Prenatal exposure to undernutrition and programming of responses to high-fat feeding in the rat
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Fetal undernutrition programmes risk of later metabolic disorders. Postnatal factors modify the programmed phenotype. This study aimed to assess the effects of a postnatal high-fat (HF) challenge on body weight gain, adiposity and gene expression following prenatal undernutrition. Pregnant rats were fed either a control diet or a low-protein (LP) diet, targeted at days 0–7 (LPE), days 8–14 (LPM), or days 15–22 (LPL) gestation. At 12 weeks of age offspring were either fed standard laboratory chow diet (4·13 % fat), or a 39·5 % fat diet, for 10 weeks. LP exposure had no effect on weight gain or abdominal fat in males. Females exposed to LP diet in utero exhibited a similar weight gain on HF diet as on the chow diet. Programming of fat deposition was noted in LPE females and males of the LPM and LPL groups (P<0·019). Hypothalamic expression of galanin mRNA was similar in all groups, but expression of the galanin-2 receptor was modified by LP exposure in female offspring. Hepatic expression of sterol response element binding protein (SREBP-1c) was decreased by LP at both the mRNA (P<0·008) and protein (P<0·001) level. HF feeding increased expression of SREBP-1c mRNA three-fold in controls, with little response noted in the LP groups. Interactions of factors such as postnatal diet, age and sex act together with prenatal factors to determine metabolic function and responsiveness at any stage of postnatal life. This study further establishes a role for prenatal nutrition in programming the genes involved in lipid metabolism and appetite regulation.

Fetal programming: Lipid metabolism: Rat: Gene expression: Transcription factors

The origins of the metabolic syndrome in humans are complex and multifactorial. The aetiology of all of the components of the syndrome (obesity, hyperinsulinaemia, dyslipidaemia, cardiovascular and renal disease) is likely to involve a variety of influences across the lifespan. The expression of genes that predispose to, or protect against any of these conditions will be modified through interactions with the postnatal lifestyle environment (diet, physical activity and smoking)1. It is also becoming clear that the environment encountered in fetal life modifies both gene expression and the nature of the gene–postnatal environment interaction2,3. Evidence for this prenatal component of disease risk comes from both epidemiological investigations and experimental studies in animals4.

Studies with animals show clearly that undernutrition in pregnancy is able to programme raised blood pressure, glucose intolerance, insulin resistance and obesity5. Exposure to a low-protein (LP) diet in fetal life programmes a phenotype that resembles the metabolic syndrome in ageing rats6,7. In such animals the expression of transcription factors regulating lipogenesis, and their downstream target genes are noted to be suppressed until nine months of age. Over-expression in later adulthood occurs coincident with the appearance of metabolic disorders including hepatic steatosis6,7.

The transcription factor, sterol response element binding protein (SREBP)-1c, is one of the key regulators of hepatic lipid metabolism8. SREBP-1c activates genes for enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of fatty acids and triacylglycerols and is therefore regarded as a promoter of lipogenesis. We have shown that programming the expression of SREBP-1c may play a critical role in determining the metabolic consequences of prenatal undernutrition. Increased hepatic lipogenesis, as noted in older animals subject to prenatal protein restriction, will promote steatosis and excess adiposity9,10.

Risk of obesity and related disorders may also be programmed in utero through changes in appetite, feeding behaviour and physical activity11,12. Earlier studies in our laboratory have shown that offspring of rats fed LP diets exhibit altered self-selection feeding behaviours. Whilst rats exposed to LP diets throughout fetal development showed an increased preference for fat13, the converse occurred when LP feeding was targeted at brief periods in early, mid or late gestation14. A number of neuropeptides are known to control feeding behaviour at the level of specific hypothalamic nuclei. In particular the galaninergic system is believed to regulate intake of fat15.

In contrast to older animals, young adult rats exposed to LP feeding during specific periods of fetal life appear resistant to
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obesity. The aim of this study was to consider whether a postnatal challenge with a high-fat diet might overcome this resistance. As hepatic SREBP-1c is known to be up-regulated by the feeding of a diet rich in saturated fatty acids\textsuperscript{16}, the initial hypothesis for this study was that programmed suppression of expression of this transcription factor would be offset by a high-fat challenge, permitting a greater rate of lipogenesis. Given the altered preferences of LP-exposed rats for high-fat sources, the role of the galanergic system in this behaviour was evaluated by comparing the hypothalamic expression of galanin and the galanin-2 receptor (gal2r) under conditions of low- and high-fat intake.

Materials and methods

Animals

Experiments described in this paper were performed under licence from the UK Home Office in accordance with the 1986 Animals Act. Rats were housed in plastic boxes on a 12-h light cycle, at a temperature of 20 °C. The rats had free access to food and water at all times. Twenty virgin female Wistar rats (Harlan Ltd, Belton, Leics., UK) were mated at weights between 180 and 220 g. Only two male studs were used to generate the pregnancies, thereby reducing genetic variation in the offspring produced. Upon confirmation of mating by the appearance of a semen plug on the cage floor, the rats were allocated to be fed either a control diet (180 g casein/kg diet, n 5) or an LP diet (90 g casein/kg diet, n 15), as described previously.\textsuperscript{17} LP feeding was targeted to specific periods in gestation: days 0–7 (LPE, n 5), days 8–14 (LPM, n 5) and days 15–22 (LPL, n 5). The early period corresponds to the pre-implantation (implantation occurs at 4.5 days) and embryogenic phase of life. The mid period is the time of organogenesis and the late period corresponds to a period of rapid growth, differentiation and maturation. The full composition of the diets is described elsewhere\textsuperscript{18}. The diets were isoenergetic, the difference in energy between the control and LP diets being made up with additional carbohydrate (starch–sucrose 2:1, w/w). The rats were fed the semi-synthetic diets until they delivered pups at 22d gestation. All animals were then transferred to a standard laboratory chow diet (rat and mouse diet; B&K Universal Ltd, Hull, UK) and the litters were culled to a maximum of eight pups within 12 h of delivery. This minimized variation in nutrition during the suckling period. The offspring from the groups therefore differed only in terms of their prenatal dietary experience. We have previously noted that the protein content of milk produced by low-protein-fed dams in the first 12 h after delivery is similar to that of controls\textsuperscript{19}.

At 4 weeks of age all offspring were weaned onto standard chow diet. At 12 weeks of age two male and two female offspring from each litter were selected at random and half were allocated to another study\textsuperscript{14}. The remaining animals in each litter were allocated to groups that were fed either standard chow diet (one male and one female from each litter), or a high-fat diet (one male and one female from each litter). The high-fat diet comprised 39.5 % fat by weight and this was provided as lard and corn oil in a 2.95:1 (w/w) ratio, as described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{13} The protein source in this diet was casein (20 % by weight). The chow diet was an open formulation (B&K rat and mouse pelleted diet, B&K Universal Ltd) containing 4.13 % fat and 19.67 % protein by weight. The protein source was a mixture of soya extract, wheat and barley. Feeding on these diets continued for 10 weeks in total and over the full duration of the trial body-weight gain and food intake were determined to the nearest 0.5 g.

At the end of the experiment the rats were culled using a rising concentration of CO\textsubscript{2} and cervical dislocation. Blood was collected by cardiac puncture into heparinized tubes and plasma prepared by centrifugation at 3000 g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Fat was dissected from two discrete depots (gonadal fat and perirenal fat) and weighed. The liver was carefully dissected and fat-depot weights and liver weight were expressed relative to final body weight. Insulin was assayed using a commercially available ELISA kit (Crystal Chem, Inc., Downers Grove, USA). The hypothalamus was dissected from the whole brain at the time of cull. Hypothalamus and liver were snap-frozen in liquid N\textsubscript{2} and stored at −80 °C prior to analysis of gene expression.

Determination of hypothalamic mRNA expression

Total RNA was isolated from snap-frozen hypothalamic samples using the TRIzol method (Invitrogen, Southampton, UK). The RNA was treated with DNase (Promega, Southampton, UK) and subjected to phenol–chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Total RNA (0.5 μg) was reverse-transcribed using MMLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega, UK). Real-time PCR was performed using an ABI prism 7700 sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK). A template-specific primer pair and an oligonucleotide probe (Sigma-Genosys, Haverhill, UK) specific to each of galanin, gal2R and the house-keeping gene β-actin were designed using Primer Express version 1.5 (Applied Biosystems). The full sequences of the primers and probes are reported in Bellinger et al.\textsuperscript{12}. All primer sets were tested under the Taqman PCR conditions using rat genomic DNA as a template. In all cases a single product of the appropriate size was detected by gel electrophoresis (data not shown). A negative template control and relative standard curve were included on every PCR run. The standard curve was prepared from a pool of sample cDNA at relative dilutions of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 1.0, 2.5 and 5.0 Relative target quantity was calculated from the standard curve and all samples were normalized to β-actin expression. Hypothalamic β-actin mRNA expression did not vary significantly between the different experimental groups.

Determination of hepatic mRNA expression

Hepatic RNA was isolated using the phenol–chloroform extraction method described by Chomczynski and Sacchi\textsuperscript{20}. cDNA was synthesized using the Taqman Reverse Transcription Reagents kit and then quantitative real-time PCR was performed using the ABI Prism 7700 Sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems). Fluorogenic probes were labelled with 6-carboxy-fluorescein at the 5′ end and with 6-carboxy-tetramethyl-rhodamine at the 3′ end. SREBP-1c mRNA expression was normalized relative to eukaryotic 18S rRNA. Hepatic 18S rRNA expression did not vary significantly between the different experimental groups. The SREBP-1c forward and reverse primers sequences were as reported by Kakuma et al.\textsuperscript{21}.
The expression of SREBP-1c protein in the liver was quantified using Western blotting, as described previously. Briefly, hepatic protein was extracted using the method of Chomczynski and Sacchi. Isolated protein concentrations were quantified using the method of Lowry, adapted for use in a 96-well micro-assay plate. The protein sample was denatured by boiling for 5 min and samples were then loaded onto a SDS polyacrylamide gel for separation (40 milligrams for 2 h). Separated proteins were transferred onto Hybond ECL (enhanced chemiluminescence; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Little Chalfont, UK) nitrocellulose membrane and after blocking of non-specific binding sites, membranes were incubated overnight with primary antibody solutions at 4°C. For SREBP-1c the primary antibody was SREBP-1 specific, developed in mice (ATCC, Middlesex, UK). Expression of α-actin protein was used to normalize SREBP-1c expression. For this a rabbit anti-α actin was used as the primary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA).

After this incubation, further washing and blocking steps were followed by incubation with a horseradish peroxidase-labelled secondary antibody to allow imaging of antibody binding using AIDA Image Analyser software (Raytest, GmbH Straubenhardt, Germany). Expression of α-actin protein was used to normalize hepatic protein expression. For this a rabbit anti-α actin was used as the primary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA).

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as means with their standard errors. All data were analysed using 2- or 3-way ANOVA as appropriate, to consider effects of maternal diet, offspring sex and postnatal diet. As groups were of unequal size type III analyses were performed. ANOVA was followed by a least significant difference test as a post hoc test for univariate effects, where appropriate. Post hoc tests cannot be performed to determine group to group differences where interactions of factors are noted, for example maternal diet and sex. As multiple pups from the same dam were included in the experimental groups a mixed model analysis was performed, to adjust for within-litter effects as recommended by Festing. P<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

Table 1. Weight gain and food intake of rats exposed to diets of differing composition in utero and fed chow or high-fat diets in adult life.

(Values are means with their standard errors for n observations per group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal diet group*</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>LPE</th>
<th>LPM</th>
<th>LPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>LPE</td>
<td>LPM</td>
<td>LPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>104.2±5</td>
<td>104.6±5</td>
<td>92.9±5</td>
<td>69.9±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>161.9±5</td>
<td>186.3±5</td>
<td>164.1±5</td>
<td>145.4±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>39.1±5</td>
<td>42.3±5</td>
<td>46.4±5</td>
<td>54.4±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>57.5±5</td>
<td>50.5±5</td>
<td>55.1±5</td>
<td>7.4±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>56.9±5</td>
<td>58.2±5</td>
<td>55.2±5</td>
<td>1.5±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g/d per kg body wt)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>34.2±5</td>
<td>34.9±5</td>
<td>38.7±5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>65.3±5</td>
<td>69.6±5</td>
<td>68.0±5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>42.5±5</td>
<td>47.9±5</td>
<td>46.2±5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>2.2±5</td>
<td>3.0±5</td>
<td>2.7±5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M, male; F, female; LPE, low-protein feeding targeted to days 0–7 of gestation; LPM, low-protein feeding targeted to days 8–14 of gestation; LPL, low-protein feeding targeted to days 15–22 of gestation.

*ANOVA indicated that weight gain was influenced by postnatal diet (P<0.001), sex (P<0.001) and the interaction of sex and postnatal diet (P<0.001). The interaction of postnatal diet, sex and maternal diet approached significance (P=0.015). Food intake was determined by postnatal diet (P<0.001) and sex (P<0.001).

**Indicates a significant difference between animals of same sex related to postnatal diet. Post hoc testing was only applied to univariate effects, so differences arising through interactions of factors are not indicated in the table.
Table 2. Liver and fat depots as a percentage of body weight in rats exposed to diets of differing composition in utero and fed chow or high-fat diets in adult life.

(Values are means with their standard errors for n rats per group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal diet group†</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Postnatal diet</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>LPE</th>
<th>LPM</th>
<th>LPL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver (% body weight)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>3.18a</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.77a</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>3.25a</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.28a</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perirenal fat (% body wt)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>2.04a</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.94a</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>2.29a</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.78b</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonadal fat (% body wt)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>1.96a</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.02a</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>1.39a</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LPE, low-protein feeding targeted to days 0–7 of gestation; LPM, low-protein feeding targeted to days 8–14 of gestation, LPL, low-protein feeding targeted to days 15–22 of gestation.

* For n see Table 1.
† ANOVA indicated that liver size was influenced by postnatal diet (P<0.001), Perirenal fat depot size was influenced by postnatal diet (P=0.001), maternal diet (P=0.019) and sex (P=0.031). There was an interaction of maternal diet and postnatal diet (P=0.048) and postnatal diet and sex (P=0.008). Gonadal fat was influenced by postnatal diet (P<0.001).

*Indicates a significant difference between animals of same sex related to postnatal diet.
†Indicates significantly different to control in same postnatal diet group (P<0.05). Post hoc testing was only applied to univariate effects, so differences arising through interactions of factors are not indicated in the table.

The size of the gonadal fat pad was increased (20–76 %) in animals that had been fed the high-fat diet, and although males of the LPM group and females of the LPE group showed no significant change at this fat depot, no significant influence of maternal diet was noted. However, for the perirenal depot (Table 2) more complex influences were noted. Among male animals fed chow, the LPM group had significantly (15–20 %) less fat at this site than animals in all other groups. On feeding a high-fat diet, males of the LPL group showed a particularly strong response and deposited 32 % more fat at the perirenal depot than control males. Among females, the LPE group had 22 % less perirenal fat than control animals after the feeding of a high-fat diet. SREBP-1c is synthesized as an immature 125 kDa protein that is inserted into the endoplasmic reticulum and nuclear membrane. The mature form of the protein (60 kDa) is produced in response to insulin stimulation and is the active transcription factor. Expression of both forms of the SREBP-1c protein (only mature expression data shown) essentially mirrored that of the mRNA (Fig. 2(B)), although the differences between expression between chow and high-fat feeding were not significant for the LPM and LPL groups.

The hypothalamic expression of galanin mRNA was unrelated to maternal diet, offspring sex or the postnatal diet (Table 3). However, expression of the gal2r was influenced by interactions of all three factors (maternal diet × sex, P=0.037; maternal diet × postnatal diet, P=0.015). In males expression tended to be lower (15–20 %) in the high-fat-fed animals than in chow-fed rats. Among females, LPM rats fed chow tended to have high gal2r expression, which declined by 37 % on feeding high-fat diet. LPL exposed females exhibited a 50 % increase in expression when comparing high-fat-fed rats to chow-fed animals of the same maternal dietary group, a response not noted in any of the other groups.

Discussion

Interest in the potential for prenatal nutrition to influence long-term adiposity and hence risk of associated metabolic disorders originally arose from the outcomes of follow-up studies of individuals subject to intrauterine famine in the Dutch Hunger Winter. Moderate restriction of rations during specific periods of pregnancy was found to increase risk of obesity in individuals subject to restriction in early gestation,
whilst those subject to undernutrition during the final trimester appeared relatively obesity-resistant. In keeping with these observations some animal experiments have demonstrated prenatal programming of obesity, most notably those of Vickers and colleagues\textsuperscript{11}, who showed that restriction of pregnant rats to 30\% of ad libitum intakes produced gross adiposity in their mature offspring. However, some nutritional manipulations in rodent pregnancy that are known to produce cardiovascular and metabolic disturbances have not been found to induce a greater rate of fat deposition\textsuperscript{27}. An important limitation of our study was the comparison of a synthetic high-fat diet with an open formula chow diet. These were not equivalent in their formulation, presentation (chow was pelleted, high-fat diet was provided as large balls) and palatability. Although the main difference in composition was the fat content, it must be acknowledged that other differences in composition and ingredients might explain the observed results.

The maternal LP diet protocol used in this experiment is long-established as a model system for the investigation of blood pressure programming\textsuperscript{28}. A similar protocol generates offspring which develop insulin resistance\textsuperscript{29}. We and others have previously reported that when fed standard laboratory chow (low-fat) diets, body-weight gain and fat deposition is similar in young adult rats exposed to LP in utero compared to control animals\textsuperscript{13,27}. This is in contrast to the reports of Vickers\textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{11} and may be explained by differences in the metabolic profile programmed by different intrauterine exposures.

Whilst we have noted young offspring of low-protein fed dams to have similar body composition to controls\textsuperscript{13}, Jones and Friedman\textsuperscript{30} reported that LP diets in pregnancy induce excess adiposity in the offspring if combined with a postnatal high-fat diet. The present experiment set out to explore the
hypothesis that weight, body fat, regulation of food intake and metabolic response to a hyperenergetic, high-fat diet would be altered by prenatal protein restriction. Our initial hypothesis was not supported by the data, as we observed that feeding a high-fat diet to mature rats for 10 weeks produced no clear programmed effect upon fat deposition. However, despite the lack of variation in adiposity it was clear that short, specific periods of protein restriction did promote hyperinsulinaemia and altered expression of SREBP-1c. In addition to programming of basal expression, this receptor and other regulators of feeding behaviour were noted in that previous study. This is in keeping with earlier work and the current observations of no programmed differences in galanin expression. Expression of gal2r in females, tended to occur in LPM and LPL groups when fed the chow diet, and in the LPL group on high-fat feeding. These observations may provide an early sign of the metabolic disturbances that develop with age in the prenatally undernourished animal. Up-regulation of SREBP-1c in older LP-exposed rats may be driven by their developing hyperinsulinaemia.

By generating groups of offspring subject to protein restriction at different stages of development we intended to explore the possibility that programming of gene expression in the target organs occurs during a specific critical window during fetal life. The finding that protein restriction generated a broadly similar phenotype regardless of the timing of the insult was an important observation allowing different inferences to be made. It is possible that the expression of SREBP-1c and sensitivity to nutritional signals is equally sensitive to nutritional programming at any stage of fetal life. Alternatively it may be argued that the sensitive period for programming of lipid metabolism and for appetite regulation lies in mid to late gestation as the few variations seen between LP groups, for example insulin concentrations in males or gal2r expression in females, tended to occur in LPM and LPL groups.
Sex-specific effects of protein restriction were noted in this study. Hypothalamic expression of gal2r appeared sensitive to prenatal influences only in female offspring. To some extent this is consistent with data relating to feeding behaviour in other studies, where programming of appetite was greater in females than in males. We also noted that only males exposed to LP in utero developed hyperinsulinaemia. Ozanne and colleagues have also provided evidence of sex-specific programming of insulin and glucose metabolism. In their studies whilst males exposed to LP diets in fetal life exhibited frank diabetes by 17 months of age, females only developed impaired glucose intolerance and at a greater age.

It is clear from this study that complex interactions of factors such as postnatal diet, age and sex will act together with prenatal factors to determine metabolic function, responsiveness and susceptibility to disease at any stage of postnatal life. This is consistent with data emerging from epidemiological studies which also suggest that fetal growth retardation followed by rapid catch-up growth and excessive fat gain in adolescence may be the strongest predictors of adult metabolic syndrome. This study further establishes a role for prenatal nutrition in programming the genes involved in lipid metabolism. The mechanism through which this occurs remains to be resolved although a role for tissue remodelling can be invoked.
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