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Summary
A partial \( \text{N-methyl-D-aspartate} \) agonist, \( \text{D-cycloserine} \), enhances fear extinction when given before or shortly after exposure to feared stimuli in animals. In this pilot double-blind placebo-controlled trial (trial number: ISRCTN70977225), 27 youth with obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) were randomised to either 50 mg \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) or placebo administered immediately after each of ten cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) sessions, primarily consisting of exposure and ritual prevention.

Method
Twenty-seven youth with a principal diagnosis of OCD were recruited from the OCD Clinic for Young People at the Maudsley Hospital, London (online Fig. DS1). Inclusion criteria were a confirmed diagnosis of OCD; Children’s Yale–Brown Obsessive–Compulsive Scale (CY-BOCS)\(^7\) score \( >16 \); stable on any psychotropic medications for \( \geq 12 \) weeks; no comorbid psychosis, bipolar disorder, autism or substance misuse/dependence. Participant characteristics are shown in online Table DS1. Power calculations were based on an adult OCD trial,\(^4\) which reported a maximum therapeutic effect of \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) over placebo at mid-treatment.\(^4\) We estimated that to obtain a statistically significant treatment effect on the CY-BOCS at alpha (\( \alpha \)) = 0.05 and 80% power, we would require 12 patients in each group. Therefore we aimed to randomise a total of 24 patients. The protocol allowed replacing individuals who dropped out of treatment, hence the final 27 participants.

Both groups improved significantly and maintained their gains at 1-year follow-up, with no significant advantage of \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) over placebo at any time point. The effects of CBT may not be augmented or accelerated when \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) is administered after sessions.
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The glutamatergic \( \text{N-methyl-D-aspartate} \) (NMDA) receptor is critically involved in learning and memory. A partial NMDA agonist, \( \text{D-cycloserine} \), facilitates fear extinction and reduces return of fear when given before or shortly after exposure to feared stimuli in animals.\(^1\) Several studies in anxiety disorders, including obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD), have suggested that administration of \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) immediately before exposure therapy may augment or accelerate improvement.\(^2\)–\(^5\) Animal studies show that \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) facilitates fear extinction even if administered after exposure.\(^6\) To date, all existing human trials but one\(^6\) have administered \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) before exposure sessions. Because it is difficult to predict whether exposure will be successful before the session starts, administering \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) after sessions in which \textit{in vivo} exposure actually took place, rather than before, makes intuitive sense. This pilot double-blind placebo-controlled trial (trial number: ISRCTN70977225) tested whether \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) augments and/or accelerates the effects of cognitive–behavioural therapy (CBT) for OCD when administered after CBT sessions incorporating \textit{in vivo} exposure and ritual prevention (ERP). We expected a significant advantage of \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) at mid-treatment.\(^4\)

The 14-session manualised treatment was delivered by experienced therapists and incorporated psychoeducation (two sessions), ERP (ten sessions) and relapse prevention (two sessions) delivered over a 17-week period. The protocol required that sessions 3–12 included \textit{in vivo} ERP. Immediately after each of these ten ERP sessions, a psychiatrist administered one dose of either \( \text{D-cycloserine} \) or placebo. Homework ERP tasks were set each week and reviewed in the next session. Homework adherence was monitored using the Patient ERP Adherence Scale (PEAS).\(^9\) Adverse effects were carefully monitored by telephone the day following each session using the Safety Monitoring Uniform Report Form.\(^10\) A masked rater administered the CY-BOCS at the beginning of each session, providing session-by-session data. Double-blind follow-up assessments were completed at 3, 6 and 12 months post-treatment. Unmasking took place after the last patient had completed the 12-month follow-up.

General linear mixed models for group means as fixed effects, while simultaneously modelling for individual participant variables as random effects, were implemented in Stata (version 11 for Windows). This approach is superior to traditional repeated measures analyses of variance in handling missing data points while modelling the influence of non-linear individual differences across time.\(^11\) Logistic regressions demonstrated that no baseline variables predicted missingness of data at any time point; hence, any missing data were treated as ‘missing at random.’
On intention-to-treat (ITT) analyses, both groups improved significantly and robustly over time on the CY-BOCS (regression coefficient $-3.80$, 95% CI $-4.76$ to $-2.83$, z-score $-7.74$, $P < 0.001$). There were no statistically significant group (coefficient $0.41$, 95% CI $-3.08$ to $3.90$, z-score $0.23$, $P = 0.82$) or group × time interaction (coefficient $0.36$, 95% CI $-1.04$ to $1.75$, z-score $0.50$, $P = 0.614$) effects on the CY-BOCS; the expected early augmentation effects were not observed (online Fig. DS2, online Table DS2). Treatment response (defined as ≥35% reduction on the CY-BOCS) was seen in 8 D-cycloserine and 9 placebo patients at post-treatment and 9 D-cycloserine and 12 placebo patients at 12-month follow-up. Remission (defined as CY-BOCS scores ≤10) was seen in 7 D-cycloserine and 6 placebo patients at post-treatment and 9 D-cycloserine and 10 placebo patients at 12-month follow-up.

Both groups improved significantly on all secondary measures, including self- and parent-reported OCD symptoms, depression and global functioning (Table DS2). There were no significant group (all $P > 0.05$) or group × time interaction (all $P > 0.05$) effects on any secondary measures. No participant reported adverse drug reactions attributable to D-cycloserine or placebo.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to test whether D-cycloserine administered after ERP sessions enhances the effects of CBT in OCD. It is also the first to evaluate the long-term (12-month) effects of D-cycloserine v. placebo in an anxiety disorder. Administration of D-cycloserine after ten CBT sessions incorporating ERP did not augment or accelerate the process of recovery either acutely or in the long term. The results echo those of a similar study in acrophobia. Previous research has suggested that the augmenting effects of D-cycloserine may be particularly evident early in treatment and that differences between D-cycloserine and placebo decrease over time. In fact, none of the previous D-cycloserine studies in OCD reported significant augmentation at post-treatment. Session-by-session analyses of our data found no evidence of superiority of D-cycloserine over placebo at any time point.

Previous animal work has shown that D-cycloserine has its biggest effects in augmenting fear extinction if administered immediately before or after exposure, and NMDA-dependent fear extinction is thought to continue 1–2 days after training. It is therefore likely that post-exposure administration of D-cycloserine reaches the salient site of action in a time course necessary to obtain an effect, but it remains a possibility that this timing of D-cycloserine is ineffective in humans. However, other explanations are possible. First, the trial may have been underpowered and the results should be considered preliminary. However, earlier similar sized trials did find a modest advantage of D-cycloserine over placebo in OCD and other anxiety disorders. Furthermore, like previous negative trials, our study involved a full course of CBT and very experienced therapists, thus potentially allowing less room for D-cycloserine to show superiority over placebo. If this were the case, D-cycloserine may be particularly useful in clinical settings where experienced therapists are not available or where funding is provided for only a small number of sessions. Third, we chose a dose of 50 mg, which had been effective in previous anxiety disorder trials (e.g. Ressler et al.), but it is currently unclear to what extent the dose may moderate treatment efficacy. Of note, the previous OCD trials with positive findings employed larger D-cycloserine doses (100–125 mg).

Clearly more research is required to fully understand the clinical effects of D-cycloserine in human anxiety disorders. From this study, we conclude that CBT incorporating ERP is an effective and powerful treatment on its own and that administration of D-cycloserine after sessions may not augment or accelerate its effects. However, given the limited statistical power, the conditions under which D-cycloserine accelerates the clinical response to exposure-based treatments warrant the continuation of translational research.
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