# VECTOR FIELDS AND INFINITESIMAL TRANSFORMATIONS ON ALMOST-HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY 
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Introduction. Many authors have made interesting and important contributions to the study of vector fields or infinitesimal transformations on compact orientable Riemannian manifolds and Hermitian manifolds without boundary. Recently, Hsiung (6, 7, 8) has extended some of these results to compact orientable Riemannian manifolds with boundary. The purpose of this paper is to continue Hsiung's work by studying vector fields and infinitesimal transformations on almost-Hermitian manifolds with boundary.

Section 1 contains fundamental notations and local operators and formulas for a Riemannian manifold.

In §2 fundamental formulas for Lie derivatives are given, and the infinitesimal transformations and their generating vector fields are defined in terms of Lie derivatives.

Section 3 is devoted, for compact orientable Riemannian manifolds with boundary, to a discussion of local boundary geodesic co-ordinates and the derivation of some integral formulas and theorems, which were obtained by Hsiung and will be needed in later sections of this paper.

Section 4 contains necessary and sufficient boundary conditions for a Killing vector field on a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ to be a geodesic vector field, and also a curvature condition of the manifold $M^{n}$ for the non-existence on $M^{n}$ of a geodesic vector field subject to the same boundary conditions.

In §5 we define almost-Hermitian, almost-semi-Kählerian and almostKählerian structures with their relations. Then for almost-Hermitian structures we derive some formulas which will be useful in the next two sections.

Section 6 is devoted to contravariant analytic vector fields on an almostHermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, together with their relations to Killing, projective Killing, and conformal Killing vector fields. First on the manifold $M^{n}$ we obtain some integral formulas for projective and conformal Killing vector fields and necessary and sufficient boundary conditions for a vector field to be contravariant analytic. From the integral formulas, conditions are then derived for a projective, as well as conformal, Killing vector field on $M^{n}$ to define an automorphism of the manifold $M^{n}$ leaving the boundary $B^{n-1}$
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invariant, where by an automorphism we mean an infinitesimal motion preserving the almost-Hermitian structure.

Section 7 is concerned with covariant analytic vector fields on an almostHermitian manifold with boundary, and contains necessary and sufficient conditions for a vector field on the manifold to be covariant analytic, obtained by calculations similar to those in $\S 6$.

Finally, §8 is devoted to a study of vector fields on an almost-Kählerian manifold with boundary. In particular, an integral formula for a contravariant analytic vector field in terms of the canonical connection on the manifold is obtained. The main results of $\S \S 6,7,8$ constitute an extension of some recent work of Yano (14) and Tachinaba (12) on almost-Hermitian and almost-Kählerian manifolds without boundary respectively.

Throughout this paper, the dimensions of $M^{n}$ and $B^{n-1}$ are understood to be $n(\geqslant 2)$ and $n-1$ respectively, all Riemannian manifolds are of class $C^{3}$, and all differential forms and vector fields are of class $C^{2}$.

1. Notations and operators. Let $M^{n}$ be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n,\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$ with $g_{i j}=g_{j i}$ the matrix of the positive definite metric of the manifold $M^{n}$, and $\left\|g^{i j}\right\|$ the inverse matrix of $\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$. Throughout this paper all italic indices take the values $1, \ldots, n$ unless stated otherwise. We shall follow the usual tensor convention that indices can be raised and lowered by using $g^{i j}$ and $g_{i j}$ respectively; and that when an italic letter appears in any term as a subscript and superscript, it is understood that this letter is summed for all the values $1, \ldots, n$. We shall also use $v^{i}$ and $v_{i}$ to denote the contravariant and covariant components of a vector field $v$ respectively. Moreover, if we multiply, for example, the components $a_{i j}$ of a covariant tensor by the components $b^{j k}$ of a contravariant tensor, it will always be understood that $j$ is to be summed.

Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of positive integers less than or equal to $n$, and $I(p)$ denote an ordered subset $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}\right\}$ of the set $\mathfrak{N}$ for $p \leqslant n$. If the elements $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{p}$ are in the natural order, that is, if $i_{1}<\ldots<i_{p}$, then the ordered set $I(p)$ is denoted by $I_{0}(p)$. Furthermore, let $I(p ; \tilde{s} \mid j)$ be the ordered set $I(p)$ with the $s$ th element $i_{s}$ replaced by another element $j$ of $\mathfrak{N}$, which may or may not belong to $I(p)$. We shall use these notations for indices throughout this paper. When more than one set of indices is needed at one time, we may use other capital letters such as $J, K, \ldots$ in addition to $I$.

From the metric tensor $g$ with components $g_{i j}$ we have

$$
g_{I(n), K(n)}=g_{i_{1} j_{1}} \ldots g_{i_{n} j_{n}} \delta_{K(n)}^{J(n)},
$$

where $\delta_{K(n)}^{J(n)}$ is zero when two or more $j$ 's or $k$ 's are the same, and is +1 or -1 according as the $j$ 's and $k$ 's differ from one another by an even or odd number of permutations. Thus the element of area of the manifold $M^{n}$ at a point $P$ with local co-ordinates $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A_{n}=e_{1 \ldots n} d x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ and the wedge $\wedge$ denote the exterior differentiation and multiplication respectively, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1 \ldots n}=+\sqrt{ } g_{1 \ldots n, \ldots n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using orthonormal local co-ordinates $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ and the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{I(n)}=\delta_{I(n)}^{1 \ldots n} e_{1 \ldots n},  \tag{1.3}\\
\delta_{1 \ldots n}^{I(p) J(n-p)} \delta_{I(p) K(n-p)}^{1 \ldots n}=p!\delta_{K(n-p)}^{J(n-p),} \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{I(p) K(n-p)} e^{I(p) J(n-p)}=p!\delta_{K(n-p)}^{J(n-p)} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1 \ldots n} e^{1 \ldots n}=1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the manifold $M^{n}$ let $v_{(p)}$ be a differential form of degree $p$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{(p)}=v_{I_{0}(p)} d x^{I_{0}(p)}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have placed

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x^{I(p)}=d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x^{i_{p}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
(1.9) $\quad d v_{(p)}=(-1)^{p} \sum_{i_{p+1}>i_{p}}\left[\nabla_{i_{p+1}} v_{I_{0}(p)}-\sum_{s=1}^{p} \nabla_{i_{s}} v_{I_{0}\left(p ; \tilde{s} i_{p+1}\right)}\right] d x^{I_{0}(p+1)}$,
where $\nabla$ denotes the covariant derivation with respect to the affine connection of the Riemannian metric $g_{i j}$, whose components in the local co-ordinates $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=\frac{1}{2} g^{i n}\left(\partial g_{h j} / \partial x^{k}+\partial g_{h k} / \partial x^{j}-\partial g_{j k} / \partial x^{h}\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the dual and codifferential operators * and $\delta$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
* v_{(p)}=e_{I_{0}(p) J_{0}(n-p)} v^{I_{0}(p)} d x^{J_{0}(n-p)}  \tag{1.11}\\
\delta v_{(p)}=(-1)^{n p+n+1} * d * v_{(p)} \tag{1.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

which imply immediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta v_{(p)}=-p g^{i j} \nabla_{j} v_{i I_{0}(p-1)} d x^{I_{0}(p-1)} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for a vector field $v$ on the manifold $M^{n}$ we obtain, from equations (1.9), (1.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
(d v)_{i j} & =\nabla_{i} v_{j}-\nabla_{j} v_{i},  \tag{1.14}\\
\delta v & =-\nabla_{i} v^{i},  \tag{1.15}\\
(d \delta v)_{i} & =-\nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} v^{j},  \tag{1.16}\\
(\delta d v)_{i} & =\nabla^{j} \nabla_{i} v_{j}-\nabla^{j} \nabla_{j} v_{i}, \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla^{j}=g^{j k} \nabla_{k}$. Use of equations (1.16), (1.17), and the Ricci identity for the contravariant components $v^{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla_{k}, \nabla_{j}\right] v^{i}=v^{l} R^{i}{ }_{l j k}, \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta v)_{i}=-\nabla^{j} \nabla_{j} v_{i}+R_{i j} v^{j}, \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[\nabla_{k}, \nabla_{j}\right]=\nabla_{k} \nabla_{j}-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k}$, and $\Delta, R^{i}{ }_{j k l}, R_{i j}$ are respectively the LaplaceBeltrami operator, the Riemann curvature tensor, and the Ricci tensor defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta & =d \delta+\delta d,  \tag{1.20}\\
R^{i}{ }_{j k l} & =\partial \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k} / \partial x^{l}-\partial \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{l} / \partial x^{k}+\Gamma_{j}{ }^{s}{ }_{k} \Gamma_{s}{ }^{i}{ }_{l}-\Gamma_{j}{ }^{s}{ }_{l} \Gamma_{s}{ }^{i}{ }_{k},  \tag{1.21}\\
R_{i j} & =R^{k}{ }_{i j k} . \tag{1.22}
\end{align*}
$$

By contraction with respect to $i$ and $k$, from equation (1.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla_{i}, \nabla_{j}\right] v^{i}=R_{i j} v^{i} v^{j} \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplication of equation (1.18) by $g_{i n}$ gives the Ricci identity for the covariant components $v_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\nabla_{k}, \nabla_{j}\right] v_{i}=-v_{l} R_{i j k}^{l} \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla_{k} \nabla_{i} v_{j}+\nabla_{k}\left(\nabla_{i} v_{j}+\nabla_{j} v_{i}\right)-\nabla_{j} \nabla_{k} v_{i}=-v_{l} R_{i j k}^{l} \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (1.25) by $g^{i k} g^{j h}$ and using equation (1.19), we thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q v)^{h}-(\Delta v)^{h}=\nabla_{i}\left(\nabla^{i} v^{h}+\nabla^{h} v^{i}\right)-\nabla^{h} \nabla_{i} v^{i} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q v)^{h}=2 R_{i}{ }^{h} v^{i} . \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{I(p)}$ and $v_{I(p)}$ be two tensor fields of the same order $p$ on a compact orientable manifold $M^{n}$. Then the local and global scalar products $\langle u, v\rangle$ and $(u, v)$ of the two tensor fields $u$ and $v$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle u, v\rangle=\frac{1}{p!} u^{I(p)} v_{I(p)},  \tag{1.28}\\
& (u, v)=\int_{M^{n}}\langle u, v\rangle d A_{n} . \tag{1.29}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (1.28), (1.29) it follows that $(u, u)$ is non-negative, and that ( $u, u)=0$ implies that $u=0$ on the whole manifold $M^{n}$.
2. Lie derivatives and infinitesimal transformations. Let $v$ be a non-zero vector field on a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$, and let $i_{v}$ and $L_{v}$ denote,
respectively, the interior product and the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field $v$. Then for a covariant tensor $a$ of order $r$, the interior product $i_{v} a$ is a tensor of order $r-1$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{v} a\right)_{I(r-1)}=v^{j} a_{j I(r-1)} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and according to H. Cartan (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v}=i_{v} d+d i_{v}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v} d=d L_{v}=d i_{v} d \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For later developments we shall use the following known formulas for Lie derivatives in terms of local co-ordinates $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ of the manifold $M^{n}$ (for these formulas see, for instance, 9,13 ):

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{v} u^{i j}{ }_{k}=v^{s} \nabla_{s} u^{i j}{ }_{k}-u^{s j_{k}} \nabla_{s} v^{i}-u^{i s}{ }_{k} \nabla_{s} v^{j}+u^{i j}{ }_{s} \nabla_{k} v^{s},  \tag{2.4}\\
L_{v}\left(\nabla_{l} u^{i}{ }_{j k}\right)-\nabla_{l}\left(L_{v} u^{i}{ }_{j k}\right)=\left(L_{v} \Gamma_{l}{ }^{i}{ }_{s}\right) u^{s}{ }_{j k}  \tag{2.5}\\
\quad-\left(L_{v} \Gamma_{l}{ }^{s}\right) u^{i}{ }_{s k}-\left(L_{v} \Gamma_{l}{ }_{k}\right) u^{i}{ }_{j s}, \\
L_{v} g_{i j}=\nabla_{i} v_{j}+\nabla_{j} v_{i},  \tag{2.6}\\
L_{v} \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=\nabla_{k} \nabla_{j} v^{i}+R^{i}{ }_{j k l} v^{l}, \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u^{i j}{ }_{k}$ and $u^{i}{ }_{j k}$ are tensor fields of class at least $C^{1}$ on the manifold $M^{n}$, the contravariant and covariant orders of each being the numbers of superscripts and subscripts respectively. By applying equation (2.5) to $g_{i j}$ we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v} \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=\frac{1}{2} g^{i l}\left[\nabla_{j}\left(L_{v} g_{l k}\right)+\nabla_{k}\left(L_{v} g_{j l}\right)-\nabla_{l}\left(L_{v} g_{j k}\right)\right] . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinitesimal transformation on the manifold $M^{n}$ generated by a nonzero vector field $v$ is called an infinitesimal motion (or isometry), affine collineation, projective motion, or conformal motion, and the corresponding $v$ a Killing, an affine Killing, a projective Killing, or a conformal Killing vector field according as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{v} g_{i j}=0,  \tag{2.9}\\
& L_{v} \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=0  \tag{2.10}\\
& L_{v} \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=p_{j} \delta_{k}{ }^{i}+p_{k} \delta_{j}{ }^{i}, \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v} g_{i j}=2 \phi g_{i j} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\partial p / \partial x^{i}=\nabla_{i} p \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a gradient vector field on the manifold $M^{n}$, and $\phi$ is a scalar. An infinitesimal conformal motion defined by equation (2.12) is called a homothetic motion if $\phi$ is constant.

From equations (2.6), (2.9) it follows that $v$ is a Killing vector field if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} v_{j}+\nabla_{j} v_{i}=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which with equation (1.15) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta v=0 . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (2.7), (2.11) for any projective Killing vector field $v$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v} \Gamma_{j}{ }_{j}{ }_{k}=\nabla_{k} \nabla_{j} v^{i}+R^{i}{ }_{j k l} v^{l}=p_{j} \delta_{k}{ }^{i}+p_{k} \delta_{j}{ }^{i} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contraction with respect to $i$ and $j$ in equation (2.16) and use of the identity $R^{i}{ }_{i k l}=0$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=\frac{1}{n+1} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} v^{i} . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of equations (1.16), (1.19), (1.27), (2.17), and the equation obtained by multiplying equation (2.16) by $g^{j k}$ we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v-\frac{2}{n+1} d \delta v=Q v \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for a conformal Killing vector field $v$, from equations (2.7), (2.15), (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{i} v_{j} & +\nabla_{j} v_{i}=2 \phi g_{i j},  \tag{2.19}\\
& -\delta v=n \phi,  \tag{2.20}\\
L_{v} \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}= & \nabla_{k} \nabla_{j} v^{i}+R_{j k l}^{i} v^{l}  \tag{2.21}\\
= & \phi_{j} \delta_{k}{ }^{i}+\phi_{k} \delta_{j}{ }^{i}-\phi^{i} g_{j k},
\end{align*}
$$

where we have placed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}=\nabla_{j} \phi=\partial \phi / \partial x^{j}, \quad \phi^{i}=g^{i j} \phi_{j} . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplication of equation (2.21) by $g^{j k}$ and substitution of equation (2.20) in the resulting equation yield immediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v+\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) d \delta v=Q v \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Local boundary geodesic co-ordinates and integral formulas.

 Throughout this paper, by an $(n-1)$-dimensional boundary $B^{n-1}$ of a compact $n$-dimensional submanifold $M^{n}$ of an $n$-dimensional manifold $\mathfrak{M}^{n}(n \geqslant 2)$ we mean either an empty or a non-empty subdomain on the submanifold $M^{n}$ satisfying the following condition: At every point $P$ of the boundary $B^{n-1}$ there is a full neighbourhood $U(P)$ of the point $P$ on the manifold $\mathfrak{M}^{n}$ and admissiblelocal co-ordinates $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ such that $U(P) \cap M^{n}$ appears in the space of the $x$ 's as a hemisphere

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x^{i}\right)^{2}<\epsilon^{2}, \quad x^{1}<0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the base $x^{1}=0$ of the hemisphere corresponding to the boundary $B^{n-1}$. For non-empty boundary $B^{n-1}$ we shall choose the local co-ordinates $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ to be boundary geodesic co-ordinates so that at each point $P$ of the boundary the $x^{1}$-curve is a geodesic of the manifold $M^{n}$, with $x^{1}$ as its arc length measured from the boundary $B^{n-1}$, and is orthogonal to the $x^{i}$-curves, $i=2, \ldots, n$. Thus on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ we can easily obtain (cf. 3, p. 57)

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{11}=g^{11}=1, \quad g_{1 i}=g^{1 i}=0 \quad(i=2, \ldots, n) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by equation (3.1) the unit tangent vector $N$ of the $x^{1}$-curve at every point $P$ of the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is the unit outer normal vector of the boundary $B^{n-1}$ in the sense that $x^{1}$ is increasing along the $x^{1}$-curve in the direction of the vector $N$.

By using local boundary geodesic co-ordinates, from equations (1.10), (3.2), it is easily seen that on the boundary $B^{n-1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{1}{ }_{1} & =0, \quad \Gamma_{1}{ }_{1}{ }_{1}=0, \quad \Gamma_{1}{ }^{1}{ }_{i}=0, \quad 2 \Gamma_{1}{ }^{i}{ }_{j}=g^{i k} \partial g_{j k} / \partial x^{1},  \tag{3.3}\\
b_{i j} & =\left(\bar{\nabla}_{j} \bar{\nabla}_{i} x^{h}\right) g_{h k} N^{k}+g_{l r} N^{r} \Gamma_{h}{ }^{l}{ }_{k} \nabla_{i} x^{h} \nabla_{j} x^{k}  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\Gamma_{i}{ }^{1}{ }_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} \partial g_{i j} / \partial x^{1} \quad(i, j=2, \ldots, n),
\end{align*}
$$

where $b_{i j}$ are the coefficients of the second fundamental form on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ relative to the outer normal vector $N$ on the manifold $M^{n}$, and $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the covariant derivation with respect to the metric tensor $g_{i j}(i, j=2, \ldots, n)$ of the boundary $B^{n-1}$ (cf. 3, p. 147). Equations (3.3), (3.4) imply immediately that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{j}{ }^{i} \equiv g^{i k} b_{k j}=-\Gamma_{1}{ }^{i}{ }_{j} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary $B^{n-1}$ is said to be convex or concave on the manifold $M^{n}$ according as the matrix $\left\|b_{i j}\right\|$ for $i, j=2, \ldots, n$ is negative or positive definite. If $b_{i j}=0$ for $i, j=2, \ldots, n$, then all the geodesics of the boundary $B^{n-1}$ are geodesics of the manifold $M^{n}$, and the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is said to be totally geodesic on the manifold. Moreover, in terms of local boundary geodesic co-ordinates the tangential and normal components of a vector $v$ are respectively $v_{i}, i \neq 1$, and $v_{1}$.

Now consider a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, and let $u$ be a vector field of class $C^{2}$ on $M^{n}$. Then on the manifold $M^{n}$ we can construct the differential form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega={ }^{*} u_{i} d x^{i} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of equations (1.11), (1.3) we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\delta_{i J_{0}(n-1)}^{1 \ldots, n} e_{1 \ldots n} u^{i} d x^{J_{0}(n-1)}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which becomes, on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ in terms of local boundary geodesic co-ordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=u_{1} d A_{n-1} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
d A_{n-1}=e_{1 \ldots n} d x^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x^{n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the element of area of the boundary $B^{n-1}$. Use of equations (3.7), (1.1) gives immediately

$$
\begin{align*}
d \omega & =\delta_{j j_{0}(n-1)}^{1 \ldots n} e_{1 \ldots n} \nabla_{k} u^{j} d x^{k} \wedge d x^{J_{0}(n-1)}  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\nabla_{j} u^{j} d A_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

By applying Stokes' theorem we thus obtain the integral formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{n}} \nabla_{j} u^{j} d A_{n}=\int_{B^{n-1}} u_{1} d A_{n-1} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a vector field $v$ on a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, replacement of the vector field $u^{j}$ in equation (3.11) by the vectors $v^{i} \nabla^{j} v_{i}, v^{i} \nabla_{i} v^{j}, v^{j} \nabla_{i} v^{i}$, and use of equations (1.19), (1.27), (1.28), (1.29), (1.15) yield the integral formulas, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1}{2} Q v-\Delta v, v\right)+2(\nabla v, \nabla v)=\int_{B^{n-1}} v^{i} \nabla_{1} v_{i} d A_{n-1}  \tag{3.12}\\
& 2(T \nabla v, \nabla v)+\int_{M^{n}} v^{j} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} v^{i} d A_{n}=\int_{B^{n-1}} v^{i} \nabla_{i} v_{1} d A_{n-1},  \tag{3.13}\\
& (\delta v, \delta v)+\int_{M^{n}} v^{j} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} v^{i} d A_{n}=\int_{B^{n-1}} v_{1} \nabla_{i} v^{i} d A_{n-1} \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where for a covariant tensor $u_{i j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)_{i j}=u_{j i} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtraction of equation (3.14) from equation (3.13) and substitution of equations (1.23), (1.27) give immediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{2} Q v, v\right)+2(\mathrm{~T} \nabla v, \nabla v)-(\delta v, \delta v)=\int_{B^{n-1}}\left(v^{i} \nabla_{i} v_{1}-v_{1} \nabla_{i} v^{i}\right) d A_{n-1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By subtracting equation (3.16) from equation (3.12) we obtain, in consequence of equation (1.14),

$$
\begin{align*}
-(\Delta v, v)+(d v, d v) & +(\delta v, \delta v)  \tag{3.17}\\
& =\int_{B^{n-1}}\left[v^{i}\left(\nabla_{1} v_{i}-\nabla_{i} v_{1}\right)+v_{1} \nabla_{i} v^{i}\right] d A_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, addition of equations (3.12), (3.16) and use of equation (2.6) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& (Q v-\Delta v, v)+\left(L_{v} g, L_{v} g\right)-(\delta v, \delta v)  \tag{3.18}\\
& \quad=\int_{B^{n-1}}\left[v^{i}\left(\nabla_{1} v_{i}+\nabla_{i} v_{1}\right)-v_{1} \nabla_{i} v^{i}\right] d A_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The integral formulas (3.11), ..., (3.14), (3.16), (3.17), (3.18) were first obtained by Hsiung (6, 8).

From equations (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) it follows immediately that a Killing vector field $v$ on any Riemannian manifold satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta v-Q v=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the converse, suppose that on a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ a vector field $v$ has zero tangential component on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ and satisfies equations (2.15), (3.19). If $\nabla_{1} v_{1}=0$ on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ in local boundary geodesic co-ordinates, then by using equations (2.15), (3.19), from equation (3.18) it follows that the vector field $v$ satisfies equation (2.9), and therefore is a Killing vector field on the manifold $M^{n}$. Hence we obtain.

Theorem 3. 1T. On a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}, a$ necessary and sufficient condition for a vector field $v$ with zero tangential component on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ to be a Killing vector field is that it satisfy equations (2.15), (3.19) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1} v_{1}=0 \quad \text { on } B^{n-1} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

in local boundary geodesic co-ordinates.
Similarly, from equation (3.18) we have
Theorem 3. 1N. On a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}, a$ necessary and sufficient condition for a vector field $v$ with zero normal component on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ to be a Killing vector field is that it satisfy equations (2.15), (3.19) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=2}^{n} v^{i}\left(\nabla_{1} v_{i}+\nabla_{i} v_{1}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } B^{n-1} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in local boundary geodesic co-ordinates.
It should be noted that the letters $T$ and $N$ in Theorems 3.1 T and 3.1 N are used to denote similar theorems on vector fields with zero tangential and normal components on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ of the manifold $M^{n}$ respectively; for convenience we shall use this notation throughout this paper.
4. Geodesic vector fields. It is well known that on a Riemannian manifold a necessary and sufficient condition that an infinitesimal transformation generated by a vector field $v$ transform a geodesic into a geodesic and preserve the affine arc length $s$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} v^{h}+R_{i j v}^{h} v^{k}\right) \frac{d x^{i}}{d s} \frac{d x^{j}}{d s}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, for a unit vector $u$ at any point $P$ of the manifold $M^{n}$, the vector $U$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{h}=\left(\nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} v^{h}+R^{h}{ }_{i j k} v^{k}\right) u^{i} u^{j} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the geodesic deviation vector of the vector $u$ with respect to the vector field $v$. For $n$ mutually orthogonal unit vectors $u_{1 a}(a=1, \ldots, n)$ at
the point $P$ of the manifold $M^{n}$, we can then form the mean of the geodesic deviation vectors $U_{l a}$ of $u_{l a}$ with respect to $v$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=1}^{n} U_{\mid a}^{h}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=1}^{n}\left(\nabla_{j} \nabla_{i} v^{h}+R_{i j k}^{h}\right) u_{\mid a}^{i} u_{\mid a .}^{j} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{i j}=\sum_{a=1}^{n} u_{\mid a}^{i} u_{\mid a}^{j}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. 3, p. 98), equation (4.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum U_{\mid a}^{h}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\nabla^{i} \nabla_{i} v^{h}+R_{i}^{h} v^{i}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right side of equation (4.5) is independent of the choice of the $n$ mutually orthogonal unit vectors $u_{\text {la }}$, we call the left side of equation (4.5) the mean geodesic deviation vector at the point $P$ with respect to the vector field $v$. A vector field $v$, with respect to which the mean geodesic deviation vector vanishes, is called a geodesic vector field. Thus a vector field $v$ satisfying equation (3.19) is geodesic.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of equations (1.26), (2.14), (2.15).

Theorem 4.1. On any Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ every Killing vector field is geodesic.

Substituting equation (3.19) in equation (3.17), for any geodesic vector field $v$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(Q v, v)=(d v, d v)+ & (\delta v,  \tag{4.6}\\
& \quad-\int_{B^{n-1}}\left(v^{i} \nabla_{1} v_{i}-v^{j} \nabla_{j} v_{1}+v_{1} \nabla_{i} v^{i}\right) d A_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

If the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is convex or totally geodesic, and on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ the geodesic vector field $v$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20), then the integrand of the boundary integral in equation (4.6) is nonpositive. Since the integrands of the first two integrals on the right side of equation (4.6) are non-negative, we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q v, v) \geqslant 0 . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the equality holds in equation (4.7), from equation (4.6) it follows that on the manifold $M^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v=0, \quad \delta v=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, $v$ is a harmonic vector field on $M^{n}$. A combination of equation (3.19) with the second equation of (4.8) and an application of Theorem 3.1T show that $v$ is also a Killing vector field. From equation (2.14) and the first equation of (4.8) we can thus conclude that $\nabla_{i} v_{j}=0$.

Similar arguments can be applied to the case where the geodesic vector field $v$ has zero normal component on the boundary $B^{n-1}$. Hence we have

Theorem 4.2. On a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with a convex or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a geodesic vector field, which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20) (or has zero normal component and satisfies equations (3.21) and $\nabla_{1} v_{i}=0, i \neq 1$ ). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q v, v) \geqslant 0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality implies that the geodesic vector field $v$ is a parallel vector field, that is, v has zero covariant derivative over the whole manifold $M^{n}$.

An examination of the integrand of (4.9) gives immediately
Corollary 4.2.1. On a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with a convex or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$, if the Ricci curvature $R_{i j} v^{i}{ }^{i}{ }^{j}$ is negative definite everywhere, then there exists no non-zero geodesic vector field v satisfying the same boundary conditions on $B^{n-1}$ as those in Theorem 4.2; if the Ricci curvature is negative semi-definite, then such a geodesic vector field $v$ is a parallel vector field.

For the case of empty boundary $B^{n-1}$, Theorems 4.1, 4.2, and Corollary 4.2.1 were obtained by Yano and Nagano (15).

Now suppose that a Riemannian manifold is an Einstein manifold so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}=R g_{i j} / n \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=g^{i j} R_{i j} . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Theorem 4.2 we thus obtain
Corollary 4.2.2. On a compact orientable Einstein manifold $M^{n}$ with a convex or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$, if the scalar curvature $R$ is negative definite everywhere, then there exists no non-zero geodesic vector field v satisfying the same boundary conditions on $B^{n-1}$ as those in Theorem 4.2.
5. Almost-Hermitian structures. On a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with the metric tensor $g_{i j}$, if there exists a mixed tensor field $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ of the second order satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}{ }^{j} F_{j}{ }^{k}=-\delta_{i}{ }^{k}, \tag{5.1.}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ is said to define an almost-complex structure on the manifold $M^{n}$, and $M^{n}$ is called an almost-complex manifold. If an almost-complex structure tensor $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ further satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i j} F_{k}^{i} F_{h}^{j}=g_{k h} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ is said to define an almost-Hermitian structure on the manifold $M^{n}$, and $M^{n}$ is called an almost-Hermitian manifold. From equations (5.1), (5.2) it follows that the tensor $F_{i j}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j}=g_{j r} F_{i}{ }^{r} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is skew-symmetric, since $F_{i j}=g_{h k} F_{j}{ }^{h} F_{r}{ }^{k} F_{i}{ }^{r}=-F_{j i}$. By using multiplication of determinants, from equation (5.1) we readily see that a necessary condition for the existence of an almost-complex structure on a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ is that the dimension $n$ of the manifold $M^{n}$ should be even. It should also be remarked that an almost-complex manifold is always orientable, and the orientation depends only on the tensor $F_{i}{ }^{j}$.

On a Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ an almost-Hermitian structure $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ with vanishing Nijenhuis tensor $N_{h i}{ }^{j}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{h i}{ }^{j}=F_{h}{ }^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{i}{ }^{j}-\nabla_{i} F_{r}^{j}\right)-F_{i}{ }^{\tau}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{h}^{j}-\nabla_{h} F_{r}^{j}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a pseudo-Hermitian structure, and the manifold a pseudo-Hermitian manifold. An almost (pseudo)-Hermitian structure $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ defined on a manifold $M^{n}$ is called an almost (pseudo)-Kählerian structure if the associated differential form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=F_{i j} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is closed, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \omega=0 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the manifold $M^{n}$ is accordingly called an almost (pseudo)-Kählerian manifold. From equations (5.5), (5.6) it is easily seen that an almost (pseudo)Kählerian structure $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{h i j} \equiv \nabla_{h} F_{i j}+\nabla_{i} F_{j h}+\nabla_{j} F_{h i}=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor $F_{h i j}$ is obviously skew-symmetric in all indices.
An almost-Hermitian structure $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ (an almost-Hermitian manifold) with vanishing vector $F_{i}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}=-\nabla_{j} F_{i}{ }^{j} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called an almost-semi-Kählerian structure (an almost-semi-Kählerian manifold). In particular the structure $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ is Kählerian if $\nabla_{i} F_{j}{ }^{k}=0$.

Multiplying equation (5.3) by $F^{k i}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i j} F^{k i}=-\delta_{j}{ }^{k} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of equation (5.8) and covariant differentiation of both sides of equation (5.9), from equation (5.7) it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{h i j} F^{i j}=2 F_{h}{ }^{i} F_{i} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus an almost-semi-Kählerian structure satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{h i j} F^{i j}=0 . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (5.10) by $F_{k}{ }^{h}$ and using equation (5.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k}=-\frac{1}{2} F_{h i j} F^{i j} F_{k}^{h}, \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that an almost-Kählerian structure (an almost-Kählerian manifold) is also an almost-semi-Kählerian structure (an almost-semi-Kählerian manifold).

The remainder of this section is devoted to the establishment of some formulas, which will be needed later, for an almost-Hermitian structure $F_{i}{ }^{j}$.

Covariant differentiation of equation (5.1) gives immediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}{ }^{j} \nabla_{h} F_{j}{ }^{k}=-F_{j}{ }^{k} \nabla_{h} F_{i}{ }^{j} . \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (5.8) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{j}=g^{j k} F_{k}=\nabla_{i} F^{i j} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F^{j r}$ is skew-symmetric and $\Gamma_{j}{ }^{i} r$ is symmetric with respect to $j$ and $r$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{j r} L_{v} \Gamma_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{r}=0 \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of equations (5.14), (5.15), (2.7), (2.4), from equation (2.5) we can easily obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}{ }^{h} L_{v} F^{i}=F_{i}{ }^{h} v^{r} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{r} F^{j i}-F_{i}{ }^{h} \nabla_{j} F^{j r} \nabla_{r} v^{i}-F_{i}{ }^{h} F^{j r} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{r} v^{i}+R_{i}{ }^{h} v^{i} . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r s}{ }^{j}=g^{j k} F_{r s k} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using equations (5.7), (2.4) we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{1}{2} F_{r s}{ }^{h} L_{v} F^{r s}=-\left(\nabla_{r} F_{s}{ }^{h}\right) v^{i} \nabla_{i} F^{r s}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla^{h} F_{\tau s}\right) v^{i} \nabla_{i} F^{r s}  \tag{5.18}\\
& \quad+\left(\nabla_{r} F_{s}^{h}\right) F^{r i} \nabla_{i} v^{s}-\left(\nabla_{s} F_{r}^{h}\right) F^{r i} \nabla_{i} v^{s}+\left(\nabla^{h} F_{r s}\right) F^{r i} \nabla_{i} v^{s} .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{i j}=g^{i r} L_{v} F_{r}^{j} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i j}=g_{i h} L_{v} F_{j}{ }^{h} . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple calculation with use of equations (5.19), (5.20), (2.4), (5.1), (5.13) suffices to demonstrate that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} S^{i j} S_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(v^{\tau} \nabla_{\tau} F^{j i}\right) v^{s} \nabla_{s} F_{j i} & -2\left(F_{j s} \nabla^{s} v_{i}\right) v^{\tau} \nabla_{r} F^{j i}  \tag{5.21}\\
& -\left(F^{j r} \nabla_{\tau} v^{i}\right) F_{s i} \nabla_{j} v^{s}+\nabla^{j} v^{i} \nabla_{j} v_{i} .
\end{align*}
$$

By putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j k}(v)=F_{r k} L_{v} F_{j}{ }^{r} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

applying the ordinary product rule for differentiation to $\nabla^{j}\left(a_{j k} v^{k}\right)$, and making use of equations (2.4), (5.1), (5.16), (5.18), (5.21) we can finally arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
&-\nabla^{j}\left(a_{j k} v^{k}\right)=\left(\nabla^{i} \nabla_{i} v^{h}+R_{i}{ }^{h} v^{i}-F_{i}{ }^{h} L_{v} F^{i}\right.  \tag{5.23}\\
&\left.\quad-\frac{1}{2} F_{r s}{ }^{h} L_{v} F^{r s}\right) v_{h}+\frac{1}{2} S^{i j} S_{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

6. Contravariant analytic vector fields. On an almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ a vector field $v$ is called a contravariant almost-analytic vector, or simply a contravariant analytic vector, if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v} F_{i}{ }^{j}=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the ordinary product rule for differentiation to $\nabla^{j}\left(F_{r}{ }^{h} L_{v} F_{j}{ }^{7}\right)$, making use of equations (2.4), (5.13), (5.16), (5.18), and noticing that

$$
g^{j r}\left(\nabla^{h} F_{r s}\right) L_{v} F_{j}^{s}=\nabla^{h} F_{r s}\left(v^{i} \nabla_{i} F^{r s}-2 F^{r i} \nabla_{i} v^{s}\right)
$$

we can easily see that condition (6.1) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v^{j}+R_{r}^{j} v^{r}-F_{i}^{j} L_{v} F^{i}-\frac{1}{2} F_{r s}^{j} L_{v} F^{r s}=0 . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On a pseudo-Kählerian manifold a contravariant almost-analytic vector is a contravariant pseudo-analytic vector (cf. 11).

Using equation (5.22) to replace $u^{r}$ in the integral formula (3.11) by $a^{r}{ }_{k} v^{k}$ we thus obtain

Theorem 6.1. For a vector field v on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, the following integral formula is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(S_{i j}, S_{i j}\right)+\int_{M^{n}} & \left(\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v^{j}+R_{r}{ }^{j} v^{r}-F_{i}^{j} L_{v} F^{i}-\frac{1}{2} F_{r s}{ }^{j} L_{v} F^{r s}\right) v_{j} d A_{n}  \tag{6.3}\\
& =-\int_{B^{n-1}}\left[v^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{1}{ }^{j}\right) F_{j k}-F_{1}{ }^{i}\left(\nabla_{i} v^{j}\right) F_{j k}-\nabla_{1} v_{k}\right] v^{k} d A_{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us consider a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ and use local boundary geodesic co-ordinates. The boundary $B^{n-1}$ is called a semi-pseudo boundary if on $B^{n-1}$ the normal component of the structure tensor $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ is covariant constant, that is, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{k} F_{1}^{i}=0 \quad(k=2, \ldots, n ; i=1, \ldots, n) \text { on } B^{n-1} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F_{i j}$ is skew-symmetric in $i$ and $j$, we have $F_{11}=0$, which implies that $F_{1}{ }^{1}=0$ on $B^{n-1}$. On the other hand, from equation (5.1) it follows that $\left(\nabla_{h} F_{i}{ }^{j}\right) F_{j k}$ is skew-symmetric in $i$ and $k$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{h} F_{1}^{j}\right) F_{j 1}=0 \quad \text { on } B^{n-1} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $B^{n-1}$ is concave or totally geodesic and on $B^{n-1}$ a vector field $v$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20), then the integrand of the boundary integral in equation (6.3) is non-negative in consequence of equation
(6.5). By using equations (6.1), (6.2) and noticing from equation (5.19) that $S^{i j}=0$ for all $i, j$ implies that $L_{v} F_{i}{ }^{j}=0$, we therefore obtain

Theorem 6.2T. On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with a concave or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a vector field which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero tangential component and satisfies condition (3.20). Then a necessary and sufficient condition that the vector field be contravariant analytic is that it satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v^{j}+R_{i}{ }^{j} v^{i}-F_{i}{ }^{j} L_{v} F^{i}-\frac{1}{2} F_{r s}{ }^{j} L_{v} F^{r s}=0 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ let $v$ be a vector field having zero normal component, instead of zero tangential component, on $B^{n-1}$. It is easily seen that if the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is semi-pseudo and the vector field $v$ satisfies different boundary conditions on $B^{n-1}$, by using the same arguments as those in the proof of Theorem 6.2 T we can obtain

Theorem 6.2 N . On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with a semipseudo boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a vector field which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero normal component and satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla_{i} v_{j}=0 & (i=2, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, n)  \tag{6.7}\\
\nabla_{1} v_{k}=0 & (k=2, \ldots, n)
\end{array}
$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition that the vector field $v$ be contravariant analytic is that it satisfy equation (6.6).

From equations (3.19), (6.6) and Theorems $6.2 \mathrm{~T}, 6.2 \mathrm{~N}$ follows immediately
Theorem 6.3. On an almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ let v be a vector field satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i}{ }^{j} L_{v} F^{i}+\frac{1}{2} F_{r s}{ }^{j} L_{v} F^{r s}=0 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the vector field $v$ is contravariant analytic, then it is geodesic. Conversely, on an almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ a geodesic vector field v satisfying the condition (6.8) is contravariant analytic, if (i) the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is concave or totally geodesic, and on $B^{n-1}$ the vector field v has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20), or if (ii) the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is semipseudo, and on $B^{n-1}$ the vector field v has zero normal component and satisfies the conditions (6.7).

From the first part of Theorem 6.3 follows immediately
Corollary 6.3.1. Theorem 4.2 and Corollaries 4.2.1, 4.2.2 are also true for a contravariant analytic vector field $v$ satisfying equation (6.8) on an almostHermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with a convex or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$.

By means of equations (5.12), (5.14) it is readily seen that every vector field $v$ on an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ satisfies equation (6.8). Thus from the first part of Theorem 6.3 we also have

Corollary 6.3.2. Theorem 4.2 and Corollaries 4.2.1, 4.2.2 are also true for a contravariant analytic vector field $v$ on an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with a convex or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$.

For the case of empty boundary $B^{n-1}$, Corollaries $6.3 .1,6.3 .2$ were obtained by Tachibana (12).

An application of Theorems $3.1 \mathrm{~T}, 3.1 \mathrm{~N}$ gives the following two theorems.
Theorem 6.4T. On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a contravariant analytic vector field which satisfies equation (6.8) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20). Then a necessary and sufficient condition that v be a Killing vector field is that it satisfy equation (2.15) on $M^{n}$.

Theorem 6.4 N . On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a contravariant analytic vector field which satisfies equation (6.8) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero normal component and satisfies equations (6.7). Then a necessary and sufficient condition that $v$ be a Killing vector field is that v satisfy equations (2.15) and (3.21) on $M^{n}$ and $B^{n-1}$ respectively.

Theorems $6.2 \mathrm{~T}, 6.2 \mathrm{~N}, 6.4 \mathrm{~T}, 6.4 \mathrm{~N}$ were obtained by Tachibana (12) for almost-Kählerian manifolds with empty boundary.

From equations (6.3), (2.18) we obtain
Theorem 6.5. For any projective Killing vector field v satisfying equation (6.8) on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, the following integral formula is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(S_{i j}, S_{i j}\right)-\frac{2}{n}+1 & (v, d \delta v)  \tag{6.9}\\
& =-\int_{B^{n-1}}\left[v^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{1}^{j}\right) F_{j k}-F_{1}^{i}\left(\nabla_{i} v^{j}\right) F_{j k}-\nabla_{1} v_{k}\right] v^{k} d A_{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

If on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ a vector field $v$ generates an infinitesimal transformation $T_{v}$ leaving the boundary $B^{n-1}$ invariant, then from the definition of an infinitesimal transformation, the vector field $v$ must have zero normal component on $B^{n-1}$. The infinitesimal transformation $T_{v}$ is called an automorphism of the manifold $M^{n}$ if $v$ is an infinitesimal motion and preserves the almost-Hermitian structure $F_{i}{ }^{j}$, that is, $L_{v} F_{i}{ }^{j}=0$.

Now let $v$ be a projective Killing vector field satisfying equation (6.8) on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$. Substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle v, d \delta v\rangle=\langle\delta v, \delta v\rangle+\nabla^{j}\left(v_{j} \delta v\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the integral formula (6.9) and applying equation (3.11) to the integral $\int_{M^{n}} \nabla_{j}\left(v^{j} \nabla_{i} v^{i}\right) d A_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(S_{i j}, S_{i j}\right)-\frac{2}{n+1}(\delta v, \delta v) & =-\int_{B^{n-1}}\left\{\left[v^{i}\left(\nabla_{i} F_{1}{ }^{j}\right) F_{j k}\right.\right.  \tag{6.11}\\
& \left.\left.\quad-F_{1}{ }^{i}\left(\nabla_{i} v^{j}\right) F_{j k}-\nabla_{1} v_{k}\right] v^{k}-\frac{2}{n+1} v_{1} \delta v\right\} d A_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of equations (6.11), (6.1), (6.2), (6.5), (5.19) and Theorems $6.4 \mathrm{~T}, 6.4 \mathrm{~N}$ we thus arrive at the following two theorems.

Theorem 6.6T On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with a totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a projective Killing vector field which satisfies equation (6.8) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20). Then the following integral formula holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{i j}, S_{i j}\right)=\frac{2}{n+1}(\delta v, \delta v) . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if the vector field $v$ is further contravariant analytic, then it is a Killing vector field.

Theorem 6.6N. On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with a semipseudo boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a projective Killing vector field which satisfies equation (6.8) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero normal component and satisfies equations (6.7). Then the integral formula (6.12) is still valid. In particular, if the vector field $v$ is further contravariant analytic, then it defines an automorphism of the manifold $M^{n}$ leaving the boundary $B^{n-1}$ invariant.

For the case of almost-Kählerian manifolds with empty boundary, Theorems $6.6 \mathrm{~T}, 6.6 \mathrm{~N}$ are due to Tachibana (12); in this case a vector field $v$ always satisfies equation (6.8).

Now let $v$ be a conformal Killing vector field which satisfies equation (6.8). Substituting equations (6.8), (2.23) in the integral formula (6.3), noticing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-v_{j} \nabla^{j} \nabla_{i} v^{i}=\langle\delta v, \delta v\rangle+\nabla^{j}\left(v_{j} \delta v\right), \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and applying equation (3.11) to the integral $\int_{M^{n}} \nabla_{j}\left(v^{j} \nabla_{i} v^{i}\right) d A_{n}$, we can obtain

Theorem 6.7. For any conformal Killing vector field v satisfying equation (6.8) on a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, the following integral formula is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(S_{i j}, S_{i j}\right)+ & \frac{n-2}{n}(\delta v, \delta v)=-\int_{B^{n-1}}\left\{\left[v^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{1}{ }^{j}\right) F_{j k}\right.\right.  \tag{6.14}\\
& \left.\left.\quad-F_{1}{ }^{i}\left(\nabla_{i} v^{j}\right) F_{j k}-\nabla_{1} v_{k}\right] v^{k}+\frac{n-2}{n} v_{1} \delta v\right\} d A_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

By means of equations (6.14), (5.19), (6.1), (6.2), (6.5) and Theorems 6.4T, 6.4 N , we are led to the following two theorems.

Theorem 6.8T. On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with a concave or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a conformal Killing vector field which satisfies equation (6.8) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20). Then $v$ is a contravariant analytic vector field for $n=2$, and is a Killing vector field for $n>2$.

Theorem 6.8 N . On a compact almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ with a semipseudo boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a conformal Killing vector field which satisfies equation (6.8) on the manifold $M^{n}$ and which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero normal component and satisfies equations (6.7). Then the vector field $v$ is contravariant analytic for $n=2$, and defines an automorphism of the manifold $M^{n}$ leaving the boundary $B^{n-1}$ invariant for $n>2$.

Applying Lie differentiation to equation (5.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{h i j} L_{v} F^{i j}+F^{i j} L_{v} F_{h i j}=0, \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows immediately that on an almost-semi-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ if a vector field $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{h i j} L_{v} F^{i j}=0, \quad \text { or } \quad F^{i j} L_{v} F_{h i j}=0, \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

it also satisfies equation (6.8). On the other hand, a vector field $v$ on an almostKählerian manifold always satisfies (6.16). Thus the following two corollaries are an immediate consequence of Theorems $6.8 \mathrm{~T}, 6.8 \mathrm{~N}$.

Corollary 6.8.1. Theorems $6.8 \mathrm{~T}, 6.8 \mathrm{~N}$ are still true if the almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ is replaced by an almost-semi-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$, and the condition (6.8) by (6.16).

Corollary 6.8.2. Theorems $6.8 \mathrm{~T}, 6.8 \mathrm{~N}$ are still true for a conformal Killing vector field $v$ on an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with equation (6.8) automatically satisfied.

For the case of empty boundary $B^{n-1}$, Theorems $6.8 \mathrm{~T}, 6.8 \mathrm{~N}$ and Corollary 6.8.1 were obtained by Yano (14), and Corollary 6.8 .2 by Lichnerowicz (10) and Goldberg (4) for a Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ and by Goldberg (5) for an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$.
7. Covariant analytic vector fields. On an almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ a vector $u$ is called a covariant almost-analytic vector, or simply a covariant analytic vector, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}\left(F_{j}{ }^{r} u_{r}\right)=u_{r} \nabla_{j} F_{i}{ }^{r}+F_{i}{ }^{r} \nabla_{r} u_{j} . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for an almost-Kählerian manifold, condition (7.1) becomes, in consequence of equations (5.7) and $F_{i j}=-F_{j i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{r} \nabla_{r} F_{i j}=-F_{i}{ }^{r} \nabla_{r} u_{j}+F_{j}^{r} \nabla_{i} u_{r} . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On a pseudo-Kählerian manifold a covariant almost-analytic vector is a covariant pseudo-analytic vector (cf. 11).

Lemma 7.1. On an almost-semi-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ let $\lambda, \mu$ be two scalar functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \lambda=F_{i}{ }^{r} \nabla_{r} \mu \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the normal derivative of one of the two functions $\lambda, \mu$ on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ vanishes, that is, if either $\nabla_{1} \lambda=0$ or $\nabla_{1} \mu=0$ on $B^{n-1}$ in terms of local boundary geodesic co-ordinates, then the two functions $\lambda, \mu$ are constant over the whole manifold $M^{n}$.

Proof. Multiplying equation (7.3) by $F_{j}{ }^{i}$ and using equation (5.1), we obtain $\nabla_{j}(-\mu)=F_{j}{ }^{i} \nabla_{i} \lambda$. So without loss of generality we may assume that $\nabla_{1} \lambda=0$ on the boundary $B^{n-1}$. From the definition of an almost-semi-Kählerian manifold and equation (7.3) it follows that

$$
\nabla^{i} \nabla_{i} \lambda=F^{i r}\left(\nabla_{i} \nabla_{r} \mu\right),
$$

the right side of which is zero, since $\nabla_{i} \nabla_{r} \mu$ and $F^{i r}$ are, respectively, symmetric and skew-symmetric with respect to $i$ and $r$. Thus we have

$$
\nabla^{i} \nabla_{i}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=2 \nabla^{i} \lambda \nabla_{i} \lambda
$$

Integrating the above equation over the manifold $M^{n}$ and applying the integral formula (3.11) to the left side of the equation, we obtain

$$
2\left(\nabla_{i} \lambda, \nabla_{i} \lambda\right)=\int_{B^{n-1}} \nabla_{1}\left(\lambda^{2}\right) d A_{n-1}=0
$$

which implies that $\lambda$ is constant over the whole manifold $M^{n}$, and therefore $\mu$ is also, because of equations (7.3), (5.1). Hence the lemma is proved.

For an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with empty boundary $B^{n-1}$, Lemma 7.1 was obtained by Tachibana (12) and is a generalization of Liouville's theorem in the theory of functions of a complex variable.

On an almost-semi-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ let $u$ be a covariant analytic vector, $v$ a contravariant analytic vector, and $\lambda, \mu$ two scalar functions defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda=F_{r}^{s} u_{s} v^{r},  \tag{7.4}\\
& \mu=u_{r} v^{r} . \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $v$ is contravariant analytic, by definition we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{v} F_{i}{ }^{j}=v^{r} \nabla_{r} F_{i}{ }^{j}-F_{i}{ }^{r} \nabla_{r} v^{j}+F_{r}{ }^{j} \nabla_{i} v^{r}=0 . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (7.1), (7.4), (7.5), (7.6) it is easily seen that the two functions $\lambda, \mu$ satisfy equation (7.3). By Lemma 7.1 we thus obtain

Theorem 7.1. On a compact almost-semi-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ let $v$ be a contravariant analytic vector and $u$ a covariant analytic vector. If the normal derivative of the inner product $\mu$ of $u$ and $v$ vanishes on the boundary $B^{n-1}$, then the inner product $\mu$ is constant over the whole manifold $M^{n}$.

Now consider a vector field $u$ on an almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$, and define the vector field $\tilde{u}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}_{i}=F_{i}{ }^{t} u_{t}, \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}_{i}=F_{i}{ }^{t} v_{t}=-u_{i}, \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=\tilde{u}_{i} . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is covariant analytic, then by equations (7.1), (7.7), (7.8), (7.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} v_{j}=\nabla_{j} v_{i}+F_{i}{ }^{r}\left[\nabla_{j} \tilde{v}_{r}-\nabla_{r}\left(F_{j}{ }^{s} v_{s}\right)\right] . \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplication of equation (7.10) by $F_{t}{ }^{i}$ and use of equations (5.1), (7.8), (7.9) give immediately equation (7.1) for $\tilde{\mathcal{u}}$. Thus we arrive at

Theorem 7.2. On an almost-Hermitian manifold $M^{n}$ if a vector field $u$ is covariant analytic, then the vector field $\tilde{u}$ is also.

For almost-Kählerian manifolds with empty boundary, Theorems 7.1, 7.2 were obtained by Tachibana (12).

Now let us consider an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, and use the structure tensor $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ to define the tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j k}^{*} \equiv \frac{1}{2} F^{s t} R_{j r t s} F_{k}{ }^{r} . \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that on a pseudo-Kählerian manifold $R_{j k}^{*}=R_{j k}$. By using the relation $F^{i r}=-F^{r i}$ and the Bianchi identity

$$
R_{h r j i}+R_{h j i r}+R_{h i r j}=0,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{h r j i} F^{i r}=\frac{1}{2} F^{i r}\left(R_{h r j i}-R_{h i j r}\right)=\frac{1}{2} F^{r i} R_{h j i r} . \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying by $g^{i k}$ the Ricci identity for the tensor $F_{i n}$,

$$
\left[\nabla_{k}, \nabla_{j}\right] F_{i h}=-F_{r h} R_{i j k}^{r}-F_{i r} R_{h j k}^{r},
$$

and making use of equations (7.12) and $\nabla_{i} F^{i j}=0$, we are led to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{r} \nabla_{j} F_{r h}=\frac{1}{2} F^{s t} R_{h j t s}+R_{j}{ }^{r} F_{r h} . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Application of the operator $\nabla^{j}=g^{j r} \nabla_{r}$ to the equation $F_{j i r}=0$ and use of equation (7.13) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} F_{j i}=F^{s} R_{i j t s}+R_{j}{ }^{r} F_{r i}-R_{i}{ }^{r} F_{r j} . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by means of the Ricci identity (1.24) for a vector field $v$ and the relation $F_{i j}=-F_{j i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{s t} \nabla_{s} \nabla_{t} v_{i}=-\frac{1}{2} F^{s t} R_{r i t s} v^{r} . \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (7.11), (7.13), (7.15) it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{k}^{i} v^{r} \nabla^{j} \nabla_{r} F_{j i}=-v^{r} R_{r k}^{*}+v^{r} R_{r k},  \tag{7.16}\\
F_{k}^{i} F^{j r} \nabla_{j} \nabla_{r} v_{i}=-v^{r} R_{r k}^{*} . \tag{7.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let the vector $\tilde{v}$ be defined by equation (7.8) from the vector $v$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}^{i}=g^{i} \tilde{v}_{t}=-F_{t}{ }^{i} v^{t} . \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By computing $\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} \tilde{v}_{i}$ directly from equation (7.8) and making use of equations (7.14), (5.2) we are readily led to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} \tilde{v}_{i}-R_{r i} \tilde{v}^{r}\right) \tilde{v}^{i}=\left(\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v_{i}+\right. & \left.R_{r i} v^{r}\right) v^{i}  \tag{7.19}\\
& -2 R_{r i}^{*} v^{\tau} v^{i}+2\left(\nabla^{\tau} v^{t}\right)\left(\nabla_{r} F_{t i}\right) F_{j}^{i} v^{j}
\end{align*}
$$

Now for a covariant analytic vector $u$, by applying the operator $F_{k}{ }^{i} \nabla^{j}$ to equation (7.2) and using $\nabla_{j} F^{j r}=0$, we obtain, in consequence of equations (7.16), (7.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}(u)=0, \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}(u) \equiv \nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} u_{i}+R_{r i} u^{r}-2 R_{r i}^{*} u^{r}+\nabla^{j} u^{r}\left(\nabla_{j} F_{r s}+\nabla_{r} F_{j s}\right) F_{i}^{s} . \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, for any vector field $v$ we can define the tensor

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{j k}(v) \equiv\left(v^{r} \nabla_{r} F_{j}^{s}\right. & \left.+F_{r}^{s} \nabla_{j} v^{r}+F_{j}{ }^{r} \nabla_{r} v^{s}\right) F_{s k}  \tag{7.22}\\
& =v^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{j}\right) F_{s k}+F_{j}{ }^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} v^{s}\right) F_{s k}-\nabla_{j} v_{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of equation (5.7), from equations (5.22), (7.22) it is easily seen that $a_{j k}(v)=0$ (that $b_{j k}(v)=0$ ) is equivalent to the fact that the vector field $v$ is contravariant analytic (is covariant analytic). Noticing the similarity between $b_{j k}$ and $a_{j k}$ defined by equation (5.22), by calculations analogous to those in §5 we can show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{2} b^{2}(v)=\frac{1}{2} b_{j k} b^{j k}=  \tag{7.23}\\
+-v^{s} v^{r} \nabla_{s} F^{j t} \nabla_{j} F_{t r} \\
+F^{r j} F^{s k} \nabla_{r} v_{s} \nabla_{j} v_{k}+\nabla_{j} v_{k} \nabla^{j} v^{k},  \tag{7.24}\\
\nabla^{j}\left(b_{j k} v^{k}\right)=-\left(\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v_{k}+v^{r} R_{r k}\right) v^{k}+2 R_{r k}^{*} v^{r} v^{k} \\
+v^{r} v^{k} \nabla_{r} F_{j}^{s} \nabla^{j} F_{s k}-2\left(\nabla^{j} v^{r}\right) F_{j}^{s}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{s k}\right) v^{k} \\
-F^{r j} F^{s k} \nabla_{r} v_{s} \nabla_{j} v_{k}-\nabla_{j} v_{k} \nabla^{j} v^{k} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Addition of equations (7.23), (7.24) and the use of equation (5.13) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{j}\left(b_{j k} v^{k}\right)+\frac{1}{2} b^{2}(v)=-\left(\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v^{i}\right. & \left.+v^{r} R_{r i}\right) v^{i}  \tag{7.25}\\
& +2 R_{r i}^{*} v^{\tau} v^{i}-2 \nabla^{j} v^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{j s}\right) F_{k}^{s} v^{k} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (7.25) and (7.19) imply, by subtraction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} \tilde{v}_{i}-\tilde{v}^{r} R_{r i}\right) \tilde{v}^{i}-\nabla^{j}\left(b_{j k} v^{k}\right)\right]=v^{i} T_{i}(v)+\frac{1}{4} b^{2}(v) . \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating equation (7.26) over the manifold $M^{n}$, applying the integral formulas (3.11), (3.17) for the vector field $\tilde{v}$, and using equation (7.21), we obtain

Theorem 7.3. For any vector field v on a compact almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, the following integral formula is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M^{n}}\left[v^{i} T_{i}(v)\right. & \left.+\frac{1}{4} b^{2}(v)+\frac{1}{2} S(\tilde{v})\right] d A_{n}  \tag{7.27}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{B^{n-1}}\left(\tilde{v}_{i} \nabla_{1} \tilde{v}^{i}-\tilde{v}^{j} \nabla_{j} \tilde{v}_{1}+\tilde{v}_{1} \nabla_{i} \tilde{v}^{i}-b_{1 k} v^{k}\right) d A_{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{v}, b_{j k}, b^{2}(v), T_{i}(v)$ are defined by equations (7.8), (7.22), (7.23), (7.21) respectively, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\tilde{v})=\langle d \tilde{v}, d \tilde{v}\rangle+\langle\delta \tilde{v}, \delta \tilde{v}\rangle \tag{7.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of equations (7.8), (7.18), (7.22), (5.1), (6.5) and $F_{i}{ }^{\tau} v_{r} v^{t} \nabla_{1} F_{t}{ }^{i}=0$, which can be derived from equation (5.13), it is easily seen that if the vector field $v$ has zero tangential component on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ and satisfies equations (3.20) and (7.20) on $B^{n-1}$ and $M^{n}$ respectively, then equation (7.27) is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{n}}\left[b^{2}(v)+2 S(\tilde{v})\right] d A_{n}+4 \int_{B^{n-1}} v^{1} v_{1} b_{r s} F_{1}^{r} F_{1}^{s} d A_{n-1}=0 . \tag{7.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is convex or totally geodesic, then from equation (7.29) it follows that $b^{2}(v)=0$, showing that $v$ is covariant analytic. Since we have already shown that a covariant analytic vector $v$ satisfies equation (7.20), we therefore arrive at

Theorem 7.4T. On a compact almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with a convex or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$ let $v$ be a vector field which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20). Then a necessary and sufficient condition that the vector $v$ be covariant analytic is that it satisfy equation (7.20) on the manifold $M^{n}$.

Similarly, we have
Theorem 7.4 N . On a compact almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ on which $\nabla_{i} F_{1}{ }^{j}=0$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n$, let $v$ be a vector field which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero normal component and satisfies $\nabla_{i} v_{j}=0$ for all $i$ and $j$ not equal to 1 at the same time. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that the vector $v$ be covariant analytic is that it satisfy equation (7.20) on the manifold $M^{n}$.

If the boundary $B^{n-1}$ is convex or totally geodesic, from equation (7.29) it follows also that $S(\tilde{v})=0$, which with equations (7.28), (4.8) for the vector $\tilde{v}$ implies that the vector $\tilde{v}$ is harmonic. Hence we have

Theorem 7.5T. Under the same assumptions as those in Theorem 7.4T, if the vector field $v$ is covariant analytic, then the vector field $\tilde{v}$ is harmonic.

Similarly, we obtain

Theorem 7.5N. Under the same assumptions as those in Theorem 7.4 N , if the vector field $v$ is covariant analytic, then the vector field $\tilde{v}$ is harmonic.

For the case of an empty boundary, Theorems $7.3,7.4 \mathrm{~T}, 7.4 \mathrm{~N}, 7.5 \mathrm{~T}, 7.5 \mathrm{~N}$ were obtained by Tachibana (12).
8. Almost-Kählerian manifolds. Let $M^{n}$ be an almost-Kählerian maniold. Then from equation (5.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \nabla_{r} F_{t i}=\nabla_{r} F_{t i}+\nabla_{t} F_{r i}-\nabla_{i} F_{r t} . \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (8.1) in equation (7.19) and making use of the integral formula (3.17) for $\tilde{v}$ we obtain

Lemma 8.1. On a compact almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ for any vector v satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{r_{v} t}\left(\nabla_{r} F_{t i}+\nabla_{t} F_{r i}\right) F_{j}{ }^{i} v^{j}=0, \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following integral formula is valid:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{M^{n}}\left[\left(\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v_{i}+R_{r i} v^{r}\right) v^{i}-\left(2 R_{r j}^{*} v^{r}+F_{j}^{i} \nabla_{i} F_{r t} \nabla^{r} v^{t}\right) v^{j}+S(\tilde{v})\right] d A_{n}  \tag{8.3}\\
=\int_{B^{n-1}}\left(\tilde{v}^{i} \nabla_{1} \tilde{v}_{i}-\tilde{v}^{j} \nabla_{j} \tilde{v}_{1}+\tilde{v}_{1} \nabla_{i} \tilde{v}^{i}\right) d A_{n-1},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\tilde{v}, S(\tilde{v})$ are defined by equations (7.8), (7.28) respectively.
Let $v$ be a contravariant analytic vector field on an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$. Then from equation (7.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{r} \nabla_{\tau} F_{i j}=F_{i}{ }^{r} \nabla_{r} v_{j}+F_{j}{ }^{r} \nabla_{i} v_{r} . \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of equations (8.4), (7.2), (5.1) we obtain immediately
Lemma 8.2. On an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ if a contravariant analytic vector field $v$ is also covariant analytic, then it is a parallel vector field.

Substitution of equation (5.7) in equation (8.4) and use of equation (7.8) give readily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{j} \tilde{v}_{i}-\nabla_{i} \tilde{v}_{j}=-F_{j}{ }^{r}\left(\nabla_{r} v_{i}+\nabla_{i} v_{r}\right) \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which with equations (5.1), (5.13) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{j} \tilde{v}_{i}-\nabla_{i} \tilde{v}_{j}\right)\left(\nabla_{k} F_{r}^{j}\right) F^{i r}=-\nabla_{k} F^{i t}\left(\nabla_{t} v_{i}+\nabla_{i} v_{t}\right)=0 \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\nabla_{k} F^{i t}$ and $\nabla_{t} v_{i}+\nabla_{i} v_{t}$ are, respectively, skew-symmetric and symmetric in $i$ and $t$. Applying $\nabla^{i}$ to equation (8.4) and using equations (5.7), (5.8), (5.12), we obtain
(8.7) $\nabla^{i} v^{r} \nabla_{r} F_{i j}+v^{r} \nabla^{i} \nabla_{r} F_{i j}-F_{i}{ }^{r} \nabla^{i} \nabla_{r} v_{j}-\nabla^{i} F_{j}{ }^{r} \nabla_{i} v_{r}-F_{j}{ }^{r} \nabla^{i} \nabla_{i} v_{r}=0$.

Multiplication of equations (8.7), (8.4) by $F_{k}{ }^{j}$ and use of equations (7.16), (7.17), respectively, yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} v_{k}+R_{r k} v^{r}+\nabla^{i} v^{r}\left(\nabla_{i} F_{r j}+\nabla_{r} F_{i j}\right) F_{k}^{j}=0  \tag{8.8}\\
\nabla_{i} v_{k}=F_{i}^{r} F_{k}^{j} \nabla_{r} v_{j}-F_{k}^{j} v^{r} \nabla_{r} F_{i j} \tag{8.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, from equations (5.1), (5.7), (5.13) and $F_{i j}=-F_{j i}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{h}^{j} F_{s}^{r}\left(\nabla^{h} F^{s t}\right) F_{t}^{i}=-F_{h}^{j}\left(\nabla^{i} F^{r h}+\nabla^{r} F^{h i}\right) \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interchanging $r$ and $j$ in equation (8.10) and adding the resulting equation to equation (8.10) we obtain, in consequence of equation (5.13),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{j} v_{r}\left(\nabla^{j} F^{r t}+\nabla^{r} F^{j t}\right) F_{t}{ }^{i}=-F_{h}{ }^{j} F_{s}^{r} \nabla_{j} v_{r}\left(\nabla^{h} F^{s t}+\nabla^{s} F^{h t}\right) F_{t}{ }^{i} \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (8.9) for $\nabla_{j} v_{r}$ on the right side of equation (8.11) and noticing that $F_{h}{ }^{j} \nabla_{l} F_{j s}$ and $\left(\nabla^{h} F^{s t}+\nabla^{s} F^{h t}\right) F_{t}{ }^{i}$ are, respectively, skewsymmetric and symmetric in $h$ and $s$ so that their product is zero, for any contravariant analytic vector field $v$ on the manifold $M^{n}$ we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{j} v_{r}\left(\nabla^{j} F^{r t}+\nabla^{r} F^{j t}\right) F_{t}^{i}=0 \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of equations (5.7), (6.2), (6.8), (6.16), (7.21), (8.12), Theorem 7.3 , and Lemma 8.2, and applying to equation (7.27) the arguments on the boundary conditions in the proofs of Theorems $7.4 \mathrm{~T}, 7.4 \mathrm{~N}$, we can easily arrive at

Theorem 8.1. On a compact almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with a convex or totally geodesic boundary $B^{n-1}$ let v be a contravariant analytic vector field which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero tangential component and satisfies equation (3.20) (or on a compact almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$ on which $\nabla_{i} F_{k}{ }^{j}=0$ for $i, j, k=1, \ldots, n$, let $v$ be a contravariant analytic vector field which on the boundary $B^{n-1}$ has zero normal component and satisfies $\nabla_{i} v_{j}=0$ for all $i$ and $j$ not equal to 1 at the same time). Then

$$
\int_{M^{n}} R_{i r}^{*} v^{i} v^{\tau} d A_{n} \geqslant 0,
$$

where the equality implies that $v$ is a parallel vector field.
For the case of empty boundary $B^{n-1}$, Theorem 8.1 is due to Tachibana (12).
On an almost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ let us now consider the canonical connection defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Gamma}_{i}{ }_{j}{ }_{j}=\Gamma_{i}{ }_{j}{ }_{j}+t^{h}{ }_{i j} \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{h}{ }_{i j}=-\frac{1}{2} F_{r}{ }^{h} \nabla_{j} F_{i}{ }^{r} . \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that the tensors $g_{i j}$ and $F_{i}{ }^{j}$ both are covariantly constant with respect to the connection $\bar{\Gamma}_{i j}^{h}$, and it should be noted that on the manifold $M^{n}$ there are many other connections having this property. Let $\bar{R}^{i}{ }_{j k r}$ be the curvature tensor of the manifold $M^{n}$ with respect to the connection $\bar{\Gamma}_{i}{ }_{j}{ }_{j}$. Then from equations (1.21), (8.13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}^{h}{ }_{i j k}=R^{h}{ }_{i j k}+\nabla_{k} t^{h}{ }_{i j}-\nabla_{j} t^{h}{ }_{i k}+t^{s}{ }_{i j} t^{h}{ }_{s k}-t^{s}{ }_{i k} t^{h}{ }_{s j} . \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By means of equations (8.14), (8.15), (5.13), an elementary calculation suffices to demonstrate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}^{h}{ }_{i j k}=\frac{1}{2} R^{h}{ }_{i j k}-\frac{1}{2} R_{s j k}^{r} F_{r}^{h} F_{i}{ }^{s}-\frac{1}{4} \nabla_{k} F_{r}{ }^{h} \nabla_{j} F_{i}{ }^{r}+\frac{1}{4} \nabla_{j} F_{r}{ }^{h} \nabla_{k} F_{i}{ }^{r} . \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equation (8.16) by $F_{h}{ }^{i}$ and using equations (5.1), (5.13) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}^{h}{ }_{i j k} F_{h}{ }^{i}=R^{n}{ }_{i j k} F_{h}{ }^{i}+\frac{1}{2} T_{k j}, \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k j}=-F_{h}{ }^{i} \nabla_{k} F_{r}^{h} \nabla_{j} F_{i}{ }^{r} . \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, use of equations (5.1), (5.7), (5.13) yields immediately

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{k} F_{r h}\right) F^{h i} F_{l}{ }^{j} \nabla_{j} F_{i}^{r}=\left(\nabla_{k} F_{h}^{r}\right) F^{h i} F_{l}{ }^{j}\left(\nabla_{i} F_{r j}+\nabla_{r} F_{j i}\right)  \tag{8.19}\\
& \quad=\left(\nabla_{k} F_{h}^{r}\right) F^{h i}\left(-F_{r j} \nabla_{i} F_{l}^{j}-F_{j i} \nabla_{r} F_{l}^{j}\right) \\
& \quad=\nabla_{k} F^{j i}\left(\nabla_{l} F_{j i}+\nabla_{j} F_{i l}\right)-\nabla_{k} F_{h}^{r} \nabla_{r} F_{l}^{h}=\nabla_{k} F^{j i} \nabla_{l} F_{j i} .
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (8.17), (8.18), (8.19), (7.11) it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}_{k l}^{*} & =\frac{1}{2} \bar{R}^{h}{ }_{i j k} F_{h}{ }^{i} F_{l}{ }^{j}=R_{k l}^{*}+\frac{1}{4} T_{k j} F_{l}{ }^{j}  \tag{8.20}\\
& =R_{k l}^{*}-\frac{1}{4} \nabla_{k} F_{i j} \nabla_{l} F^{i j} .
\end{align*}
$$

For any vector field $v$ on the manifold $M^{n}$ use of equations (5.7), (7.8) shows immediately that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(\nabla_{k} F_{r h}\right) v^{k} & =\left(\nabla_{r} F_{h k}-\nabla_{h} F_{r k}\right) v^{k}  \tag{8.21}\\
& =\nabla_{r} \tilde{v}_{h}-\nabla_{h} \tilde{v}_{r}+F_{r k} \nabla_{h} v^{k}-F_{h k} \nabla_{r} v^{k},
\end{align*}
$$

from which with equations (8.18), (5.13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k j} v^{k}=\left(\nabla_{r} \tilde{v}_{h}-\nabla_{h} \tilde{v}_{r}\right)\left(\nabla_{j} F_{i}^{r}\right) F^{h i}+2 \nabla_{j} F_{h k} \nabla^{h} v^{k} . \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v$ is a contravariant analytic vector field on the manifold $M^{n}$, then by means of equations (8.6), (8.20), (8.22) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{j} \bar{R}_{j k}^{*}=v^{j} R_{j k}^{*}+\frac{1}{2} F_{k}^{l} \nabla_{l} F_{h j} \nabla^{h} v^{j} . \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for a contravariant analytic vector field $v$ on a compact amost-Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary $B^{n-1}$, from Lemma 8.1 and equations (5.7), (6.2), (6.8), (6.16), (8.12), (8.23), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M^{n}}[S(\tilde{v})- & \left.2 \bar{R}_{j k}^{*} v^{j} v^{k}\right] d A_{n}  \tag{8.24}\\
& =\int_{B^{n-1}}\left(\tilde{v}^{i} \nabla_{1} \tilde{v}_{i}-\tilde{v}^{j} \nabla_{j} \tilde{v}_{1}+\tilde{v}_{1} \nabla_{i} \tilde{v}^{i}\right) d A_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $S(\tilde{v})=0$, then from equations (7.28), (4.8) for the vector $\tilde{v}$ it follows that $\tilde{v}$ is harmonic, and therefore $v$ is a Killing vector field due to equations (8.5), (2.14). Applying to equation (8.24) the arguments on the boundary conditions in the proofs of Theorems $7.4 \mathrm{~T}, 7.4 \mathrm{~N}$, we thus arrive at

Theorem 8.2. Under the same assumptions as those in Theorem 8.1,

$$
\int_{M^{n}} \bar{R}_{j k}^{*} v^{j} v^{k} \geqslant 0
$$

where the equality implies that $v$ is a Killing vector field and $\tilde{v}$ is harmonic.
For the case of empty boundary $B^{n-1}$, Theorem 8.1 is due to Apte (1) for a Killing vector field $v$, and due to Tachibana (12) for a contravariant analytic vector field $v$.
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