Deficits in executive functions among youths with autism spectrum disorders: an age-stratified analysis
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Background. Impaired executive function (EF) is suggested to be one of the core features in individuals with autism spectrum disorders (ASD); however, little is known about whether the extent of worse EF in ASD than typically developing (TD) controls is age-dependent. We used age-stratified analysis to reveal this issue.

Method. We assessed 111 youths with ASD (aged 12.5 ± 2.8 years, male 94.6%) and 114 age-, and sex-matched TD controls with Digit Span and four EF tasks of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB): Spatial Span (SSP), Spatial Working Memory (SWM), Stockings of Cambridge (SOC), and Intradimensional/Extradimensional Shift Test (I/ED).

Results. Compared to TD controls, youths with ASD performed poorer on the Digit Span, SWM, SOC, and I/ED tasks. The performance of all the tasks improved with age for both groups. Age-stratified analyses were conducted due to significant age × group interactions in visuospatial planning (SOC) and set-shifting (I/ED) and showed that poorer performance on these two tasks in ASD than TD controls was found only in the child (aged 8–12 years) rather than the adolescent (aged 13–18 years) group. By contrast, youths with ASD had impaired working memory, regardless of age. The increased magnitude of group difference in visuospatial planning (SOC) with increased task demands differed between the two age groups but no age moderating effect on spatial working memory.

Conclusions. Our findings support deficits in visuospatial working memory and planning in youths with ASD; however, worse performance in set-shifting may only be demonstrated in children with ASD.
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Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a complex neurodevelopmental disorder with long-lasting neurocognitive dysfunctions in addition to impaired socio-communication and restricted, repetitive and stereotypical patterns of behavior, interests, and activities (APA, 2013). The prevalence of ASD has increased in recent decades causing much health burden (Matson & Kozlowski, 2011; Baxter et al. 2015). In Western society, the prevalence of ASD rose from 0.30% (Martin et al. 2007) to 2.00% (Blumberg et al. 2013). The increased prevalence and incidence of ASD was also evidenced in Taiwan where the number of identified ASD individuals aged 3–17 years increased from 3995 to 8072 from 2004 to 2010, and the prevalence of all age groups increased over years (Lai et al. 2012, 2013; Lin, 2015). These convergent evidences (Nakahachi et al. 1994; Gabig, 2008; Robinson et al. 2009) strongly suggest that ASD as a common disorder warrants much more studies to investigate the inherent deficits of ASD beyond the core symptoms.

Among the three main cognitive theories of ASD [i.e. theory of mind (Baron-Cohen et al. 2000), weak central coherence (Baron-Cohen, 2002), and executive dysfunction (Hill, 2004)], Hill’s theory of executive dysfunction explains deficits in initiating new non-routine actions and links the behavioral repetition and perseveration to frontal lobe dysfunction in ASD. Such a theory is supported by several early studies (Damasio & Maurer, 1978; Osterling & Dawson, 1994; Dawson et al. 1998; Salmon et al. 2003); for example, the lack...
of joint attention in young children with ASD is related to dysfunction of the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Osterling & Dawson, 1994). Damasio & Maurer (1978) were the first to report that individuals with ASD, similar to patients with frontal lobe damage, had difficulties in switching, planning and presenting adaptable social performance. Such early study has been supported by several subsequent studies (Minshew et al. 1992; Goldstein et al. 2001; Minshew & Goldstein, 2001; Ozonoff et al. 2004; Yerys et al. 2009). The most consistent findings are deficits in working memory (Barnard et al. 2008), planning (Ozonoff et al. 2004), and set-shifting (Minshew et al. 1992; Ozonoff et al. 2004) in ASD compared to typically developing (TD) individuals (Minshew et al. 1992; Ozonoff et al. 2004) or individuals with other neurodevelopmental disorders (Barnard et al. 2008). With regards to working memory, individuals with ASD may have impaired spatial working memory (Williams et al. 2005; Russo et al. 2007; Steele et al. 2007), yet intact verbal working memory (Williams et al. 2005; Cui et al. 2010). The impaired spatial working memory in ASD is consistently evident across child (Goldberg et al. 2005; Williams et al. 2005; Corbett et al. 2009; Cui et al. 2010), adolescent (Steele et al. 2007) and adult (Williams et al. 2005) populations. Among the neuropsychological tests, the Spatial Span (SSP) and the Spatial Working Memory (SWM) of the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB; Goldberg et al. 2005; Corbett et al. 2009) have been used to assess working memory in children with ASD. Visuospatial planning is also impaired in children and adolescents (Hughes et al. 1994; Hughes, 1996; Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Geurts et al. 2004; Ozonoff et al. 2004, 2006; Landa & Goldberg, 2005) as well as adults (Barnard et al. 2008) with ASD. For example, Ozonoff et al. (2004) found youths with ASD took more thinking time and moves to complete trials on the Stockings of Cambridge task (SOC) than TD youths. Set-shifting reflecting cognitive flexibility is among the most consistent neuropsychological deficits in ASD, which is commonly assessed by the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST; Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Tsuchiya et al. 2005; Barnard et al. 2008; Kaland et al. 2008; Sumiyoshi et al. 2011) and the Intradimensional/Extradimensional Shift Test (I/ED) of the CANTAB (Hughes et al. 1994; Ozonoff et al. 2004; Corbett et al. 2009; Yerys et al. 2009).

The chronological change of executive function (EF) is of particular interest. Most studies have been conducted in samples with limited age range, except for a few studies including both children and adults with ASD (Williams et al. 2005; Happé et al. 2006; Rosenthal et al. 2013; Pugliese et al. 2014; Van den Bergh et al. 2014). Rosenthal and colleagues (2013) recruited 185 participants with ASD and divided them into four age groups (5–7, 8–10, 11–13, 14–18 years). Their results showed that older children with ASD had greater problems of initiating, working memory and organization than younger participants with ASD. Another study stratified ASD participants into four age groups (6–8, 9–11, 12–14, 15–18 years) and found that the impairments of inhibition and cognitive flexibility were most significant in childhood, while the planning deficit was apparent in early adolescence (12–14 years age group) (Van den Bergh et al. 2014). The findings of the study stratifying ASD participants into six age groups (4–5, 6–7, 8–9, 10–11, 12–13, 14–23 years) showed the EFs examined by the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function, Parent Form was age-related and affected the performance of adaptive skills in children and youths with ASD (Pugliese et al. 2014). Happé et al. (2006) reported that the older subjects with ASD performed as well as normal controls on the tasks of response selection/inhibition, flexibility, and planning/working memory, suggesting an age-dependent improvement of EFs in ASD. However, most studies assessing planning ability in youths aged 9–15 showed worse performance in ASD than normal controls (Hughes et al. 1994; Hughes, 1996; Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Geurts et al. 2004; Ozonoff et al. 2004, 2006; Landa & Goldberg, 2005); similar deficits were also noted in adult ASD population (Barnard et al. 2008). Likewise, impaired working memory is consistently found across different age groups from children (Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Goldberg et al. 2005; Williams et al. 2005; Corbett et al. 2009; Cui et al. 2010), adolescents (Bennetto et al. 2005; Steele et al. 2007) to adults (Williams et al. 2005; Barnard et al. 2008) with ASD. In contrast, although youths with ASD aged 7–16 showed impaired set-shifting ability on the WCST (Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Tsuchiya et al. 2005; Kalandy et al. 2008; Sumiyoshi et al. 2011) and CANTAB (Hughes et al. 1994; Ozonoff et al. 2004; Corbett et al. 2009; Yerys et al. 2009) compared to normal controls and clinical controls, such group difference in set-shifting ability was not demonstrated in the studies of adults with ASD on the WCST (Barnard et al. 2008; Sumiyoshi et al. 2011). Some of previous studies have proved the developmental importance of the period of adolescence that the synaptic pruning of excitatory contact happened in the late brain maturation during adolescence (Selemon, 2013), and the reduction of cortical thickness in the dorsal prefrontal region and maturity of gray-matter volume of temporal structure was found to be age-related during childhood to adulthood (Sowell et al. 2001). Luna and colleagues also found that age-related changes in establishing large-range connections could support more functional
neural processing for maturing EFs (Luna et al. 2010). Adolescence is a critical period for brain development in TD and ASD populations as well (Begley, 2000; Giedd, 2008; Selemon, 2013), particularly the prefrontal systems which play a significant role in the executive processes (Luna et al. 2010). Related studies have shown evidence of age-related neurodevelopment from childhood to early adolescent in ASD (O’Hearn et al. 2008). EFs in this transition stage warrant investigation (Selemon, 2013).

Despite extensive research of EFs in ASD, the sample sizes of most studies are relatively too small to establish a conclusion (Ozonoff et al. 2004; Barnard et al. 2008; Sumiyoshi et al. 2011). Second, most studies recruited participants from a particular age range (Ozonoff et al. 2004; Barnard et al. 2008; Kaland et al. 2008; Corbett et al. 2009), and the chronological changes of executive dysfunctions in individuals with ASD are still unclear. Third, the relevant research is dominated by Western society (e.g. Goldberg et al. 2005; Ozonoff et al. 2006). Hence, we investigated the chronological difference of a wide range of EFs with varied task difficulty in a large-scale sample of Taiwanese youths with ASD and TD youths. Our hypothesis is that the impairments of EFs in youths with ASD will be moderated by age and task difficulty, and that the group difference in school age may decrease in adolescence and the group difference may increase with increased task difficulty.

Method

Participants and procedure

The Research Ethics Committee of National Taiwan University Hospital approved the study prior to the recruitment of participants (201201006RIB; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT01582256). We explained the purpose and procedure of the study, and obtained the written informed consent from all participants and their parents.

The sample consisted of 111 youths with clinical diagnosis of ASD (age 12.5 ± 2.8 years, male 94.6%) according to DSM-IV diagnostic criteria of autistic disorder or Asperger’s disorder (APA, 1994) from the child psychiatric clinics of National Taiwan University Hospital, and 114 TD youths (age 12.3 ± 2.3 years, male 94.7%) from schools and colleges referred by teachers according to the gender and age distribution of the ASD group. Among the ASD participants, 28 (25.22%) had ever been treated with methylphenidate, which had been discontinued at least 24 h before performing the tasks. Parents of both groups received the Kiddie Epidemiologic Version of the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS-E) to screen for any neuropsychiatric disorders (Gau et al. 2005). The interview training and best-estimate procedure have been described in detail elsewhere (Gau & Shang, 2010a; Shang & Gau, 2011).

The ASD diagnosis was further confirmed by interviewing parents of ASD youths using the Chinese version of the Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised (ADI-R; Gau et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2014). Exclusion criteria for all participants included lifetime neurological or severe medical illness, learning disorder, substance use disorder, schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, major depression and current anxiety disorders. In order to complete the CANTAB, participants who were aged <8 years or who had full-scale IQ scores <80 were excluded from the study.

Measures

ADI-R

The ADI-R is a standardized semi-structured assessment of the three core symptoms of autism in individuals aged >18 months including qualitative abnormalities in reciprocal social interaction, communication, and restricted interests and repetitive and stereotyped behaviors. The Chinese Version of the ADI-R was officially approved by Western Psychological Services (WPS) in May 2007 (Gau et al. 2011, 2013; Lau et al. 2013) and extensively used for clinical research in Taiwan (Gau et al. 2010; Chien et al. 2013a, b; Liao et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2013; Lo et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014). All the interviewers reached agreement over 90%, ranging from 98.25 ± 1.91 to 99.38 ± 1.06 against the rating of each item in the Chinese ADI-R by a qualified ADI-R cross-site trainer (Y. Y. Wu) and on-site trainer (S. S. Gau) before implementation of this study.

Digit Span

Participants were instructed to repeat orally presented digits in order, or backward recall digits in the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children – 3rd edition (WISC-III). The Forward Digit Span test assesses verbal sustained attention and working memory, while the Backward Digit Span test assesses verbal working memory.

CANTAB

The CANTAB is a set of computerized tests to examine non-verbal neuropsychological functions (Robbins et al. 1998). Four subtests (SSP, SWM, SOC, I/ED) of the CANTAB were used to assess EFs (Gau & Shang, 2010a, b).
The SSP evaluates spatial short-term memory. At the start of the test the screen shows nine white boxes in fixed locations. Afterwards, the boxes change colors one after the other. Participants were asked to recall the sequence of color-changing boxes, and respond by touching boxes in order. The task begins with 2-box problems, and ends with 9-box problems if the participants are able to complete the task. This study presents span length (the longest sequence participants remember successfully) and total errors (the number of times participants choose incorrect boxes).

The SWM test for spatial working memory consists of three types of errors: ‘within error’ occurs when participants search the same box more than once in the same trial; ‘between error’ represents a mistake of searching boxes which have already shown blue tokens; and ‘double error’ can be categorized as both a within error and a between error. Two indexes are presented: strategy utilization (the number of searching strategies used in 6- and 8-box problems) and total errors in 4-, 6-, and 8-box problems (subtracting the number of double errors from the combination of within and between errors).

The SOC is used to evaluate planning ability. In this task, the screen is divided into upper and lower half parts. Each part presents three balls (red, blue, green) held in three suspended stockings. Participants were asked to plan and execute sequences of moves to achieve the desired arrangement. The two conditions are the test condition, in which participants were instructed to move balls in the lower part to match the arrangement in the upper part; and the control condition, which is designed to provide baseline measures for initiation time. This study presents problems solved in minimum moves (the number of times participants solved problems in the minimum number of moves) and ‘(2) “mean moves”’ (the number of moves within the range between minimum and maximum number of moves).

The I/ED is designed to evaluate mental flexibility. In this task, there are stimuli composed of unfamiliar pink shapes and white lines. Participants are instructed to select one of the two stimuli freely at the beginning. After they make the decision, the screen gives them feedbacks to assist in forming particular rules. The rules will be changed when participants have selected correct stimuli for six consecutive trials. To complete the task, participants have to pass through nine stages. The task ends if participants fail to select correct stimuli for six consecutive trials. This study presents (1) pre-extradimensional shift errors: participants select the correct stimuli depending on previously ignored dimension of stimuli; and (2) the number of completed stage trials.

**Data analyses**

We used SAS v. 9.2 software (SAS Institute Inc., USA) to perform data analyses. To acquire descriptive data, categorical variables were presented with frequency and percentage and analyzed by χ² test, the continuous variables were presented with mean and standard deviation (S.D.) and analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and analysis of covariance with age and sex as covariates for multivariate analyses.

To investigate whether the extent of worse EF performance in ASD than TD individuals would vary between the child (age 8–12 years) and adolescent (age 13–18 years) groups, we tested the interactions between the diagnostic group and age group, and also conducted stratifying analysis by the child and adolescent groups. We also calculated Cohen’s $d$ for the effect size, which is graded by three levels, small (0.2–0.5), medium (0.5–0.8), and large (>0.8). To further investigate the effect of task difficulty, we used the mixed procedure of SAS to address the repeated measure within the same participants on the performances of the SWM and SOC.

**Results**

**Sample characteristics**

While the ages between adolescents with ASD and TD individuals were compatible, the mean ages between children with ASD and TD children were significantly different. Children with ASD had younger mean age (9.96 ± 1.37 years) and lower full-scale IQ (108.58 ± 15.97) than TD children (mean age 10.65 ± 1.31 years, IQ 114.94 ± 8.92) (Table 1). In general, the age, education level, and employment status of the parents were compatible between ASD and TD for both child and adolescent groups except for mothers’ age and employment status (Table 1).

**Age-stratified analysis (Table 2, Supplementary Table S1)**

Both ASD groups recalled significantly fewer forward and backward digits than TD youths with large and medium effect sizes for the child (Cohen’s $d = -0.78$, −0.98) and adolescent (Cohen’s $d = -0.68$, −0.77) groups. Youths with ASD, regardless of age, recalled significantly shorter span sequences of SSP than TD youths (Cohen’s $d = -0.76$, −0.60). For SWM, compared to TD youth, both ASD groups needed to use more strategies to complete their tasks (Cohen’s $d = 0.83$, 0.78) but only adolescents with ASD had more total errors (Cohen’s $d = 0.51$). For SOC, both ASD groups needed more total moves to complete the task.
Table 1. Demographics and IQ of youth with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and typically developing (TD) youth, stratified by ages 8–12 and 13–18 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age 8–12 years</th>
<th></th>
<th>Age 13–18 years</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASD (N = 53)</td>
<td>TD (N = 63)</td>
<td>ASD (N = 58)</td>
<td>TD (N = 51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (s.d.) or N (%)</td>
<td>F value or $\chi^2$</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>F value or $\chi^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at assessment (years)</td>
<td>9.96 (1.37)</td>
<td>10.65 (1.31)</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range</td>
<td>8–12</td>
<td>8–12</td>
<td>13–18</td>
<td>13–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male 48 (90.6)</td>
<td>58 (92.1)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 5 (9.4%)</td>
<td>5 (7.9%)</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-scale IQ</td>
<td>108.58 (15.97)</td>
<td>114.94 (8.92)</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>0.008**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance IQ</td>
<td>109.27 (16.34)</td>
<td>112.51 (12.27)</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal IQ</td>
<td>107.63 (17.29)</td>
<td>114.71 (9.01)</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>0.006**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>Current age 40.30 (4.23)</td>
<td>42.08 (4.38)</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior high or lower 52 (98.11%)</td>
<td>63 (100.00%)</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College or higher 1 (1.89%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>Professional 4 (7.55)</td>
<td>5 (7.94)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skilled work 23 (43.40)</td>
<td>41 (65.08)</td>
<td>30 (51.72%)</td>
<td>24 (48.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others 26 (49.06)</td>
<td>17 (26.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father</td>
<td>Current age 43.27 (5.19)</td>
<td>44.47 (4.80)</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior high or lower 51 (98.08%)</td>
<td>62 (100.00%)</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College or higher 1 (1.92%)</td>
<td>0 (0.00%)</td>
<td>14 (24.14%)</td>
<td>8 (16.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status</td>
<td>Professional 9 (17.31%)</td>
<td>9 (14.52%)</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skilled work 41 (78.85%)</td>
<td>47 (75.81%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others 2 (3.85%)</td>
<td>6 (9.68%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism Diagnostic Interview – Revised Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative abnormalities in reciprocal social interaction</td>
<td>10.53 (4.26)</td>
<td>8.50 (4.24)</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative abnormalities in communication (verbal)</td>
<td>10.12 (3.84)</td>
<td>13.67 (4.04)</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative abnormalities in communication (non-verbal)</td>
<td>5.06 (2.41)</td>
<td>7.33 (1.53)</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted, repetitive, and stereotyped patterns of behavior</td>
<td>5.43 (2.44)</td>
<td>5.05 (2.66)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
than TD children but only children with ASD solved fewer problems in minimum moves (Cohen’s $d = -0.54$). For I/ED, overall, the passing percentage of ASD youth fell strongly during the last two stages (Supplementary Fig. S1), yet only children with ASD made more pre-extra-dimensional shift errors (Cohen’s $d = 0.39$) and completed fewer stages (Cohen’s $d = -0.37$) than TD children without such group difference in the adolescent groups.

**Diagnosis, age and their interactions**

For the whole sample, youths with ASD showed worse performance in the Digit Span, SSP, SWM, SOC, but not I/ED (Supplementary Table S1 and Table 2). There were significantly better performance in all the EF measures in the adolescent group than the child group.

**The effect of task difficulty**

When testing the effect of task difficulty in the whole sample (Supplementary Table S3), we found significant interactions between the diagnosis group (ASD v. TD) and task difficulty on the number of total errors (6- v. 4-box problem, 8- v. 4-box problem) in SWM and on the mean moves (5- v. 2-move problem) in SOC.

When stratified by age (Table 3), we found that the younger stratum showed significant interactions between the diagnosis group and all the difficulty levels of the SWM total errors; whereas, the elder stratum only revealed a significant interaction between diagnosis and the 8- v. 4-box problem. Fig. 1 also presents the magnitude of the difference between ASD and TD groups on the SWM total errors, enlarged as the task difficulty increased for the child and adolescent groups.

In the mean moves of the SOC, there was significant interaction between the diagnosis and 5- v. 2-move problem for the child group (Table 3). Fig. 2 shows that the magnitude of the difference between ASD and TD groups on total moves of the SOC, enlarged as the task difficulty increased.

**The correlation between strategy utilization and the errors (Supplementary Table S5)**

We further computed Pearson’s correlation between strategy utilization and the number of errors of the SWM and found significant correlations between strategy utilization and three types of errors (total error, within error and between error) in both ASD and TD groups (Supplementary Table S5). Moreover, the correlations between errors and strategy use were greater with increasing level of task difficulty. The correlations
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Age 13-18 years</th>
<th>Age 8-12 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 13-18 years</td>
<td>TD (N = 51)</td>
<td>ASD (N = 58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (S.D.) or N (%)</td>
<td>F value or $\chi^2$ p value</td>
<td>F value or $\chi^2$ p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (S.D.) or N (%)</td>
<td>F value or $\chi^2$ p value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most typical and severe at 4-5 years</td>
<td>Qualitative abnormalities in reciprocal social interaction</td>
<td>Qualitative abnormalities in communication (verbal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ, Intelligence quotient; S.D., standard deviation.</td>
<td>IQ, Intelligence quotient; S.D., standard deviation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$*$ $p < 0.05$, $**$ $p < 0.01$, $***$ $p < 0.001$. (Cohen’s $d = 0.69, 0.42$) than TD children but only children with ASD solved fewer problems in minimum moves (Cohen’s $d = -0.54$). For I/ED, overall, the passing percentage of ASD youth fell strongly during the last two stages (Supplementary Fig. S1), yet only children with ASD made more pre-extra-dimensional shift errors (Cohen’s $d = 0.39$) and completed fewer stages (Cohen’s $d = -0.37$) than TD children without such group difference in the adolescent groups.

**Diagnosis, age and their interactions**

For the whole sample, youths with ASD showed worse performance in the Digit Span, SSP, SWM, SOC, but not I/ED (Supplementary Table S1 and Table 2). There were significantly better performance in all the EF measures in the adolescent group than the child group.

**The effect of task difficulty**

When testing the effect of task difficulty in the whole sample (Supplementary Table S3), we found significant interactions between the diagnosis group (ASD v. TD) and task difficulty on the number of total errors (6- v. 4-box problem, 8- v. 4-box problem) in SWM and on the mean moves (5- v. 2-move problem) in SOC.

When stratified by age (Table 3), we found that the younger stratum showed significant interactions between the diagnosis group and all the difficulty levels of the SWM total errors; whereas, the elder stratum only revealed a significant interaction between diagnosis and the 8- v. 4-box problem. Fig. 1 also presents the magnitude of the difference between ASD and TD groups on the SWM total errors, enlarged as the task difficulty increased for the child and adolescent groups.

In the mean moves of the SOC, there was significant interaction between the diagnosis and 5- v. 2-move problem for the child group (Table 3). Fig. 2 shows that the magnitude of the difference between ASD and TD groups on total moves of the SOC, enlarged as the task difficulty increased.

**The correlation between strategy utilization and the errors (Supplementary Table S5)**

We further computed Pearson’s correlation between strategy utilization and the number of errors of the SWM and found significant correlations between strategy utilization and three types of errors (total error, within error and between error) in both ASD and TD groups (Supplementary Table S5). Moreover, the correlations between errors and strategy use were greater with increasing level of task difficulty. The correlations
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Table 2. Comparisons of executive functions among youth with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and typically developing (TD) youth, stratified by ages 8–12 and 13–18 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Age 8–12 years</th>
<th>Age 13–18 years</th>
<th>Age effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASD (N = 53)</td>
<td>TD (N = 63)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span, forward</td>
<td>7.63 (1.21)</td>
<td>8.46 (0.88)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.022)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span, backward</td>
<td>4.44 (1.57)</td>
<td>6.05 (1.70)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Span</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span length</td>
<td>6.04 (1.68)</td>
<td>7.14 (1.19)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total errors</td>
<td>14.02 (5.80)</td>
<td>14.65 (5.55)</td>
<td>−0.33 (0.138)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial working memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total errors</td>
<td>40.89 (19.85)</td>
<td>25.90 (15.99)</td>
<td>−2.85 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategy utilization</td>
<td>35.19 (4.25)</td>
<td>33.76 (3.66)</td>
<td>−0.48 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockings of Cambridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems solved in minimum moves</td>
<td>6.92 (2.11)</td>
<td>8.02 (1.96)</td>
<td>0.31 (&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total moves</td>
<td>19.09 (2.73)</td>
<td>17.46 (1.94)</td>
<td>−0.30 (0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intradimensional/ extradimensional shift errors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-dimensional shift errors</td>
<td>12.74 (10.47)</td>
<td>10.16 (9.69)</td>
<td>−0.77 (0.023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-extra-dimensional shift errors</td>
<td>8.40 (5.58)</td>
<td>6.75 (2.05)</td>
<td>−0.30 (0.042)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed stages</td>
<td>8.15 (1.17)</td>
<td>8.52 (0.80)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Univariate analysis: Univariate analysis with ANOVA (F-test). Multivariate analysis: Multivariate analysis with MANOVA (F-test).

S.D., Standard deviation.

* Controlling for age and sex.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
The correlation between EFs and ASD symptom severity in youth with ASD

To investigate the correlation between EFs and ASD symptom severity, we calculated Pearson’s correlations to quantify the relationship between the CANTAB performance and the ADI-R subscores in youth with ASD for the child (Supplementary Table S6) and adolescent (Supplementary Table S7) groups. The results showed that while children with ASD showed significant correlations between the SOC and verbal communication deficits assessed by the ADI-R at the current and most severe status (Supplementary Table S6), there were significant correlations between the performance of the SOC and the verbal and non-verbal communication deficits assessed by the ADI-R at the most severe status in adolescents with ASD (Supplementary Table S7).

Discussion

This study is the first to employ the comprehensive, standardized and computerized test battery, CANTAB, to assess EFs in an ethnic Chinese population, and is also one of the few studies recruiting a larger sample of ASD youths. The results demonstrated impaired EF in youths with ASD on short-term memory, spatial working memory, planning, and set-shifting, with an age-modering effect mainly on planning and set-shifting, which were found impaired only in children with ASD but not in adolescents with ASD in our age-stratified analysis. We also found greater group difference with increased task difficulty in the SWM (Fig. 1) and SOC (Fig. 2) for both the child and adolescent groups.
et al. Poirier et al. ASD (Williams impaired spatial working memory on various tasks in across studies. be due to the different levels of task difficulty used across studies. Compatible with the most previous studies showing impaired spatial working memory on various tasks in ASD (Williams et al. 2005, 2006; Joseph et al. 2005a; Gras-Vincendon et al. 2008; Kenworthy et al. 2008), our study demonstrated spatial working memory deficit in both age groups of ASD measured by the CANTAB. Some researchers explained the impairment by the utilization of strategy (Joseph et al. 2005b; Gras-Vincendon et al. 2008) that TD youth, but not youth with ASD, use verbal mediation strategies to locate positions in spatial working memory tasks. Nevertheless, there are few studies which recruit participants with high-functioning autism (Williams et al. 2005) or Asperger’s disorder (Cui et al. 2010) showing no impairment of spatial working memory (Nakahachi et al. 1994; Williams et al. 2005, 2006). Functional level of participants with ASD is one possible reason for the inconsistency. Moreover, our finding of the impairment in both age groups is similar to the previous reports that individuals with ASD recalled shorter length or had more inefficient strategy utilization across the child and adolescent populations (Goldberg et al. 2005; Steele et al. 2007; Barnard et al. 2008; Corbett et al. 2009), suggesting that the spatial working memory deficit may persist into late adolescence as a trait marker for ASD.

Our findings of planning deficits in youths with ASD were similar to the results of most previous studies using either the same measurement (Ozonoff et al. 2004; Landa & Goldberg, 2005) or not (Ozonoff et al. 1991; Hughes et al. 1994; Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Geurts et al. 2004). Interestingly, some other studies evaluating planning by traditional measurements, such as the Tower of Hanoi (ToH) and the Tower of London (ToL), demonstrated that individuals with ASD had fewer passes and less efficient strategy than both healthy and comparison individuals with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (Ozonoff et al. 1991; Hughes et al. 1994; Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Geurts et al. 2004). Regarding the age effect, although deficits in planning ability (total moves of SOC) were noted in both ASD groups with greater effect size in younger youths, only younger ASD youths demonstrated poorer problem-solving ability assessed by the SOC than their counterparts. Such findings indicate that ASD youths may improve their planning/problem-solving ability over time throughout adolescence. Our findings are in line with some evidence from previous studies of younger ASD individuals (Ozonoff et al. 1991; Hughes et al. 1994; Hughes, 1996; Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Geurts et al. 2004). In contrast to previous studies which reported significant impairments of set-shifting in ASD as assessed by the I/ED of the CANTAB (Hughes et al. 1994; Ozonoff et al. 2004; Corbett et al. 2009; Yerys et al. 2009), the dimension-change card sort task (Dichter et al. 2010), WCST (Ozonoff & Jensen, 1999; Tsuchiya et al. 2005; Kaland et al. 2008; Sumiyoshi et al. 2011) and abstracting tests (Minshew et al. 1992), our findings...
only revealed poorer cognitive flexibility as assessed by the I/ED task in children with ASD (aged 8–12) but no difference in adolescents with ASD (aged 13–18). The observed discrepancies may be explained by different chronological age of the participants between studies. ASD participants recruited in previous studies were younger and showed more errors and different completion rates while performing the I/ED task (Hughes et al. 1994; Yerys et al. 2009; Dichter et al. 2010). However, findings in adolescents and young adults with ASD were inconsistent. Sumiyoshi et al. (2011) found that adults with ASD (mean age 26 years) performed significantly worse than TD individuals on the WCST. Another study did not find a significant difference (mean age 30 years) in comparison to participants with learning disabilities (Barnard et al. 2008). The discrepancy in adults with ASD may also be attributed to the nature of the set-shifting paradigms. Researchers have suggested that the widely administered measurement, i.e. the WCST, is more complex in that subjects have to recognize the patterns of the cards (including color, number, and shape), form abstract concepts, sustain the principle in mind and transfer the principle timely (Kaland et al. 2008), thus it evaluates functions more than set-shifting. In contrast, the CANTAB simplified the principles (i.e. line and figure) and presented them in a graded format, thus the results could acquire more reliable evidences of set-shifting ability (Robbins et al. 1998). Taken together, adults with ASD may not have set-shifting problems but that depends on which task was chosen. Whether adolescents with ASD have set-shifting problems warrants further investigation.

To summarize the chronological effect on EF, the results of current study showed impaired spatial working memory, planning, and set-shifting in children with ASD but improved in adolescents with ASD except for spatial working memory. Although the age-moderating effect is generally insignificant on planning and set-shifting, we found a trend that set-shifting ability in youth with ASD turned to parallel that of TD individuals as they grew up. Our findings were consistent with a few related studies that showed impaired cognitive flexibility and planning ability were age-dependent and apparent in childhood and early adolescence of ASD (Pugliese et al. 2014; Van den Bergh et al. 2014). Happé et al. (2006) also provided evidence that the performance of flexibility and planning in adolescents (aged 11–16) with ASD caught up with the performance of age-matched healthy controls. In line with one similar study investigating response preparation, inhibition and working memory in ASD samples (Luna et al. 2007), our findings imply that there were both typical and atypical developmental progressions of distinctive EFs in individuals with autism. The impaired EF such as planning and set-shifting which only presents in early childhood would imply a developmental delay and brain maturational processes which compensates for the inherent impairment (Luna et al. 2007). The study providing evidence of a reduction in cortical thickness in the dorsal prefrontal region between childhood and adolescence might explain a part of brain maturational processes and the related intact performance of planning and set-shifting task in older youth with ASD (Sowell et al. 2001). Instead of age-dependent planning and set-shifting ability, the persistent spatial working memory may serve as a trait marker for ASD and may nest in the core pathology of the ASD brain.

Consistent with previous studies using verbal working memory tasks (Rapin, 1996; Williams et al. 2006) or nonsense words (Gabig, 2008), we found a significant effect of task difficulty in both age stratifications that youths with ASD showed more errors on SWM relative to TD individuals when the tasks imposed greater demands, suggesting that spatial working memory deficits are fundamental in ASD regardless of task difficulty and aging effect (Fig. 1). Poor usage of compensatory strategies may lead to poorer performance. Although performing better in simple tasks, individuals with ASD failed to organize strategies to support memory in the tasks with increasing complexity of materials (Gras-Vincendon et al. 2008). Similarly, increased moves to complete the task were noted in SOC as the task demands increased, but planning deficits in ASD, relative to TD individuals, appeared only in the most difficult task (5-move problems) (Supplementary Table S3, Fig. 2). Unlike TD individuals who used fewer moves to solve problems, youths with ASD required more moves to complete the task, suggesting that the latter group tends to use trial-and-error, rather than taking time to develop an effective strategy before action. Other studies using the same tasks (Ozonoff et al. 2004) or the ToL task (Hughes et al. 1994; Geurts et al. 2004) also found that planning deficits were evident on trials requiring longer sequences of moves (4- and 5-move problems) in youths with ASD. Consistently, more discrepancy with increased task demands were also demonstrated in other planning paradigms such as a transferring task (Hughes, 1996), which requires subjects to pick up and transfer pegs from one hole to the other, and the Zoo Map test (Hill & Bird, 2006), which requires subjects to plan a route to destinations. More interestingly, when we stratified the sample by age, children with ASD used more moves across the four levels of task difficulty, while adolescents with ASD demonstrated planning deficits only in the most difficult task, implying that the task difficulty effect was more pronounced in older ASD youths rather than younger. Taken together, in contrast to the task difficulty effect in
SWM regardless of age stratification, an interaction between age group and task difficulty was found in SOC, again implying that planning ability on simple tasks in ASD youths comes closer to that in the TD group, but impaired working memory persisted across developmental stages from childhood to adulthood. Hill’s review article supports our statement that the planning ability in ASD participants improved as they became older, and the planning deficit was evident only on tasks requiring heavier demands (Hill, 2004).

Several limitations should be taken into consideration while interpreting our findings. First, due to a cross-sectional study design, we could only examine the age difference on EFs rather than the developmental trajectory of EFs. A longitudinal study is warranted to advance our knowledge about the chronological change of EFs. Second, the treatments effects on executive dysfunction were not considered in this study. Since most autistic participants in our study had received early intervention, the frequency and quantity of interventions would possibly affect participants’ performance, which should be inquired and controlled during data analyses. Last, this study only recruited subjects with an IQ >80 so they were able to perform the CANTAB tasks, our findings may not be applicable to ASD individuals with a lower IQ.

The findings in our study contribute not only to academia, but also to clinical practice. In past decades, with increasing government support and health education, the public has paid much closer attention to neurodevelopmental disorders. The interventions of ASD, in general, focus on improving communication and social skills, which are apparent and strongly educated in the public, while other functional domains that deserve more concerns have lacked attention. The results of our study provide evidence for caregivers and clinicians that some EFs (e.g. visuospatial planning and set-shifting) may improve to some extent in adolescence, yet working memory remains a weakness and warrants more coaching and assistance. Therapists may provide training aimed at strengthening specific EFs. Furthermore, considering the difficulties that increase with task demands, applying therapeutic activities with applicable graded task demands to both children and adolescents with ASD may potentially help increase the effect of interventions. For instance, providing activities such as asking children with ASD to recall words, sentences, and paragraphs in order could effectively improve their working memory ability (Rapin, 1996; Williams et al. 2006).

Supplementary material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715002238.
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