ongoing supply-chain shortages. Further elucidation of transmission dynamics will assist infection control departments facing ongoing PPE shortages and emerging SARS-CoV-2 mutant strains of this RNA virus.

In conclusion, most hospitals and hospital systems responding to our survey use N95 respirators when caring for patients with proven or suspected COVID-19 and when aerosol-generating procedures are performed. While we await WHO and CDC guidance on how vaccines and highly transmissible mutant strains will affect PPE recommendations, the 2 opposing forces will likely draw out the need for enhanced PPE in healthcare settings.

Acknowledgments. We thank the colleagues who kindly responded to our survey with institution-specific or system-wide data.

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

1. Artenstein AW. In pursuit of PPE. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382(18). doi: [10.1056/nejmc2010025](https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmc2010025).

2. Bartoszko JJ, Farooqi MA, Alhazzani W, *et al.* Medical masks vs N95 respirators for preventing COVID-19 in healthcare workers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2020;14:365–373.
3. Doremalen NV, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, *et al.* Aerosol and surface stability of HCoV-19 (SARS-CoV-2) compared to SARS-CoV-1. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:1564–1567.
4. Leung NH, Chu DK, Shiu EY, *et al.* Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. *Nat Med* 2020;26:676–680.
5. Mermel LA. Respiratory protection for healthcare workers caring for COVID-19 patients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020;41:1064–1065.
6. Ng K, Poon BH, Puar TH, *et al.* COVID-19 and the risk to health care workers: a case report. *Ann Intern Med* 2020;172:766–767.
7. Seto W, Tsang D, Yung R, *et al.* Effectiveness of precautions against droplets and contact in prevention of nosocomial transmission of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). *Lancet* 2003;361:1519–1520.
8. Sickbert-Bennett EE, Samet JM, Clapp PW, *et al.* Filtration efficiency of hospital face mask alternatives available for use during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Intern Med* 2020;180:1607–1612.
9. Woolley K, Smith R, Arumugam S. Personal protective equipment (PPE) guidelines, adaptations and lessons during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Ethics Med Public Health* 2020. doi: [10.1016/j.jemep.2020.100546](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemep.2020.100546).
10. Galloway SE, Paul P, MacCannell DR, *et al.* Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 lineage—United States, December 29, 2020–January 12, 2021. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021. doi: [10.15585/mmwr.mm7003e2externalicon](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7003e2externalicon).

Effectiveness of personal protective equipment—Yes, the buck and virus can stop here

Alan C. Howell MD¹ , Lisa Havens JD², Wes Swinford² and Alejandro C. Arroliga MD³

¹Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Internal Medicine, Baylor Scott and White Health, Temple, Texas, ²Risk Management, Baylor Scott and White Health, Temple, Texas and ³Baylor Scott and White, Temple, Texas

To the Editor—Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has adversely affected the health and well-being of our communities as well as our places of work in countless ways. Healthcare providers (HCPs) across the country continue to step forward in support of their communities and families. These workers are a critical yet finite resource. Thus, it is important they and the systems in which they work take the appropriate steps to prevent severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) exposure and COVID-19. Herein, we discuss our experience as a healthcare system regarding the effectiveness of personal protective equipment (PPE) and the sources of exposure.

Baylor Scott and White Health (BSWH) is the largest not-for-profit healthcare system in Texas and one of the largest in the United States. Our system includes 52 hospitals, 7,300 active physicians, and ~42,000 employees. Since the pandemic began, system recommendations regarding the use and type of PPE have remained consistent with guidance provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Additionally, BSWH set up an Employee Health COVID Command Center (EHCCC) in early 2020. The purpose of the EHCCC was to have 1 centralized contact for employees with questions

about exposures, travel, symptoms, testing, medical leave, and pay. Both patient and community encounters were reported to the EHCCC. The EHCCC created and maintained a single database for monitoring trends and developing reports. Other responsibilities of the EHCCC included fit testing as well as managing the exemption requests and return-to-work issues to maintain safe work environments for staff and patients. EHCCC collaborated with infection control and clinical leaders on providing guidance and developing protocols.

As of August 31, 2020, 12,405 employees had had direct exposure to SARS-CoV-2-positive patients due to their job duties. Moreover, 1,639 of these employees were tested for SARS-CoV-2 based upon the nature of their exposure and/or onset of symptoms consistent with COVID-19. Testing was performed using a nasal swab and a nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT). Overall, 87 employees tested positive. Our observed conversion rate was 0.70% by encounter and 0.20% by total staff. The median time from COVID-19 patient exposure to positive employee test was 11 days. Finally, between March and August, the greatest number of positive SARS-CoV-2 tests occurred in April, when 54 employees tested positive. Thereafter, the number of positive tests dropped precipitously, and for July and August, the number of positive tests were 3 and 1, respectively.

During this same period, 7,486 employees reported community exposures. 3,990 of these employees were tested due to their described exposure and/or onset of COVID-19 symptoms. Moreover, 1,136 tested

Author for correspondence: Alan C. Howell, E-mail: alan.howell@bswhealth.org

Cite this article: Howell AC, *et al.* (2022). Effectiveness of personal protective equipment—Yes, the buck and virus can stop here. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 43: 683–684, <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2021.75>

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

positive for SARS-CoV-2, for a conversion rate of 15.17% by encounter and 2.67% by total staff. The number of positive tests per month for employees with reported community exposure peaked in July (478 employees tested positive). June, July, and August accounted for ~93% of the 1,136 positive tests collected from March through August.

A lack of adequate PPE, work overload, insufficient diagnostic testing, and exposure to infected patients are all factors linked to the risk of infection for healthcare personnel.¹ Our rate of work-related exposure compares favorably to the 6.3% rate noted at the Cleveland VA Medical Center.¹ The effectiveness of PPE is highly dependent upon appropriate staff training, adherence to strict hand hygiene, and appropriate human behavior.² This latter point carries significant importance regardless of whether HCP are carrying out their employment-related duties or are in the community. The fact that our conversion rate in the hospital setting was 0.70% versus 15.17% in the community supports this concept.

Importantly, no efforts are fail proof. As the pandemic continues, PPE and mask fatigue will set in. Hospital systems across the country are beginning to note evidence of this fact.³ The next struggle will be to determine strategies to best combat “battle fatigue.”

In summary, our experience with PPE, its availability, and our system approach (EHCCC) clearly demonstrate effectiveness. The risk community exposure poses to our finite number of HCP and patients remains.

Acknowledgments.

Financial support. No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

References

1. Zabarsky TF, Bhullar D, Silva SY, *et al*. What are the sources of exposure in healthcare personnel with coronavirus disease 2019 infection? *AJIC* 2020. doi: [10.1016/j.ajic.2020.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2020.08.004).
2. Conly J, Seto WH, Pittet D, *et al*. Use of medical face masks versus particulate respirators as a component of personal protective equipment for healthcare workers in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control* 2020;9:126.
3. Statement for Media Regarding COVID-19. Press release. Brigham and Women's Hospital website. <https://www.brighamandwomens.org/about-bwh/newsroom/press-releases-detail?id=3684>. Published 2020. Accessed February 18, 2021.