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Let $X$ be a non-empty set and denote by $PX$ the set algebra consisting of all subsets of $X$. An operator $i : PX \to PX$ is said to be pre-interior if

(i) $iX = X$;
(ii) $i(A \cap B) = iA \cap iB$ for all $A, B$ in $PX$.

In this note we first establish the following propositions.

**Proposition 1.** Let $i$ be a pre-interior operator on $X$, then

$T = \{ A \in PX : A \subset iA \}$ is a topology on $X$;

$I = \{ A \in PX : iA = X \}$ is an ideal in $PX$.  

**Proposition 2.** Let $(X, T)$ be a topological space and let $I$ be an ideal in $PX$, then the mapping $i : PX \to PX$ defined by

$$iA = \{ x \in X : \text{there exists } V \in T \text{ such that } V \cap A \in I \}$$

is a pre-interior operator on $X$.

The operator $i$ obtained in Proposition 2 induces, by Proposition 1, a topology $M$ on $X$. Our discussion will be about this derived topology.

To prove the first assertion of Proposition 1, we observe first that (ii) implies that $T$ is closed under finite intersections. It also follows from (ii) that the operator $i$ is monotone and hence $T$ is closed under arbitrary unions. By (i) and the definition of $T$ it is clear that $X$ and the empty set $\emptyset$ are in $T$. Thus $T$ is a topology on $X$. For the second assertion we note that (i) implies $\emptyset \in I$ and (ii) implies that $I$ is closed under finite unions and the formation of subsets. Thus $I$ is an ideal in $PX$.
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1 $\complement A$ denotes the complement of $A$.

2 $T_*$ denotes the set of all $V \in T$ such that $x \in V$.
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The operator $i$ defined in Proposition 2 obviously satisfies (i) since $\emptyset \in I$. Since $i$ is clearly monotone, to show (ii) it suffices to prove $iA \cap iB \subseteq i(A \cap B)$. Suppose $x \in iA \cap iB$. Then there exist $U \in T_*$ and $V \in T_*$ such that $U - A \in I$ and $V - B \in I$. Let $W = U \cap V$, then $W \in T_*$ and $W - A \cap B = (W - A) \cup (W - B) \in I$. Hence $x \in i(A \cap B)$. Thus $iA \cap iB \subseteq i(A \cap B)$ and $i$ is pre-interior.

3

From now on we suppose $(X, T)$ is a topological space, $I$ is an ideal in $PX$, $i$ is the pre-interior operator on $X$ induced by $T$ and $I$ and $M$ is the topology on $X$ induced by $i$. Let $d : PX \to PX$ be defined by

$$dA = \{x \in X : \text{for all } V \in T_x, V \cap A \notin I\}.$$  

We see that $x \in ciA$ if and only if for all $V \in T_*$, $V - A \notin I$, hence if and only if $x \in dcA$. Thus $dA = cicA$. Hence by duality we know that the operator $d$ has the following properties.

(i') $d\emptyset = \emptyset$;

(ii') $d(A \cup B) = dA \cup dB$ for all $A, B$ in $PX$.

Proposition 3.

(1) $M$ is finer than $T$.

(2) $\text{Int}_M A = A \cap iA$ and hence $\text{Cl}_M A = A \cup dA$.

(3) $d$ is the derived operator for $M$ if and only if $\{x\} \in I$ for all $x \in X$.

Proof. The assertion (1) is obvious. Since $iA$ is in $T$, it is in $M$. Therefore $iA \subseteq iiA$. It follows that $A \cap iA \subseteq iA \cap iiA = i(A \cap iA)$, hence $A \cap iA$ is $M$-open. On the other hand, if $x \in \text{Int}_M A$, then there exists $U \subseteq A$ such that $x \in U$ and $U \subseteq iU$. From $U \subseteq A$ we obtain $iU \subseteq iA$. Thus $x \in A \cap iA$. This proves (2).

Denote the derived operator for $M$ by $f$, we have by (2) the following equivalences:

$$x \in fA \iff x \in Cl_M (A - \{x\}) \iff x \in d(A - \{x\}).$$  

Therefore $f = d$ if and only if for all $x \in X$ and $A \in PX$, $x \in dA$ implies $x \in d(A - \{x\})$. But this is the case when and only when $\{x\} \in I$ for all $x \in X$. This proves (3).

Proposition 4. The following statements are pairwise equivalent.

(1) $i\emptyset = \emptyset$.

(2) $i$ is dominated by $d$.

(3) $T \cap I = \{\emptyset\}$.  


PROOF. Since \( d\emptyset = 0 \), (2) implies (1). Since \( V \in T \cap I \) implies \( V \subseteq i\emptyset \), we see that (1) implies (3). Finally suppose \( T \cap I = \{ \emptyset \} \), then for any \( A \subseteq X \), \( x \in iA - dA \) would imply that there exists \( U \in T_x \) and \( V \in T_x \) such that \( U - A \in I \) and \( V \cap A \in I \). But then \( U \cap V \subseteq T_x \) and \( U \cap V \subseteq (U - A) \cup (V \cap A) \in I \). This is a contradiction. Hence \( iA - dA = \emptyset \) and therefore \( i \) is dominated by \( d \). Thus (3) implies (2) and the proof is completed.

Proposition 5. Suppose \( i\emptyset = \emptyset \) and \( Y \) is a regular space. Then, if \( g : X \to Y \) is \( M \)-continuous, \( g \) is \( T \)-continuous. (cf. [5]).

PROOF. Take any \( x \) in \( X \) and let \( W \) be an open neighborhood of \( g(x) \). Let \( W_1 \) be an open neighborhood of \( g(x) \) such that \( W_1 \subseteq iA - dA \subseteq (Y - W_2) \). Consider \( g^{-1}(Y - W_1) \), since it is \( M \)-closed, there exists \( U \in T_x \) such that \( U \cap g^{-1}(Y - W_1) \in I \). If \( U \cap g^{-1}(Y - W) \neq \emptyset \), let \( x' \) be a point in it. Then since \( g^{-1}(W_1) \) is \( M \)-closed there exists \( U' \in T_x \) such that \( U' \cap g^{-1}(W_1) \in I \). But then \( U \cap U' \in I \) since \( U \cap U' \subseteq (U \cap U' \cap g^{-1}(W_1)) \cup (U \cap U' \cap g^{-1}(Y - W_1)) \). Also \( U \cap U' \neq \emptyset \). This contradicts the assumption \( i\emptyset = \emptyset \). Therefore \( U \cap g^{-1}(Y - W) = \emptyset \) and \( U \subseteq g^{-1}(W) \). Thus \( g \) is \( T \)-continuous at \( x \). Since \( x \) is arbitrarily taken, the proof is completed.

We have seen in Proposition 3 that \( M \) is always finer than \( T \). Let \( M' \) be the topology on \( X \) generated by \( T \) and the complements of elements in \( I \). Since for every \( A \in I \), \( dA \subseteq A \) and hence \( A \) is \( M \)-closed, we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 6. \( T \subseteq M' \subseteq M \).

Corollary 1. If \( i\emptyset = \emptyset \), then the spaces \((X, T), (X, M'), \) and \((X, M)\) have the same continuous functions.

Proof. Use Propositions 5 and 6.

Proposition 7. If \( iA - A \in I \) for all \( A \in M \) (hence if \( iA - A \in I \) for all \( A \in PX \)), then \( M = M' \).

Proof. \( A \in M \Rightarrow A = iA \cap c(iA - A) \in M' \).

Proposition 8. \( M = T \) if and only if every \( A \) in \( I \) is \( T \)-closed.

Proof. If \( M = T \), then since every \( A \) in \( I \) is \( M \)-closed, it is \( T \)-closed. Conversely suppose every \( A \) in \( I \) is \( T \)-closed. If \( B \in M \), then for any \( x \in B \), \( x \) is in \( iB \). Hence there exists \( V \in T_x \) such that \( V \subseteq B \). Since \( V \subseteq B \) is \( T \)-closed, \( V \cap B \subseteq T \). Thus \( B \subseteq T \). This proves \( M = T \).

Corollary 2. The topology induced by \( M \) and \( I \) is \( M \) itself.

Proof. Every \( A \in I \) is \( M \)-closed.
We close this note with some examples.

Example 1. If \( I = PX \), then \( M \) is the discrete topology. If \( I \in \{ \emptyset \} \), then \( M = T \).

Example 2. Let \((X, T)\) be a topological space. If \( I \) is the ideal of all nowhere dense subsets of \( X \), then \( \emptyset = \emptyset \) and \( iA = A \in I \) for all \( A \subseteq X \). Thus \( M' = M \) and \( T \) and \( M' \) have the same continuous functions. It can be shown that in \((X, M')\) the ideal of nowhere dense sets is still \( I \).

Example 3. Let \((X, T)\) be second countable and let \( I \) be a \( \sigma \)-ideal in \( PX \), then \( iA = A \in I \) for all \( A \subseteq X \) (cf. [5]).

Example 4. Let \( X \) be a locally compact group and let \( I \) be the ideal of all subsets of \( X \) with Haar measure 0. Then \( i\emptyset = \emptyset \) and \( iA = A \in I \) for all \( A \subseteq X \). If \( X \) is \( \sigma \)-compact and separable, then \( M = M' \) is of the first category (cf. [4]).

Example 5. Let \( X = R \cup \{ p \} \) where \( R \) is an infinite set and \( p \notin R \). Let \( F \) be an ultrafilter on \( R \) containing all subsets of \( R \) whose complements are finite. We define a topology \( T \) on \( X \) by stipulating a set \( U \) to be in \( T \) if and only if \( U \subseteq R \) or \( p \in U \) and \( U - \{ p \} \in F \). Next we define an ideal \( I \) in \( PX \) by \( A \in I \) if and only if \( A - \{ p \} \notin F \). Then the topology \( M \) induced by \( T \) and \( I \) is \( T \) itself. This can be deduced either from the fact that \( T \) is a maximal \( T_\sigma \)-topology (see [3]) or from Proposition 8.
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