formen in totalreellen algebraischen Zahlkörpern, Jour. number theory 3 (1971), 371-411.
- [7] C. L. Siegel, Über die analytische Theorie der quadratischen Formen, Ann. Math., 36 (1935), 527-606.

- [8] C. L. Siegel, Einheiten quadratischer Formen, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 13 (1940), 209-239.
- [9] A. Weil, Discontinuous subgroups of classical groups, Lecture at the University of Chicago, 1958.

Nagoya University