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Abstract. We correct an error in the statement in a proposition and a theorem in Jiang-Su absorption for inclusions of unital $C^{*}$-algebras. Canad. J. Math. 70(2018), 400-425. This error was found by Dr. M. Ali Asadi-Vasfi and communicated to the authors by N. Christopher Phillips of the University of Oregon, who also suggested the outline for the following correct proofs.
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The proposition in question reads as follows. We put one extra condition, namely that $b$ is purely positive; that is, 0 is not an isolated point in $\sigma(b)$ by [5, Lemma 3.2].

Proposition 6.2 ([4]) Let $P \subset A$ be an inclusion of unital $C^{*}$-algebras with indexfinite type. Suppose that $A$ is simple and $E: A \rightarrow P$ has the tracial Rokhlin property. If two positive elements $a, b \in P$ satisfy $a \leq b$ in $A$ with $b$ being purely positive, then $a \leq b$ in $P$.

Proof Recall that $f_{\epsilon}(t)=\max \{t-\epsilon, 0\}$ and that $(a-\epsilon)_{+}$is equal to $f_{\epsilon}(a)$. Then we have only to show that $(a-\epsilon)_{+} \leq b$ for every $\epsilon>0$.

Since $a \leq b$ in $A$, there exists $\delta>0$ and $r \in A$ such that $(a-\epsilon)_{+}=r^{*}(b-\delta)_{+} r \sim$ $(b-\delta)_{+}^{1 / 2} r r^{*}(b-\delta)_{+}^{1 / 2}=b_{0}$. Note that $b_{0} \in \overline{b A b}$.

Since $\sigma(b) \cap(0, \delta) \neq \varnothing$, we can take a nonzero element $c \in \overline{(b P b)}_{+}$such that $(b-\delta)_{+} \perp c$. Take a Rokhlin proposition $e \in A^{\prime} \cap A^{\infty}$ for $E$. Then there is a projection $g \in P^{\prime} \cap P^{\infty}$ such that $1-g \leq e c$ in $A^{\infty}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a-\epsilon)_{+}(1-g) & =(1-g)(a-\epsilon)_{+}(1-g) \\
& \leq(1-g) \leq e c
\end{aligned}
$$

in $A^{\infty}$. Note that since $c \in P$, we have $e c=c e$. By [4, Lemma 6.1], $(a-\epsilon)_{+}(1-g) \leq c$ in $P^{\infty}$.
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Then we have in $P^{\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a-\varepsilon)_{+} & =(a-\varepsilon)_{+} g+(a-\varepsilon)_{+}(1-g) & & \\
& =\beta\left((a-\varepsilon)_{+}\right)+(a-\varepsilon)_{+}(1-g) & & \\
& \leq \beta\left(b_{0}\right)+(a-\varepsilon)_{+}(1-g) & & ([2, \text { Proposition 1.1])} \\
& \leq \beta\left(b_{0}\right)+c \in \overline{b P^{\infty} b}, & & ([2, \text { Proposition 1.1] })
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta: A \rightarrow g P^{\infty} g$ is defined as in [4, Lemma 4.9]. Hence, $(a-\varepsilon)_{+} \leq b$ in $P^{\infty}$.
Therefore, we have $a \leq b$ in $P^{\infty}$ by [7, Proposition 2.4 (iv)], and $a \leq b$ in $P$.
We note that Corollary 6.3 is wrong in general as pointed out by N. Christopher Phillips. (See [1, Example 4.7].)

Using Proposition 6.2, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 7.1 ([4]) Let $P \subset A$ be an inclusion of unital $C^{*}$-algebras with index-finite type. Suppose that A is simple, stably finite, and exact, which is not type I, and A has strict comparison and $E: A \rightarrow P$ has the tracial Rokhlin property. Then $P$ has strict comparison.

Proof Since $E: A \rightarrow P$ is of index-finite type and $A$ is simple and exact, $P$ is exact and simple by [4, Proposition 4.7(i)]. Note that the strict comparison property is equivalent to the the strict comparison property given by traces; i.e., for all $x, y \in W(A)$, one has that $x \leq y$ if $d_{\tau}(x)<d_{\tau}(y)$ for all tracial states $\tau$ on $A$ (see [9, Remark 6.2] and [8, Corollary 4.6]).

Since $E \otimes \mathrm{id}: A \otimes M_{n} \rightarrow P \otimes M_{n}$ is of index-finite type and has the tracial Rokhlin property, it suffices to verify the condition that whenever $a, b \in P$ are positive elements such that $d_{\tau}(a)<d_{\tau}(b)$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(P)$, then $a \leq b$.

Let $a, b \in P$ be positive elements such that $d_{\tau}(a)<d_{\tau}(b)$ for all $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(P)$. Then for any tracial state $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A)$ the restriction $\tau_{\mid P}$ belongs to $\mathrm{T}(P)$. Hence, we have $d_{\tau}(a)<d_{\tau}(b)$ for all tracial states $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A)$. Since $A$ has strict comparison, $a \leq b$ in $A$. Then we can split into two cases in which $b$ is purely positive or not.

When $b$ is purely positive, by Proposition $6.2, a \leq b$ in $P$. When $b$ is not purely positive, we can assume that $b$ is a nonzero projection in $P$.

We consider two cases for $\langle a\rangle$; that is, Case $1:\langle a\rangle$ is the class of a projection; Case 2: $\langle a\rangle$ is not the class of a projection.

Case 1: We can assume that $a$ is a projection. Since the function $\mathrm{T}(A) \ni \tau \rightarrow d_{\tau}(b)-$ $d_{\tau}(a)=\tau(b)-\tau(a)$ is continuous, positive, and $\mathrm{T}(A)$ is compact, it follows that $\rho=\inf _{\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A)}\left(d_{\tau}(b)-d_{\tau}(a)\right)>0$. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n}<\rho$. Use [5, Lemma 3.6] to find $c, d \in(b P b \otimes \mathbb{K})_{+} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\langle c\rangle \leq\langle b\rangle \leq\langle c\rangle+\langle d\rangle$, and $n\langle d\rangle \leq\langle 1\rangle$ in $\mathrm{Cu}(P)$, where $c$ is purely positive and $\mathrm{Cu}(P)=(P \otimes \mathbb{K}) / \sim$, the Cuntz semigroup of $P$. Since for $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A) d_{\tau}(\langle d\rangle) \leq \frac{1}{n}\left\langle\rho, d_{\tau}(\langle c\rangle)>d_{\tau}(\langle b\rangle)-\rho>d_{\tau}(\langle a\rangle)\right.$. Since $A$ has strictly comparison, $a \leq c$ in $A$. Since $c$ is purely positive, $a \leq c$ in $P$. Therefore, since $\langle c\rangle \leq\langle b\rangle$ in $\mathrm{Cu}(P), a \leq b$ in $P$.

Case 2: Since $\langle a\rangle$ is not the class of a projection, $a$ is purely positive by [5, Lemma 5]. For any $\epsilon>0$ take a continuous function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $f(\lambda)>0$
for $\lambda \in(0, \epsilon)$ and $f(\lambda)=0$ for $\lambda \in\{0\} \cup[\epsilon, \infty)$. Then, $f(a) \neq 0$. Therefore, $\rho=\inf \left\{d_{\tau}(f(a)): \tau \in \mathrm{T}(A)\right\}$ satisfies $\rho>0$.

Claim that for any $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A), d_{\tau}\left((a-\epsilon)_{+}\right)+\rho<d_{\tau}(b)$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\tau}\left((a-\epsilon)_{+}\right)+\rho & \leq d_{\tau}\left((a-\epsilon)_{+}\right)+d_{\tau}(f(a)) \\
& =d_{\tau}\left((a-\epsilon)_{+}+f(a)\right) \leq d_{\tau}(a)<d_{\tau}(b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in Case 1, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{n}<\rho$. Use [5, Lemma 3.6] to find $c$, $d \in(b P b \otimes \mathbb{K})_{+} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\langle c\rangle \leq\langle b\rangle \leq\langle c\rangle+\langle d\rangle$, and $n\langle d\rangle \leq\langle 1\rangle$ in $\mathrm{Cu}(P)$, where $c$ is purely positive and $\mathrm{Cu}(P)=(P \otimes \mathbb{K}) / \sim$, the Cuntz semigroup of $P$. Since for $\tau \in \mathrm{T}(A) d_{\tau}(\langle d\rangle) \leq \frac{1}{n}\left\langle\rho, d_{\tau}(\langle c\rangle)>d_{\tau}(\langle b\rangle)-\rho>d_{\tau}\left(\left\langle(a-\epsilon)_{+}\right\rangle\right)\right.$. Since $A$ has strictly comparison, $(a-\epsilon)_{+} \leq c$ in $A$. Since $c$ is purely positive, $(a-\epsilon)_{+} \leq c$ in $P$.

Therefore, since $\langle c\rangle \leq\langle b\rangle$ in $\mathrm{Cu}(P),(a-\epsilon)_{+} \leq b$ in $P$. Moreover, $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, so we conclude that $a \leq b$ in $P$.
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