CrossMark

Association of dietary acid load and plant-based diet index with sleep, stress, anxiety and depression in diabetic women

Elnaz Daneshzad¹, Seyed-Ali Keshavarz², Mostafa Qorbani^{3,4}, Bagher Larijani⁵, Nick Bellissimo⁶ and Leila Azadbakht^{1,7}*

¹Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

²Department of Clinical Nutrition, School of Nutritional Sciences and Dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

 3 Non-communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

⁴Chronic Diseases Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁶School of Nutrition, Ryerson University, Toronto, Canada

⁷Diabetes Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

(Submitted 7 May 2019 - Final revision received 26 November 2019 - Accepted 27 November 2019 - First published online 6 December 2019)

Abstract

Diabetes is a common chronic disease with various complications. The present study was conducted to determine the association of plant-based diet index (PDI) and dietary acid load (DAL) with sleep status as well as mental health in type 2 diabetic women. In this cross-sectional study, a validated FFQ was used to assess dietary intakes of 230 diabetic patients. We created a whole PDI, healthful PDI (hPDI) and unhealthful PDI (uPDI). DAL was calculated based on potential renal acid load and net endogenous acid production method. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and twenty-one-item Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale were used to assess sleep and mental health disorders, respectively. Participants in the top group of uPDI had greater risk of poor sleep (OR 6·47, 95 % CI 2·75, 15·24). However, patients who were in the top group of hPDI had a lower risk of sleep problems (OR 0·28, 95 % CI 0·13, 0·62). Participants in the top group of uPDI had greater risk of depression, anxiety and stress (OR 9·35, 95 % CI 3·96, 22·07; OR 4·74, 95 % CI 2·28, 9·85; OR 4·24, 95 % CI 2·14, 8·38, respectively). In conclusion, participants with higher DAL scores and patients who adhered to animal-based diets rather than plant-based diets were more likely to be poor sleepers and have mental health disorders.

Key words: Dietary acid load: Plant-based diet index: Sleep: Depression: Diabetic patients: Women

Sleep disturbances and psychological disorders including stress, anxiety, aggression and depression are common complications in diabetic patients. Diabetic patients have poor sleep quality, with poorer sleep efficiency and sleep disorders compared with non-diabetics^(1–3). Moreover, anxiety and depression are more prevalent in diabetic patients than individuals with normal glucose tolerance⁽⁴⁾. Approximately one-third of type 2 diabetic patients have sub-threshold depression⁽⁵⁾ and over 40 % present with minor or major depression with anxiety disorders⁽⁶⁾.

Diet is an important and modifiable environmental factor, which can affect glycaemic control as well as psychological disorders and sleep disturbances. For example, low glycaemic index foods which contain high dietary fibre are associated with lower blood glucose⁽⁷⁾. Moreover, Zn, Mg and B-vitamins, which are abundant in vegetables, are associated with decreased risk of depression^(8,9). Based on previous studies, these micronutrients can also lead to an improved sleep quality^(10,11). Today, according to interaction and combination of various macro- and micronutrients in a whole diet, epidemiological studies are conducted to determine the association of dietary patterns and dietary quality indices and various diseases. Protective dietary patterns with the content of nuts, fruits and vegetables are associated with

* Corresponding author: Leila Azadbakht, fax + 98/218/8984 861, email azadbakhtleila@gmail.com

Abbreviations: DAL, dietary acid load; hPDI, healthful plant-based diet index; NEAP, net endogenous acid production; PA, physical activity; PDI, plant-based diet index; PRAL, potential renal acid load; uPDI, unhealthful PDI.

902

reduced risk of depression⁽¹²⁾. Plant-based diet index (PDI) and dietary acid load (DAL) are two indices to assess whole diet quality. As nutrients which present in vegetable and fruits have indicated good effects on sleep and psychological status, the present study hypothesised that whether a whole plant diet has a reduced association with sleep disturbances and psychological disorders. Based on previous studies in diabetic patients, DAL was positively associated with type 2 diabetes risk⁽¹³⁾ and the metabolic syndrome⁽¹⁴⁾. Also, women with higher DAL scores had increased risk of gestational diabetes mellitus⁽¹⁵⁾. Furthermore, metabolic acidosis leads to reduced insulin secretion and induces insulin resistance⁽¹⁵⁾.

Therefore, the aim of this cross-sectional study was to determine the association of a PDI and DAL with sleep status, anxiety, stress and depression in type 2 diabetic women.

Methods

NS British Journal of Nutrition

This cross-sectional study was carried out in 230 type 2 diabetic women who were referred to diabetes research or health centres in Tehran, Iran. The sample size was determined based on the mean of psychological distress score in patients with lowest (15.98 (sp 9.72)) and highest (12.38 (sp 9.95)) adherence to the PDI, respectively: $\alpha 0.05$ and $\beta 0.2$ (power 80%). Therefore, in the present study, the sample size was determined about 115 individuals in each group⁽¹⁶⁾. Participants were randomly selected and provided informed written consent. Only women with type 2 diabetes without other medical complications were included in the present study. Women who had other chronic diseases such as thyroid problems, cancers, CVD and kidney disease were excluded. In addition, individuals who reported a total energy intake of <3347.2 and >17 572.8 kJ were excluded. The present study was approved by the ethical committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (96-03-161-36923).

Assessment of anthropometric measures

Body weight was measured in minimal clothing using a calibrated digital scale (SECA 803). Height was measured while participants were in standing position using an unstretched tape measure to the nearest to 0.1 mm. BMI was calculated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m²). Waist circumference was measured using an unstretched tape measure to the nearest to 0.1 cm at the narrowest cite of the waist in light clothing.

Assessment of dietary intake

A 168-item semi-quantitative FFQ, which was validated and reliable for the Iranian population, was used to determine past year dietary intakes⁽¹⁷⁾. All participants filled the amount and frequency of consumption of each food item on a daily, weekly or monthly basis during the past year. The reported portion sizes of consumed foods converted to g/d. Nutritionist IV software (version 7.0; N-Squared Computing) which was adapted for Iranian foods was used for nutrients analysis.

Plant-based diet indices

We created a whole PDI, healthful PDI (hPDI) and unhealthful PDI (uPDI) as reported in previous studies^(18,19). Briefly,

eighteen food groups are created and classified into three main categories: animal foods, and healthy and unhealthy plant foods. Healthy food groups included fruits, vegetables, whole grains, legumes, vegetable oils, nuts, tea and coffee, whereas less healthy food groups included sugar-sweetened beverages, refined grains, fruit juices, potatoes, sweets and desserts. Animal food groups included dairy products, eggs, animal fats, fish and seafood, poultry and red meat, and miscellaneous animal-based foods. Food grouping details are shown in online Supplementary Table S1. These eighteen food groups were ranked into quintiles and given scores between 1 and 10. For creating PDI, the highest decile of a food group received a score of 10 and the lowest decile received a score of 1.

Participants in the highest decile of animal food groups received a score of 1 and to the lowest decile received a score of 10. For hPDI, healthy plant food groups received a positive score, whereas scores were reversed for unhealthy plant food groups and animal food groups. For uPDI, a score of 1 was given to the lowest decile of less healthy plant food groups and 10 for the highest decile, whereas reverse scores were attributed to healthy plant and animal food groups (online Supplementary Table S1)⁽¹⁹⁾. Finally, all eighteen food group scores were summed (range 18–180) to attain the indices score, which indicates that a higher intake of all three indices reflected lower animal food intake. Finally, these three indices were categorised into two groups by median-split to assess their association with dependent measures.

Assessment of dietary acid load

DAL was calculated based on potential renal acid load $(PRAL)^{(20,21)}$ and net endogenous acid production (NEAP) method⁽²²⁾.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PRAL} \ (\text{mEq/d}) &= (\text{protein} \ (\text{g/d}) \times \ \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{49}) \\ &+ (\text{P} \ (\text{mg/d}) \times \ \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{037}) \\ &- (\text{K} \ (\text{mg/d}) \times \ \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{021}) \\ &- (\text{Ca} \ (\text{mg/d}) \times \ \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{013}) \\ &- (\text{Mg} \ (\text{mg/d}) \times \ \mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{026}). \end{aligned}$$

Estimated NEAP (mEq/d) = $(54.5 \times \text{protein intake } (g/d)/K$ intake (mEq/d)) - 10.2.

Assessment of sleep

The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index is a self-report sleep instrument which has been validated in several studies^(23–25). This questionnaire measures the quality and pattern of sleep over the past month and consists of nine items, differentiating from poor to good on a 0–3 scale (0, not in the past month; 1, less than once per week; 2, once or twice per week and 3, three or more times per week). These items explain sleep latency, duration and efficiency, use of sleep medication, sleep disturbances and daytime dysfunction. Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index scores are between 0 and 21. A score of 5 and above indicates poor sleep quality.

Assessment of stress, anxiety and depression

The twenty-one-item Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale is a self-reported questionnaire which contains twenty-one items to assess the severity of negative emotional states and symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress in the last week. These subscales include seven questions with a rating scale between 0 (never) and 3 (always). For depression, total score between 0 and 9 is considered normal, whereas scores above 9 indicate increasing severity of depression. For anxiety, a total score between 0 and 7 is normal, whereas scores above 7 indicate an increase for stress, a total score of 0–14 is normal, and greater is determined as having stress. The validity and reliability of twenty-one-item Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale have been investigated in Iran^(26,27).

Assessment of other variables

Socio-demographic information including age, education level and occupation, income, smoking habits, medical history and current medication and supplement use were assessed by the questionnaire. Physical activity (PA) levels were recorded over 7 d and expressed as metabolic equivalent h/week⁽²⁸⁾. Blood pressure was measured in duplicate using a sphygmomanometer, and the mean of both measured was used as the participants blood pressure. Biochemical markers including fasting blood sugar, 2-h postprandial blood sugar, Hb A1C, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and TAG were obtained from the participants' medical files.

Statistical analysis

Participant characteristics were compared by ANOVA or χ^2 tests and reported as the mean values and standard deviations or percentages. Dietary intakes were reported by median-split for PDI and DAL, adjusted for energy intake using ANCOVA. Also, energy-adjusted dietary intakes in poor and good sleepers and healthy participants or participants with mental health disorders were reported using ANCOVA. The association of sleep and mental health status by media-split for PDI and DAL was determined using the χ^2 test. Moreover, having a mental health disorder and poor sleep in diabetic patients was presented in different models using binary logistic regression. In model 1, adjustment was performed for age, BMI, PA, socio-economic status, supplements consumption, vitamin D and energy intake. Further statistical control was performed in model 2 for medications, lipid profile, blood pressure, sleep duration at night and nap time. Finally, linear regression as a continuous statistical method was used to present the association between DAL score and the score of PDI with mental health disorders and having poor sleep through the fully adjusted model. SPSS version 16 was used to analyse the data. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 shows the general participant characteristics. Mean age was 59.9 years. There was a significant association between both weight and BMI with uPDI (P < 0.05). A significant difference

was observed in nap time and sleep duration at night and PRAL as well as uPDI (P < 0.05). There was a significant difference for SES across groups of the PDI, hPDI, uPDI and PRAL scores. Participants did not report consuming alcohol or smoking.

Consumption of carbohydrate, Na, organ meats, processed meats, high-fat dairy products, starchy vegetables and refined grains was greater in the top uPDI group. Consumption of carbohydrate, fibre, K, Fe, Mg, Cu, P, Mn, vitamins A, K, E, C, B₆, and folate was lower in the top PRAL group. Table 2 presents energy-adjusted dietary intakes among PDI, NEAP and PRAL.

Energy-adjusted dietary intakes among good and poor sleepers and patients with and without mental health disorders are described in Table 3. Protein, fibre, K, Fe, Ca, Mg, P, Zn, Cu, Mn, folate, vitamins B₂, B₃, B₆, A and C intake and low-fat dairy products, vegetables and fruits consumption in poor sleepers and patients with mental health disorders were lower than participants without these problems (P < 0.05). Starchy vegetables, refined grains and high-fat dairy consumption were greater in participants with mental health disorders and poor sleepers (P < 0.05).

According to the χ^2 test, there was a significant association between sleep status and hPDI and uPDI (P < 0.0001). There was also a significant association between sleep status and NEAP and PRAL (P < 0.0001). Table 4 shows the OR and 95% CI for having mental health disorders and poor sleep in crude model and adjusted models across DAL scores and PDI groups. Participants in the top group of uPDI, NEAP and PRAL scores were more likely to be poor sleepers in crude and adjusted models. Participants in the top group of hPDI had a 72 % decreased risk of poor sleep. Participants in the top group of hPDI had 74 and 76% decreased risk of anxiety and stress, respectively. Participants in the top group of uPDI had more than nine, four and four times increased risk of depression, anxiety and stress, respectively. Table 5 presents the association between DAL score and the score of PDI with mental health disorders and having poor sleep using linear regression as a continuous statistical method.

Discussion

This cross-sectional study revealed that higher DAL scores and adherence to uPDI were associated with poor sleep. Also, greater adherence to uPDI increased the risk of depression, anxiety and stress. Participants in the top group of the hPDI had decreased risk of poor sleep, depression, anxiety and stress. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that has assessed the association between DAL and PDI and psychological disorders and sleep status in diabetic patients.

It was reported in a prospective cohort study that DAL was associated with the development of type 2 diabetes⁽¹³⁾ and gestational diabetes mellitus⁽¹⁵⁾. Also, some vegetarian diets are associated with a reduction in the incidence of diabetes⁽²⁹⁾. However, there is no study that has assessed the association between DAL and PDI with diabetes complications. PDI emphasise greater intakes of whole grains, fruits, vegetables, nuts, vegetable oils, nuts and legumes and lower consumption of animal fats, fish, meat and animal-based foods (online Supplementary Table S1).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114519003179 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 1. Participant characteristics by median-split plant-based indices and dietary acid load (Mean values and standard deviations)

		PI	JI		hF	DI		uF	DI		NE	AP		PF	RAL	
	Total	1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†	
Variables	Mean (SD)	Mean (sp)	Mean (sp)	P*	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P *	Mean (sp)	Mean (SD)	P *	Mean (sp)	Mean (SD)	P*	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<i>P</i> *
Number	230	106	124		114	116		111	119		115	115		117	113	
Age (years)	59·9 (9·2)	60·8 (8·7)	59-1 (9-5)	0.146	59·9 (10·2)	59⋅8 (8⋅1)	0.933	59∙0 (7∙9)	60·7 (10·2)	0.177	59·2 (9·4)	60∙5 (8∙9)	0.303	58·7 (9·8)	61₊1 (8₊3)	0.053
Weight (kg)	73·42 (11·83)	74·03 (12·19)	72·59 (11·54)	0.467	73·71 (12·70)	73·13 (10·95)	0.715	75-41 (12-37)	71·56 (11·04)	0.013	73⋅84 (12⋅29)	73.00 (11.39)	0.588	73·20 (11·52)	73⋅64 (12⋅19)	0.78
BMI (kg/m ²)	29·3 (4·5)	29·7 (4·4)	28·9 (4·6)	0.19	29·6 (4·8)	28·9 (4·2)	0.221	`29·9΄ (4·5)	28·7 (4·4)	0.048	29·3 ́ (4·8)	29·3́ (4·2)	0.988	29·1 (4·4)	29·5 ́ (4·5)	0.445
WC (cm)	95·28 (9·83)	96·46 (9·68)	94·28 (9·89)	0.094	95-91 (10-40)	94·66 (9·24)	0.334	96·23 (10·74)	94·40 (8·86)	0.159	93-98 (9-61)	96·58 (9·92)	0.045	94·18 (9·46)	96·42 (10·12)	0.085
SBP (mmHg)	121·13 (11·65)	122·54 (10·87)	119-91 (12-19)	0.088	121.75 (11.46)	120·51 (11·85)	0.422	122·07 (11·04)	120·25 (12·17)	0.237	119·73 (11·03)	122·52 (12·12)	0.07	119-91 (11-48)	122·38 (11·79)	0.108
DBP (mmHg)	77.00 (7.06)	77·45 (7·17)	76·61 (6·97)	0.37	77.10 (7.49)	76·89 (6·64)	0.823	77.65 (6.02)	76·38 (7·89)	0.173	76·34 (6·79)	77.65 (7.29)	0.162	76·41 (6·75)	77.61 (7.35)	0.198
TC (mmol/l)	4·14 (1·05)	4·11 (1·01)	4·17 (1·08)	0.656	4·21 (0·98)	4·07 (1·11)	0.342	4·20 (1·05)	4·08 (1·04)	0.408	4·12 (1·08)	4·16 (1·02)	0.777	4·11 (1·14)	4·17 (1·02)	0.708
HDL (mmol/l)	1.18 (0.26)	1.16 (0.21)	1.20 (0.30)	0.378	1.19 (0.27)	1.18 (0.24)	0.808	1.19 (0.24)	1.18 (0.28)	0.811	1.18 (0.23)	1.18 (0.29)	0.918	1.17́ (0.22)	1.19 (0.29)	0.599
LDL (mmol/l)	2·52 (0·84)	2·53 (0·81)	2·51 (0·88)	0.889	2.59 (0.83)	2·46 (0·86)	0.232	2·54 (0·85)	2·51 (0·84)	0.791	2·45 (0·84)	2·59 (0·85)	0.21	2·49 (0·87)	2·56 (0·82)	0.532
TAG (mmol/l)	1.81 (0.86)	1.77 (0.70)	1.84 (0.97)	0.492	1.81 (0.78)	1.81 (0.94)	0.969	1.85 (0.91)	1.77 (0.81)	0.455	1.80 (0.92)	1.82 (0.80)	0.874	1.78 (0.90)	1.84 (0.82)	0.623
Nap (min/d)	29·53 (36·93)	26·70 (35·72)	31.95 (37.91)	0.283	26·15 (33·98)	32·86 (39·49)	0.169	34·56 (39·36)	24·84 (34·01)	0.046	32·15 (41·92)	26·92 (31·13)	0.283	31.85 (39.32)	27·14 (34·29)	0.334
How long does it take to sleep at night (min)	40·53 (4·43)	42·78 (47·12)	38·61 (41·94)	0.478	43·07 (38·33)	38·04 (49·61)	0.391	34·24 (48·21)	46·40 (39·73)	0.037	36·60 (37·72)	44·46 (49·98)	0.179	32·15 (36·09)	49·21 (50·23)	0.003
How much sleep at night (h)	6·51 (2·79)	6·27 (1·64)	6·47 (1·37)	0.321	6·30 (1·60)	6·46 (1·39)	0.448	6.62 (1.39)	6·15 (1·56)	0.017	6·55 (1·26)	6·21 (1·69)	0.093	6·69 (1·32)	6·06 (1·61)	0.001
Years of having diabetes	6·58 (0·20)	6·50 (3·23)	6·65 (3·13)	0.716	6·34 (3·19)	6·82 (3·15)	0.256	6·71 (3·33)	6·46 (3·17)	0.553	6·75 (3·08)	6·42 (3·26)	0.431	6·70 (3·16)	6·46 (3·19)	0.567

Table 1. (Continued)

		PI	JI		hF	DI		uF	DI		NE	AP		PF	AL		
	Total	1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		
Variables	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P *	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P *	Mean (sp)	Mean (SD)	P *	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	P *	
Years of post-menopause	10·81 (0·58)	11·22 (8·58)	10·46 (9·12)	0.52	10·25 (8·33)	11·35 (9·37)	0.349	9·96 (8·78)	11.60 (8.91)	0.164	10·61 (9·48)	11·01 (8·24)	0.733	10·07 (9·29)	11·58 (8·37)	0.198	
PA (metabolic equivalent ·h/week) SES n (%)	30·11 (5·31)	30·12 (5·94)	30·10 (4·74)	0.978	29·57 (5·81)	30·64 (4·74)	0.127	30∙76 (5∙51)	29·51 (5·07)	0.075	30·13 (4·26)	30·10 (5·94)	0.961	30·76 (4·92)	29·44 (5·63)	0.058	
Poor Moderate	(31.70) 87 (37.80)	42 (57·5) 38 (43·7)	31 (42·5) 49 (56·3)	0.043	49 (67·1) 42 (48·3)	24 (32·9) 45 (51·7)	<0.0001	23 (31·5) 40 (46·0)	50 (68·5) 47 (54·0)	<0.0001	29 (39·7) 48 (55·2)	44 (60·3) 39 (44·8)	0.104	23 (31·5) 50 (57·5)	50 (68·5) 37 (42·5)	<0.000	
Rich Supplement consumption (r	70 (30·50) າ (%))	26 (37.1)	44 (62·9)		23 (32.9)	47 (67.1)		48 (68.8)	22 (31.4)		38 (54·3)	32 (45.7)		44 (62·9)	26 (37.1)		
Yes No	59 (25·70) 171 (74·30)	26 (44·1) 80 (46·8)	33 (55·9) 91 (53·2)	0.718	26 (44·1) 88 (51·5)	33 (33·9) 83 (48·5)	0.327	28 (47·5) 83 (48·5)	31 (52·5) 88 (51·5)	0.886	34 (57·6) 81 (47·4)	25 (42·4) 90 (52·6)	0.174	33 (55·9) 84 (49·1)	26 (44·1) 87 (50·9)	0.367	
Medications (n (%))																	
BGR BGR + BPR BGR + BLR All	48 (20·9) 25 (10·9) 73 (31·7) 84 (36·5)	25 (52·1) 12 (48) 35 (47·9) 34 (40·5)	23 (47·9) 13 (52) 38 (52·1) 50 (59·5)	0.594	33 (68·8) 7 (28) 41 (56·2) 33 (39·3)	15 (31·2) 18 (72) 32 (43·8) 51 (60·7)	0.001	24 (50) 18 (72) 32 (43·8) 37 (44)	24 (50) 7 (28) 41 (56·2) 47 (56)	0.076	26 (54·2) 6 (24) 34 (46·6) 49 (58·3)	22 (45·8) 19 (76) 39 (53·4) 35 (41·7)	0.021	23 (47·9) 8 (32) 41 (56·2) 45 (53·6)	25 (52·1) 17 (68) 32 (43·8) 39 (46·4)	0.188	

PDI, Plant-based diet index; hPDI, healthy PDI; uPDI, unhealthy PDI; NEAP, net endogenous acid production; PRAL, potential renal acid load; WC, waist circumference; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TC, total cholesterol; PA, physical activity; SES; socio-economic status; BGR, blood glucose reducers; BPR, blood pressure reducers; BLR, blood lipids reducers.

* Calculated by the χ^2 and *t* tests for qualitative and quantitative variables, respectively.

† 1: lower than median, 2: higher than median.

Table 2. Dietary intakes by median-split plant-based diet indices (PDI) and dietary acid load (Mean values with their standard errors)

		Р	DI		hF	PDI		uF	PDI		NE	AP		PF	RAL	
	Total (<i>n</i> 230)	1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†	
Variables	Mean (se)	Mean (SE)	Mean (se)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (se)	Mean (se)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (se)	Mean (SE)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (SE)	Mean (se)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	P*
Energy (kJ/d)	9601.44	9772.90	9454.91	0.248	9393.66	9805·66	0.133	9815.78	9401.53	0.131	9354·50	9848.42	0.071	9897·41	9296.01	0.028
	(136.90)	(194.51)	(191.71)		(168-69)	(214.01)		(218·61)	(167.48)		(187.31)	(197.90)		(205.05)	(176.89)	
CHO (g/d)	330.69 (4.64)	321.51	338.55	0.010	337.96	323.55	0.029	314.46	345.84	<0.0001	345.44	315.95	<0.0001	344.54	316.35	<0.0001
		(4.79)	(4.43)		(4.65)	(4.61)		(4.52)	(4.36)		(4.47)	(4.47)		(4-46)	(4.54)	
Protein (g/d)	70·81 (1·15)	70.18	71.35	0.378	67.01	74.55	<0.0001	77.02	65.01	<0.0001	68.35	73.26	<0.0001	70.52	71.10	0.664
		(0.97)	(0.90)		(0.87)	(0.86)		(0.76)	(0.73)		(0.91)	(0.91)		(0.93)	(0.94)	
Fat (g/d)	84.93 (2.44)	88·74	81.68	0.014	81.89	87.93	0.035	90.76	79.50	<0.0001	80.07	89.79	0.001	79.84	90.21	<0.0001
		(2.08)	(1.92)		(2.01)	(2.01)		(1.99)	(1.92)		(1.98)	(1.98)		(1.95)	(1.99)	
Cholesterol (mg/d)	171·86 (4·27)	192.67	154.07	<0.0001	193-85	150.24	<0.0001	173.47	170.35	0.712	163-84	179.88	0.057	162.92	181.12	0.031
	. ,	(5.90)	(5.46)		(5.62)	(5.57)		(6.06)	(5.85)		(5.91)	(5·91)		(5.86)	(5.96)	
SFA (mg/d)	20.45 (0.44)	22.33	18.84	<0.0001	22·01	18.92	<0.0001	20.43	20.47	0.946	19∙90́	21·01	0.070	19·41	21·52	<0.0001
	()	(0.41)	(0.38)		(0.40)	(0.40)		(0.43)	(0.42)		(0.42)	(0.42)		(0.41)	(0.42)	
MUFA (mg/d)	29.39 (1.09)	30.16	28.74	0.316	26.35	32.39	<0.0001	33.35	25.71	<0.0001	27.41	31.38	0.005	27.56	31.29	0.009
	20 00 (1 00)	(1.04)	(0.96)	00.0	(0.96)	(0.95)		(0.95)	(0.92)		(0.98)	(0.98)	0 000	(0.98)	(0.99)	0 000
PUFA (mg/d)	19.15 (0.57)	19.70	18.69	0.240	18.33	19.96	0.058	20.01	18.36	0.056	17.58	20.72	<0.0001	17.35	21.03	<0.0001
or / (ing/u)	10 10 (0 07)	(0.63)	(0.58)	0240	(0.60)	(0.60)	0 000	(0.61)	(0.59)	0 000	(0.59)	(0.59)	00001	(0.58)	(0.59)	<0.0001
=ibre (g/d)	20.84 (0.43)	19.35	22.12	<0.0001	18.07	23.57	<0.0001	23.49	18.38	<0.0001	22.26	19.43	<0.0001	23.03	18.58	<0.0001
libite (g/u)	20.04 (0.43)			<0.0001			<0.0001	(0.38)		<0.0001			<0.0001	(0.39)		<0.0001
N. (m. m. /m)	0540 10	(0·44)	(0·41)	0.000	(0·36)	(0.36)	0.011	· · ·	(0·37)	0.000	(0·42)	(0·42)	0.070	· · ·	(0·39)	.0.0001
Na (mg/d)	3546-13	3743.34	3377.55	0.036	3769.25	3326.86	0.011	3279.88	3794.48	0.003	3388.55	3703.72	0.072	3225.27	3878.35	<0.0001
	(93.74)	(127.36)	(117.73)		(122.37)	(121.30)		(123.39)	(119.15)		(122.83)	(122.83)		(119.09)	(121.20)	
K (mg/d)	3595.77	3401.85	3761.55	<0.0001	3298.01	3888-41	<0.0001	3950.77	3264.65	<0.0001	4022.86	3168-69	<0.0001	4071.84	3102.86	<0.0001
	(69.03)	(72.10)	(66.65)		(66.01)	(65.44)		(64-81)	(62.58)		(59.15)	(59.15)		(54.90)	(55.88)	
Fe (mg/d)	17.44 (0.24)	16.71	18.06	<0.0001	16.54	18.32	<0.0001	18.49	16.46	<0.0001	18.54	16.34	<0.0001	18.77	16.06	<0.0001
		(0.25)	(0.23)		(0.23)	(0.23)		(0.23)	(0.22)		(0.22)	(0.22)		(0.21)	(0.21)	
Ca (mg/d)	837·49 (16·87)	832.84	841.48	0.775	786.32	887.79	0.001	942.33	739.70	<0.0001	838.47	836.52	0.948	861.82	812.30	0.101
		(22.09)	(20.42)		(20.79)	(20.60)		(19·34)	(18.68)		(21.26)	(21.26)		(20.98)	(21.36)	
Mg (mg/d)	326·75 (8·29)	307.01	343.63	0.001	282.61	370.13	<0.0001	374.52	282.19	<0.0001	338.33	315.17	0.035	349.59	303.10	<0.0001
		(7.87)	(7.27)		(6.61)	(6.55)		(6.55)	(6.33)		(7.69)	(7.69)		(7.40)	(7.53)	
P (mg/d)	1110.55	1095.24	1123.63	0.279	1049.26	1170.77	<0.0001	1217.85	1010.46	<0.0001	1127.92	1093-18	0.186	1136-65	1083.52	0.043
	(21.26)	(19.19)	(17.74)		(17.67)	(17.51)		(16.02)	(15.47)		(18.44)	(18-44)		(18.21)	(18.54)	
Zn (mg/d)	7.41 (0.15)	7.25	7.55	0.152	6.86	7.96 ∕	<0.0001	8.32	6·57 ́	<0.0001	7.33 ́	7.50 ∕	0.408	7.55	7.28 ∕	0.198
	()	(0.15)	(0.14)		(0.13)	(0.13)		(0.12)	(0.12)		(0.14)	(0.14)		(0.14)	(0.14)	
Vitamin A (RAE/d)	1146.58	1060·27	1220.36	0.051	997.18	1293.40	<0.0001	1364.55	943.26	<0.0001	1343.64	949·52	<0.0001	1393.01	891.43	<0.0001
	(41.47)	(59.84)	(55.32)		(56.56)	(56.07)		(55.52)	(53.61)		(55.05)	(55.05)		(52.78)	(53.71)	
Vitamin D (µg/d)	1.18 (0.05)	1.38	1.01	0.001	1.23	1.13	0.324	1.34	1.03	0.004	1.15	1.21	0.566	1.16	1.21	0.665
(µg/a)	1 10 (0 00)	(0.07)	(0.07)	0 001	(0.07)	(0.07)	0.051	(0.07)	(0.07)	0 00 1	(0.07)	(0.07)	0 000	(0.07)	(0.07)	0 000
Vitamin K (mg/d)	187.12 (6.83)	162.90	207.82	0.001	155.02	218.66	<0.0001	216-24	159.95	<0.0001	222.63	151.61	<0.0001	232.56	140.06	<0.0001
vitariin it (ing/u)	107.12 (0.03)	(9.88)	(9.13)	0.001	(9.29)	(9.21)	<0.0001	(9·53)	(9.20)	<0.0001	(9.15)	(9.15)	<0.0001	(8.66)	(8.82)	<0.0001
(itomin E (ma/d)	2 76 (0.09)			0.000			0.149			0.031			-0.0001			-0.0001
Vitamin E (mg/d)	3.76 (0.08)	3.57	3.93	0.028	3.64	3.88	0.149	3.95	3.59	0.031	4.14	3.39	<0.0001	4·20	3.32	<0.0001
(the sector O (sec as (s!))	100.00 (0.05)	(0.12)	(0·11)	0.000	(0.11)	(0.11)	0.0001	(0.12)	(0.11)	0.0001	(0.11)	(0.11)	0.0001	(0.11)	(0.11)	.0.0001
Vitamin C (mg/d)	129.60 (3.05)	119.70	138.06	0.002	114.90	144.05	<0.0001	145.94	114.36	<0.0001	150.67	108.53	<0.0001	154.94	103.36	<0.0001
	((4.27)	(3.94)		(3.98)	(3.94)		(3.99)	(3.85)		(3.70)	(3.70)		(3.41)	(3.47)	
Vitamin B ₁ (mg/d)	1.89 (0.02)	1.83	1.94	0.001	1.86	1.92	0.095	1.88	1.90	0.484	1.92	1.86	0.132	1.93	1.85	0.014
		(0.02)	(0.02)		(0.02)	(0.02)		(0.02)	(0.02)		(0.02)	(0.02)		(0.02)	(0.02)	

E. Daneshzad et al.

¥

906

Table 2. (Continued)

		Р	DI		hF	DI		uF	PDI		NE	AP		PF	AL	
	Total (<i>n</i> 230)	1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†		1†	2†	
Variables	Mean (se)	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	<i>P</i> *	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	P*	Mean (SE)	Mean (SE)	<i>P</i> *
Vitamin B ₂ (mg/d)	1.35 (0.02)	1.36	1.34	0.620	1.27	1.42	0.002	1.52	1.18	<0.0001	1.33	1.36	0.467	1.37	1.32	0.303
		(0.03)	(0.03)		(0.03)	(0.03)		(0.02)	(0.02)		(0.03)	(0.03)		(0.03)	(0.03)	
Vitamin B ₃ (mg/d)	22.29 (0.42)	21.45	23.02	<0.0001	21.09	23.48	<0.0001	23.10	21.54	<0.0001	22.09	22.50	0.355	22.53	22.05	0.283
		(0.31)	(0.29)		(0.29)	(0.28)		(0.30)	(0.29)		(0.31)	(0.31)		(0.30)	(0.31)	
Vitamin B ₆ (mg/d)	1.40 (0.02)	1.33	1.47	0.001	1.30	1.51	<0.0001	1.52	1.29	<0.0001	1.53	1.28	<0.0001	1.54	1.26	<0.0001
		(0.03)	(0.02)		(0.03)	(0.02)		(0.03)	(0.02)		(0.02)	(0.02)		(0.02)	(0.02)	
Vitamin B ₁₂ (mg/d)	2.58 (0.06)	2.78	2.41	0.007	2.70	2.46	0.084	2.85	2.33	<0.0001	2.50	2.66	0.236	2.52	2.64	0.384
		(0.10)	(0.09)		(0.09)	(0.09)		(0.09)	(0.09)		(0.09)	(0.09)		(0.09)	(0.09)	
Folate (µg/d)	325.98 (8.24)	295.37	352.16	<0.0001	275.06	376.03	<0.0001	378.80	276.72	<0.0001	349.50	302.47	0.001	368.24	282.23	<0.0001
		(10.05)	(9.29)		(8.87)	(8.79)		(8.96)	(8.66)		(9.78)	(9.78)		(9.13)	(9.29)	
Red meat (g/d)	20.42 (0.71)	22.02	19.05	0.039	21.83	19.03	0.051	21.42	19.48	0.179	20.44	20.40	0.975	20.43	20.41	0.990
		(1.05)	(0.97)		(1.01)	(1.01)		(1.03)	(0.99)		(1.01)	(1.01)		(1.01)	(1.03)	
Organ meat (g/d)	1.29 (0.16)	1.83	0.82	0.003	2.07	0.52	<0.0001	0.60	1.93	<0.0001	1.18	1.40	0.525	0.93	1.66	0.034
		(0.24)	(0.22)		(0.23)	(0.23)		(0.23)	(0.23)		(0.24)	(0.24)		(0.23)	(0.24)	
Processed	3.57 (0.57)	5.02	2.33	0.020	6.17	1.01	<0.0001	2.36	4.69	0.046	2.44	4.69	0.053	1.79	5.41	0.002
meat (g/d)		(0.84)	(0.78)		(0.79)	(0.78)		(0.83)	(0.80)		(0.81)	(0.81)		(0.80)	(0.81)	
Fish (g/d)	6·89 (0·51)	7.06	6.74	0.759	6.26	7.51	0.227	9.24	4.70	<0.0001	6.98	6.80	0.868	7.58	6.18	0.176
		(0.75)	(0.70)		(0.73)	(0.72)		(0.71)	(0.68)		(0.73)	(0.73)		(0.72)	(0.73)	
Poultry (g/d)	14.50 (0.58)	15.01	14.07	0.422	14.90	14.11	0.499	16.94	12.22	<0.0001	12.43	16.58	<0.0001	13.47	15.57	0.073
		(0.85)	(0.79)		(0.82)	(0.81)		(0.80)	(0.77)		(0.80)	(0.80)		(0.81)	(0.82)	
Eggs (g/d)	18·37 (0·73)	20.51	16.55	0.007	20.31	16.47	0.009	19.02	17.77	0.395	17.67	19.07	0.344	17.89	18.87	0.512
		(1.06)	(0.98)		(1.03)	(1.02)		(1.05)	(1.02)		(1.04)	(1.04)		(1.03)	(1.05)	
Whole grains (g/d)	52·23 (3·63)	42.14	60.85	0.010	34.60	69.55	<0.0001	66.86	38.58	<0.0001	50.86	53.60	0.708	51.62	52.86	0.865
		(5.27)	(4.87)		(4.90)	(4.85)		(5.06)	(4.88)		(5.15)	(5.15)		(5.11)	(5.20)	
Refined grains	362.08 (9.96)	366-13	358.62	0.707	412.35	312.67	<0.0001	299.50	420.45	<0.0001	360.15	465-01	0.847	351.35	373-18	0.277
(g/d)		(14.64)	(13.54)		(13.34)	(13.22)		(13.12)	(12.67)		(14.09)	(14.09)		(13.96)	(14.20)	
Low-fat dairy	169·46 (9·69)	159.06	178.35	0.321	135.59	202.75	<0.0001	232.07	111.07	<0.0001	176.08	162.85	0.497	185.63	152.72	0.092
products (g/d)		(14.23)	(13.15)		(13.40)	(13.28)		(12.71)	(12.27)		(13.71)	(13.71)		(13.54)	(13.78)	
High-fat dairy	116·84 (8·79)	162.45	77.85	<0.0001	170.50	64.10	<0.0001	84.85	146.68	<0.0001	97.91	135.77	0.030	84.44	150.39	<0.0001
products (g/d)		(12.16)	(11.24)		(11.34)	(11.24)		(12.21)	(11.79)		(12.22)	(12.22)		(11.88)	(12.09)	
Vegetables (g/d)	430·07 (12·60)	383.36	470.01	0.001	368-28	490.80	<0.0001	501.16	363.76	<0.0001	490.80	369.34	<0.0001	520.02	336-93	<0.0001
		(18-14)	(16.76)		(17.02)	(16.87)		(17.01)	(16.41)		(16.99)	(16.99)		(15.64)	(15.92)	
Starchy vegetables	82.53 (3.77)	80.59	84.19	0.626	101.06	64.33	<0.0001	58.50	104.96	<0.0001	101.48	63.59	<0.0001	90.76	74.01	0.023
(g/d)		(5.40)	(4.99)		(4.92)	(4.88)		(4.80)	(4.64)		(4.89)	(4.89)		(5.11)	(5.20)	
Fruit (g/d)	270.78 (7.50)	241.81	295.53	<0.0001	233.62	307.28	<0.0001	307.89	236.15	<0.0001	302.96	238.59	<0.0001	314.37	225.63	<0.0001
		(10.23)	(9.45)		(9.58)	(9.50)		(9.74)	(9.41)		(9.70)	(9.70)		(9.21)	(9.37)	
Fruit juice (g/d)	2.58 (0.33)	1.78	3.27	0.027	2.60	2.59	0.957	2.84	2.34	0.463	2.91	2.25	0.328	3.16	1.98	0.081
		(0.48)	(0.45)		(0.47)	(0.47)		(0.48)	(0.46)		(0.47)	(0.47)		(0.46)	(0.47)	
Tea and coffee	608.97 (21.88)	498.86	703-09	<0.0001	572.61	644.70	0.097	616.49	601.94	0.738	644·10	573.83	0.106	654.79	561.52	0.032
(g/d)		(30.34)	(28.04)		(30.62)	(30.36)		(31.22)	(30.15)		(30.53)	(30.53)		(30.19)	(30.73)	

hPDI, healthy PDI; uPDI, unhealthy PDI; NEAP, net endogenous acid production; PRAL, potential renal acid load; CHO, carbohydrate; RAE, retinol activity equivalents.

* Calculated by t test for energy intake and multivariate ANCOVA for other variables. All the variables, except energy, adjusted for energy intake.

† 1: lower than median, 2: higher than median.

700

Table 3. Dietary intakes among poor and good sleepers and healthy participants or participants with mental disorders (Mean values with their standard errors)

	Total	(n 230)		Good sleepers (n 66)		sleepers 164)		Non-depressed (n 162)		Depress	sed (<i>n</i> 68)			ut anxiety 153)	With anx	iety (<i>n</i> 77)			ut stress 129)	With stres	s (<i>n</i> 101)	1
Variables	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	P*	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	P *	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	P *	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	P*
Energy (kJ/d)	9601.44	2076.68	9801-43	2267.76	9521.11	1996-31	0.356	9699.76	2128.06	9367.97	1944.01	0.270	9542.03	2141-24	9719.43	1950-24	0.542	9805.62	2271.70		1774-22	0.092
CHO (g/d)	330.7	4.64	324.8	6.15	333-1	3.89	0.257	327	3.90	339.6	6.04	0.082	321.8	3.91	348.4	5.52	<0.0001	329.4	4.41	332.4	4.99	0.656
Protein (g/d)	70.81	1.15	75.40	1.18	68.96	0.75	<0.0001	72.92	0.74	65.78	1.15	<0.0001	72.78	0.78	66.90	1.09	<0.0001	73.82	0.83	66.97	0.94	<0.000
Fat (g/d)	84.93	2.44	87.27	2.66	83.99	1.69	0.301	86.25	1.69	81.80	2.62	0.156	88.82	1.69	77-21	2.39	<0.0001	85.01	1.91	84.84	2.16	0.954
Cholesterol (mg/d)	171.86	4.27	160.4	7.80	176.5	4.94	0.084	171	5.01	173.9	7.74	0.758	165	5.09	185.6	7.18	0.020	170.6	5.62	173.5	6.36	0.728
SFA (mg/d)	20.45	0.44	18.84	0.55	21.09	0.34	0.001	20.18	0.35	21.09	0.55	0.168	20.18	0.37	20.97	0.52	0.217	19.63	0.39	21.49	0.44	0.002
MUFA (mg/d)	29.39	1.09	32.53	1.29	28.13	0.82	0.005	30.60	0.83	26.52	1.28	0.008	31.61	0.82	24.99	1.17	<0.0001	30.31	0.94	28.23	1.06	0.146
PUFA (mg/d)	19.15	0.57	18.79	0.80	19.30	0.50	0.594	19.15	0.51	19.17	0.79	0.982	19.90	0.52	17.68	0.73	0.015	18.70	0.57	19.74	0.64	0.231
Fibre (g/d)	20.84	0.43	24.32	0.52	19.48	0.33	<0.0001	22.01	0.34	18.07	0.53	<0.0001	22.25	0.35	18.05	0.49	<0.0001	22.60	0.38	18.61	0.43	<0.000
Na (mg/d)	3546-1	93·74	2870	154.04	3818	97·64	<0.0001	3330	100.54	4062	155-36	<0.0001	3447	106-30	3742 3317	149-88 83-95	0.110	3204	111.61	3983	126.23	<0.000
K (mg/d)	3595·8 17·44	69-03 0-24	4040 19·02	87-30 0-30	3417	55∙34 0∙19	<0.0001 <0.0001	3758 17·97	56·61 0·19	3209 16·17	87.48	<0.0001	3736 17·84	59∙54 0·21	3317 16:64	83.95 0.29	<0·0001 0·001	3861 18-30	61.92	3257 16·34	70·03 0·24	<0.000 <0.000
Fe (mg/d)	837.50	0·24 16·87	910-8	27·40	16⋅80 808⋅0	17.36	<0.0001 0.002	876-1	17.23	745.6	0·30 26·62	<0·0001 <0·0001	858-9	18·20	795	0-29 25-67	0.001	902	0·21 18·99	755-2	0·24 21·48	<0.000
Ca (mg/d)	326·75	8.29	381·2	27:40 9:29	304·8	5.88	<0.002	345·5	6.11	282·1	20.02 9.44	<0.0001	348·3	6.23	283.9	23.07 8.79	<0.044 <0.0001	902 352.7	6.85	293.6	21:46 7:75	<0.000
Mg (mg/d) P (mg/d)	1110.55	21.26	1192	9·29 23·52	1078	- 5·66 14·90	<0.0001	345·5 1145	14.97	1028	23·13	<0.0001	1142	15.59	1049	21.98	<0.0001 0.001	1162	16.68	293-0 1045	18.87	<0.000
Zn (mg/d)	7.41	0.15	8.17	0.18	7.11	0.11	<0.0001	7.71	0.11	6.72	0.18	<0.0001	7.69	0.12	6·87	0.17	<0.001	7.83	0.13	6·88	0.15	<0.000
Cu (mg/d)	1.70	0.13	1.92	0.18	1.62	0.02	<0.0001	1.77	0.02	1.54	0.18	<0.0001	1.79	0.12	1.54	0.17	<0.0001	1.79	0.03	1.60	0.13	<0.000
Mn (mg/d)	4.18	0.04	4.61	0.04	4.01	0.02	<0.0001	4.33	0.02	3.83	0.04	0.0001	4.34	0.02	3.87	0.04	0.001	4.37	0.03	3.94	0.03	0.002
Se (mg/d)	0.03	0.001	0.027	0.002	0.033	0.001	0.022	0.029	0.001	0.037	0.002	0.003	0.03	0.001	0.03	0.002	0.143	0.029	0.002	0.035	0.002	0.002
Cr (mg/d)	0.005	0.0002	0.006	<0.0001	0.005	<0.0001	0.012	0.005	<0.0001	0.006	<0.0001	0.077	0.005	<0.0001	0.005	<0.0001	0.521	0.005	<0.000		<0.000	1 0.666
Vitamin A (RAE/d)	1146.6	41.47	1449	72.67	1025	46.06	<0.0001	1255	46.95	888-1	72.55	<0.0001	1212	49.60	1016	69.94	0.023	1293	52.79	959-8	59.70	<0.000
Vitamin D (µg/d)	1.18	0.05	1.15	0.10	1.19	0.06	0.743	1.19	0.06	1.15	0.09	0.700	1.15	0.06	1.23	0.09	0.478	1.21	0.07	1.15	0.08	0.575
Vitamin K (mg/d)	187-12	6.83	226.0	12.45	171.5	7.89	<0.0001	204.1	7.91	146.7	12.22	<0.0001	195-2	8.36	171.1	11.79	0.096	215.9	8.72	150.3	9.86	<0.000
Vitamin E (mg/d)	3.76	0.08	4.11	0.15	3.62	0.09	0.009	3.81	0.10	3.65	0.15	0.383	3.78	0.10	3.73	0.14	0.792	3.98	0.11	3.48	0.12	0.003
Vitamin C (mg/d)	129.61	3.05	152.0	5.24	120.6	3.32	<0.0001	136-8	3.41	112.4	5.27	<0.0001	135.5	3.56	117.9	5.02	0.005	145-3	3.63	109.6	4.11	<0.000
Vitamin B ₁ (mg/d)	1.89	0.02	1.93	0.03	1.87	0.02	0.173	1.90	0.02	1.87	0.03	0.407	1.88	0.02	1.91	0.02	0.320	1.92	0.02	1.85	0.02	0.070
Vitamin B ₂ (mg/d)	1.35	0.02	1.47	0.04	1.30	0.02	0.001	1.41	0.02	1.19	0.04	<0.0001	1.40	0.02	1.24	0.03	0.001	1.45	0.02	1.22	0.03	<0.000
Vitamin B ₃ (mg/d)	22.29	0.42	23.63	0.39	21.76	0.25	<0.0001	22.68	0.25	21.39	0.39	0.007	22.87	0.26	21.16	0.36	<0.0001	22.74	0.29	21.73	0.32	0.022
Vitamin B ₆ (mg/d)	1.40	0.02	1.57	0.03	1.34	0.02	<0.0001	1.47	0.02	1.25	0.03	<0.0001	1.46	0.02	1.29	0.03	<0.0001	1.49	0.02	1.29	0.03	<0.000
Vitamin B ₁₂ (mg/d)	2.58	0.06	2.58	0.12	2.58	0.08	0.975	2.62	0.08	2.48	0.12	0.354	2.56	0.08	2.62	0.11	0.655	2.64	0.09	2.50	0.10	0.305
Folate (µg/d)	325.99	8.24	3.85	12.37	3.02	7.84	<0.0001	347.2	8.02	275.5	12.39	<0.0001	346-3	8.35	285.7	11.77	<0.0001	361	8.79	281.3	9.94	<0.000
Red meat (g/d)	20.42	0.72	20.77	1.34	20.28	0.85	0.758	20.19	0.85	20.96	1.32	0.628	20.78	0.88	19.70	1.24	0.479	21.57	0.95	18.94	1.08	0.070
Organ meat (g/d)	1.29	0.57	0.94	0.31	1.42	0.20	0.203	0.97	0.20	2.04	0.30	0.004	1.11	0.20	1.64	0.29	0.139	0.91	0.22	1.77	0.25	0.013
Processed meat (g/d)	3.57	0.57	1.31	1.07	4.48	0.67	0.013	2.97	0.68	5.01	1.06	0.109	3.18	0.71	4.33	1.01	0.350	2.79	0.77	4.56	0.87	0.133
Fish (g/d)	6.89	0.51	7.86	0.95	6.50	0.60	0.234	7.62	0.60	5.15	0.93	0.029	7.43	0.62	5.83	0.88	0.142	7.68	0.68	5.88	0.77	0.083
Poultry (g/d)	14.50	0.58	15.70	1.08	14.02	0.68	0.191	15.39	0.68	12.39	1.05	0.018	14.67	0.71	14.16	1.01	0.678	14.72	0.77	14.22	0.87	0.668
Eggs (g/d)	18.37	0.73	17.77	1.37	18.62	0.87	0.606	18.84	0.87	17.27	1.35	0.334	17.77	0.89	19.57	1.26	0.248	19.33	0.98	17.16	1.10	0.145
Whole grains (g/d)	52.23	3.63	58.50	6.76	49.70	4.29	0.274	59.44	4.23	35.04	6.55	0.002	61.14	4.33	34.52	6.11	<0.0001	55.84	4.84	47.62	5.48	0.264
Refined grains (g/d)	362.08	9.96	325.6	18.34	376.8	11.62	0.019	339.2	11.51	416.5	17.78	<0.0001	330.1	11.61	425.6	16.37	<0.0001	339.9	13-11	390.4	14.82	0.012
Low-fat dairy products (g/d)	169-46	9.69	232.2	17.39	144.2	11.02	<0.0001	195	11.10	108.8	17.16	<0.0001	195-2	11.48	118.3	16.19	<0.0001	214	12.16	112.6	13.75	<0.000
High-fat dairy products (g/d)	116-84	8.79	37.73	15.04	148.7	9.53	<0.0001	92.47	9.94	174·9	15.37	<0.0001	90.96	10.25	168-3	14.46	<0.0001	75 ∙54	10.90	169.6	12.33	<0.000
Vegetables (g/d)	430.07	12.60	526.5	22.34	391.3	14.16	<0.0001	460	14.60	358.8	22.56	<0.0001	457.7	15.15	375-2	21.36	0.002	488·9	15.85	355	17.92	<0.000
Starchy vegetables (g/d)	82.53	3.77	65.05	6.71	89.57	4.25	0.002	76.01	4.30	98.09	6.64	0.006	74.83	4.41	97.85	6.21	0.003	72.28	4.80	95.64	5.43	0.002
Fruit (g/d)	270.78	7.50	313.8	12.94	253.5	8.20	<0.0001	287.3	8.29	231.3	12.81	<0.0001	286-2	8.59	240.2	12.12	0.002	306-2	8.90	2.25	10.07	<0.000
Fruit juice (g/d)	2.58	0.33	3.29	0.62	2.30	0.39	0.183	2.74	0.39	2.22	0.61	0.481	2.72	0.41	2.32	0.57	0.575	2.99	0.44	2.06	0.50	0.169
Tea and coffee (g/d)	608.97	21.88	553.5	40.21	631.3	25.49	0.104	596.7	25.76	638·2	39.80	0.383	589.4	26.44	647.9	37.28	0.202	588.8	28.90	634·7	32.69	0.296

CHO, carbohydrate. * Calculated by t test for energy intake and multivariate ANCOVA for other variables. All the variables, except energy, adjusted for energy intake.

Table 4. Mental disorders and having poor sleep by median-split dietary acid load and plant-based diet indices (PDI) (Odds ratios and 95 % confidence intervals)

		PDI				hPD	l			uPE	DI			NEA	Р			PRA	L	
	1‡	2‡	: (<i>n</i> 124)		1+	2‡ (<i>n</i> 116)			1‡	2	‡ (<i>n</i> 119)		1±	2:	‡ (<i>n</i> 115)		1‡	2	‡ (<i>n</i> 113)	_
Variables	(<i>n</i> 106)	OR	95 % CI	P *	(<i>n</i> 114)	OR	95 % CI	<i>P</i> †	(<i>n</i> 111)	OR	95 % CI	<i>P</i> †	(<i>n</i> 115)	OR	95 % CI	P _{trend}	(<i>n</i> 117)	OR	95 % CI	P
Poor sleep (n 164	L)																			
Crude model	1	0.74	0.41, 1.32	0.318	1	0.29	0.15, 0.54	<0.0001	1	5.27	2.76, 10.06	<0.0001	1	2.87	1·57, 5·26	0.001	1	5.04	2·62, 9·17	<0.0001
Model 1§	1	0.92	0.49, 1.74	0.813	1	0.33	0.17, 0.64	0.001	1	5.93	2.88, 12.22	<0.0001	1	2.94	1.53, 5.65	0.001	1	4.35	2·18, 8·68	<0.0001
Model 2II	1	1.13	0.54, 2.38	0.730	1	0.28	0.13, 0.62	0.001	1	6.47	2.75, 15.24	<0.0001	1	2.81	1.34, 5.90	0.006	1	3.74	1.73, 8.11	0.001
Depression (n 68))																			
Crude model	1	0.67	0.38, 1.19	0.178	1	0.21	0.11, 0.40	<0.0001	1	7.33	3.64, 14.75	<0.0001	1	1.39	0.79, 2.47	0.249	1	2.47	1.37, 4.44	0.003
Model 1§	1	0.76	0.40, 1.45	0.421	1	0.26	0.13, 0.51	<0.0001	1	7.90	3.60, 17.32	<0.0001	1	1.14	0.61, 2.12	0.670	1	1.82	0.95, 3.46	0.067
Model 2II	1	0.76	0.39, 1.48	0.426	1	0.21	0.10, 0.43	<0.0001	1	9.35	3.96, 22.07	<0.0001	1	1.15	0.60, 2.21	0.668	1	1.88	0.95, 3.73	0.069
Anxiety (n77)																				
Crude model	1	0.75	0.43, 1.31	0.325	1	0.30	0.16, 0.53	<0.0001	1	4.18	2.28, 7.64	<0.0001	1	1.54	0.88, 2.67	0.125	1	2.43	1.38, 4.28	0.002
Model 1§	1	0.95	0.52, 1.73	0.877	1	0.32	0.17, 0.59	<0.0001	1	4.19	2.15, 8.13	<0.0001	1	1.26	0.70, 2.25	0.438	1	2.16	1.18, 3.97	0.012
Model 2II	1	0.93	0.49, 1.78	0.841	1	0.26	0.13, 0.51	<0.0001	1	4.74	2.28, 9.85	<0.0001	1	1.27	0.67, 2.38	0.454	1	2.62	1.35, 5.07	0.004
Stress (n 101)			-				-				-									
Crude model	1	0.72	0.43, 1.23	0.236	1	0.31	0.18, 0.54	<0.0001	1	4.70	2.67, 8.28	<0.0001	1	2.11	1.24, 3.60	0.006	1	3.29	1.90, 5.67	<0.0001
Model 1§	1	0.79	0.44, 1.43	0.450	1	0.37	0.21, 0.66	0.001	1	4.06	2.20, 7.50	<0.0001	1	1.94	1.10, 3.42	0.022	1	2.58	1.44, 4.60	0.001
Model 2II	1	0.74	0.39, 1.39	0.354	1	0.24	0.12, 0.48	<0.0001	1	4.24	2.14, 8.38	<0.0001	1	2.13	1.13, 4.01	0.018	1	2.59	1.36, 4.94	0.004

hPDI, healthy PDI; uPDI, unhealthy PDI; NEAP, net endogenous acid production; PRAL, potential renal acid load.

* Calculated by logistic regression.

‡ 1: lower than median, 2: higher than median.

§ Model 1: All the variables adjusted for age, BMI, socio-economic status, physical activity, supplement intake, and vitamin D and energy intake.

I Model 2: All the variables adjusted further for medications, lipid profile, blood pressure, sleep duration at night and nap time in addition to adjusted variables in model 1.

Table 5. Association between dietary acid load score and the score of plant-based diet indices (PDI) with mental disorders and having poor sleep using linear regression* (β -Coefficients and P values)

0	,										
	P	Ы	h	PDI	u	IPDI	NE	AP	PRAL		
	β	Р	β	Р	β	Р	β	Р	β	Р	
PSQI score Anxiety score Stress score Depression score	-0·197 -0·050 -0·167 -0·126	0·003 0·456 0·014 0·062	0·360 0·297 0·351 0·299	<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0·319 0·313 0·426 0·424	<0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001 <0.0001	0·151 0·082 0·193 0·118	0·022 0·211 0·004 0·076	0·262 0·137 0·296 0·211	<0.0001 0.041 <0.0001 0.002	

hPDI, healthy PDI; uPDI, unhealthy PDI; NEAP, net endogenous acid production; PRAL, potential renal acid load; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. Calculated by linear regression. Full-adjusted model: all the variables adjusted for age. BMI, socio-economic status, physical activity, supplement intake, vitamin D and energy intake, medications, lipid profile, blood pressure, sleep duration at night and nap time.

The cumulative and synergic effects of these healthy food groups within dietary patterns can have protective effects on depressive symptoms⁽³⁰⁾. A meta-analysis of thirteen studies represented that high intakes of fruits, vegetables, nuts and whole grains were associated with a reduced odds of depression⁽³¹⁾. Another systematic review found that vegetarian diets have an inverse association with depressive symptoms⁽³²⁾. Moreover, dietary patterns rich in fruits and vegetables, which have a high content of fibre, antioxidants and polyphenols, have been positively associated with mental health outcomes in adolescents^(33,34).

One of the biological mechanisms that is involved in reducing mental disorders is a low level of inflammation status⁽³⁵⁾. Inflammation can trigger melancholic symptoms through activation of inflammatory pathways in the brain⁽³⁶⁾. Low intake of whole grains, fruits and vegetables is associated with increased inflammatory markers⁽³⁷⁾. Plant-based diets contain alkali-rich food groups such as whole grains, vegetables and fruits, while containing less of animal products, high-protein, and high-phosphorus foods(38). Plant-based diets increase bicarbonate and bicarbonate precursors, while animal products increase potential anorganic acid precursors⁽³⁹⁾. Hence, vegetarian diets significantly have lower DAL⁽⁴⁰⁾. Even moderate increases in DAL stimulate secretion and activity of glucocorticoids which leads to renal acid excretion^(41,42). On the other hand, glucocorticoids modulate emotion and behaviour through changes in limbic areas of the brain^(43,44). Systematic acid-base balance modifies blood-brain turnover and glutamate turnover in the brain⁽⁴⁵⁾. Glucocorticoids alter the expression and activation of vesicular proteins which are involved in glutamate neurotransmission⁽⁴⁶⁾. Moreover, glutamatergic neurons regulate brain activity and sleep stages⁽⁴⁷⁾.

To the best of our knowledge, there is only one publication that has assessed the association between DAL and mental disorders in, which showed that participants with higher PRAL had more hyperactivity and emotional problems⁽⁴⁸⁾. However, this study was conducted in adolescents, and psychological disorders were determined using the Strengths and Difficulties Ouestionnaire.

The main analysis indicates that higher adherence to hPDI is associated with lower odds of mental disorders in crude and all adjusted models. Also, higher adherence to the hPDI was associated with a 90 % lower odds of sleep disorders. Consistent with the foregoing, a recent review suggests plant-based diets may have the potential to improve overall health status through the effect on improving sleep quality⁽⁴⁹⁾. Cao et al. have showed that there was a significant inverse association between isoflavone intakes and sleep duration⁽⁵⁰⁾. Besides lower DAL and related mechanisms in plant-based diets, high amounts of antioxidants, phytochemicals, flavonoids, vitamins and minerals are related to beneficial effects on mental⁽⁵¹⁾ and sleep disorders through suppression of inflammation and reducing oxidative stress⁽⁵²⁾. Legumes and beans are high in tryptophan which is a precursor of melatonin and serotonin, which play a role in sleep regulation⁽⁵³⁾. Beezhold *et al.* reported that greater adherence to a vegetarian diet and even less animal food intake was associated with better $mood^{(54)}$.

In the present study, outcome measures were adjusted for several confounders such as age, BMI, energy intake and PA. Although we adjusted for several known confounders, there are possible residual effects which can have effect on the outcome variables of interest. Increased body weight and BMI increase the risk of diabetes, insulin resistance and higher blood glucose concentrations. Furthermore, there is an association between obesity, sleep quality and depressive symptoms^(55,56). Moreover, body fatness is associated with both depression and sleep quality⁽⁵⁷⁾. A study in Swiss adolescents showed that higher levels of PA were related to more favourable sleep quality and lower insomnia scores, but participants tend to overestimate their level of PA⁽⁵⁸⁾. However, PA has been shown to have favourable effects on sleep quality⁽⁵⁹⁾, by modulating symptoms of anxiety, stress and depression⁽⁶⁰⁾. Totally, PA was not significantly associated with poor sleep and psychological symptoms in the present study.

While this is the first study that has examined the association between DAL and PDI with sleep and psychological status among diabetic patients, there are several limitations. We randomly included diabetic women from different socio-economic status which could be a representative sample of diabetic women in Tehran. However, the relationship is not generalisable to other populations with different sex and health conditions. Moreover, the present study was conducted on a diabetic population from Tehran, and the generalisability of results to the other cities in Iran is uncertain. Due to the observational nature of the present study, cause and effect cannot be established. Furthermore, while adjustment for confounding was performed, there are possible residual effects which may have affected the outcome variables. In addition, laboratory markers of acid-base balance were not collected in the present study. While the

limitations of FFQ have been widely reported for dietary assessment in large studies, there is limited validation data for anions. The twenty-one-item Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale questionnaire is a self-reported scale which may lead to misclassification of participants and is therefore not suitable scale for clinical diagnosis of depression and anxiety.

In conclusion, the present study has shown that there was a positive association between psychological and sleep disorders with DAL, while plant-based diets had a protective effect. Prospective cohorts or intervention studies of vegetarian diets are needed to confirm our findings.

Acknowledgements

The present study is supported by Tehran University of Medical Sciences (grant number 96-03-161-36923). We would like to express our gratitude to Dr Nasli, secretary of the Diabetes Research Center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, and all staff member for their help with the present study. The present study was approved by the ethical committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

E. D., B. L. and L. A. designed and L. A. supervised the study. E. D. conducted the study. E. D., M. Q. and L. A. performed the statistical analyses. E. D. prepared a first draft of the manuscript and L. A., S. A. K. and B. A. finalised it. N. B. reviewed and edited the manuscript.

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material referred to in this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114519003179

References

- Sridhar GR & Madhu K (1994) Prevalence of sleep disturbances in diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 23, 183–186.
- Luyster FS & Dunbar-Jacob J (2011) Sleep quality and quality of life in adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Educ* 37, 347–355.
- Budhiraja R, Roth T, Hudgel DW, *et al.* (2011) Prevalence and polysomnographic correlates of insomnia co-morbid with medical disorders. *Sleep* 34, 859–867.
- Shinkov A, Borissova AM, Kovatcheva R, *et al.* (2018) Increased prevalence of depression and anxiety among subjects with metabolic syndrome and known type 2 diabetes mellitus – a population-based study. *Postgrad Med* **130**, 251–257.
- Bot M, Pouwer F, Ormel J, *et al.* (2010) Predictors of incident major depression in diabetic outpatients with subthreshold depression. *Diabet Med* 27, 1295–1301.
- Golden SH, Shah N, Naqibuddin M, *et al.* (2017) The prevalence and specificity of depression diagnosis in a clinicbased population of adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Psychosomatics* 58, 28–37.
- Valachovicova M, Krajcovicova-Kudlackova M, Blazicek P, et al. (2006) No evidence of insulin resistance in normal weight vegetarians. A case–control study. Eur J Nutr 45, 52–54.
- Murakami K, Mizoue T, Sasaki S, *et al.* (2008) Dietary intake of folate, other B vitamins, and omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in relation to depressive symptoms in Japanese adults. *Nutrition* 24, 140–147.

- Jacka FN, Mykletun A, Berk M, *et al.* (2011) The association between habitual diet quality and the common mental disorders in community-dwelling adults: the Hordaland Health Study. *Psychosom Med* **73**, 483–490.
- Ji X & Liu J (2015) Associations between blood zinc concentrations and sleep quality in childhood: a cohort study. *Nutrients* 7, 5684–5696.
- 11. Rondanelli M, Opizzi A, Monteferrario F, *et al.* (2011) The effect of melatonin, magnesium, and zinc on primary insomnia in long-term care facility residents in Italy: a double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* **59**, 82–90.
- 12. Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (2015) Scientific Report of the 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Washington, DC: Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee.
- Fagherazzi G, Vilier A, Bonnet F, *et al.* (2014) Dietary acid load and risk of type 2 diabetes: the E3N-EPIC cohort study. *Diabetologia* 57, 313–320.
- 14. Iwase H, Tanaka M, Kobayashi Y, *et al.* (2015) Lower vegetable protein intake and higher dietary acid load associated with lower carbohydrate intake are risk factors for metabolic syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes: *post-boc* analysis of a cross-sectional study. *J Diabet Investig* **6**, 465–472.
- Saraf-Bank S, Tehrani H, Haghighatdoost F, *et al.* (2017) The acidity of early pregnancy diet and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Clin Nutr* 37, 2054–2059.
- Zamani B, Daneshzad E, Siassi F, *et al.* (2019) Association of plant-based dietary patterns with psychological profile and obesity in Iranian women. *Clin Nutr* (epublication ahead of print version 26 July 2019).
- Azadbakht L & Esmaillzadeh A (2009) Red meat intake is associated with metabolic syndrome and the plasma C-reactive protein concentration in women. *J Nutr* 139, 335–339.
- Martinez-Gonzalez MA, Sanchez-Tainta A, Corella D, *et al.* (2014) A provegetarian food pattern and reduction in total mortality in the Prevencion con Dieta Mediterranea (PREDIMED) study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **100**, 320s–328s.
- Satija A, Bhupathiraju SN, Rimm EB, *et al.* (2016) Plant-based dietary patterns and incidence of type 2 diabetes in US men and women: results from three prospective cohort studies. *PLoS Med* 13, e1002039.
- 20. Remer T & Manz F (1994) Estimation of the renal net acid excretion by adults consuming diets containing variable amounts of protein. *Am J Clin Nutr* **59**, 1356–1361.
- 21. Remer T, Dimitriou T & Manz F (2003) Dietary potential renal acid load and renal net acid excretion in healthy, free-living children and adolescents. *Am J Clin Nutr* **77**, 1255–1260.
- Frassetto LA, Todd KM, Morris RC, *et al.* (1998) Estimation of net endogenous noncarbonic acid production in humans from diet potassium and protein contents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 68, 576–583.
- Akbarzadeh H, Khezri HD, Mahmudi G, *et al.* (2014) Sleep quality among Iranian nurses working in intensive care units versus general wards: a cross-sectional study. *Iran J Endocrinol Metab* 7, 930.
- Emami Zeydi A, Jannati Y, Darvishi Khezri H, *et al.* (2014) Sleep quality and its correlation with serum C-reactive protein level in hemodialysis patients. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl* 25, 750–755.
- Farrahi Moghaddam J, Nakhaee N, Sheibani V, *et al.* (2012) Reliability and validity of the Persian version of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI-P). *Sleep Breath* 16, 79–82.
- Samani S & Jokar B (2008) Validity and reliability of the short form of Depression Anxiety Stress Scales. J Soc Sci Hum Shiraz Univ 26, 65–77.
- 27. Elyansb S (2010) Examine the relationship between religion restraint and death anxiety among students and seminarians of Qom city. *Relig Health* **3**, 55–68.

911

E. Daneshzad et al.

- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, et al. (2000) Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. *Med Sci Sports Exercise* **32**, S498–S504.
- Tonstad S, Stewart K, Oda K, *et al.* (2013) Vegetarian diets and incidence of diabetes in the Adventist Health Study-2. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 23, 292–299.
- Opie RS, Itsiopoulos C, Parletta N, *et al.* (2017) Dietary recommendations for the prevention of depression. *Nutr Neurosci* 20, 161–171.
- Lai JS, Hiles S, Bisquera A, *et al.* (2014) A systematic review and meta-analysis of dietary patterns and depression in communitydwelling adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* **99**, 181–197.
- Molendijk M, Molero P, Ortuno Sanchez-Pedreno F, et al. (2018) Diet quality and depression risk: a systematic review and dose–response meta-analysis of prospective studies. J Affect Disord 226, 346–254.
- Oddy WH, Robinson M, Ambrosini GL, *et al.* (2009) The association between dietary patterns and mental health in early adolescence. *Prev Med* 49, 39–44.
- McMartin SE, Jacka FN & Colman I (2013) The association between fruit and vegetable consumption and mental health disorders: evidence from five waves of a national survey of Canadians. *Prev Med* 56, 225–230.
- Schmitz G & Ecker J (2008) The opposing effects of *n*-3 and *n*-6 fatty acids. *Prog Lipid Res* 47, 147–155.
- Slavich GM & Irwin MR (2014) From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder: a social signal transduction theory of depression. *Psychol Bull* 140, 774–815.
- Lopez-Garcia E, Schulze MB, Fung TT, *et al.* (2004) Major dietary patterns are related to plasma concentrations of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. *Am J Clin Nutr* 80, 1029–1035.
- Remer T & Manz F (1995) Potential renal acid load of foods and its influence on urine pH. J Am Diet Assoc 95, 791–797.
- Remer T (2001) Influence of nutrition on acid-base balance metabolic aspects. *Eur J Nutr* 40, 214–220.
- Deriemaeker P, Aerenhouts D, Hebbelinck M, *et al.* (2010) Nutrient based estimation of acid-base balance in vegetarians and non-vegetarians. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* 65, 77–82.
- Esche J, Shi L, Sanchez-Guijo A, et al. (2016) Higher dietdependent renal acid load associates with higher glucocorticoid secretion and potentially bioactive free glucocorticoids in healthy children. *Kidney Int* **90**, 325–333.
- Buehlmeier J, Remer T, Frings-Meuthen P, et al. (2016) Glucocorticoid activity and metabolism with NaCl-induced low-grade metabolic acidosis and oral alkalization: results of two randomized controlled trials. Endocrine 52, 139–147.
- Hamm LL, Ambuhl PM & Alpern RJ (1999) Role of glucocorticoids in acidosis. *Am J Kidney Dis* 34, 960–965.
- Mora F, Segovia G, Del Arco A, *et al.* (2012) Stress, neurotransmitters, corticosterone and body–brain integration. *Brain Res* 1476, 71–85.

- Ang RC, Hoop B & Kazemi H (1992) Brain glutamate metabolism during metabolic alkalosis and acidosis. *J Appl Physiol* 73, 2552–2558.
- Popoli M, Yan Z, McEwen BS, *et al.* (2011) The stressed synapse: the impact of stress and glucocorticoids on glutamate transmission. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 13, 22–37.
- Shi YF & Yu YQ (2013) The roles of glutamate in sleep and wakefulness. *J Zbejiang Univ Med Sci (Zbejiang da xue xue bao Yi xue ban)* 42, 583–590.
- Buhlmeier J & Harris C (2018) Dietary acid load and mental health outcomes in children and adolescents: results from the GINIplus and LISA birth cohort studies. *Nutrients* 10, E582.
- St-Onge MP, Crawford A & Aggarwal B (2018) Plant-based diets: reducing cardiovascular risk by improving sleep quality? *Curr Sleep Med Rep* 4, 74–78.
- Cao Y, Taylor AW, Zhen S, *et al.* (2017) Soy isoflavone intake and sleep parameters over 5 years among Chinese adults: longitudinal analysis from the Jiangsu nutrition study. *J Acad Nutr Diet* 117, 536–544.e2.
- 51. Bell L, Lamport DJ, Butler LT, *et al.* (2015) A review of the cognitive effects observed in humans following acute supplementation with flavonoids, and their associated mechanisms of action. *Nutrients* **7**, 10290–10306.
- 52. Hermsdorff HH, Zulet MA, Puchau B, *et al.* (2010) Fruit and vegetable consumption and proinflammatory gene expression from peripheral blood mononuclear cells in young adults: a translational study. *Nutr Metab* **7**, 42.
- 53. Bravo R, Matito S, Cubero J, *et al.* (2013) Tryptophan-enriched cereal intake improves nocturnal sleep, melatonin, serotonin, and total antioxidant capacity levels and mood in elderly humans. *Age (Dordr)* **35**, 1277–1285.
- Beezhold B, Radnitz C, Rinne A, *et al.* (2015) Vegans report less stress and anxiety than omnivores. *Nutr Neurosci* 18, 289–296.
- 55. Wise J (2018) Progress on children's mental health services is too slow, says commissioner for England. *BMJ* **363**, k4953.
- 56. Fisher E, Law E, Dudeney J, *et al.* (2019) Psychological therapies (remotely delivered) for the management of chronic and recurrent pain in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, issue 4, CD011118.
- 57. Haidar SA, de Vries NK, Karavetian M, *et al.* (2018) Stress, anxiety, and weight gain among university and college students: a systematic review. *J Acad Nutr Diet* **118**, 261–274.
- Lang C, Brand S, Feldmeth AK, *et al.* (2013) Increased self-reported and objectively assessed physical activity predict sleep quality among adolescents. *Physiol Behav* 20, 46–53.
- Dworak M, Wiater A, Alfer D, *et al.* (2008) Increased slow wave sleep and reduced stage 2 sleep in children depending on exercise intensity. *Sleep Med* 9, 266–272.
- Brand S, Gerber M, Beck J, *et al.* (2010) Exercising, sleep-EEG patterns, and psychological functioning are related among adolescents. *World J Biol Psychiatry* **11**, 129–140.

N British Journal of Nutrition