This would in turn lead to improved Physical health outcomes, especially in the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Methods.** The NEWS chart is based on a simple aggregate scoring system in which a score is allocated to physiological measurements, when patients present to, or are being monitored in hospital. This will ensure that patients who are deteriorating, or at risk of deteriorating, will have a timely initial assessment. This should supplement clinical judgement in assessing the patient’s condition.

Early detection and escalation of deteriorating NEWS leads to improved patient outcomes and referral to the appropriate specialties, for subsequent management.

The initial phase of the QIP comprised of retrospective data collection surrounding the recognition and documentation of NEWS on an 18-bedded old age psychiatric ward. This period spanned the 2nd wave of the pandemic, from November-December.

Potential interventions were implemented in the form of raising NEWS awareness by educating nursing staff via teaching sessions, displaying posters all over the ward and nursing station. Team also reviewed all NEWS charts everyday during ward management rounds which served as a daily reminder for the staff measuring the observations.

NEWS of & greater than 3 was defined as the threshold for escalation.

Following change implementation, data were collected to capture the progress made over a month.

**Results.** Analysis of data pre and post-interventions displayed a significant improvement in escalation of unwell patients from 26% to 60%.

**Conclusion.** Improved outcomes and early detection of potentially deteriorating patients, leading to early transfer of patients to an Acute Medical setting and better overall management.

Raised awareness and understanding of physical health management in Mental Health nursing.

The QIP was presented at the Trust QI Forum meeting and was met by an overwhelmingly positive response. In order to enhance NEWS recording an electronic format is now being adapted. There is also a consideration around providing regular NEWS teaching sessions to all inpatient staff across the trust.
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**Aims.** Lambeth Home Treatment Team (LHTT) provides short-term intensive community psychiatric care to a diverse South London population. The high turnover of patients requires a streamlined process to review and discuss their progress. We aimed to discuss patients in more frequent, targeted and shorter meetings, and to improve continuity of medical care using a ‘named doctor’ system. We assessed impact on length of stay with LHTT, on staff time as well as on both patient and staff satisfaction.

**Methods.** The system of once-weekly day-long discussions of entire caseload was replaced by twice-weekly discussions of new and concerning patients only. The system of medical reviews was changed from ad hoc to MDT-agreed allocation to a specific doctor for the duration of LHTT stay.

Data on duration of treatment and caseload size were taken from regular LHTT statistical reports. Staff and patient questionnaires assessed impact on satisfaction and time spent in review discussions.

**Results.** Qualitative reports of staff experience revealed that the new system was felt to provide better continuity of care, better time efficiency (less time spent learning about new patients) and improved learning experiences for doctors in training. Downsides included lack of ‘automatic second opinion’ when a patient was reviewed by a different doctor, felt to be mitigated by more frequent discussions in MDT reviews when needed.

Patient feedback showed no significant change was noted in overall experience of LHTT, although patients were more likely to feel involved in their care (88% said ‘definitely’ compared to 68% before the change).

Time spent discussing patients in clinical review meetings reduced from an average of 38.5 to 28.5 person-hours per week.

Average caseload reduced from 57 to 42. However, duration of treatment increased from 18.8 days to 20.4 days.

**Conclusion.** The reduction in staff time in reviews suggests that the system had been appropriately streamlined. While caseload size reduced, duration of stay slightly increased, so the new system was not found to have had a significant impact on objective measures of patient care.

Staff feedback was generally favourable, highlighting continuity of care and time efficiency. Patient feedback, while good both before and after our change, suggested a greater feeling of involvement in their care, possibly due to clearer communication and discussion of plan from the start of LHTT care.
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**Aims.** The aim of this audit is to improve the monitoring of appropriate parameters in patients within the health board who are prescribed lithium, including lithium level, urea and electrolyte, thyroid function tests, calcium. It will also look at whether the same patients are appropriately referred to renal services.

**Methods.** A retrospective review of electronic patient records of 100% of the patients in Forth Valley Health Board who have collected a lithium prescription in the 6 months between March and August 2020.

**Results.** 69% of patients had a lithium level checked within the time period set out in the NICE guideline. Only 43% complied with the guideline on renal referral and 63% on calcium. Compliance with assessment of urea and electrolytes was better at 90%, and thyroid function tests at 85%.

**Conclusion.** Part of the decline in compliance with guidelines is likely to be in relation to the availability of face-to-face appointments during the pandemic, and reduction in outpatient appointments. As a result of this there is a planned further audit looking at how lithium monitoring is reviewed in outpatient psychiatry. This is intended to increase the involvement of psychiatry and the patient in ensuring appropriate monitoring is completed rather than relying solely on the GP.

“Drilling Down”: psychiatry and dentistry in collaborative action

Dr Chiara Cattra1.2.3 and Dr Elizabeth Gonzalez Malaga4