Relationship of lycopene intake and consumption of tomato products to incident CVD
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Abstract
Evidence for cardioprotective effects of lycopene is inconsistent. Studies of circulating lycopene generally report inverse associations with CVD risk, but studies based on lycopene intake do not. The failure of dietary studies to support the findings based on biomarkers may be due in part to misclassification of lycopene intakes. To address this potential misclassification, we used repeated measures of intake obtained over 10 years to characterise the relationship between lycopene intake and the incidence of CVD (n 314), CHD (n 171) and stroke (n 99) in the Framingham Offspring Study. Hazard ratios (HR) for incident outcomes were derived from Cox proportional hazards regression models using logarithmically transformed lycopene intake adjusted for CVD risk factors and correlates of lycopene intake. HR were interpreted as the increased risk for a 2.7-fold difference in lycopene intake, a difference approximately equal to its interquartile range. Using an average of three intake measures with a 9-year follow-up, lycopene intake was inversely associated with CVD incidence (HR 0.83, 95% CI 0.70, 0.98). Using an average of two intake measures and 11 years of follow-up, lycopene intake was inversely associated with CHD incidence (HR 0.74, 95% CI 0.58, 0.94). Lycopene intake was unrelated to stroke incidence. The present study of lycopene intake and CVD provides supporting evidence for an inverse association between lycopene and CVD risk; however, additional research is needed to determine whether lycopene or other components of tomatoes, the major dietary source of lycopene, are responsible for the observed association.
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Individuals who consume higher amounts of vegetables and fruits consistently demonstrate lower risks of CVD (1–4), but there is still much that we do not understand regarding the mechanism relating vegetable and fruit intake to CVD risk. Accumulating evidence from intervention trials suggests that the protective effect of vegetables and fruits may not necessarily be a consequence of their vitamin E and β-carotene (5), whereas other research has suggested that lycopene, a dietary carotenoid obtained largely from tomato products, may provide cardioprotective benefits (6–9). Many (6–9), but not all (10–12), prospective studies relating circulating lycopene concentrations and CVD risk have reported inverse associations, while studies based on dietary intake have generally failed to detect significant independent associations between lycopene and CVD risk (13–17). The reason for the failure of dietary studies to support the findings based on biomarkers is not known but may be due in part to misclassification of lycopene intakes.

To address the potential misclassification, we used repeated measures of intake obtained over 10 years to characterise the relationship between the intake of lycopene and tomato-based products and the incidence of CVD, CHD and stroke.

Research design and methods

Population description

The Framingham Heart Study began in 1948 with the enrolment of 5209 adults aged 28–62 years residing in Framingham,
a town west of Boston, MA\(^{18}\), and has continued for over
60 years, with the survivors returning every 2 years for a phy-
sical examination and to complete a series of questionnaires,
laboratory and cardiovascular tests. By 1971, the original
cohort included 1644 husband–wife pairs and 378 individuals
who had developed CVD. The offspring of these subjects and
the offspring’s spouses were invited to participate, and 5135 of
the 6838 eligible individuals participated in the first Framing-
ham Offspring Study examination\(^{19}\). The Framingham Off-
spring cohort undergoes repeat examination approximately
every 3–4 years. For the present study, we used data derived
from the fifth, sixth and seventh study examinations, which
spanned 10 years (1991–2001), with follow-up for incident
CVD through to 2008.

The present study was conducted according to the
guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all
procedures involving human participants were approved by
the Boston University Medical Center Institutional Review
Board. Written informed consent was obtained from all partici-
pants. The present study was approved by the Tufts Medical
Center Institutional Review Board.

Dietary assessment
Dietary intakes were assessed using the Harvard semi-quantiti-
tive FFQ\(^{20,21}\) at the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations.
The FFQ consists of a list of foods with a standard serving
size and a selection of nine frequency categories ranging
from never or <1 serving/month to ≥6 servings/d. Par-
ticipants were asked to report their frequency of consumption
of each food item during the past year. Participants could
also add up to three additional foods that are important com-
ponents of their diets but are not listed on the questionnaire.
Information on nutrient supplement use was also obtained by
the FFQ. Dietary information was judged as unreliable and
excluded from further study if reported energy intakes were
<2511 kJ/d (600 kcal/d) or >16 743 kJ/d (4000 kcal/d) for
women and >17 580 kJ/d (4200 kcal/d) for men or if more
than twelve food items were left blank.

Lycopene intake from food sources and multivitamin sup-
plements was used to calculate lycopene intake. Ketchup, a
relatively concentrated source of lycopene, was not listed as
a standard food item on the FFQ, but participants had the
option of listing it as an additional food. We also created a
measure of tomato product consumption as the sum of
tomato juice, tomato sauce and pizza consumption measured as
servings per week.

Lycopene intake from the FFQ has been previously vali-
dated against plasma lycopene concentrations\(^{22}\) and intake
of tomatoes has been validated using repeated diet
records\(^{23,24}\). Correlations between lycopene intake based
on the FFQ and plasma lycopene were 0·47 and 0·21 for
non-smoking men and women, respectively. The lower
relation in women was believed to be a result of hormonal
factors affecting circulating lycopene concentrations and not
the result of a difference in the ability of the FFQ to capture
the lycopene intake in men and women\(^{22,25}\). The correlation
between the intake of tomatoes based on the FFQ and four
7 d diet records for the corresponding time period was 0·73
in women\(^{24}\), while the correlation in men based on two 7 d
diet records was 0·71\(^{25}\).

Ascertainment of CVD events and deaths
All participants are under continuous surveillance for the
occurrence of CVD events and death. Hospitalisation records
and physician office visit records are obtained and reviewed
by a committee of three experienced investigators. For each
death, the same committee assigns an underlying cause of
death based on Framingham Heart Study records, hospitalis-
atation records and, when available, autopsy results\(^{26}\). Criteria
for the diagnoses of cardiovascular events have been
described elsewhere\(^{27}\). Incident CVD includes CHD (recog-
nised or unrecognised myocardial infarction, angina pectoris,
coronary insufficiency and CHD death), cerebrovascular dis-
ease (stroke and transient ischaemic attack), congestive heart
failure (by Framingham criteria) and peripheral vascular dis-
ease (intermittent claudication). An unrecognised myocardial
infarction was considered to have occurred if there was elec-
trocardiographic evidence of significant loss of R waves or
appearance of pathologic Q waves on serial tracings in the
absence of a clinically recognised event. Sudden death,
defined as death occurring within 1 h of the onset of symp-
toms, is attributed to CHD unless another cause is appar-
ent\(^{26}\). Stroke was defined as an acute-onset focal
eurological deficit of presumed vascular origin persisting
for ≥24 h. Transient ischaemic attack was defined as an epi-
sode of rapid-onset focal neurological dysfunction attributed
to focal cerebral ischaemia with resolution within 24 h. All
cerebrovascular events were adjudicated by a panel of two
neu-rologists\(^{28}\). For intermittent claudication, a physician-
administered standardised questionnaire was used to elicit
subjective symptoms of calf discomfort with exertion that
occurred sooner with uphill or fast-paced walking and was
alleviated with rest. All suspected claudication events were
verified independently by a second physician examiner.

Assessment of covariates
Covariates used in our analyses included sex, age, systolic
blood pressure, total cholesterol, total cholesterol:HDL ratio,
BMI, current smoking status, number of packs smoked per d,
hypertension treatment, prevalent diabetes and dietary intakes
of energy, saturated fat, β-carotene, flavonols, vitamin C and
vitamin E. Medical history (e.g. medication use) and lifestyle
activities (e.g. smoking history) were assessed during a stan-
dardised medical examination and interview. Height and
weight were measured with the participant standing, shoes
off and wearing only a hospital gown. BMI was calculated
as body weight in kg divided by the square of height in m. Sit-
ting blood pressure was measured twice on each participant
after a 5 min rest using a random-zero sphygmomanometer,
and two readings were averaged for the analyses. Fasting
(≥8 h) blood samples were drawn for assessing lipid levels.
Plasma total cholesterol was measured by an enzymatic
method\(^{29}\), and HDL-cholesterol was measured after
dextran-magnesium precipitation\(^{(30)}\). Diabetes was defined as a plasma glucose level $\geq 7.0$ mmol/l (fasting) or $\geq 11.1$ mmol/l (non-fasting), or use of hypoglycaemic drug therapy. We included $\beta$-carotene, flavonols, vitamin C and vitamin E as covariates not only as markers of vegetable and fruit consumption but also because these represent potential bioactive compounds found in varying quantities in tomatoes and other lycopene-containing foods.

**Statistical methods**

Our primary exposure was the natural logarithm of lycopene intake. Our primary outcome was incident CVD. Secondary analyses considered the intake of tomatoes and tomato-based products as an additional exposure, and incident CHD and stroke as outcomes. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc.). A $P$ value $< 0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Hazard ratios (HR) derived from Cox proportional hazards regression models (SAS PROC PHREG) were used to characterise the prospective associations between lycopene and tomato product intakes and the risk of CVD. Because lycopene intake was transformed using a natural logarithm, the HR is interpreted as the relative risk for a 2.7-fold difference (i.e. a one unit difference on the natural logarithmic scale) in lycopene intake. The 2.7-fold difference represents a reasonable intake difference in this population. It is similar to the ratio between the 75th and 25th percentiles of lycopene intake assessed at the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations, which ranged from 2.4 to 2.6.

In an attempt to minimise misclassification of lycopene and tomato product intake data, we considered two different exposures based on an average of intakes from the fifth and sixth examinations, and the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations. For each of these exposures, we initiated follow-up for CVD events at the date of the last examination included in the averaged intake. For example, follow-up for the averaged intake for the fifth and sixth examinations started at the date of the examination for participants at their sixth examination. Thus, the sixth examination served as baseline for the averaged intakes from the fifth and sixth examinations, and the seventh examination as baseline for the averaged intakes from the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations.

We considered three different models based on the inclusion of covariates: (1) an age- and sex-adjusted model (model 1); (2) a model with additional adjustment for systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, total cholesterol:HDLC ratio, BMI, smoking, number of packs per d, hypertension treatment, prevalent diabetes, saturated fat intake and energy intake (model 2); (3) a model with additional adjustment for dietary factors including $\beta$-carotene, vitamin C, vitamin E and flavonol intakes (model 3). Covariates, similar to the dietary data, reflect average values at the respective baseline examinations for the two follow-up periods.

To assess the validity of the proportional hazards assumption, we introduced interaction terms between follow-up time and our exposures, and use likelihood ratio tests to assess evidence for departures from this assumption. There was no evidence that this assumption was violated for any of these models. We also examine the potential for effect modification by sex and smoking, but there was no evidence of any statistical interactions.

The number of participants available for follow-up was dependent on the baseline examination. Participation at the baseline examination was the initial criterion for inclusion. Among those participating in the sixth examination, 412 participants were excluded because of existing CVD, 313 because of missing or invalid dietary data and 131 because of missing covariate data, leaving 2667 participants for follow-up. At the seventh examination, 479 participants were excluded because of existing CVD, 406 because of missing or invalid dietary data and 121 because of missing covariate data, leaving 2525 participants for follow-up.

**Results**

The average age and BMI at the fifth examination were 54 years and 27.2 kg/m$^2$. Of the participants, 56% were women, 18% were current smokers, and 16 and 5% had a history of hypertension and diabetes, respectively. The mean lycopene intake was 7.6, 7.9 and 8.0 mg/d at the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations, and the mean consumption of fresh and canned tomatoes and tomato-based products was 4.4, 4.4 and 4.6 servings/week at these three examinations.

In an attempt to minimise misclassification of lycopene and tomato product intake data, we considered two different exposures based on an average of intakes from the fifth and sixth examinations, and the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations. For each of these exposures, we initiated follow-up for CVD events at the date of the last examination included in the averaged intake. For example, follow-up for the averaged intake for the fifth and sixth examinations started at the date of the examination for participants at their sixth examination. Thus, the sixth examination served as baseline for the averaged intakes from the fifth and sixth examinations, and the seventh examination as baseline for the averaged intakes from the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations.

We considered three different models based on the inclusion of covariates: (1) an age- and sex-adjusted model (model 1); (2) a model with additional adjustment for systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, total cholesterol: HDL C ratio, BMI, smoking, number of packs per d, hypertension treatment, prevalent diabetes, saturated fat intake and energy intake (model 2); (3) a model with additional adjustment for dietary factors including $\beta$-carotene, vitamin C, vitamin E and flavonol intakes (model 3). Covariates, similar to the dietary data, reflect average values at the respective baseline examinations for the two follow-up periods.

To assess the validity of the proportional hazards assumption, we introduced interaction terms between follow-up time and our exposures, and use likelihood ratio tests to assess evidence for departures from this assumption. There was no evidence that this assumption was violated for any of these models. We also examine the potential for effect modification by sex and smoking, but there was no evidence of any statistical interactions.

The number of participants available for follow-up was dependent on the baseline examination. Participation at the baseline examination was the initial criterion for inclusion. Among those participating in the sixth examination, 412 participants were excluded because of existing CVD, 313 because of missing or invalid dietary data and 131 because of missing covariate data, leaving 2667 participants for follow-up. At the seventh examination, 479 participants were excluded because of existing CVD, 406 because of missing or invalid dietary data and 121 because of missing covariate data, leaving 2525 participants for follow-up.
Table 1. Lycopene and tomato product intakes and incidence of CVD, CHD and stroke estimated from the hazard ratio (HR) among adult men and women from the Framingham Offspring cohort

(Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CVD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>CHD</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Stroke</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>No. of</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>No. of</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>events</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycopene intake†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations 5 and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 average‡</td>
<td>23 573</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77, 1.10</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>23 618</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.61, 0.96</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>23 609</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.76, 1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1§</td>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2¶</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.72, 1.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.60, 0.97</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.75, 1.55</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3**</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.71, 1.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.58, 0.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.74, 1.54</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations 5, 6 and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 average††</td>
<td>15 858</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.73, 1.04</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>15 870</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.68, 1.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>15 880</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.65, 1.32</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.70, 0.97</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.68, 1.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.58, 1.18</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.70, 0.98</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.67, 1.03</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.59, 1.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato product</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consumption‡‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations 5 and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 average</td>
<td>23 573</td>
<td>0.98§§</td>
<td>0.94, 1.02</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>23 618</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88, 0.99</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>23 609</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.95, 1.08</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94, 1.02</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88, 1.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.96, 1.09</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93, 1.02</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.86, 0.99</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.96, 1.10</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examinations 5, 6, 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average††</td>
<td>15 858</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.91, 1.02</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>15 870</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.87, 1.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>15 880</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.93, 1.11</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.88, 0.99</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.85, 1.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.91, 1.10</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.878, 0.995</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.83, 0.99</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.90, 1.10</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At the fifth study examination, the Framingham Offspring cohort participants had a mean age of 54 years (range 26–79 years) and a mean BMI of 27·2 kg/m² (range 14–54 kg/m²). Of the participants, 56% were women, 18% were current smokers, and 16 and 5% had a history of hypertension and diabetes, respectively.
† Mean lycopene intake was 7.6, 7.9 and 8.0 mg/d at the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations, respectively.
‡ Intake is the average of intakes assessed at the Framingham Offspring cohort fifth and sixth examinations and follow-up time is counted from the date of the sixth examination.
§ Model 1: adjusted for age and sex.
¶ Lycopene intake was transformed using a natural logarithm. Consequently, the HR are interpreted as the relative risk for a 2.7-fold difference (i.e. a one-unit difference on the natural logarithmic scale) in lycopene intake.
** Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, total cholesterol:HDL ratio, BMI, smoking, number of packs per d, hypertension treatment, diabetes, saturated fat intake and energy intake.
†† Intake is the average of intakes assessed at the Framingham Offspring cohort fifth, sixth and seventh examinations and follow-up time is counted from the date of the seventh examination.
‡‡ Mean consumption of tomatoes and tomato-based products was 4.4, 4.4 and 4.6 servings/week at the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations, respectively.
§§ The HR reflect the relative risk for a one serving per d difference in tomato product consumption.
We observed that increasing intake of lycopene based on the average of intakes from the fifth and sixth examinations was associated with a significantly lower risk of CHD, but the association between lycopene intake and CHD based on the average of the fifth, sixth and seventh examinations and the shorter follow-up period was not statistically significant. The association based on the average of the sixth and seventh examinations remained significant after multivariate adjustment. The HR of 0.74 for model 3 would translate into a 26% lower incidence of CHD for a 2.7-fold difference in lycopene intake. No significant associations were observed between lycopene intake and stroke (Table 1).

The relationship between tomato and tomato product consumption and CVD and CHD was very similar to that seen with lycopene consumption (Table 1), except that the relationship between tomato product consumption and CHD was also apparent when using the intakes averaged from three examinations. The tomato products included in this analysis accounted for approximately 90% of lycopene intake. As with lycopene intake, we observed no associations between tomato and tomato product consumption and the risk of stroke.

**Discussion**

These present findings demonstrate an inverse association between lycopene intake and the risk of CHD, but not stroke, and, along with evidence from earlier studies relating serum lycopene to the risk of CVD and carotid artery intima-media thickness, provide support for the hypothesis that higher lycopene is associated with lower CVD risk. In contrast, the previous studies of dietary lycopene and CVD risk provided little evidence of any association. One of these studies based on male smokers in the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Trial reported an inverse association with two subtypes of stroke (cerebral infarction and intracerebral haemorrhage) but lycopene intakes in this cohort were very low (median intake of 0.59 mg/d). Complicating the interpretation of this finding, there was no significant association observed between lycopene intake and CVD risk in the Women’s Health Initiative; however, in agreement with the observations from the present study, there was a marginally significant 31% reduction in the risk of CHD associated with an approximately 5-fold difference in lycopene intake from the lowest to the highest intake quintile categories. The failure to detect a significant association may be the consequence of potential bias introduced by misclassification of exposure because lycopene intake was assessed using one baseline measure, and then participants were followed for a median follow-up of 7.2 years. Misclassification is a less likely explanation for the failure to observe significant associations in two other studies as lycopene intake was updated using cumulative averages during the follow-up. The final study to examine the relationship between dietary lycopene and CVD is a retrospective case-control study, which is subject to more sources of bias, including misclassification of lycopene intake as intake was based on a single assessment.

We also observed inverse associations between the consumption of tomatoes and tomato products and CVD and CHD incidence. The aforementioned Women’s Health Initiative study, which found no significant association between lycopene intake and CVD risk, reported significant inverse associations between the consumption of tomato products and CHD and total CVD. We did not observe any evidence of an association between stroke incidence and either lycopene intake or tomato product consumption. However, given the relatively small number of events, particularly for the analyses based on the average of three intake measures, it is possible that the failure to observe any relationship with stroke was a consequence of inadequate statistical power.

Bioavailability and absorption of lycopene are relatively low and depend on the processing and preparation of lycopene-containing foods and on the fat content of the meal in which lycopene is consumed. Low and variable bioavailability and absorption will result in greater misclassification of actual lycopene exposures based on intake, making it more difficult to establish the relationships between intake and health outcomes. Although the use of multiple measures of lycopene intake would not limit misclassification associated with bioavailability, our ability to characterise lycopene intake using repeated measures of intake may have allowed us to limit variability from other sources, increasing our ability to detect associations with CVD risk.

In addition to the use of multiple measures of dietary intake, the present study has other strengths, most notably the use of the Framingham Heart Study Offspring cohort, a pre-eminent longitudinal study of CVD. The Framingham Heart Study provides tremendous advantages for characterisation of CVD outcomes and important risk factor information. The fact that ketchup was not listed as a standard item of the FFQ is a potential limitation of the present study. Although participants could choose to include ketchup as an additional food item, it is likely that many participants did not report ketchup consumption. Ketchup is a concentrated source of lycopene that contains approximately the same amount of lycopene per unit weight as tomato sauce. However, because of its small serving size relative to other important lycopene food sources (typically <15% by weight), the contribution of lycopene per serving is relatively small, so the misclassification of lycopene intake based on the incomplete ascertainment of ketchup intake should be modest overall, although it could be substantial for individuals who frequently consume ketchup. The FFQ also did not capture lycopene from single nutrient supplements, although we were able to measure lycopene included in multivitamins. As with ketchup, the average contribution from lycopene supplements would probably be small in this population but we would substantially misclassify lycopene intake for anyone who used these supplements. However, the misclassification associated with both ketchup and lycopene supplements would tend to weaken any observed association between lycopene and tomato intake and CVD risk. Finally, we did not adjust for overall vegetable and fruit intake because these are the dietary sources of lycopene, and adjusting for all fruits and vegetables (including...
those that contribute to lycopene intake) may result in some degree of over-adjustment. Instead, we chose to adjust for various bioactive compounds found in vegetables and fruits that may have the potential to confound the relationship between lycopene intake and CVD.

Evidence linking lycopene intake to intermediate CVD risk factors is somewhat limited in both experimental and human studies. In vitro and animal studies have suggested that lycopene can inhibit the production of reactive oxygen species, inflammation and platelet aggregation, reduce lipid peroxides and decrease total and LDL-cholesterol levels(39). A meta-analysis of small human intervention studies has reported that lycopene intakes of approximately 25 mg/d resulted in a 10% reduction in LDL-cholesterol relative to subjects on lycopene-free diets, and a small number of interventions between 4.5 and 15 mg/d of lycopene resulted in a significant 5 mmHg drop in systolic blood pressure(39). A recent observational study was also able to demonstrate an inverse association between tomato product consumption and cholesterol concentrations(40). Serum LDL conjugated dienes, a marker of lipid oxidation, was also related to plasma lycopene in women but not in men(41).

The present study of lycopene and incident CVD adds to the accumulating evidence that lycopene is related to CVD risk. However, tomatoes and tomato-based products are by far the most important dietary sources of lycopene in observational studies, and most human lycopene trials are performed using tomato-based interventions. Thus, it is difficult to separate out the potential lycopene contribution to cardiovascular health from the overall contribution from tomato products and their other components. For example, tomatoes have been identified as a source of 9-oxo-10,12-octadecadienoic acid and its isomers, which are important oxylipins synthesised in plants that may act as PPARα agonists affecting lipid metabolism(42). Tomatoes have also been identified as a source of natural salicylates (3-1 μg/100 g), although it is not known whether the dietary levels of salicylates provided by tomato products would be sufficient to produce any cardiovascular benefits(43). Additional research is needed to sort out the potential benefits of tomatoes and their phytochemical components on CVD risk.
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