

## UNIQUENESS OF TRACES ON LOG-POLYHOMOGENEOUS PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

C. DUCOURTIOUX  and M. F. OUEDRAOGO

(Received 27 July 2010; accepted 16 December 2010)

Communicated by S. Rosenberg

Dedicated to Alan Carey, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

### Abstract

We show how to derive the uniqueness of graded or ordinary traces on some algebras of log-polyhomogeneous pseudodifferential operators from the uniqueness of their restriction to classical pseudodifferential ones.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 47G30; secondary 58J40.

*Keywords and phrases*: log-polyhomogeneous pseudodifferential operators, traces.

### 1. Introduction

We consider a closed connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  of finite dimension  $n$  and a finite rank hermitian vector bundle  $E$  over  $M$ . A pseudodifferential operator (PDO) acting on smooth sections of  $E$  is called classical (or polyhomogeneous) (see [13]) if, locally, its symbol is classical, that is, it admits an asymptotic expansion in positively homogeneous components.

A pseudodifferential operator  $L$  acting on smooth sections of  $E$  is called log-polyhomogeneous if, locally, its symbol has the form

$$a_k(x, \xi) \log^k |\xi| + a_{k-1}(x, \xi) \log^{k-1} |\xi| + \cdots + a_0(x, \xi), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  are classical symbols. We call the integer  $k$  the *log-degree* of  $L$ . We denote by:

- $\mathcal{L}$  the algebra of log-polyhomogeneous PDOs acting on smooth sections of  $E$ ;
- $\mathcal{Cl}$  the subalgebra of classical PDOs in  $\mathcal{L}$ ;
- $Q$  an admissible classical PDO of positive order such that  $\text{Log } Q$  exists;

- $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  a subalgebra of  $C\ell$  such that  $[\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}, \text{Log } Q] \subset \mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  (in general, we only know that the commutator of a classical PDO and a logarithm is a classical PDO; hence, we only have  $[\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}, \text{Log } Q] \subset C\ell$ );
- $\mathcal{A}$  the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}$  generated by  $\text{Log } Q$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ .

The assumption on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  implies the following fundamental decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$  (see Lemma 2.2).

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_{C\ell} \text{Log}^k Q. \tag{1.2}$$

We assume that  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  does not consist only of smoothing operators. Otherwise,  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are algebras of smoothing operators. Guillemin [4] has shown that the  $L^2$  trace is the unique trace on such algebras.

We say that a linear form  $\tau$  on an algebra is a trace if, for any operators  $A$  and  $B$  in the algebra, we have  $\tau(AB) = \tau(BA)$ . That is,  $\tau$  vanishes on commutators. On a graded algebra  $\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{B}^k$ , a graded trace is a sequence  $(\tau_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of linear forms  $\tau_k$  on  $\bigoplus_{0 \leq l \leq k} \mathcal{B}^l$  which vanishes on  $\bigoplus_{0 \leq l \leq k-1} \mathcal{B}^l$  and satisfies  $\tau_{k+m}(AB) = \tau_{k+m}(BA)$  for  $A \in \bigoplus_{0 \leq l \leq k} \mathcal{B}^l$  and  $B \in \bigoplus_{0 \leq l \leq m} \mathcal{B}^l$ .

Under the assumption of the uniqueness of a trace  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ , we show that there exists a unique graded trace  $(\overline{\tau}_0^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  on the whole algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which extends  $\tau_0$  (see Theorem 3.3). We also prove that, if there exists a trace on  $\mathcal{A}$  extending  $\tau_0$ , then this extension is unique (see Theorem 3.5).

Our first result applies to the Wodzicki–Guillemin residue (also called the noncommutative residue)  $\text{Res}$  on the algebra  $C\ell$  provided that  $M$  is of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . It is well known that the Wodzicki–Guillemin residue  $\text{Res}$  is the unique trace on  $C\ell$ . Setting  $\text{Res}_0 = \text{Res}$ , the extension  $\overline{\text{Res}}_0^k$  coincides, up to a multiplicative factor, with the higher noncommutative residue  $\text{Res}_k$  introduced by Lesch.

The  $k$ th residue of an operator  $L$  in  $\mathcal{L}$  of log-degree  $k$  with local symbol

$$\sigma(L) = a_k(x, \xi) \log^k |\xi| + a_{k-1}(x, \xi) \log^{k-1} |\xi| + \dots + a_0(x, \xi)$$

is defined by

$$\text{Res}_k(L) = (k + 1)! \int_M \int_{S^*M} \text{tr}((a_k)_{-n}(x, \xi)) d\xi dx.$$

When  $\mathcal{L}$  is seen as a graded algebra (the grading is given by log-degrees), Lesch has shown in [8] that the sequence  $(\text{Res}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the unique graded trace. We recover the same result by an alternative approach.

Our second result applies to the canonical trace on the algebra of odd-class log-polyhomogeneous PDOs when the manifold  $M$  is odd dimensional. According to Kontsevitch and Vishik [6, 7], a classical operator  $A$  of order  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  is of *odd class* if, locally, the positively homogeneous components of its symbol  $\{a_{m-j} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  are simply homogeneous, that is, they have the property that

$$a_{m-j}(x, -\xi) = (-1)^{m-j} a_{m-j}(x, \xi). \tag{1.3}$$

The odd-class classical PDOs form an algebra. Following [10], we say that a log-polyhomogeneous PDO  $L$  is of odd class if, locally, all the classical symbols  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  arising as coefficients of powers of  $\log|\xi|$  in its symbol (see (1.1)) have the above property (1.3). In a similar manner to that for odd-class classical PDOs, one can easily check that odd-class log-polyhomogeneous PDOs form an algebra.

When  $M$  is odd dimensional, the canonical trace TR of [6] is well defined on the algebra of odd-class classical PDOs. Recently, Maniccia *et al.* [9] proved that TR is the unique trace on this algebra. The canonical trace was first extended by Lesch [8] to log-polyhomogeneous PDOs of noninteger orders (this means that the orders of  $a_0, \dots, a_k$  are not integers). It has further been extended by Paycha and Scott [10] to odd-class log-polyhomogeneous PDOs when  $M$  is odd dimensional. We refer the reader to Section 4 for more details. To the best of our knowledge, our proof of the uniqueness of TR on odd-class log-polyhomogeneous PDOs is new.

## 2. Preliminaries

Following [6, 12, 13], we begin by briefly reviewing the definitions of complex powers and then those of logarithms of an operator of positive order.

Let  $Q$  be an invertible classical PDO of positive order  $q$ . We say that  $Q$  is *admissible* if there exists a closed angle of vertex zero which does not intersect the spectrum of the leading symbol of  $Q$ . If  $Q$  is admissible, then there is a half-line

$$L_\theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \arg z = \theta\}$$

which does not meet the spectrum of  $Q$ . We call such a half-line a *spectral cut*.

Now let  $Q$  be an admissible PDO with spectral cut  $L_\theta$ . Then complex powers  $Q_\theta^s$  are well defined for all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  and the logarithm  $\text{Log}_\theta Q$  is obtained as the derivative at zero  $\text{Log}_\theta Q = D_s Q_\theta^s|_{s=0}$ . The complex power  $Q_\theta^s$  is still an invertible classical PDO of order  $qs$ , whereas  $\text{Log}_\theta Q$  is no longer classical. Locally, its symbol reads

$$q \log|\xi|\text{id} + \sigma_0(x, \xi),$$

where  $\sigma_0$  is a classical symbol of order zero. Thus,  $\text{Log}_\theta Q$  is a PDO of positive order  $\varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since the choice of a spectral cut will not be important when taking an admissible operator, we will omit the mention of  $\theta$ .

From now on, let  $Q$  be an admissible operator and let  $\mathcal{A}_{Cl}$  be an algebra of classical PDOs which are not reduced to smoothing operators and such that  $[\mathcal{A}_{Cl}, \text{Log } Q]$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}_{Cl}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra generated by  $\text{Log } Q$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{Cl}$ .

The following are some elementary, yet fundamental, results that we shall require in the sequel.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_{Cl}$  and if  $k \geq 1$ , then  $[A, \text{Log}^k Q]$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$  and is of log-degree  $k - 1$ .*

**PROOF.** The fact that  $[A, \text{Log } Q]$  is classical is stated in [3]. For the sake of completeness, here are the detailed calculations that prove this fact. We recall the

composition law of symbols corresponding to the composition of PDOs (see [13]):

$$\sigma \star \sigma'(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi) \partial_x^\alpha \sigma'(x, \xi).$$

Locally, let  $\sigma$  be a symbol of  $A$  and let

$$q \log |\xi| \text{id} + \sigma_0(x, \xi)$$

be a symbol of  $\text{Log } Q$  (as above,  $q$  denotes the order of  $Q$ ). Then a symbol of  $A \text{Log } Q$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(A \text{Log } Q)(x, \xi) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi) \partial_x^\alpha (q \log |\xi| \text{id} + \sigma_0(x, \xi)), \\ \sigma(A \text{Log } Q)(x, \xi) &= (\sigma \star \sigma_0)(x, \xi) + q \log |\xi| \sigma(x, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

and a symbol of  $\text{Log } QA$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\text{Log } QA)(x, \xi) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha (q \log |\xi| \text{id} + \sigma_0(x, \xi)) \partial_x^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi), \\ \sigma(\text{Log } QA)(x, \xi) &= (\sigma_0 \star \sigma)(x, \xi) + q \log |\xi| \sigma(x, \xi) \\ &\quad + q \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|}}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha \log |\xi| \partial_x^\alpha \sigma(x, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

The derivative  $\partial_\xi^\alpha \log |\xi|$  is homogeneous of degree  $-|\alpha|$ . Thus, a symbol of  $[A, \text{Log } Q] = A \text{Log } Q - \text{Log } QA$  is classical. By our assumption on  $\mathcal{A}_{Cl}$ , we have  $[A, \text{Log } Q] \in 0\mathcal{A}_{Cl}$ .

The general result can be proved by induction on  $k$ , since we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{Log}^k Q - \text{Log}^k QA &= (A \text{Log}^{k-1} Q - \text{Log}^{k-1} QA) \text{Log } Q \\ &\quad + \text{Log}^{k-1} QA \text{Log } Q - \text{Log}^k QA, \\ [A, \text{Log}^k Q] &= [A, \text{Log}^{k-1} Q] \text{Log } Q + \text{Log}^{k-1} Q[A, \text{Log } Q]. \end{aligned}$$

**LEMMA 2.2.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  has log-degree  $k$ , then there exist classical PDOs  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_k$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{Cl}$  such that*

$$A = A_0 + A_1 \text{Log } Q + \dots + A_k \text{Log}^k Q.$$

That is,

$$A = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_{Cl} \text{Log}^k Q.$$

**PROOF.** By definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $A$  is a linear combination of terms of the form

$$C_1 \text{Log}^{\alpha_1} Q C_2 \text{Log}^{\alpha_2} Q \dots C_m \text{Log}^{\alpha_m} Q C_{m+1},$$

where the  $C_i$  are in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and the  $\alpha_i$  are nonnegative integers which sum up to  $k$ . We notice that

$$\text{Log}^{\alpha_m} Q C_{m+1} = C_{m+1} \text{Log}^{\alpha_m} Q + [\text{Log}^{\alpha_m} Q, C_{m+1}].$$

Hence, by induction on  $\alpha_m$  and Lemma 2.1, there exist classical PDOs  $A_{m+1,0}, A_{m+1,1}, \dots, A_{m+1,\alpha_{m-1}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Log}^{\alpha_m} Q C_{m+1} &= C_{m+1} \text{Log}^{\alpha_m} Q + A_{m+1,0} \\ &\quad + A_{m+1,1} \text{Log} Q + \dots + A_{m+1,\alpha_{m-1}} \text{Log}^{\alpha_{m-1}} Q. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The result follows by induction on  $m$ . □

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let*

$$A = A_0 + A_1 \text{Log} Q + \dots + A_k \text{Log}^k Q \in \mathcal{A}$$

*be an operator of log-degree  $k$ . Then:*

- (1) *the classical PDO  $A_k$  is unique up to a smoothing operator;*
- (2) *if there exists a trace  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  which vanishes on smoothing operators, then the linear form  $\overline{\tau_0}^k : A \mapsto \tau_0(A_k)$  defines a graded trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

**PROOF.** For part (1), let us consider an alternative decomposition of  $A$ , namely

$$A = A'_0 + A'_1 \text{Log} Q + \dots + A'_k \text{Log}^k Q.$$

The two decompositions lead to two descriptions of the local symbol of  $A$ :

$$\sigma(A) = q^k \sigma(A_k) \log^k |\xi| + \sigma$$

and

$$\sigma(A) = q^k \sigma(A'_k) \log^k |\xi| + \sigma',$$

where  $\sigma(A_k)$  and  $\sigma(A'_k)$  are symbols of  $A_k$  and  $A'_k$ , respectively,  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are log-polyhomogeneous symbols of log-degree  $k - 1$  and  $q$  is the order of  $Q$ . It follows that the classical symbols  $\sigma(A_k)$  and  $\sigma(A'_k)$  differ from smoothing symbols. This implies that the difference  $A_k - A'_k$  is a smoothing operator.

In part (2), if  $\tau_0$  vanishes on smoothing operators, then, since  $A_k$  is unique modulo a smoothing operator, the linear form  $\overline{\tau_0}^k$  is well defined. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two log-polyhomogeneous PDOs written as

$$A = A_0 + A_1 \text{Log} Q + \dots + A_k \text{Log}^k Q$$

and

$$B = B_0 + B_1 \text{Log} Q + \dots + B_m \text{Log}^m Q.$$

We have

$$\overline{\tau_0}^{k+m}(AB) = \overline{\tau_0}^{k+m}(A_k \text{Log}^k Q B_m \text{Log}^m Q).$$

Using (2.1), we permute  $\text{Log}^k Q$  and  $B_m$  to obtain

$$\overline{\tau}_0^{k+m}(AB) = \overline{\tau}_0^{k+m}(A_k B_m \text{Log}^{k+m} Q).$$

This implies that  $\overline{\tau}_0^{k+m}(AB) = \tau_0(A_k B_m)$ . A similar argument allows us to prove that  $\overline{\tau}_0^{k+m}(BA) = \tau_0(B_m A_k)$ . The result follows.  $\square$

### 3. Results and proofs

For  $k \geq 0$ , we let  $\mathcal{A}^k$  denote the vector space of operators in  $\mathcal{A}$  of log-degree  $k$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *If there exists a unique nontrivial trace  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and if  $A \in \mathcal{A}^k$ , then, for any operator  $P$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  such that  $\tau_0(P) = 1$ , there exists a finite number of operators  $P_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ ,  $Q_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}^k$  and a finite number of complex scalars  $\alpha_i$  such that*

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^M [P_i, Q_i] + P(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{Log} Q + \dots + \alpha_k \text{Log}^k Q). \tag{3.1}$$

In particular,  $\alpha_k = \tau_0(A_k)$  when  $A$  is written as

$$A = A_0 + A_1 \text{Log} Q + \dots + A_k \text{Log}^k Q.$$

If  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  does not admit any nontrivial trace, then every  $A \in \mathcal{A}^k$  can be written in the form

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^M [P_i, Q_i] \tag{3.2}$$

with  $P_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and  $Q_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}^k$ .

**PROOF.** We proceed by induction on  $k$ .

Let  $k = 0$ . In this case,  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . Let us assume that there exists a unique nontrivial trace  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . Let  $P \in \mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  be such that  $\tau_0(P) = 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the vector subspace of  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  generated by the commutators of  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and let  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  be the orthogonal of  $\mathcal{D}$  in the algebraic dual space of  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . By definition,  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is the set of linear forms which vanish on  $\mathcal{D}$ . Hence, a trace on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  is an element of the subspace  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{D}^\perp$  and the assumption of the uniqueness of  $\tau_0$  implies that  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by  $\tau_0$ . Now it is a general fact that any vector subspace  $F$ , of finite or infinite dimension, of a vector space  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies  $(F^\perp)^\perp = F$ . Here  $(F^\perp)^\perp$  is the vector subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$  orthogonal to  $F^\perp$  for the pairing between  $\mathcal{E}$  and its dual (see, for example, [1, Section 7, no. 5, Theorem 7]). Hence, we have  $(\mathcal{D}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{D}$ . That is,  $\mathcal{T}^\perp = \mathcal{D}$ . But, by definition of

$$\mathcal{T}^\perp = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_{C\ell} \mid \tau_0(A) = 0\},$$

we have  $\mathcal{T}^\perp = \text{Ker } \tau_0$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Ker } \tau_0$  is of codimension one. This leads to the following decomposition of  $A$ :

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^M [P_i, Q_i] + \tau_0(A)P$$

with  $P_i$  and  $Q_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  for all  $i$ . Otherwise, if  $\tau_0 = 0$ , we simply have that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^M [P_i, Q_i]$ .

Suppose that property (3.1) holds for some  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$  and let the elements  $A_0, \dots, A_{k+1}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  be such that

$$A = A_0 + A_1 \operatorname{Log} Q + \dots + A_{k+1} \operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q.$$

Since  $A_{k+1}$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ , the case for  $k = 0$  gives us the decomposition

$$A_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^N [P_{k+1,i}, Q_{k+1,i}] + \tau_0(A_{k+1})P$$

with  $P_{k+1,i}$  and  $Q_{k+1,i}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  for all  $i$ .

In any algebra, we have that

$$[X, Y]Z = [X, YZ] + Y[Z, X].$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k+1} \operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^N [P_{k+1,i}, Q_{k+1,i}] + \tau_0(A_{k+1})P \right) \operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N [P_{k+1,i}, Q_{k+1,i} \operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q] + \sum_{i=1}^N Q_{k+1,i} [\operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q, P_{k+1,i}] \\ &\quad + \tau_0(A_{k+1})P \operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $[P_{k+1,i}, Q_{k+1,i} \operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q]$  is a commutator with  $P_{k+1,i}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and  $Q_{k+1,i} \operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $Q_{k+1,i} [\operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q, P_{k+1,i}]$  is of log-degree  $k$  so that we can apply the inductive hypothesis to  $\sum_{i=1}^N Q_{k+1,i} [\operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q, P_{k+1,i}]$ . We may also apply the inductive hypothesis to

$$A_0 + A_1 \operatorname{Log} Q + \dots + A_k \operatorname{Log}^k Q.$$

Property (3.1) follows.

Suppose that property (3.2) holds and  $\tau_0 = 0$ . We may deduce from our calculations that, if  $A$  is in  $\mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ , then  $A$  is a sum of commutators of operators in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.2.** Lemma 3.1 extends to several traces on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . If there exist  $m$  linearly independent traces  $\tau_0, \dots, \tau_m$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ , then there exist  $m$  operators  $\tilde{P}_0, \dots, \tilde{P}_m$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and scalars  $\alpha_{l,j}, 0 \leq l \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq m$  such that  $\tau_j(\tilde{P}_l) = \delta_{lj}$  and

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^M [P_i, Q_i] + \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{P}_j (\alpha_{0,j} + \alpha_{1,j} \operatorname{Log} Q + \dots + \alpha_{k,j} \operatorname{Log}^k Q).$$

**PROOF.** In this case, the vector subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  generated by commutators satisfies  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \operatorname{Ker} \tau_i$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{D}$  is of codimension  $m$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . The proof is similar to that for Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose that there exists a unique nontrivial trace  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . If  $\tau_0$  vanishes on smoothing operators, then the graded trace  $(\overline{\tau_0}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  extending  $\tau_0$  is unique up to a multiplicative factor (depending on  $k$ ). In contrast, there is no nontrivial trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  be of log-degree  $k \geq 1$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $A$  can be written as

$$A = A_0 + A_1 \text{Log } Q + \cdots + A_k \text{Log}^k Q$$

with the  $A_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . Let us assume that  $\tau_0$  is nontrivial.

Applying Lemma 3.1, for any  $P$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  such that  $\tau_0(P) = 1$  we have

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^M [P_i, Q_i] + L_k \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$L_k = P(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \text{Log } Q + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} \text{Log}^{k-1} Q + \tau_0(A_k) \text{Log}^k Q),$$

where the  $P_i$  are in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ , the  $Q_i$  are in  $\mathcal{A}^k$  and the  $\alpha_i$  are complex numbers.

Suppose that  $\tau_0$  vanishes on smoothing operators. We can choose  $P$  to be nonsmoothing. Let  $(\tau_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a graded trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we have

$$\tau_k(A) = \tau_k(L_k) = \tau_k(P \text{Log}^k Q) \tau_0(A_k).$$

Since  $P$  is independent of  $A$ ,  $\tau_k(A)$  is equal to  $\tau_0(A_k)$  up to a multiplicative factor which is independent of  $k$ . Using the notation of Proposition 2.3, we have  $\tau_0(A_k) = \overline{\tau_0}^k(A)$ . The uniqueness of  $(\overline{\tau_0}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  follows.

Now suppose that there exists a trace  $\tau$  extending  $\tau_0$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ . To conclude that  $\tau$  is trivial, we use an argument of Lesch (see [8]). For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  of log-degree  $k$ , we have  $\overline{\tau_0}^{k+1}(A) = 0$ . By the uniqueness of  $(\overline{\tau_0}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A$  is a sum of commutators  $[P_i, Q_i]$  with  $P_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  and  $Q_i$  in  $\mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ . Hence,  $A$  is a sum of commutators and  $\tau(A) = 0$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.4.** If there exist  $m$  linearly independent traces  $\tau_0, \dots, \tau_m$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$  which all vanish on smoothing operators, then any graded trace on  $\mathcal{A}$  is a linear combination of the  $m$  extensions  $(\overline{\tau_0}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (\overline{\tau_m}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**PROOF.** The result is a straightforward application of Remark 3.2 to the proof of Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Suppose that there exists a unique nontrivial trace  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{C\ell}$ . If  $\tau_0$  does not vanish on smoothing operators and if there exists a trace  $\tau$  extending  $\tau_0$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\tau$  is unique.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $\tau_0$  is nontrivial, but does not vanish on smoothing operators. We begin as in the proof of Theorem 3.3. In formula (3.3), we can choose  $P$  to be smoothing and satisfying  $\tau_0(P) = 1$ . Since  $P$  is smoothing,  $L_k$  is also smoothing. Now let  $\text{Tr}$  be the unique trace on smoothing operators. It follows that there exists  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ , independent of  $A$  and such that  $\tau(A) = \tau(L_k) = \beta \text{Tr}(L_k)$ . This implies the uniqueness of the trace  $\tau$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.6.** If  $\tau_0 = 0$ , then any graded trace or ordinary trace extending  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  vanishes. Notice also that, if  $\tau_0$  does not vanish on smoothing operators, then any graded trace on  $\mathcal{A}$  extending  $\tau_0$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{C}\ell}$ .

**PROOF.** If  $\tau_0 = 0$ , then by Lemma 3.1  $A$  is a sum of commutators of operators. The first result follows.

Now let us assume that  $\tau_0$  does not vanish on smoothing operators, and let  $(\tau_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a graded trace extending  $\tau_0$ . Following the proof of Theorem 3.5,  $\tau_k$  should be proportional to the trace of a smoothing operator for any  $k \geq 0$ . Hence,  $\tau_k = 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . □

### 4. Applications

We let  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{odd}}$  denote the algebra of odd-class log-polyhomogeneous PDOs and let  $\mathcal{C}\ell_{\text{odd}}$  denote the algebra of odd-class classical PDOs. The following proposition says that both  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{odd}}$  satisfy property (1.2) of the introduction. We begin with a lemma about the odd-parity class of a logarithm, which can be found in [6] or [2].

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $Q$  be an admissible operator. If  $Q$  is of odd class and even order, then  $\text{Log } Q$  is of odd class.*

**PROOF.** Let  $Q$  be of odd class and of even order  $q$ . We recall that  $Q^s$  is a classical PDO of order  $qs$ . We will denote by  $\sigma_{q-j}$ , for  $j \geq 0$ , the homogeneous components of a symbol of  $Q$ . For  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  of negative real part and for a suitable contour  $\Gamma$  (see [12]), the positively homogeneous components of  $Q^s$  of degree  $qs - j$  are expressed by

$$\sigma_{qs-j}(x, \xi) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^s b_{-q-j}(x, \xi, \lambda) d\lambda$$

with  $b_{-q} = (\sigma_q - \lambda \text{Id})^{-1}$  and satisfying, for  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$b_{-q-j} = -b_{-q} \sum_{k+l+|\alpha|=j, l < j} i^{-|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \sigma_{q-k} \partial_x^{\alpha} b_{-q-l}.$$

We have

$$b_{-q}(x, -\xi, \lambda) = (\sigma_q(x, -\xi) - \lambda \text{Id}(x, \xi))^{-1}.$$

Since  $Q$  is of odd class and  $q$  is even, we have  $b_{-q}(x, -\xi, \lambda) = b_{-q}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ . By induction on  $j$ , we deduce that

$$b_{-q-j}(x, -\xi, \lambda) = (-1)^j b_{-q-j}(x, \xi, \lambda).$$

Thus, we have

$$\sigma_{qs-j}(x, -\xi) = (-1)^j \sigma_{qs-j}(x, \xi).$$

For  $s = 0$ , we have  $A^0 = \text{Id}$  which is odd and for  $\text{Re}(s) > 0$  we use  $A^s A^{-s} = \text{Id}$  to conclude that this equality still holds. Observing that

$$\sigma_{qs-j}(x, \xi) = |\xi|^{qs-j} \sigma_{qs-j}(x, \xi/|\xi|)$$

and differentiating at  $s = 0$  gives us that  $\text{Log } Q$  is of odd class. □

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *Let  $Q$  be an admissible operator. Then:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} C\ell \operatorname{Log}^k Q;$
- (2) *if  $Q$  is an admissible operator of odd class and of even order, then  $\operatorname{Log} Q$  is of odd class and*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{+\infty} C\ell_{\text{odd}} \operatorname{Log}^k Q.$$

**PROOF.** In part (1), the inclusion from right to left is straightforward. To show the inclusion from left to right, we proceed by induction on  $k$ . The inclusion certainly holds for  $k = 0$ . Suppose that it holds for some  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  be of log-degree  $k + 1$  with symbol  $a = \sum_{l=0}^{k+1} a_l \log^l |\xi|$ . Using a partition of unity adapted to a finite trivializing covering of  $M$  for  $E$ , we associate to  $a_{k+1}$  a classical operator

$$A_{k+1} = Op(a_{k+1}).$$

Then the operator

$$A - \frac{1}{q^{k+1}} A_{k+1} (\operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q)$$

lies in  $\mathcal{A}$  and is of log-degree  $k$ .

The result follows by our inductive hypothesis.

The proof of part (2) proceeds like that of part (1) with  $A_{k+1}$  and  $\operatorname{Log}^{k+1} Q$  of odd class. □

Now we recall the definition of the canonical trace on the algebra  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{odd}}$  when  $M$  is odd dimensional. For this definition, we follow [10] (see also [8]).

Let  $L$  be in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{odd}}$  of log-degree  $k$  with local symbol  $\sigma = \sum_{l=0}^k a_l \log^l |\xi|$ . The canonical trace of  $L$  is defined by

$$\operatorname{TR}(L) = \int_M \operatorname{TR}_x(L) dx$$

via a well-defined global density on  $M$

$$\operatorname{TR}_x(L) dx = \left( \int_{T_x^*M} \operatorname{tr}_x(\sigma(x, \xi)) d\xi \right) dx.$$

Here the finite part integral  $\int_{T_x^*M} \operatorname{tr}_x(\sigma(x, \xi)) d\xi$  is the constant term in the asymptotic expansion of  $\int_{|\xi| < R} \operatorname{tr}_x(\sigma(x, \xi)) d\xi$  when  $R \rightarrow +\infty$ .

On smoothing operators, the canonical trace coincides with the  $L^2$  trace, whereas the Wodzicki–Guillemin residue clearly vanishes.

**APPLICATION 4.3.** When the manifold is of dimension  $\geq 2$ , we know from Wodzicki (see [5, 14]) and Guillemin (see [4]) that  $\operatorname{Res}$  is the unique trace on  $C\ell$  (see also [11] for detailed analysis on this subject). When combined with Proposition 4.2, Theorem 3.3 gives the existence and the uniqueness of  $(\operatorname{Res}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**APPLICATION 4.4.** When we combine Proposition 4.2 with the result of [9], the uniqueness of TR on odd-class classical PDOs for odd-dimensional manifolds and Theorem 3.5, we obtain a proof of the uniqueness of TR on  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{odd}}$  in odd dimensions.

### References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, ‘Algèbre linéaire’, in: *Algèbre*, 3rd edn (Hermann, Paris, 1962), Ch. 2.
- [2] M. Braverman, ‘Symmetrized trace and symmetrized determinant of odd class pseudo-differential operators’, *J. Geom. Phys.* **59**(4) (2009), 459–474.
- [3] A. Cardona, C. Ducourtieux, J.-P. Magnot and S. Paycha, ‘Weighted traces on algebras of pseudodifferential operators and geometry on loop groups’, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* **5** (2002), 503–540.
- [4] V. Guillemin, ‘Residue traces for certain algebras of Fourier integral operators’, *J. Funct. Anal.* **115** (1993), 391–417.
- [5] C. Kassel, ‘Le résidu non commutatif (d’après M. Wodzicki)’ (in French) [The noncommutative residue (after M. Wodzicki)] *Séminaire Bourbaki*, Vol. 1988/89. *Astérisque* No. 177–178 (1989), Exp. No. 708, 199–229.
- [6] M. Kontsevich and S. Vishik, ‘Geometry of determinants of elliptic operators’, in: *Functional Analysis on the Eve of the XXI Century*, Vol. I, Progress in Mathematics, 131 (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1995), pp. 173–197.
- [7] M. Kontsevich and S. Vishik, ‘Determinants of elliptic pseudodifferential operators’, Max Planck Preprint, 1994.
- [8] M. Lesch, ‘On the noncommutative residue for pseudodifferential operators with log-polyhomogeneous symbols’, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **17** (1998), 151–187.
- [9] L. Maniccia, E. Schrohe and J. Seiler, ‘Uniqueness of the Kontsevich–Vishik trace’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 747–752.
- [10] S. Paycha and S. Scott, ‘A Laurent expansion for regularised integrals of holomorphic symbols’, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **17** (2007), 491–536.
- [11] R. Ponge, ‘Traces on pseudodifferential operators and sums of commutators’, *J. Anal. Math.* **110** (2010), 1–30.
- [12] R. T. Seeley, ‘Complex powers of an elliptic operator, singular integrals’, in: *Proceedings of the Symposium in Pure Mathematics*, Chicago (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1966), pp. 288–307.
- [13] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics (Springer, Berlin, 1987).
- [14] M. Wodzicki, ‘Noncommutative residue. I. Fundamentals’, in: *K-theory, Arithmetic and Geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1289 (Springer, New York, 1987), pp. 320–399.

C. DUCOURTIOUX, Département de Mathématiques, Université Pascal Paoli,  
20250 Corte, France  
e-mail: [ducourtieux@univ-corse.fr](mailto:ducourtieux@univ-corse.fr)

M. F. OUEDRAOGO, Département de Mathématiques, Université de Ouagadougou,  
03 BP 7021, Burkina Faso  
e-mail: [marie.oued@univ-ouaga.bf](mailto:marie.oued@univ-ouaga.bf)