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Abstract

For $k \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$, we prove that the number of odd $k$-perfect numbers with $r$ distinct prime factors is at most $4^r(k-1)^{2r^2+3}$.
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For a positive integer $n$, let $\sigma(n)$ denote the sum of all divisors of $n$. We say that $n$ is a perfect number if $\sigma(n) = 2n$. One of the most famous conjectures in number theory is that there exists no odd perfect number. However, no significant progress has been made toward this problem up to now. In 1913, Dickson [4] showed that for each $r \geq 1$, there are only finitely many odd perfect numbers $n$ with $\omega(n) \leq r$, where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of $n$. The first explicit upper bound for the number of odd perfect numbers with at most $r$ distinct prime factors was given by Pomerance [9], who showed that any such $n$ satisfies $n \leq (4r)^{(4r)^{2r^2}}$. Later, the bound was improved by Cook [3], Heath-Brown [5] and Nielsen [7]. Recently, with the help of an elementary discussion, Pollack [8] obtained the current best bound and showed that there exist at most $4^r$ odd perfect numbers $n$ with $\omega(n) \leq r$.

Subsequently, Chen and Luo [1] extended Pollack’s method to multiperfect numbers: a positive integer $n$ is called $\alpha$-perfect for rational $\alpha$ if $\sigma(n) = \alpha n$, and multiperfect or $k$-perfect when $\alpha$ is an integer $k$. They proved that the number of odd $k$-perfect numbers with at most $r$ distinct prime factors is not greater than $(k-1) \cdot 4^r$. Unfortunately, Chen and Luo’s result does not reduce to Pollack’s when $k = 2$. The main purpose of this very short note is to fix this flaw.
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Theorem 1. Suppose that \( k \geq 2 \) and \( r \geq 1 \). Then the number of odd \( k \)-perfect numbers \( n \) with \( \omega(n) \leq r \) is bounded by \( 4^r (k-1)^{2r+3} \).

Notice that McCarthy [6] has shown that if \( n \) is \( k \)-perfect then \( \omega(n) \geq k^2 - 1 \). So our bound \( 4^r (k-1)^{2r+3} = (k-1) \cdot 4^r (r+1) \log_2(k-1) \) is surely better than \( (k-1) \cdot 4^r \) for any \( k \geq 2 \) and \( r \geq k^2 - 1 \).

Proof of Theorem 1. Below we may assume that \( k \geq 3 \). For a positive integer \( n \), we say that \( n \) is primitive if \( d \nmid \sigma(d) \) for each proper divisor \( d > 1 \) of \( n \) with \( (d, n/d) = 1 \). Let

\[
I_{\alpha, r}(x) = \{ n \leq x : n \text{ is an odd primitive } \alpha\text{-perfect number and } \omega(n) \leq r \}.
\]

In [1, Lemma 3], Chen and Luo proved that

\[
|I_{\alpha, r}(x)| \leq \frac{2.62}{\alpha^2 - 1} \cdot (\log x)^r.
\]

Furthermore, if \( \alpha \) is an integer they showed that \( |I_{\alpha, r}(x)| \leq 0.02(\log x)^r \).

Now suppose that \( \sigma(n) = kn \). If \( n \) is not primitive, then let \( 1 < d_1 < n \) be the least divisor of \( n \) satisfying \( (d_1, n/d_1) = 1 \) and \( d_1 \mid \sigma(d_1) \). Let \( k_1 = \sigma(d_1)/d_1 \). Clearly \( d_1 \) is an odd primitive \( k_1 \)-perfect number. Suppose that \( n/d_1 \) is still not primitive. Let \( d_2 > 1 \) be the least proper divisor of \( n/d_1 \) such that \( (d_2, n/(d_1d_2)) = 1 \) and \( d_2 \mid \sigma(d_2) \), and let \( k_2 = \sigma(d_2)/d_2 \). Continue this process until \( n/(d_1d_2 \cdots d_l) \) is primitive, where \( k_1 \geq 2 \) is an integer and \( d_i \) is an odd primitive \( k_i \)-perfect number for every \( 1 \leq i \leq l \). Let \( d_{i+1} = n/(d_1 \cdots d_l) \). Then \( d_{i+1} \) is an odd primitive \( k/(k_1 \cdots k_l) \)-perfect number. Note that \( d_{i+1} \geq 2 \) and those \( d_i \) are co-prime. So

\[
k_1 = \sigma(n) = \sigma(d_1) \cdots \sigma(d_l) = k_1 d_1 \cdots k_l d_l = (k_1 \cdots k_l) \cdot n,
\]

that is, \( k_1 \cdots k_l < k \). Since \( k_i \geq 2 \), we must have \( l \leq \log_2(k-1) \).

For any term \( (k_1, \ldots, k_l) \), by the auxiliary lemma of Chen and Luo, the number of odd primitive \( k/(k_1 \cdots k_l) \)-perfect \( d_{i+1} \leq x \) is at most

\[
I_{k/(k_1 \cdots k_l), r}(x) \leq \frac{2.62(\log x)^r}{(k/(k_1 \cdots k_l))^2 - 1} \leq \frac{2.62(\log x)^r}{(k/(k-1))^2 - 1} = \frac{2.62(k-1)^2}{2k-1} (\log x)^r.
\]

And for each \( 1 \leq i \leq l \), the number of odd primitive \( k_i \)-perfect \( d_i \leq x \) is at most \( 0.02(\log x)^r \). Hence the number of all odd nonprimitive \( k \)-perfect \( n \leq x \) is bounded by

\[
\frac{2.62(k-1)^2}{2k-1} (\log x)^r \cdot (0.02(\log x)^r)^{\log_2(k-1)} \sum_{2 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_l \leq k \atop k_1 \cdots k_l < k} 1.
\]

On the other hand, for an integer \( m \geq 2 \), let the multiplicative partition function \( \pi(m) \) denote the number of ways of factorising \( m \) into a product of integers greater than 1,
where the order of those factors is ignored. In [2], Chen proved that \( f(m) \leq m/4 + 1 \) for all \( m \). Thus, for \( k \geq 3 \), using a simple induction,

\[
\sum_{2 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_l \leq k \leq 2m-1} \sum_{2 \leq k_1 \leq \cdots \leq k_l = m} 1 = \sum_{2 \leq m \leq k-1} f(m) \leq \frac{(k-1)^2 - 1}{2}.
\]

So the number of odd \( k \)-perfect numbers in \([1, x]\) is not greater than

\[
\frac{2.62(k-1)^2}{2k-1} (\log x)^r \cdot (0.02(\log x)^r)^{\log_2(k-1)} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{2 \leq m \leq k-1} f(m)\right) \leq \frac{(k-1)^2 + 1}{2} \cdot \frac{2.62(k-1)^2}{2k-1} \cdot (\log x)^{r(1+\log_2(k-1))} \leq (k-1)^3 \cdot (\log x)^{r(1+\log_2(k-1))}.
\]

Finally, Nielsen [7, Theorem 1] has proved that any odd \( k \)-perfect number \( n \) with \( \omega(n) = r \) does not exceed \( 2^r \). Substituting \( x = 2^r \) in (1), we get that the number of odd \( k \)-perfect numbers with \( r \) distinct prime factors is bounded by

\[
(k-1)^3 \cdot (\log 2^r)^{r(1+\log_2(k-1))} \leq 4^r (k-1)^{2r+3}.
\]

The proof is complete.
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