employees seen in our Employee Health Service with sore throat complaints. The results are summarized in Table 1. These values are significantly different (P<0.005) from the reported value of 6.2% positive cultures.<sup>1</sup>

Streptococcal pharyngitis is usually associated with tonsillar erythema, or exudate; fever; or enlarged anterior cervical nodes.<sup>2</sup> The American Heart Association (AHA) lists tender anterior cervical lymph nodes, pharyngeal exudate and scarlatiniform rash as clinical signs suggestive of streptococcal infection.<sup>3</sup> However, we have found the following signs as summarized in Table 2 for data available from 1984. In no patient was a rash documented.

Three patients out of 49 had no objective findings. The most prevalent objective findings were erythema (85%) and enlarged anterior cervical nodes (55%). Fifty-one percent of those who were positive had both findings. Nine patients out of the 42 patients (21%) with erythematous tonsils had no other accompanying findings. In our study only 18% had exudative tonsils in contrast of 70% as reported by Pantell.<sup>4</sup> Hence the most reliable findings for choosing candidates in a Hospital Employee Health setting for throat culture is erythematous tonsils. It is important that hospital employees who are in constant contact with patients do not inadvertently transmit streptococcus infection to patient and co-workers.

#### REFERENCES

- Watanakunakorn C: Should routine throat cultures be done in hospital personnel complaining of a sore throat. *Infect Control* 1985; 6:183-185.
- Stollerman GH: Clinical syndromes of group A streptococcal infection, in Wyngaarden JB, Smith LH (eds). *Cecil Textbook of Medicine*. Philadelphia, WB Saunders, 1982, p 145.
- Kaplan EL, Bison AL, et al: AHA Committee Report: Prevention of rheumatic lever. *Circulation* 1977; 55:S1-4.
- Pantell RH, Bergman DA: Strategies for pharyngitis management: Who benefits? who pays? who decides?, in Shulman ST (ed). *Pharyngitis: Management in an Era of Declining Rheumatic Fever.* New York, Praeger, 1984, p 206.

Anna Fang Wu, PhD, MD Denese Wojcik, RN Sandra Crane Kupchik, RN Patricia Larsen, LPN Department of Internal Medicine Northwestern University Medical School and Employee Health Service Northwestern Memorial Hospital Chicago, Illinois

#### Dr. Chatrchai Watanakunakorn responds to Dr. Wu's comments.

The higher rate of positive throat cultures for group A streptococcus from hospital employees reported by Dr. Wu and her colleagues is of interest. There are obvious differences between our studies. For instance,

ABLE 1 ULTURE POSITIVE GROUP A STREPTOCOCCUS HARYNGITIS					
Year	No. of Positive/ No. of Cultures	% Positive			
1982	99/732	13.5%			
1983	64/650	12.9%			
1984	56/457	12.3%			
1/85-5/85	35/190	18.4%			

	TABLE 2SIGNS ASSOCIATED WITH CULTURE POSITIVE GROUP ASTREPTOCOCCUS (TOTAL CULTURES 49)					
Signs	Number (total)	Percent of total				

Temperature >9	9,5°F 10 (49)	20%
Erythema	42 (49)	85%
Edema of tonsils	8 (49)	16%
Exudate	18 (49)	37%
Enlargement of		
cervical nodes	27 (49)	55%

with only 3200 employees in our hospital, 323 throat cultures were done during a three month period in 1984, or 34 throat cultures per 1000 employees per month. In contrast, with 4300 employees in their hospital, only 457 throat cultures were done during a twelve-month period in 1984, or 9 throat cultures per 1000 employees per month. Obviously there were significantly less throat cultures done on employees at their hospital. Perhaps employees with a mild sore throat at their hospital did not seek treatment at the Employee Health Service. Or perhaps only employees with a severe sore throat were cultured.

I agree that it is important that hospital employees who are in constant contact with patients do not inadvertently transmit group A streptococcus from their throat to patients and coworkers. This did not happen in our hospital during the past six years that we have data. To my knowledge there have been no reports of its occurrence at others hospitals either.

Chatrchai Watanakunakorn, MD St. Elizabeth Hospital Medical Center Youngstown, Ohio

## Influence of Multiple Isolates on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns from Blood Cultures

#### To the Editor:

We recently reported that there was no practical differences between including multiple isolates versus only one isolate per patient when calculaing the antibiotic susceptibility profile of bacteria identified from the specimens submitted to a clinical microbiology laboratory.<sup>1</sup> We speculated, however, that the effect might be much greater if one considered only specimens, such as blood cultures, where repetitive cultures are especially common. Blood cultures are of special interest because of the clinical importance of empiric therapy.

We have now completed an analysi, using the same methodology as referenced above, of positive blood cultures. A total of 221 isolates from positive blood cultures obtained over approximately 20 weeks were included. Our data are summarized in the Table. For each organism of interest the total number of isolates (bottom number) and number of nonduplicate isolates (top number) is given along with the percent susceptible to various antibiotics.

As in our original study, we found no clinically relevant difference between the two methods of computing antibiotic susceptibility profiles. Any method of computing antibiotic susceptibility patterns should be consistently applied so that trends can be observed. We conclude, however, that efforts to exclude redundant isolates from the computations appear unnecessary.

#### REFERENCE

 Bennett WP, O'Connor ML, Wasilauskas BL: A comparison of antibiotic susceptibility profiles using single and multiple isolates per patient. *Infect Contol* 1985; 6:157-160.

William P. Bennett, MD Michael L. O'Connor, MD Benedict L. Wasilauskas, PhD Department of Pathology Bowman Gray School of Medicine of Wake Forest University Winston-Salem, North Carolina

### TABLE PERCENT ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBLE ORGANISMS FROM POSITIVE BLOOD CULTURES FOR ALL ISOLATES (BOTTOM) AND FOR ONE ISOLATE PER PATIENT (TOP)

Gram (+) Aerobes	No. of Isolates	Amp	Cepl	Eryt	Nafc	PenG	Vanc	T/S	
Enterococcus	12 17	58 59	NA* NA	75 77	NA NA	100 100	100 100	100 100	
S. aureus	34 41	0 0	97 98	70 73	88 90	3 2	100 100	100 100	
S. epidermidis	69 77	0 0	96 92	49 48	94 91	33 32	99 99	96 96	
Gram (-) Aerobes	No. of Isolates	Amp	Cepl	Gent	Amik	Tobr	Mezi	Ticr	T/S
Enterobacter	9 10	11 10	11 10	100 100	100 100	89 90	67 60	67 60	100 100
E. coli	25 27	88 85	100 100	100 100	100 100	100 100	88 85	84 82	100 100
Klebsiella	11 11	9 9	91 91	100 100	100 100	91 91	76 76	0 0	82 82
P. mirabilis	1 1	100 100							
Pseudomonas	19 23	5 4	5 4	95 87	100 100	84 87	100 96	100 100	42 44
Serratia	6 7	0 0	17 14	100 86	100 86	83 72	83 76	50 43	100 86



# DONALD C. MACKEL 1927-1985

Mr. Donald C. Mackel, Deputy Chief of the Nosocomial Infections Laboratory Branch of CDC's Hospital Infections Program, died on Thursday, May 23, 1985.

Mr. Mackel joined CDC in 1952 as a Commissioned Officer in the Public Health Service. He served a number of assignments in New Orleans, Louisiana; Phoenix, Arizona; Savannah and Atlanta, Georgia. He was well known for his scientific contributions in studies of

enteric diseases, environmental microbiology, and hospital-acquired infections and was very active in developing strategies for disinfection and sterilization of medical devices.

Mr. Mackel played a major role in laboratory studies associated with a nationwide epidemic of infections caused by commercial intravenous products and was involved in a number of other major, national epidemics including Legionnaires' disease and toxic shock syndrome.

Mr. Mackel was awarded BS and MS degrees in bacteriology and public health in 1951 from the University of Florida and a Masters of Public Health from Tulane University Medical School in 1965.

He received the Public Health Service's Meritorious Service Medal in 1972 and the Commendation Service Medal in 1982. He was active in a number of scientific and professional societies including the American Society for Microbiology, where he was a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology and the American Public Health Association, where he was Chairman of the committee on Microbial Contamination Control of the APHA's Laboratory Section. He authored over 50 scientific papers, manuals, and chapters on subjects ranging from laboratory and epidemiologic studies of enteric disease to hospital-acquired infections, environmental health, and biological safety.