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CASE PRESENTATION: DR. FOZIAH JABBAR ALSHAMRANI

A 58-year-old right hand dominant woman known to have hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and dyslipidemia presented to the multiple sclerosis (MS) clinic in 2017 for evaluation of a brain lesion, thought to be acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM). She was previously well, beyond a history of 2 days of lower facial numbness at age 30 that was not investigated.

In December 2017, following an upper respiratory tract infection, she noticed slurred speech and right facial weakness. She had a left frontal lobe hypodense lesion on her initial head CT (Figure 1), confirmed by a subsequent brain MRI (Figure 2). She denied other neurological symptoms including visual changes, sensory loss, sphincter dysfunction, or L’hermitte’s sign. Initial blood work was normal including serum angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE), serum protein electrophoresis, antinuclear antibody, anti-double stranded (ds) DNA, and Lyme serology. Her urinalysis was normal. A lumbar puncture demonstrated normal cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) protein, glucose, and negative oligoclonal bands (OCBs).

She was treated with three daily doses of 1000 mg IV methylprednisolone, 3 months after symptom onset, with improvement of her dysarthria and facial weakness. After 1 month, she developed new gait ataxia, difficulty with language fluency, and fatigue. When assessed in the MS clinic, her repeat MRI noted the frontal lobe lesion was smaller following methylprednisolone (Figure 3).

There was no family history of MS or other inflammatory neurological disorders. On examination, general physical examination was normal. Her neurologic findings included spastic dysarthria with slowed speech, impaired word finding with normal repetition and comprehension. Visual acuity, fundoscopy, papillary reflexes, and eye movements were normal. There may have been borderline hip flexor weakness, but motor coordination, deep tendon reflexes, and plantar responses were otherwise normal. Sensation, gait, and stance were intact.

DISCUSSION: DR. DOUG ZOCHODNE

Thank you. An important step in sorting through this problem is to essentially ignore the route of referral the patient experienced and consider her story and findings as a "clean slate." Simply by being referred to an MS clinic does not mean that this is what the problem may be related to. In clarifying the localization, the dysarthria and perhaps the word finding difficulty fits with her known imaging lesion, as would the history of gait dysfunction. However, there were no evident gait abnormalities on examination. The apparent proximal leg weakness does not really fit unless we are thinking of a second neurological problem such as a symmetrical myopathy, perhaps related to her glucocorticoid use, although it was not prolonged. Knowing if there was subtle neck flexor weakness, proximal upper limb weakness or difficulty arising from a squat would support that possibility but these were not apparently noted. Bilateral convexity lesions can cause symmetrical leg weakness but in the setting of spasticity, other upper motor neuron signs, and bladder dysfunction. A more likely scenario often seen is that “borderline” hip flexor weakness is an artifact of our examination, more likely to occur in someone with a large body habitus or hip discomfort. It is important not to grade muscles as 4/5 if weakness is only borderline and not pathological. I understand there was no proximal sensory loss in the legs. More relevant to the case was the absence of a history of a recent infection, fever, headache, or stiff neck that might support the referral diagnosis of ADEM. The pace of onset of these problems was subacute, against a vascular cause. I did have the opportunity before today’s discussion to review the unenhanced CT which showed a juxtacortical hypodense lesion but with abnormal somewhat thickened appearing grey matter overlying it. This is not in a vascular territory. The density is not low enough to suggest a large cyst and I do not see calcification to suggest oligodendroglioma. Mass effect was not prominent on the image I had to review, and there were no other obvious lesions.
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Investigations showed a normal complete blood count, urea, creatinine, calcium, magnesium, electrolytes, liver enzymes, glucose, thyroid stimulating hormone, ACE, serum protein electrophoresis, antinuclear antibody, anti-ds DNA, and anti-extractable nuclear antigen screen. Her serum anti-neuromyelitis optica and anti-myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies were negative.

LP was repeated: WBC: 6, RBC: 75, lymphocytes: 62%, glucose: 5.5 (serum 4.7), protein: 0.44, G. stain: negative, IgG index: 61. CSF was negative for ACE and OCB. CT of her chest, abdomen, and pelvis was normal. Mammography demonstrated only benign changes. Visual-evoked potentials were normal.

In June 2018, she presented to the emergency department with recurrence of right facial asymmetry, dysarthria, and an episode of right facial twitching. She was diagnosed with focal onset seizures without loss of awareness (simple partial epilepsy) and was treated with levetiracetam 500 mg twice daily. MRI brain demonstrated growth in the lesion. This would support the possibility of a lymphoma, which I have put high on the list of diagnostic considerations, namely rendering a tumefactive demyelinating lesion, beyond white matter alone. She did not have a typical history to suggest ADEM. The enhancing MR lesion did diminish in size after her course of steroid. This would support the possibility of a lymphoma, which I have put high on the list of possibilities or an inflammatory lesion. In my experience, primary CNS lymphomas are often superficial or periventricular, deeper in the white matter, sometimes multiple and have not seemed as variegated as this, but with much more intense and uniform enhancement. This is supported by Haldorsen et al. who pointed out that primary CNS lymphomas are hypo- or iso-intense on T1 MR and iso- to hyper-intense on T2 MR and show moderate to marked, usually homogenous contrast enhancement. Ring enhancement can occur but is far less common and edema not as marked as with glioma. The current case may have fit the intensity characteristics but it was variegated, had ring enhancement, and prominent edema. The disappearance of primary CNS lymphoma with corticosteroid therapy can be dramatic and near complete. Somewhat concerning is that persistence of impressive edema in this case, I think a metastatic lesion is unlikely but not impossible. Other less common possibilities might include a focal deposit of sarcoidosis, inflammatory cysticercosis, or a tubercular, spongiosis, or fungal lesion. One could not exclude a glioma given the variation in density and the cortical changes but I think it must be unusual for gliomas to improve to this degree on imaging studies. Most clinicians do see patients improve, with resolution of signs when dexamethasone is added at the outset of therapy. However, in my experience, this has been associated with somewhat less enhancement, less edema but probably no change in the main lesion. This patient requires an urgent neurosurgical biopsy.

Neuroradiology: Dr. Sachin Paney

Initial CT scan of the head (Figure 1) revealed a focal, intraaxial, left frontal hypodensity, primarily juxtacortical in location.

Discussion: Dr. Doug Zochodne

The differential diagnosis of this lesion includes several entities. Somewhat against but not excluding a large tumefactive MS lesion is the abnormal appearing overlying grey matter and prominent partial seizures; the probable focal seizure suggests cortical involvement, beyond white matter alone. She did not have a typical history to suggest ADEM. The enhancing MR lesion did diminish in size after her course of steroid. This would support the possibility of a lymphoma, which I have put high on the list of possibilities or an inflammatory lesion. In my experience, primary CNS lymphomas are often superficial or periventricular, deeper in the white matter, sometimes multiple and have not seemed as variegated as this, but with much more intense and uniform enhancement. This is supported by Haldorsen et al. who pointed out that primary CNS lymphomas are hypo- or iso-intense on T1 MR and iso- to hyper-intense on T2 MR and show moderate to marked, usually homogenous contrast enhancement. Ring enhancement can occur but is far less common and edema not as marked as with glioma. The current case may have fit the intensity characteristics but it was variegated, had ring enhancement, and prominent edema. The disappearance of primary CNS lymphoma with corticosteroid therapy can be dramatic and near complete. Somewhat concerning is that persistence of impressive edema in this case, I think a metastatic lesion is unlikely but not impossible. Other less common possibilities might include a focal deposit of sarcoidosis, inflammatory cysticercosis, or a tubercular, spongiosis, or fungal lesion. One could not exclude a glioma given the variation in density and the cortical changes but I think it must be unusual for gliomas to improve to this degree on imaging studies. Most clinicians do see patients improve, with resolution of signs when dexamethasone is added at the outset of therapy. However, in my experience, this has been associated with somewhat less enhancement, less edema but probably no change in the main lesion. This patient requires an urgent neurosurgical biopsy.

Neurosurgery: Dr. Keith MacDougall

This patient was referred to me with the working diagnosis of primary CNS lymphoma versus tumefactive demyelinating lesion (TDL). Lymphoma was the main consideration given the previous excellent response to systemic methylprednisolone. A demyelinating process seemed unlikely because of the history of a focal motor seizure but was still a primary consideration. We discussed performing a frame-based stereotactic biopsy with the patient and she agreed. The biopsy was technically straightforward and four cores were provided for pathology. Frozen section on the tissue suggested high-grade glioma.

A subsequent brain MRI (January 2018) confirms the left frontal lesion which was primarily hypointense on T1W images (Figure 2A), demonstrating a heterogenous, primarily peripheral pattern of enhancement (Figure 2B). Both Figures 3 and 4 demonstrate changes in the appearance of the lesion over time, namely prior to, and following, corticosteroid therapy. Figure 3 demonstrates a dramatic decrease in the size of the enhancing component of the lesion, notably, however, without total resolution of the abnormal enhancement. Conversely, T2-FLAIR images over that same time period (Figure 4) continue to demonstrate a disproportionately large area of surrounding abnormal signal hyperintensity, which decreased in size very minimally. Overall, the initial imaging considerations would be quite broad, favoring a moderate or high-grade glial neoplasm, metastatic deposit, or tumefactive process. Other neoplastic entities such as CNS lymphoma would be less likely given the peripheral location, lack of uniform enhancement, and relative hypodensity on baseline CT. The dramatic decrease in the size of the enhancing component of lesion following steroid therapy did serve to refine the list of diagnostic considerations, namely rendering a tumefactive demyelinating process as being more likely. However, the possibility of a high-grade neoplastic process persisted, particularly with the absence of corresponding improvement of the area of FLAIR signal abnormality with corticosteroid administration.

Figure 1: Initial brain CT scan axial section showing left frontal lobe hypodensity.
Pathological assessment of the submitted tissue revealed a hypercellular, pleomorphic neoplasm with a fibrillar background without any obvious architectural pattern. The neoplastic cells had varying amounts of eosinophilic to clear cytoplasm, primarily with indistinct cellular borders. Some of the neoplastic cells appeared "epitheloid." The nuclei were atypical and contained coarse chromatin and often distinct nucleoli. There was brisk mitotic activity, foci of necrosis, and microvascular proliferation. The neoplastic cells stained positive for MAP2 with the background fibrillar processes staining immunopositive for GFAP. ATRX was nuclear positive (not mutated) with approximately 20–30% of nuclei staining positive for p53. Molecular genetics (next generation sequencing) did not identify any mutation in the IDH1, IDH2, and BRAF (V600) genes. Cytogenetic analysis (fluorescent in situ hybridization) revealed amplification of epidermal growth factor receptor and polysomy of chromosome 7. The histological and immune-histomorphological features, combined with the results of the molecular studies, support the diagnosis of glioblastoma, IDH-wild-type (WHO Grade IV).

**DISCUSSION: DR. ZOCHODNE**

I think this was an instructive patient given the unusual clinical course and apparent partial resolution of imaging characteristics secondary to glucocorticoid use. It reinforces a maxim of the late Miller Fisher who once told us (paraphrased) that case series generated misinformation because they ignore the individuality of patients and their presentations; arguing that n = 1 was a more honest approach to clinical work. There were red flags in retrospect given the gray matter changes, seizures, prominent edema, and atypical appearance for lymphoma. What the case also reinforces is that imaging, so frequently relied on exclusively in modern neurology, is only a shadow of the complex biology of tissues targeted by disease. One might speculate on whether a prominent inflammatory reaction treated with glucocorticoids accounted for some of its appearance. If so, how do glioma tumor cells generate this response in nearby brain? A final point is that given better availability of MR imaging over the past two decades, getting serial scans done prior to a definitive biopsy is easier and it may be that these kinds of changes in tumor imaging are more common than we realize.

**NEURO-ONCOLOGY: DR. DAVID MACDONALD**

Following stereotactic brain biopsy, the patient had persistent and progressive dysarthria and word finding difficulties. She was assessed in the neuro-oncology clinic; treatment with radiation...
Figure 4: Sagittal T2-FLAIR images in Feb (A) vs. May (B) 2018. These are acquired prior to, and then following, steroid therapy, respectively. There is only very mild decrease in the extent of FLAIR signal abnormality.

Figure 5: (A) Smear preparation at the time of frozen sectioning demonstrates cellular pleomorphism, nuclear atypia, and fibrillar processes. (B) H&E stain of the left frontal lesion showed a hypercellular, pleomorphic neoplasm on a fibrillar background that failed to arrange in any particular pattern. The neoplastic cells have varying amounts of eosinophilic to clear cytoplasm, primarily with indistinct cellular borders. Some of the neoplastic cells appear “epitheloid.” Brisk mitotic activity, foci of necrosis, and microvascular proliferation are seen. (C) Ki-67 staining highlights the brisk proliferative activity. (D) Fluorescent in situ hybridization for probes against the EGFR gene (red) and chromosome 7 centromere (green) demonstrate EGFR amplification.
therapy and chemotherapy with temozolomide and dexamethasone was initiated.

**TOPIC REVIEW: Dr. Alshamrani**

One of the rare variants of demyelinating disease is TDLs. There is no clear definition of TDL; however, an acceptable definition is a demyelinating lesion that is greater than 2 cm in diameter that may or may not have perilesional edema, mass effect, and/or ring enhancement. TDL has a peculiar presentation in comparison to classic MS. TDL often manifests with signs and symptoms of space occupying lesion with new onset cortical signs, such as seizures that can occur in 6% of cases. The clinical presentation of glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) may also mimic TDL. However, our patients’ age at presentation is more in keeping with neoplasm than TDL and MS, and makes ADEM — as the main reason for referral in this case — unlikely clinically and radiologically based on the diagnostic criteria as it usually produces a widespread central nervous system disturbance, often with impaired consciousness and/or encephalopathy, and it is one of the required clinical features for a diagnosis of ADEM. Also, lesion characteristics on MRI for ADEM are large multifocal lesions in the supratentorial or infratentorial white matter. Rarely, brain MRI shows a single lesion like our patient MRI here. Furthermore, she complained of worsening motor symptoms and later on had an episode of seizure, indicating progression and cortical involvement, as noted by Dr. Zochodne.

Early dramatic response to corticosteroids is often seen, although temporary, in GBM and other neoplastic lesions such as CNS lymphoma secondary to perilesional edema improvement. In our case, the response was unusual in that an improvement was not seen in the FLAIR hyperintense (edema) component of the lesion but rather in the enhancing component.

Neuroimaging plays a critical role in differentiating TDL from other primary brain tumors like GBM. It is reported that TDLs have a predilection toward the frontal and parietal lobes. TDLs classically are well-circumscribed lesions that are supratentorial and often fail to fulfill Barkhof MS criteria. Two studies reported better diagnostic performance when combining conventional MR imaging and advanced MR imaging. There was an attempt done to classify TDLs based on the MRI findings of TDLs were recorded as follows: (1) an open ring or incomplete ring enhancement, (2) a closed ring or complete rim enhancement, (3) a T2 hypointense rim, (4) an absent or mild mass effect, and (5) absent or mild perilesional edema. Among those MR imaging characteristics, one of the highly specific MR imaging features according to a meta-analysis and systemic review by Suh et al. was an open ring or incomplete ring enhancement that favored TDL over primary brain tumor like GBM. TDL overall has less cerebral blood volume compared to brain neoplasms. Another striking feature to distinguish TDLs from GBM is the regions of hypo-attenuation on non-contrast CT which were previously enhanced on MR imaging seen in TDLs while calcification or hemorrhage seen more with GBM. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) showed peripheral diffusion restriction in TDLs and higher diffusion coefficient compared to GBM.

Finally, presence of OCBs in the CSF could provide an additional reassurance in favor of TDLs rather than GBM which absent in our case and lead to performing biopsy.

Our patient worsened shortly after her improvement with corticosteroids and without close observation she might have continued to be labeled with the diagnosis of TDL and missed the opportunity for further definitive workup. In order to avoid misdiagnoses of TDLs with other vanishing tumors, a suggested approach would be close monitoring of patients following corticosteroid therapy. Fortunately, upon further investigations, a conclusive diagnosis of GBM was reached, although it is an unfortunate diagnosis.

**CONCLUSION**

This case illustrates the challenges in the diagnosis of TDLs and brain neoplasms, as well as the need to ensure that soft signs (such as a previous history of facial palsy) do not bias the potential diagnostic process. It raises the importance of re-addressing a potential diagnosis if there are red flags, if a diagnosis of MS would be unusual, such as in older patients, if there is no previous history of MS, if there is inconclusive or suspicious imaging, and if CSF is negative for OCB. Pathological diagnosis is essential in such situations.
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