Exploration of biomarkers for total fish intake in pregnant Norwegian women
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Abstract

Objective: Few biomarkers for dietary intake of various food groups have been established. The aim of the present study was to explore whether selenium (Se), iodine, mercury (Hg) or arsenic may serve as a biomarker for total fish and seafood intake in addition to the traditionally used n-3 fatty acids EPA and DHA.

Design: Intake of fish and seafood estimated by an FFQ was compared with intake assessed by a 4 d weighed food diary and with biomarkers in blood and urine.

Setting: Validation study in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa).

Subjects: One hundred and nineteen women.

Results: Total fish/seafood intake (median 39 g/d) calculated with the MoBa FFQ was comparable to intake calculated by the food diary (median 30 g/d, rS = 0.37, P < 0.001). Erythrocyte DHA and blood Hg, Se and arsenic concentrations were positively correlated with intake of fish and seafood, but the association for DHA was weakened by the widespread use of supplements. The main finding was the consistent positive association between the intake of fish/seafood and blood arsenic concentration. In multivariate analyses, blood arsenic was associated with blood Hg and fish and seafood intake. In these models, arsenic turned out to be the best indicator of intake of fish and seafood, both totally and in subgroups of fish/seafood intake.

Conclusions: While DHA reflected the intake of fatty fish and n-3 PUFA supplements, blood arsenic concentration also reflected the intake of lean fish and seafood. Blood arsenic appears to be a useful biomarker for total fish and seafood intake.

Assessing trends in eating habits and dietary patterns is an essential component in the surveillance of population health and the development of dietary advice and interventions. Dietary monitoring requires a tool validated in the target population, and biomarkers of dietary exposure may assist in uncovering the errors associated with the method in use(1). For a biomarker to be used for validation of a dietary instrument, it should have a strong direct and independent relationship with the nutrient or food group of interest. Recovery biomarkers, like doubly labelled water(2) and urinary N(3), are less influenced by the body’s homeostatic control than concentration biomarkers, which are extensively used in spite of their weaker association with consumption(4).

Biomarkers that reflect the intake of a food group rather than a specific nutrient are scarce. Plasma carotenoids and urinary flavonoids have been used as biomarkers of fruit and vegetable groups(5,6) and plasma or tissue n-3 PUFA, mainly EPA and DHA, have been used as biomarkers of both total fish and fatty fish intake(7,8). However, as lean or semi-lean fish and seafood comprise nearly two-thirds of the total fish intake in Norway(9), a biomarker related to total fish/seafood intake rather than fatty fish and fish-oil supplements could contribute to better validation of this food group than EPA or DHA.

Dietary fish and seafood are rich sources of the essential trace elements iodine and Se, but are also a major source of methylmercury and arsenic(10,11). The presence of methylmercury in human tissues has been shown to be directly related to intake of fish and seafood(10), whereas the relationship between blood arsenic, blood Se and intake of fish and seafood has been investigated less. Arsenic in fish and seafood is predominantly present in the organic form arsenobetaine. Organic forms of arsenic are considered non-toxic compared with inorganic arsenic from airborne industrial emissions and...
in drinking water. In Norway, arsenic levels in drinking water are negligible (<0.2 μg/l). Fish and seafood are good natural sources of iodine, but other food groups have been identified as more important contributors to dietary iodine in some countries.

Monitoring the dietary intake of foods like fish and seafood is complex in large population surveys and often relies on the use of an FFQ, whereas biological measures are possible only in smaller population groups. Fish and seafood intake during pregnancy is of particular interest owing to the potential positive impact of this food group on birth weight and neurodevelopment of the child.

The present study was part of a validation study of a new FFQ, whereas biological measures of the intake of dietary supplements during the first four months of pregnancy. The FFQ includes questions about the intake of 255 food items including ten questions about cold cuts and spreads made of fish/shellfish, sixteen questions about fish/shellfish eaten for dinner, and four questions about cod-liver oil/fish oil/n-3 supplement use. The questionnaires were optically read. Consumption frequencies were converted into food amounts (g/d) by the use of standard Norwegian portion sizes. FoodCalc and the Norwegian food composition table were used for calculating nutrients from food. A database containing details of the declared content of supplements was developed for the calculation of nutrients from dietary supplements.

### Experimental methods

#### Validation study subjects and design

The present study was part of a validation study of a new FFQ developed for use in the MoBa study. Other results of the validation study have been published elsewhere. Healthy pregnant women in MoBa referred to Bærum Hospital (Norway) were invited to participate in the validation study when they came for routine ultrasound examination at 17–18 weeks of gestation. Exclusion criteria were hyperemesis and anorexia. Before inclusion, subjects had to have completed the MoBa FFQ. The inclusion period lasted from 15 January 2003 to 1 February 2004.

Participants were asked to keep a 4d weighed food diary (FD) and provide one 24h urine collection and a blood sample. Age, self-reported height and weight were recorded. Data pertaining to smoking and education were collected from a separate MoBa questionnaire in which participants answered questions related to lifestyle and demographic factors. Of 120 subjects included, one dropped out due to illness. The average time interval between completion of the FFQ and participation in the study was 24 (± 12) d. The study protocol was approved by the Regional Ethics Committee of Southern Norway, and informed written consent was obtained from all participants in the validation study.

#### The FFQ

The MoBa FFQ (available at http://www.fhi.no/dav/22CA50E0D7.pdf) was mailed to all participants around the 15th week of gestation. It is a semi-quantitative questionnaire designed to capture dietary habits and intake of dietary supplements during the first four months of pregnancy. The FFQ includes questions about the intake of 255 food items including ten questions about cold cuts and spreads made of fish/shellfish, sixteen questions about fish/shellfish eaten for dinner, and four questions about cod-liver oil/fish oil/n-3 supplement use.

#### 4d weighed food diary

Participants were asked to weigh and record all foods, beverages and dietary supplements consumed during three consecutive weekdays and one weekend day. Each participant was given an FD and a digital balance, and asked to continue with their normal food intake. Each completed FD was checked for completeness of description by the project nutritionist (A.L.B.).

#### Blood sampling

Non-fasting blood samples were drawn by venepuncture at the time of recruitment into Vacutainers intended for trace element measurement (Becton and Dickinson, Plymouth, UK). After collection, the blood tubes were inverted to ensure complete mixing of the anticoagulant. Samples were stored at −70°C within 2 h of venepuncture. Erythrocytes for lipid measurements were isolated by centrifugation for 10 min at 250,000g, washed with 0.9% (w/v) NaCl, resuspended in NaCl and stored at −70°C until analysis.

#### 24h urinary collection

At the end of the FD period, each participant provided one 24h urine collection taken on a weekday. On the first morning of the urine collection, participants were asked to discard their first urine specimen and to collect all specimens for the next 24 h. Participants were provided with a funnel and bottles. All urine was pooled for each participant and the samples stored at −20°C within 8 h of collection.

#### Analysis of biomarkers

Blood concentrations of arsenic, Se and Hg were determined by inductively coupled plasma–sector field mass spectrometry using an Element 2 mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) following previously described methods. In short, 1.5 ml of 65% (w/v) ultrapure nitric acid was added to 1 ml of whole blood in a polypropylene digestion tube and then heated to 95°C for 1 h. After cooling, an internal standard solution containing 205Tl for 200, 201, 202Hg, 72Ge for 75As and 77,78,79,80Se) was added to the digested blood sample before...
dilution to volume (14 ml). The instrument was calibrated with whole blood matrix matched standard solution and the accuracy was determined by use of Seronorm Trace Elements human whole blood quality control samples (Sero Ltd, Asker, Norway). The measured values for arsenic, Se and Hg were on average within ±5% of the recommended values supported by the producer. The within-assay precision was typically 3–5%.

Urinary concentration of iodine was determined by inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (Agilent Quadrupole 7500c mass spectrometer; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA)(26).

For analysis of the fatty acid pattern in erythrocytes, approximately 4 × 10⁹ cells were used. Erythrocyte lipids were extracted by the method of Folch et al. (27).

The phospholipid fraction was separated from neutral lipids using bonded silica gel columns (Analytical International, Harbor City, CA, USA) by sequential elution with CHCl₃ and MeOH. It was then transmethylated (29) and analysed by GC using an SP-2340 capillary column on a Hewlett-Packard 5880A gas chromatograph (Palo Alto, CA, USA) equipped with a flame-ionization detector, with Ar as the carrier gas. The oven temperature was maintained at 140°C for 10 min and then increased by 3°C/min up to 250°C. The fatty acids were identified by comparing retention times with authentic standards. The fatty acid pattern in erythrocyte phospholipids was not obtained in twenty-five subjects owing to technical problems in the fatty acid analysis and insufficient amounts of samples for re-analysis.

**Statistical analysis**

The differences between food intakes calculated by the FFQ and the FD were tested with the Wilcoxon signed rank test (paired data) and the differences between groups were tested using the Mann–Whitney U test (unpaired data). The linear trend in blood arsenic, Se and Hg, urinary iodine excretion, erythrocyte EPA and erythrocyte DHA with fish and seafood intake was assessed by regression.

The FFQ and the FD may be considered as two independent, unbiased methods for assessing dietary intake and therefore their estimation errors may be expected to partially cancel out, making their average a better estimate of true intake than either. As the averages provided better model fits, they were used in subsequent analyses.

For analysis of the association between blood and urine data and indicators of fish intake, fish spread and fatty fish were aggregated into one variable (as fish spreads are mainly fatty fish) and, likewise, lean fish and processed fish foods were aggregated.

The associations between the parameters were analysed by multiple regression. The dependent variables were transformed to the power giving best model fits and, in order to compare coefficients as easily as possible, they were ‘standardized’ to a mean of 1. When several explanatory variables were used in a model, they were transformed to make an independent set. The basic assumption of ordinary regression analysis – that for every combination of the explanatory variables, the dependent variable follows distributions with the same variance – was in no case shown to be false. Only covariates significantly different from zero were retained in the models.

Regression coefficients from standardized models are reported, as well as adjusted correlation coefficients describing total model fit.

The significance level was set at 5% (two-tailed) and all analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package version 14 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

Among the 119 participants in the study, there was large dispersion with regard to age, BMI, education, parity and smoking status (Table 1). Mean daily total fish and seafood intake was 42 g (median 39 g) by the FFQ, 37 g (median 30 g) by the FD and 40 g (median 38 g) as an average of the two methods. Processed fish, i.e. fish in fish fingers, fish burgers, fish pudding and fish au gratin, was the largest contributor to total fish intake (Table 2). Intakes calculated by the two dietary methods correlated significantly for fish used for sandwich spread (fish spread), tuna, shellfish and total fish/seafood intake (Table 2). Three participants (2-5%) reported no intake of fish or seafood in the FFQ and twenty-one participants (17-6%) reported no intake of fish and seafood in the FD.

One subject with extremely high blood arsenic concentration (>6 SD above the 95th percentile) was excluded from analyses regarding arsenic. The mean, median and range indicator values of biomarkers of the different nutrients in all participants and supplement non-users are presented in Table 3.

Use of dietary supplements containing Se was reported by twenty-seven women in the FFQ and by thirty women in the FD. No statistical difference was found in blood Se between users and non-users of Se supplements. Iodine-containing supplement use was reported by twenty-three women in the FFQ and by twenty-five women in the FD. Urinary iodine excretion was twice as high in participants taking an iodine-containing dietary supplement (median at time of the FD: 220 μg/24 h) than in non-users of iodine supplements (median: 110 μg/24 h, P = 0.001).

Use of EPA- and DHA-containing supplements was reported by eighty-two women in the FFQ and by seventy-nine in the FD, whereas twenty-nine women had not taken any supplements containing n-3. The sum of erythrocyte n-3 fatty acids differed significantly between users and non-users of n-3 supplements (P < 0.001), but not erythrocyte DHA and EPA alone. Erythrocyte membrane EPA (% of fatty acids) did not correlate with dietary EPA (diet and supplements), whereas erythrocyte membrane DHA (% of fatty acids) correlated significantly with dietary DHA estimated
by the FFQ ($r = 0.25$, $P = 0.014$), the FD ($r = 0.24$, $P = 0.018$) and the average ($r = 0.28$, $P = 0.006$).

Cod-liver oil is the most common vehicle for $n$-3 fatty acids in food supplements in Norway. Thus this oil might also serve as a vehicle for the non-essential elements under investigation in the present survey. We lack data for the arsenic and Hg content of cod-liver oil but personal communication from the main Norwegian producer (Møllers) indicated that the concentrations are very low, and there was no difference in blood values between supplement users and non-users when it came to these two elements.

Women who were daily smokers in pregnancy ($n = 5$) had lower blood Se concentration (median 77 µg/l) than non-smokers (median 106 µg/l, $P = 0.001$) and lower blood arsenic concentration (median 0.80 µg/l in smokers and 1.80 µg/l in non-smokers, $P = 0.023$). Blood Se was positively correlated with age ($r = 0.31$). Furthermore, there was a positive association between blood Se and Hg and education level. We found no differences in the intake of fish and seafood between the women with regard to smoking status or education, but the total intake of fish/seafood increased with increasing age (average of FFQ and FD v. age: $r = 0.29$, $P = 0.003$).

Three women who reported no intake of fish or seafood in the FFQ and twenty-one women who reported no intake of fish or seafood in the FD had significantly lower blood arsenic and Hg concentrations than women who reported intake of this food group ($P_{\text{FFQ}} = 0.003$ for arsenic and $P_{\text{FD}} = 0.019$ for Hg, $P_{\text{FD}} < 0.001$ for arsenic and $P_{\text{FD}} = 0.008$ for Hg). However, the only significant difference between fish-eaters and non-eaters for blood Se, urinary iodine excretion or erythrocyte EPA or DHA was in erythrocyte DHA when $n$-3 dietary supplement users were excluded. There was no significant positive correlation between fish/seafood intake and erythrocyte EPA.

Blood arsenic was correlated with blood Se ($r = 0.25$, $P < 0.005$) and blood Hg ($r = 0.44$, $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$), and blood Se and Hg were correlated ($r = 0.28$, $P < 0.003$). However, the associations with Se lost significance when adjusting for intake of fish and seafood, whereas the arsenic–Hg relationship persisted ($r = 0.34$, $P < 0.0002$). No other factors were significantly associated with blood arsenic when entered into models together with fish/seafood intake and blood Hg.

There was no correlation between lean and fatty fish intake in the population studied. In multivariate analyses, total fish/seafood intake and blood Hg (adjusted for fish/seafood intake) emerged as the variables most closely associated with blood arsenic, together covering about 22% of the variation in blood arsenic (Table 4). The association was non-linear in arsenic, having the form:

$$[\text{Arsenic}]^{0.5} = 0.74 + 0.26(\pm 0.06) \times \text{fish/seafood} + 0.21(\pm 0.05) \times [\text{Hg}]_{\text{fis/sea}}$$

(adjusted $R^2 = 0.22$, $P < 2 \times 10^{-7}$; $P$ (coefficient for fish/seafood = 0) = 1.2 $\times 10^{-7}$).
Table 2: Daily intake and Spearman correlations of fish and seafood intakes calculated by the FFQ and the 4-d weighed food diary (FD): subset of pregnant women (n = 119) in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intake (g/d)</th>
<th>FFQ Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>P5, P95</th>
<th>FFQ-FD</th>
<th>FFQ-FD Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>P5, P95</th>
<th>Average of FFQ and FD Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>P5, P95</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fish spread†</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>4.6*</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>82/48</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuna</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>38/13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean fish</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>80/12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatty fish</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>82/28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish in fish products and dishes†</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>91/45</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish liver and roe†</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>6/1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shellfish†</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>0.29**</td>
<td>64/50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fish</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>97.5/77</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fish and seafood</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>39.2*</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td>97.5/82</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P5, 5th percentile; P95, 95th percentile.
*Difference between FFQ and FD: P < 0.05.
**Significance of correlation: P < 0.01.
†Fish used as sandwich spread: mackerel/sardines in tomato sauce, sardines in oil, herring, smoked salmon/trout/mackerel, caviar, paste made of fish liver and roe.
§Canned tuna and tuna in products used as sandwich spread.
¶Fish in fish fingers, fish burgers, fish pudding, fish au gratin and fish wok.
||Liver and roe as dinner.
*Crab, shrimp and mussels.

In the current study we first compared the intakes of fish and seafood calculated by a new FFQ with intakes calculated by a weighed food diary and then examined the association between the FFQ and FD intakes of fish and seafood. The intakes of the different items of fish and seafood calculated by the new FFQ were confirmed by the calculation of FFQ/FD intakes recorded in the food diary. Within the methods' limits of precision, the results can then be considered a reliable tool for evaluating the intake of fish and seafood in pregnant women (47 g/d)(16). In general, young women have the highest fish consumption in Norway and, in agreement with previous studies, the intake of fatty fish, making EPA/DHA fairly good biomarkers in subjects not taking n-3 supplements, is attenuated by the use of supplements (P = 0.04) by the use of supplements (7-9). Figure 2 illustrates how well the intake of fish and seafood and blood arsenic concentration in all users, supplement users and the whole group, respectively, is related to blood arsenic concentration in all users, supplement users and the whole group, respectively. The intake of fish and seafood with potential biomarkers in blood and urine is particularly noteworthy for the separate fish/seafood items as well as total fish/seafood (118). Figure 2 demonstrates how well the intake of fish and seafood is related to blood arsenic concentration in all users, supplement users and the whole group, respectively (P = 0.01).
Table 3 Summary statistics for biomarkers of the different nutrients, in all participants and supplement non-users: subset of pregnant women (n 119) in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Supplement non-users only</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n  Mean  SD  Median</td>
<td>n  Mean  SD  Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte membrane DHA (% of fatty acids)</td>
<td>94t  9.0  1.9  9.2  5.8, 11.5</td>
<td>24  8.6  2.6  8.2  6.3, 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte membrane EPA (% of fatty acids)</td>
<td>94t  1.7  1.3  1.7  0.3, 3.7</td>
<td>24  1.3  1.5  0.3  0.3, 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary iodine excretion (µg/24 h)</td>
<td>119  161  97  131  44, 365</td>
<td>84  140  90  110  44, 321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocyte membrane DHA (% of fatty acids)</td>
<td>119  107  21.4  106  77.0, 141</td>
<td>80  106  20.7  106  74.0, 135.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Se (µg/l)</td>
<td>119  1.88  1.21  1.67  0.32, 4.30</td>
<td>118t  2.57  2.19  1.78  0.80, 7.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P5, 5th percentile; P95, 95th percentile.

*Erythrocyte fatty acid results available for ninety-four subjects only.

†One outlier excluded.

Table 4 Coefficients in regression models with all variables standardized to unit means, describing the association between the potential biomarkers and total fish/seafood intake and separate items of fish/seafood intake: subset of pregnant women (n 118 for arsenic, Hg and Se; n 94 for DHA) in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>Total fish/seafood</th>
<th>Tuna</th>
<th>Spread/fatty fish</th>
<th>Lean/processed</th>
<th>Shellfish</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Adj. r²</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood arsenic</td>
<td>0.26***</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.22†</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Hg</td>
<td>0.36***</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.15↑</td>
<td>0.09***</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Se</td>
<td>0.12***</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.15↑</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>0.11**</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08↑</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*0.05 < P < 0.006, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.001.
†Model includes fish item and blood Hg adjusted for total fish/seafood intake.
‡Model includes fish item and categories of maternal education.

Fig. 1 Median and 75th percentile (P75) daily intake (g/d) of tuna (■), shellfish (■), fish spread/fatty fish (■), lean/processed fish (■) and total fish/seafood (■) by quintile of blood arsenic concentration. Intake estimates are calculated as the average of FFQ and food diary consumption data among a subset of pregnant women (n 118) in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa).

Fig. 2 Impact of fish and seafood intake on erythrocyte DHA in n-3 supplement users (— — — — —; r² linear = 0.014) and non-users (— — — — —; r² linear = 0.342) among a subset of pregnant women (n 118) in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study (MoBa).

reasons mentioned, they cannot be considered very robust indicators.

In our subjects, erythrocyte DHA, but not EPA, concentration was significantly associated with the total intake of fish/seafood. The association was significant in the whole group, but was far stronger in the subjects not taking n-3-containing supplements (Fig. 2). We have previously reported a significant positive correlation...
between the erythrocyte membrane phospholipid n-6:n-3 fatty acid ratio and dietary n-6:n-3 fatty acid ratio\(^{24}\). Williams et al. found a strong positive correlation for frequency of fish consumption in early pregnancy with both erythrocyte DHA and EPA\(^{37}\), whereas Parra et al., like us, found a significant positive association between dietary intake and erythrocyte DHA, but not EPA\(^{31}\). Matorras et al. found no association between dietary intake and erythrocyte membrane fatty acids\(^{38}\). During pregnancy, there is a faster turnover of fat storage that may modify the profile of erythrocyte n-3 fatty acids and lower the correlation between dietary intake and erythrocyte n-3 fatty acids\(^{31}\). It has been suggested that EPA is under different homeostatic and metabolic control than DHA\(^{54}\). The percentage of DHA in erythrocyte membranes is quantitatively much larger than EPA, and during pregnancy the conversion of EPA to DHA is given priority\(^{39}\). The present study is in accordance with previous studies in that the relationship between fish consumption and serum/tissue DHA applies primarily to intake of fatty fish and n-3 supplements\(^{54,40,41}\).

When total intake of milk and other dairy products, containing iodine from mandatory fodder fortification\(^{14,42}\), was included as a covariate, no fish variables were significantly associated with urinary iodine excretion\(^{43}\). Urinary iodine excretion is therefore not suitable as a biomarker for fish and seafood intake in Norwegians.

While fish and shellfish is the main dietary source of Hg, dietary sources of Se also include eggs, cereals and meat\(^{43}\). Several investigators have evaluated self-reports of fish intake with blood concentrations of Hg and Se in women of childbearing age\(^{45-48}\). Bates et al. studied the contribution of fish and other foods to variance of Se and Hg status in British adults and concluded that dietary fish, especially oily fish, was a strong predictor of blood Hg and Se in this population\(^{47}\). Bjornberg et al. found an increase in blood Hg, but not in Se, with increasing fish consumption in pregnant Swedish women\(^{48}\).

Pregnant women have been shown to have lower consumption of certain fish species owing to concerns about Hg contamination\(^{49}\). In Norway, pregnant women are advised to limit the intake of large perch, wild trout and halibut and to not eat pike, fish liver or exotic predatory fish like fresh tuna. Canned tuna is considered safe\(^{50}\), although reports show that Hg concentrations here may also vary considerably\(^{51,52}\). In spite of the relatively low intake of tuna (Table 2), this food item was significantly associated with blood Hg (Table 4). All tuna reported in the present study was in the form of canned tuna or tuna products used as sandwich spread. The overall low blood Hg levels in our subjects indicate that pregnant Norwegian women do not, generally, need to be more careful about their Hg intake than they are already.

Few studies have examined the association between fish consumption and blood arsenic concentration\(^{35-55}\). We found a positive association between blood arsenic concentration and intake of fish and seafood, but it may be asked whether this could be due to interactions with other elements, such as Hg and Se, as these are also associated with fish/seafood intake. Our data indicate an independent role of arsenic for fish and seafood intake. Arsenobetaine in fish is absorbed and rapidly eliminated via the urine\(^{12}\). Volunteers who ingested arsenobetaine through seafood eliminated 70% of the arsenic within the first two days and 77% within 8 d of ingestion, and in volunteers who ingested radioactively labelled arsenobetaine with fish, <1% of the ingested activity remained in the body after 24 d\(^{55}\). The effect of fish arsenic on blood arsenic has, to our knowledge, been reported in only one study. In a semi-controlled feeding study, Meltzer et al. found a strong positive correlation \((r = 0.85, P < 0.001)\) between dietary arsenic from fish (estimated by analysis) and blood arsenic concentration and between total grams of fish and blood arsenic concentration \((r = 0.41, P < 0.02)\)\(^{54}\).

The rapid excretion might make blood arsenic a good biomarker for short-term fish intake only, but our results strongly indicate that arsenic might also be associated with medium-term fish/seafood intake. Furthermore, the independent association with Hg might indicate a similar association with long-term intake not reflected in the dietary assessment. Fish and seafood may be assumed to be the most important contributor to the Hg load in these women, with blood Hg partially reflecting historical fish/seafood ingestion. To our knowledge, no specific Hg–arsenic interactions have been described to account for the observed association, so it might indicate historical intake of a common source of Hg and arsenic. For blood arsenic to be established as a biomarker for fish and seafood intake, detailed short- and medium-term studies are necessary.

The associations between the potential biomarkers and various subgroups of fish and seafood intake in the present study were generally not very strong, but probably close to what might be expected. The physiological and metabolic changes related to pregnancy may have attenuated some associations, but apart from possibly the fatty acids, we have no indications that the results would have been substantially different with non-pregnant women. As opposed to Se, iodine and EPA/DHA, blood arsenic will normally be minimally influenced by the use of dietary supplements. Another advantage of blood arsenic over the n-3 fatty acids as a biomarker for intake of seafood is the ability to reflect total fish and seafood intake independent of the fat content of ingested fish. Blood arsenic is, however, not applicable as a biomarker for fish intake in areas with high arsenic load from the drinking water.

Exploration of Se, iodine, Hg, n-3 fatty acids and arsenic as potential biomarkers of fish and seafood intake showed that blood arsenic concentration appears to be
a robust potential biomarker for fish and seafood intake. Blood arsenic concentration reflected the intake of both fatty and lean fish and seafood in this population of pregnant women, and it is not influenced by dietary supplement use and fat content of the ingested fish, as the n-3 fatty acids are. More studies need to be carried out to confirm the results obtained.
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