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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 6$ with minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Arkin and Hassin ['Graph partitions with minimum degree constraints', Discrete Math. 190 (1998), 55-65] conjectured that there exists a bipartition $S, T$ of $V(G)$ such that $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-2 \leq|S|,|T| \leq\lceil n / 2\rceil+2$ and the minimum degrees in the subgraphs induced by $S$ and $T$ are at least two. In this paper, we first show that $G$ has a bipartition such that the minimum degree in each part is at least two, and then prove that the conjecture is true if the complement of $G$ contains no complete bipartite graph $K_{3, r}$, where $r=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3$.
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## 1. Introduction

All graphs considered here are finite, simple and undirected graphs. Let $G=(V(G)$, $E(G)$ ) be a graph. The complement of $G$ is denoted by $\bar{G}$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[S]$ and $G-S$ denote the subgraphs induced by $S$ and $V(G)-S$, respectively. When $S=\{v\}$, we simplify $G-\{v\}$ to $G-v$. Let $N_{S}(v)$ be the set of the neighbours of a vertex $v$ contained in $S, N_{S}[v]=N_{S}(v) \cup\{v\}$ and $d_{S}(v)=\left|N_{S}(v)\right|$. A $k$-vertex is a vertex of degree $k$. We call $k$-vertices adjacent to $v k$-neighbours of $v$. The minimum degree of $G$ is denoted by $\delta(G)$. Simply, we write $\delta(G[S])$ as $\delta(S)$. A complete bipartite graph of order $s+t$ is denoted by $K_{s, t}$. For $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, define $(X, Y)_{G}=\{u v \in E(G) \mid u \in X, v \in Y\}$ and let $G[X, Y]$ be a graph with vertex set $X \cup Y$ and edge set $(X, Y)_{G}$. Let $P$ be a path. We denote by $\vec{P}$ the path $P$ with a given orientation and by $\overleftarrow{P}$ the path $P$ with the reverse orientation. If $u, v \in V(P)$, then $u \vec{P} v$ ( $u \overleftarrow{P} v$, respectively) denotes the consecutive vertices of $P$ from $u$ to $v$ in the direction specified by $\vec{P}(\overleftarrow{P}$, respectively). To contract an edge $e=u v$ of a graph $G$ is to delete $e$, and then identify its ends and delete possible parallel edges. The resulting graph is

[^0]denoted by $G / e$. A bipartition $S, T$ of $V(G)$ is said to be balanced or almost balanced if $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor \leq|S|,|T| \leq\lceil n / 2\rceil$ or $\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-2 \leq|S|,|T| \leq\lceil n / 2\rceil+2$. A bipartition $S, T$ of $V(G)$ is said to be an $(s, t)$-bipartition if $\delta(S) \geq s$ and $\delta(T) \geq t$, where $s, t$ are nonnegative integers.

In [6], Stiebitz showed that every graph with minimum degree at least $s+t+1$ admits an ( $s, t$ )-bipartition. Kaneko [4] and Diwan [2] strengthened this result, proving that it suffices to assume that the minimum degree is at least $s+t$ or $s+t-1(s, t \geq 2)$ if $G$ contains no cycles shorter than four or five, respectively.

It is natural to ask an analogous question on balanced or almost balanced bipartitions. Let $s$ and $t$ be two nonnegative integers. Is there an integer $k$ such that every graph with minimum degree at least $k$ admits a balanced or an almost balanced ( $s, t$ )-bipartition? In [5], Maurer proved an interesting result, from which it is easy to see that every connected graph with minimum degree at least two admits a balanced (1, 1)-bipartition.

Theorem 1.1. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Then, for any positive integer $l$ with $2 \leq l \leq n-2$, $G$ admits a (1, 1)-bipartition $S, T$ such that $|S|=l$ and $|T|=n-l$.

Arkin and Hassin [1] have given the following conjecture for graphs with minimum degree at least four.

Conjecture 1.2. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Then $G$ admits an almost balanced (2, 2)-bipartition.

Note that if a graph $G$ has a (2,2)-bipartition, then clearly $|G| \geq 6$, and so we need only consider whether Conjecture 1.2 is true for $n \geq 6$. In [3], El-Zahar established the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (El-Zahar [3]). Let $G$ be a graph of order $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$ with $\delta(G) \geq$ $\left\lceil n_{1} / 2\right\rceil+\left\lceil n_{2} / 2\right\rceil$; then $G$ contains two vertex disjoint cycles of lengths $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, where $n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 3$ are two integers.

By Theorem 1.3, if $\delta(G) \geq 4$, then $G$ contains two vertex disjoint cycles of lengths $n_{1}=n_{2}=3$ if $n=6, n_{1}=3$ and $n_{2}=4$ if $n=7$ and $n_{1}=n_{2}=4$ if $n=8$. This is to say that Conjecture 1.2 is true for $6 \leq n \leq 8$. Up to now, no results were obtained on Conjecture 1.2 and so it is still open. In this paper, we first improve a result on (2,2)-bipartitions due to Stiebitz [6] by showing the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 6$ with $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Then $G$ admits a (2,2)bipartition.

By Theorem 1.4 and the definition of almost balanced bipartitions, it is easy to obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 6$ with $\delta(G) \geq 4$. If $n \leq 11$, then $G$ admits an almost balanced $(2,2)$-bipartition.

Next we show that Conjecture 1.2 is true under some additional constraint. This is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.6. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 6$ with $\delta(G) \geq 4$. If $\bar{G}$ contains no $K_{3, r}$, then $G$ admits an almost balanced (2,2)-bipartition, where $r=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3$.

Obviously, our result supports the truth of Conjecture 1.2.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a graph of order $n \geq 6$ with $\delta(G) \geq 4$. Then $G$ contains two vertex disjoint cycles.

Proof. If $G$ is disconnected, then the result holds trivially. So, we may assume that $G$ is connected. Let $P=v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{p}$ be any longest path of $G$. By the maximality of $P$, we have $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \subseteq V(P)$ and $N_{G}\left(v_{p}\right) \subseteq V(P)$. Since $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq 4$, we may assume that $v_{i}, v_{j} \in N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)$, where $2<i<j<p$. If $v_{1} v_{p} \notin E(G)$, then, since $d_{G}\left(v_{p}\right) \geq 4$, there is either some $k$ with $i+1 \leq k<p-1$ such that $v_{k} \in N_{G}\left(v_{p}\right)$ or some $l, m$ with $1<l<m \leq i-1$ such that $v_{l}, v_{m} \in N_{G}\left(v_{p}\right)$. Thus, $v_{1} \vec{P} v_{i} v_{1}$ and $v_{k} \vec{P} v_{p} v_{k}$ in the former case and $v_{1} v_{i} \vec{P} v_{j} v_{1}$ and $v_{p} v_{l} \vec{P} v_{m} v_{p}$ in the latter case are two vertex disjoint cycles of $G$. Therefore, we must have $v_{1} v_{p} \in E(G)$. In this case, $p=n$. Noting that $v_{i-1} \overleftarrow{P} v_{1} v_{i} \vec{P} v_{p}$ and $v_{j-1} \overleftarrow{P} v_{1} v_{j} \vec{P} v_{p}$ are also longest paths of $G$, by similar arguments as before, we may assume that $v_{i-1}, v_{j-1} \in N\left(v_{p}\right)$. If $j>i+1$, then $v_{1} \vec{P} v_{i} v_{1}$ and $v_{p} v_{j-1} \vec{P} v_{p}$ are two vertex disjoint cycles and hence $j=i+1$. If $i>3$, then $v_{2} \vec{P} v_{i} v_{1} v_{j} \vec{P} v_{p}$ is a longest path and so $v_{2} v_{p} \in E(G)$. Thus, $v_{1} v_{i} v_{j} v_{1}$ and $v_{2} \vec{P} v_{i-1} v_{p} v_{2}$ are two vertex disjoint cycles. If $i=3$, then, since $p=n \geq 6$, we have $4=j<p-1$, whence $v_{p-1} \overleftarrow{P} v_{i} v_{p} v_{i-1} v_{1}$ is a longest path and so $v_{1} v_{p-1} \in E(G)$. Hence, $v_{p} v_{i-1} v_{i} v_{p}$ and $v_{1} v_{j} \vec{P} v_{p-1} v_{1}$ are two vertex disjoint cycles.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Lemma 2.1, $G$ has two vertex disjoint subgraphs $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\delta\left(H_{i}\right) \geq 2$ for $i=1,2$. Choose $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ such that $\left|H_{1}\right|+\left|H_{2}\right|$ is as large as possible. If $\left|H_{1}\right|+\left|H_{2}\right|<n$, set $H_{3}=G-V\left(H_{1}\right)-V\left(H_{2}\right)$. By the choice of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, we have $d_{H_{i}}(h) \leq 1$ for any $h \in V\left(H_{3}\right)$ and $i=1,2$, which implies that $\delta\left(H_{3}\right) \geq 2$ since $\delta(G) \geq 4$. In this case, $H_{1}$ and $H_{2} \cup H_{3}$ satisfy $\delta\left(H_{1}\right) \geq 2$ and $\delta\left(H_{2} \cup H_{3}\right) \geq 2$, which contradicts the choice of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We will use induction on $n$. By Corollary 1.5, Theorem 1.6 holds for $6 \leq n \leq 11$. Now we assume that $n \geq 12$ and that the result holds for all small $n$. In the following, we let $r=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3$.

Firstly, we show that $G$ admits a (2, 2)-bipartition $S, T$ such that $||S|-|T|| \leq$ $\lceil(n-1) / 2\rceil-\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor+5$.

If there exists $x \in V(G)$ such that $\delta(G-x) \geq 4$, then $\delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 4$ and $\overline{G^{\prime}}$ contains no $K_{3, r}$, as $\overline{G^{\prime}} \subseteq \bar{G}$, where $G^{\prime}=G-x$. Thus, $G^{\prime}$ admits an almost balanced (2,2)bipartition $S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}$ by induction. Since $d_{G}(x) \geq 4$, we have $d_{S^{\prime}}(x) \geq 2$ or $d_{T^{\prime}}(x) \geq 2$, say $d_{S^{\prime}}(x) \geq 2$. Let $S=S^{\prime} \cup\{x\}, T=T^{\prime}$; then $S, T$ is a (2,2)-bipartition of $G$ and $||S|-|T||=\left|\left|S^{\prime}\right|+1-\left|T^{\prime}\right|\right| \leq\lceil(n-1) / 2\rceil-\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor+5$. So, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(G-x)=3 \quad \text { for any } x \in V(G) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $(*)$, we have $\delta(G)=4$ and, if $d_{G}(x)=4$, then $x$ has a 4-neighbour.
Let $u, v$ be two adjacent 4 -vertices.

## Claim 1. $u, v$ have at most two common neighbours.

To prove our claim, suppose that $u, v$ have three common neighbours $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$. Denote $X=V(G)-\left\{u, v, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right\}$; then $N_{\bar{G}}(u)=N_{\bar{G}}(v)=X$. Since $\bar{G}$ contains no $K_{3, r}$, we have $\left|N_{\bar{G}}(w) \cap X\right| \leq r-1$ for any $w \in V(G)-\{u, v\}$. Then $\left|N_{G}\left(w_{i}\right) \cap X\right| \geq$ $n-r-4$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $\left|N_{G}(x) \cap X\right| \geq n-r-5$ for any $x \in X$. Noting that $d_{G}(x) \geq 4$, we have $\left|N_{G}(x) \cap\left(V(G)-\left\{u, v, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}\right)\right| \geq 2$. If $n=12$, then $\mid N_{G}\left(w_{3}\right) \cap(V(G)-$ $\left.\left\{u, v, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}\right) \mid \geq n-r-4=5$; thus, $\left\{u, v, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}, V(G)-\left\{u, v, w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ is an almost balanced (2,2)-bipartition of $G$. If $n \geq 13$, let $x_{0} \in X$; then $x_{0} u, x_{0} v \in E(\bar{G})$. Since $\left|N_{G}(x) \cap\left(X-x_{0}\right)\right| \geq n-r-6=n-\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3 \geq 4$ for any $x \in X-x_{0}$ and $\mid N_{G}\left(w_{i}\right) \cap$ $\left(X-x_{0}\right) \mid \geq n-r-5=n-\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-2 \geq 5$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we have $\delta\left(G-x_{0}\right) \geq 4$, which contradicts (*). This proves our claim.

Claim 2. If $u, v$ have a common neighbour $w$, then $d_{G}(w) \geq 5$.
To prove our claim, suppose to the contrary that $d_{G}(w)=4$. Then $\mid V(G)-$ $N_{G}[u]-N_{G}[v]-N_{G}[w] \mid \geq n-9 \geq\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3=r$ that is, there exist $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r} \in$ $V(G)-N_{G}[u]-N_{G}[v]-N_{G}[w]$ and $\bar{G}\left[\{u, v, w\},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}\right]$ is a $K_{3, r}$, which is a contradiction. This proves our claim.

Let $G^{\prime}=G / u v$ and denote by $w$ the vertex resulting from the contraction of $e$. By Claim 1, we have $\left|N_{G}(u) \cup N_{G}(v)\right| \geq 4$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}(w) \geq 4$. For any $x \in V(G)-\{u, v\}$, if $x$ is not a common neighbour of $u, v$, we have $d_{G^{\prime}}(x)=d_{G}(x) \geq 4$; if $x$ is a common neighbour of $u, v$, we have $d_{G^{\prime}}(x)=d_{G}(x)-1 \geq 4$ by Claim 2. Therefore, $\delta\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 4$. Since $\overline{G^{\prime}}$ contains no $K_{3, r}$, by induction, $G^{\prime}$ admits an almost balanced (2,2)-bipartition $S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}$. Assume without loss of generality that $w \in S^{\prime}$. If $d_{S^{\prime}-w}(u)=0$, then we must have $d_{T^{\prime}}(u) \geq 3$, as $d_{G}(u) \geq 4$. Since $d_{S^{\prime}}(w) \geq 2$, we have $d_{S^{\prime}-w}(v) \geq 2$. Let $S=\left(S^{\prime}-w\right) \cup\{v\}, T=T^{\prime} \cup\{u\}$; then $S, T$ is a (2,2)-bipartition of $G$ and $||S|-$ $|T|\left|=\left|\left|S^{\prime}\right|-\left|T^{\prime}\right|-1\right| \leq\lceil(n-1) / 2\rceil-\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor+5\right.$. Thus, by symmetry of $u$ and $v$, we may assume that $d_{S^{\prime}-w}(u) \geq 1$ and $d_{S^{\prime}-w}(v) \geq 1$. Let $X=\left(S^{\prime}-w\right) \cup\{u, v\}, T=T^{\prime}$; then $S, T$ is a $(2,2)$-bipartition of $G$ and $||S|-|T||=\left|\left|S^{\prime}\right|+1-\left|T^{\prime}\right|\right| \leq\lceil(n-1) / 2\rceil-$ $\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor+5$.

Next, we show that $G$ admits an almost balanced (2, 2)-bipartition.
By the argument above, $G$ admits a (2,2)-bipartition $S, T$ with $||S|-|T|| \leq$ $\lceil(n-1) / 2\rceil-\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor+5$. Assume without loss of generality that $|S| \geq|T| \geq 3$. If $|S|-|T| \leq\lceil n / 2\rceil-\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+4$, then we see that $S, T$ is an almost balanced (2, 2)-bipartition. Therefore, we have $\lceil n / 2\rceil-\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+5 \leq|S|-|T| \leq\lceil(n-1) / 2\rceil-$ $\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor+5$; thus, $n$ is even and $|S|=\lceil(n-1) / 2\rceil+3=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+3$ and $|T|=$ $\lfloor(n-1) / 2\rfloor-2=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3$. In the following, we will show that $G$ admits an almost balanced ( 2,2 )-bipartition under these conditions.

If $\delta(S)=2$, we assume that $H$ is any component of the subgraph induced by the 2-vertices of $G[S]$ and $V(H)=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\}$. Clearly, $H$ is a path or a cycle. Let $P=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{p}$ be a path in $H$. Since $d_{S}\left(x_{i}\right)=2$ and $d_{G}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 4$, we have $d_{T}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. If $p \geq 3$, then $\left|S-\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} N_{S}\left(x_{i}\right)\right| \geq|S|-5=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-2 \geq r$. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r} \in$ $S-\bigcup_{i=1}^{3} N_{S}\left(x_{i}\right)$. Then $\bar{G}\left[\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\},\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r}\right\}\right]$ is a $K_{3, r}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $p \leq 2$. If $p=2$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ have a common neighbour $x$ in $S$, then $d_{S}(x) \geq 4$. Otherwise, $\left|S-N_{S}[x]-N_{S}\left[x_{1}\right]-N_{S}\left[x_{2}\right]\right| \geq|S|-4=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-1>r$, that is, there exist $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r} \in S-N_{S}[x]-N_{S}\left[x_{1}\right]-N_{S}\left[x_{2}\right]$; then $\bar{G}\left[\left\{x, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\},\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{r}\right\}\right]$ is a $K_{3, r}$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\delta\left(S-\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}\right\}\right) \geq 2$. Let $S^{*}=S-V(P)$, $T^{*}=T \cup V(P)$. Noting that $\delta(T) \geq 2, d_{T}\left(x_{i}\right) \geq 2$, we have $\delta(T \cup V(P)) \geq 2$. Since $\left|\left|S^{*}\right|-\left|T^{*}\right|\right|=|S|-|T|-2 p \leq 4$, we see that $S^{*}, T^{*}$ is an almost balanced (2,2)bipartition of $G$.

Now we assume that $\delta(S) \geq 3$. Denote $X=\left\{x \mid x \in S\right.$ and $\left.d_{T}(x) \geq 1\right\}$. Then $X$ contains all 3-vertices of $G[S]$. Since $|T|=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3=r$ and $\bar{G}$ contains no $K_{3, r}$, we have $(S, T)_{G} \neq \emptyset$, that is, $X \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x \in X$ such that $d_{S}(x)$ is as small as possible and let $x_{1}$ be a neighbour of $x$ in $T$. Since $\bar{G}$ contains no $K_{3, r}$, we have $\left(N_{S}(x), T\right)_{G} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $y \in N_{S}(x) \cap X$ such that $d_{S}(y)$ is as small as possible and let $y_{1}$ be a neighbour of $y$ in $T$. If $\delta(S-\{x, y\})=1$, then $\delta(S)=3$ and $x, y$ have a common neighbour $z$ of degree three in $S$. Noting that $z \in X$, by the choice of $x, y$, we have $d_{S}(x)=d_{S}(y)=3$. Since $\left|S-N_{S}[x]-N_{S}[y]-N_{S}[z]\right| \geq|S|-6=\lfloor n / 2\rfloor-3=r$, there exist $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{r} \in S-N_{S}[x]-N_{S}[y]-N_{S}[z]$. But then $G\left[\{x, y, z\},\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{r}\right\}\right]$ is a $K_{3, r}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $\delta(S-\{x, y\}) \geq 2$. Noting that $\delta(T) \geq 2,\left\{x_{1}, y\right\} \subseteq N_{T \cup\{x, y\}}(x)$ and $\left\{x, y_{1}\right\} \subseteq N_{T \cup\{x, y\}}(y)$, we have $\delta(T \cup\{x, y\}) \geq 2$. Let $S^{*}=S-\{x, y\}, T^{*}=T \cup\{x, y\}$. Since $\left|\left|S^{*}\right|-\left|T^{*}\right|\right|=|S|-|T|-4=2$, we see that $S^{*}, T^{*}$ is an almost balanced (2,2)-bipartition of $G$.

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.6 is complete.
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