Prevalence of symptomatic lumbar disc herniation is 1-3% in the adult population.\(^1\) When conservative therapy (e.g., physiotherapy, anti-inflammatories, epidural injections, etc.) fails, open microsurgical discectomy is regarded as the treatment of choice. With this procedure, the incidence of injury to visceral bowel is reported to be 3.8 per 10,000 cases.\(^2\) With the recent advent of tubular retractor systems, an increasing number of surgeons are using this minimally invasive procedure to replace traditional open microsurgical discectomy. The advantages include a smaller skin incision and a muscle splitting rather than muscle incising technique. As a result post-operative pain, blood loss and length of hospital stay may decrease significantly.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^6\) Multiple studies have compared the two surgical techniques with regards to their clinical outcomes.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\) The results of these studies reveal equal if not superior clinical outcomes with the minimally invasive technique. Despite the success of the minimally invasive microdiscectomy, none of the studies reported any intra-operative complications using this novel technique. This report represents the first documented bowel injury using METRx tubular retractors (Medtronic Sofamor-Danek, Memphis, TN) for minimally invasive lumbar microsurgical discectomy.

**CASE REPORT**

**Clinical History**

A 36 year old female with severe back pain, and right lower limb radicular symptoms referred to Neurosurgery to assess for possible surgical intervention. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of lumbar spine revealed L5-S1 paramedian disc herniation which was consistent with clinical findings (see Figures 1a & 1b). Trial of conservative therapy was not effective hence, patient agreed to have lumbar discectomy done. The less invasive method of using METRx tubular retractors for the discectomy was offered to the patient. The patient agreed to the procedure upon discussion of potential benefits and possible complications.

**Minimally Invasive Microdiscectomy**

Under general anesthesia, the patient was placed prone on the Wilson frame. Using fluoroscopy, a Kirschner wire was docked onto the right side of the L5 lamina and a 1.5cm skin incision was made. The METRx tubular retractor was then introduced in standard fashion over sequential dilators and the microscope brought in. Following laminotomy and removal of ligamentum flavum, the anticipated large disc herniation distorting the S1 nerve root was encountered. The disc was incised, and the fragment removed with pituitary rongeurs. The end-plates of L5 and S1 were scraped using reverse-angled curettes. Copious bacitracin irrigation of the disc space was performed ensuring no residual loose disc pieces. Upon inspection, the nerve root was well decompressed. Hemostasis was achieved with bone wax.
and bipolar cautery. Methylprednisolone acetate (40mg) was instilled topically over the exposed nerve root. The tubular retractor was removed and then the skin incision was closed using a 3-0 Monocryl interrupted suture. Blood loss was less than 50 ml. There were no intra-operative complications noted. The patient was awakened from general anesthesia and transferred to the Recovery Room in stable condition.

Post-Operative Course

In the recovery room, the patient immediately complained of significant low back pain and vague abdominal pain. She was treated with appropriate intravenous and oral analgesics. The patient was scheduled to be discharged home later that evening. However, due to poor pain control she was admitted to the Neurosurgical ward. The morning after surgery, the patient complained of worsening abdominal pain. Three radiological views of the abdomen revealed free air, and her white blood cell (WBC) count was 18,000. General surgery was consulted and a Computed Tomography (CT) scan was performed. The contrast-enhanced CT of the abdomen revealed nonspecific large bowel wall thickening, free intra-peritoneal air and fluid throughout the abdomen; no evidence of hemorrhage was noted (see Figure 2). An urgent diagnostic laparoscopy was performed.

Laparoscopic Small Bowel Resection

Laparoscopy revealed copious amounts of bile. A complete laparotomy was performed which revealed an acute mid jejunal perforation encompassing 2/3 of the circumference of the small intestine. The patient was returned to the post-operative anesthesia care unit.

On postoperative day one, the patient was discharged from hospital without any significant low back pain and radicular right leg pain. The patient was grossly intact with respect to right L5 or S1 motor examination. Pathological examination of the small bowel revealed a 4.5 cm segment of small bowel with a 2.0 cm perforation defect covered in fibropurulent exudates on the serosa. The patient was assessed in follow up and was found to have recovered well without any further complications.

DISCUSSION

Inadvertent bowel perforation is a rare but well described complication of standard lumbar microdiscectomy. There have been 18 cases in the surgical literature reporting bowel injury.\textsuperscript{2, 8-15} Intestinal injury most frequently involved the ileum, with other injuries to sigmoid, cecum, appendix, and jejunum documented. Discovery of bowel injury rarely occurs intraoperatively, or in the recovery room.\textsuperscript{2, 16} This delay in diagnosis partly explains the high morbidity and mortality associated with this complication.\textsuperscript{17} Our patient’s injury was not investigated until post-operative day one, due to symptoms of worsening abdominal pain and distention. This is consistent with the time course of diagnosis in the literature.\textsuperscript{2}

With the recent advent of tubular retractor systems for lumbar microdiscectomy, an increasing number of spine surgeons are changing from the standard “open” microdiscectomy to this more minimally invasive approach. This case report represents
the first documented small bowel injury during lumbar microdiscectomy using minimally invasive tubular retractors.

In order to avoid intraoperative bowel injury, one must be aware and accustomed to the length of the tubular retractors when working with the long, bayonetted instruments (e.g., pituitary rongeur). The use of depth markers on these instruments will similarly serve as a precautionary strategy. Moreover, by using the shortest possible retractor, one maximizes the working angle and minimizes the working depth. Additionally, one could avoid such injury by performing a sequestrectomy rather than a “radical” discectomy in order to avoid inadvertently plunging ventrally through the annulus fibrosus and the anterior longitudinal ligament. Overall, once accustomed to the instruments and technique, the surgeon should accept a supervised learning curve in order to minimize iatrogenic complications.

In conclusion, this case report illustrates that bowel injury continues to be a potential complication of lumbar microdiscectomy using minimally invasive tubular retractor systems. Finally, in the case of injury, early attention to patient symptoms, combined with early investigation such as radiographic imaging may allow for early detection to prevent significant morbidity and mortality.
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