

Block idempotents and the Brauer correspondence

Jon F. Carlson

Let H be a subgroup of a finite group G . In this paper the Brauer correspondence between blocks of H and blocks of G is characterized in terms of a relationship among the block idempotents.

Let R be an integral domain (commutative with unit element) satisfying the ascending chain condition on ideals. Suppose that N , the radical of R , is a principal ideal ($N = (\pi)$) and that $R^* = R/N$ satisfies the descending chain condition on ideals. Let N contain a rational prime $p = 1 + 1 + \dots + 1$. Assume that R is complete with respect to the topology induced by N . Then either $\pi = 0$ in which case $R = R^*$ is a field of characteristic p , or R is a complete discrete valuation ring.

Let G be a finite group with a subgroup H . Let $R(G)$ denote the group algebra of G with coefficients in R . Then $R(H)$ is a subalgebra of $R(G)$. Let $Z(G)$ and $Z(H)$ denote the centers of $R(G)$ and $R(H)$, respectively. If E is a primitive idempotent in $Z(G)$ the block $B = B(E)$ is the collection of all (right) $R(G)$ -modules V with $VE = V$. To each primitive idempotent E in $Z(G)$ there is associated a linear character $\psi : Z(G) \rightarrow R^*$ with $\psi(E) = 1^*$ and $\psi(F) = 0^*$ for any primitive idempotent $F \neq E$ in $Z(G)$. We shall assume that R^* is a splitting field for $R^*(G)$ and for $R^*(H)$. Then the linear characters on $Z(G)$ and $Z(H)$ will be in one-to-one correspondence with the primitive idempotents in $Z(G)$ and $Z(H)$ respectively (see [2]). We shall write

Received 8 June 1971.

$B \leftrightarrow E \leftrightarrow \psi$ where B is the block of $R(G)$ corresponding to the primitive idempotent in E in $Z(G)$ and ψ is the character of $Z(G)$ associated to E .

For any $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$ in $Z(G)$, let

$$\theta(\alpha) = \sum_{g \in H} a_g g.$$

Then $\theta : Z(G) \rightarrow Z(H)$ is an R -module homomorphism.

DEFINITION. Let $b \leftrightarrow e \leftrightarrow \lambda$ be a block of $R(H)$. If the map $\psi = \lambda \circ \theta : Z(G) \rightarrow R^*$ is a linear character of $Z(G)$ corresponding to a block $B \leftrightarrow E \leftrightarrow \psi$ of $R(G)$, then we say that $b^G = B$ is defined. The correspondence sending b to b^G is called the Brauer correspondence.

Let $\text{rad}Z(H)$ denote the radical of $Z(H)$. We can now state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM. Let $B \leftrightarrow E \leftrightarrow \psi$ and $b \leftrightarrow e \leftrightarrow \lambda$ be blocks of $R(G)$ and $R(H)$ respectively. Then $b^G = B$ if and only if $\theta(F)e$ is in the radical of $Z(H)$ for every primitive idempotent $F \neq E$ in $Z(G)$.

Proof. Let K_1, \dots, K_s be the conjugate classes of G . The ring $Z(G)$ has an R -basis consisting of the elements $\hat{K}_1, \dots, \hat{K}_s$ where

$$\hat{K}_i = \sum g \quad (g \in K_i).$$

In the same way if L_1, \dots, L_t are the conjugate classes in H then $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_t$ span $Z(H)$. Let $A = A(G : H)$ be the R -algebra generated by the class sums $\hat{K}_1, \dots, \hat{K}_s$ and $\hat{L}_1, \dots, \hat{L}_t$. That is, A is the minimal subalgebra of $R(G)$ which contains both $Z(G)$ and $Z(H)$. The products $\hat{K}_i \hat{L}_j$ ($i = 1, \dots, s, j = 1, \dots, t$) span A as an R -algebra.

The map θ can be easily extended to a map $\theta' : A \rightarrow Z(H)$ given by

$$\theta'(\hat{K}_i \hat{L}_j) = \theta(\hat{K}_i) \hat{L}_j = \left[\sum \hat{L}_k \right] \hat{L}_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, t),$$

where the sum is over those k with $L_k \subseteq K_i$. θ' is the projection of

A onto $Z(H)$.

Suppose $b^G = B$. Let F be any primitive idempotent in $Z(G)$ with $F \neq E$. If $f \leftrightarrow \phi$ is any primitive idempotent in $Z(H)$ with $f \neq e$ then $fe = 0$ and $\phi(\theta(F)e) = 0$. Also $\lambda(\theta(F)e) = \lambda \circ \theta(F) = \psi(F) = 0$. Since $\theta(F)e$ is in the kernel of every linear character on $Z(H)$ it is in the radical of $Z(H)$.

Conversely suppose that $\theta(F)e$ is in $\text{rad}Z(H)$ for every primitive idempotent F in $Z(G)$ with $F \neq E$. Let $E = E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m$ be all of the primitive idempotents in $Z(G)$. Then $E_1^*, E_2^*, \dots, E_m^*$ are all of the primitive idempotents in $Z^*(G) = Z(G)/(\pi)Z(G)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^m E_i^* = 1^*$, we

have that $e^* = \sum_{i=1}^m E_i^* e^*$. Now for any $j = 1, \dots, s$,

$$\hat{K}_j^* e^* = \sum_{i=1}^m K_j^* E_i^* e^* = \sum_{i=1}^m \psi_i(\hat{K}_j) E_i^* e^*,$$

where $E_i \leftrightarrow \psi_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\left(\theta\left(\hat{K}_j\right)\right) e^* &\equiv \theta\left(\hat{K}_j^*\right) e^* \equiv \theta'\left(\hat{K}_j^* e^*\right) \\ &\equiv \psi\left(\hat{K}_j\right) \theta'\left(E^* e^*\right) \\ &\equiv \psi\left(\hat{K}_j\right) e^* \pmod{\text{rad}Z^*(H)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $e^* = \theta'(e^*) = \theta'\left(\sum E_i^* e^*\right) \equiv \theta'(E^* e^*) \pmod{\text{rad}Z^*(H)}$. Hence

$\lambda \circ \theta = \psi$ on $Z(G)$.

COROLLARY. Let $B \leftrightarrow E \leftrightarrow \psi$ and $b \leftrightarrow e \leftrightarrow \lambda$ be blocks of $R(G)$ and $R(H)$ respectively. If b^G is defined then $b^G = B$ if and only if $\theta(E)e \equiv e \pmod{\text{rad}Z(H)}$.

This follows directly from the theorem since if E_1, \dots, E_s are all of the primitive idempotents in $Z(G)$ then

$$e = \theta'(e) = \theta'\left(\sum E_i e\right) = \sum \theta(E_i) e.$$

REMARK 1. The condition $\theta(E)e \equiv e \pmod{\{\text{rad}Z(H)\}}$ is not sufficient to guarantee that b^G is defined. For example let $G = \langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle$, $H = \{1\}$, and $R = Z_2[\alpha]$, the algebraic extension of the field with two elements by an element α with $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$. Then the elements $E_1 = 1 + x + x^2$, $E_2 = 1 + \alpha x + \alpha^2 x^2$, and $E_3 = 1 + \alpha^2 x + \alpha x^2$ are all of the idempotents in $Z(G)$. But $e = 1$ is the only idempotent in $Z(H)$ and $\theta(E_1)e = \theta(E_2)e = \theta(E_3)e = e$.

REMARK 2. If H is a normal subgroup of G then $B = b^G$ if and only if $Ee = e$ (see [4]).

REMARK 3. Using these results it is easy to prove the well known result that if $b^G = B$ then any defect group of b is conjugate to a subgroup of some defect group of B (see [1]). For suppose $Z(G : H)$ denotes the centralizer of H in $R(G)$. If D is a defect group of b then by [3] the R -subalgebra $Z_D(G : H)$, spanned by those class sums of conjugate-in- H elements of G with defect groups conjugate to subgroups of D , is an ideal in $Z(G : H)$. Since $\theta(E)e \equiv e \pmod{\{\text{rad}Z(H)\}}$ it is clear that some defect group of B must contain D .

References

- [1] Richard Brauer, "Zur Darstellungstheorie der Gruppen endlicher Ordnung. II", *Math. Z.* 72 (1959), 25-46.
- [2] Walter Feit, *Representations of finite groups*, Part I (Mimeographed Notes, Yale University, New Haven, 1969).
- [3] Masaru Osima, "Notes on blocks of group characters", *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* 4 (1955), 175-188.
- [4] D.S. Passman, "Blocks and normal subgroups", *J. Algebra* 12 (1969), 569-575.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Georgia,
Athens, Georgia, USA.