It is easier to demonstrate the consistent effects of foods on satiety than on cognitive performance. This is understandable since the satiety system incorporates physiological signalling systems that mediate the effects of foods on function. Specific manipulations of proteins, carbohydrates and fats have the potential to act as functional foods for appetite control. Because of the importance of the optimal functioning of cognitions for survival, these functions are quite strongly protected against short-term dietary and physiological perturbances. Therefore, food manipulations may be better detected through the degree of effort exerted to maintain performance rather than via changes in the actual performance itself. This procedure has not been widely used hitherto. The concept of biomarkers may have to be interpreted differently from research on physiological systems or clinical endpoints. For satiety, adjustments in the profile of hunger could serve as a biomarker or surrogate endpoint. For cognitions, correlated physiological variables may be more difficult to measure than the functional endpoint itself. Changes related to unitary functions (such as tracking) could serve as biomarkers for more complex, integrated skills (such as car driving). Since food manipulations may affect multiple functions, the challenge is to design foods with good satiety control that do not impair mental performance; or alternatively to engineer foods that optimise cognitive performance without compromising satiety. This rapidly developing field has great potential for close collaboration between academia and industry in the production of commercially successful products that show clear improvements in human functioning with the capacity to protect against disease or impairment.

Satiety: Biomarker: Cognitive performance: Functional foods

Introduction

In the First International Conference on East–West Perspectives on Functional Foods, the major emphasis was on physiological and metabolic functions. Although much of this material was relevant for well-being and quality of life, there was almost no mention of the direct effects of foods on psychological functions involving the expression of behaviour (such as food intake or appetite) or the articulation of mental state (mood) or mental abilities (cognitive performance).

In the intervening period the world-wide epidemic of obesity has been recognised, thus bringing appetite control to the forefront of functions susceptible to modulation by foods and food ingredients. Consequently, functional foods for the control of appetite (and ultimately body weight) now constitute a major health goal and research objective. In addition, the principle that foods can reliably affect cognitive performance is receiving validation and experimental support. This domain of research is still in a relative state of infancy but also rapid development.

In these areas of research it is not clear that the concept of biomarkers has the same meaning as in other domains which relate physiological functions to some health endpoint or disease protection. However, it is possible to suggest ways in which biomarkers for complex psychological and behavioural functions could be identified.

This interim report has evolved from the International Life Science Institute’s Functional Food Science in Europe (FUFOSE) Project and is designed to provide a perspective on the field and to indicate possibilities for future developments. The FUFOSE programme concentrated on the behavioural functions of appetite control, cognitive performance and mood, and this approach has been followed here.

Functional foods for satiety

The control of appetite

The ultimate objective of research in this area is to develop
foods that will protect individuals from weight gain or bring about a weight loss. The first of these objectives will be the most readily attainable. This means that foods must prevent individuals from reaching a state of positive energy balance — this means ensuring that energy intake does not exceed energy expenditure. Although it is more readily recognised that foods should have the potential to control energy intake, it should be kept in mind that foods can also enhance energy expenditure either through metabolic activity (e.g. thermogenesis) or by increasing physical activity. It is important to recognise that behaviour accounts for 100% of energy intake and between 20 and 60% of energy expenditure. Therefore the direct effect of foods on behaviour is critical.

Considering the reduction of energy uptake, adjusting the composition of the diet is a valid proposition. The use of specific macronutrient manipulations can help to suppress hunger and reduce the amount of food eaten. Alternatively, specific materials can be incorporated into food (such as yoghurts) so as to produce an intense action on satiety-signalling systems. This strategy involves the development of functional foods (nutraceuticals) for appetite control. There is a huge opportunity for foods in this sector of the food market.

Appetite control and the satiety cascade

The biological drive to eat is linked to the satiating power of food. Satiating power, or satiating efficiency, describes the capacity of a food to suppress and to inhibit further eating. Food causes this effect by certain mediating processes that can be roughly classified as sensory, cognitive, post-ingestive (pre-absorptive) and post-absorptive. These processes are operated by the impact of food on physiological and biochemical mechanisms, and collectively these processes have been referred to as the satiety cascade. The way in which food is sensed and processed by the biological system generates neural and hormonal signals, which are used to control appetite. It follows that any self-imposed or externally applied reduction in the food supply, creating a caloric deficit, will weaken the satiating power of food. One consequence of this will be the failure of food to suppress hunger adequately (the biological drive). The satiety cascade appears to operate as efficiently in obese people as in lean individuals — a normal appetite response to reduced calorie intake is evident in obese subjects.

Technically, satiety can be defined as inhibition of hunger and eating that arises as a consequence of food consumption. It can be distinguished from satiation, which is the process that brings a period of eating to a halt. Consequently, satiation and satiety act together to determine the pattern of eating behaviour and the accompanying profile of motivation. The conscious sensation of hunger is one index of motivation and reflects the strength of satiation and satiety. It is worth remembering that hunger is a biologically useful sensation. It is a nagging, irritating feeling that prompts thoughts of food and reminds us that the body needs energy. The identification and management of hunger are important factors underlying normal appetite function and abnormalities of appetite and body weight.

Physiological satiety signals

A key feature in understanding the effect of foods on satiety is the recognition of physiological satiety signals. Even before food touches the mouth, physiological signals are generated by the sight and smell of food. These events constitute the cephalic phase of appetite. Cephalic phase responses are generated in many parts of the gastrointestinal tract; their function is to anticipate the ingestation of food. During and immediately after eating, afferent information provides the major control over appetite. Afferent information from food in the mouth provides primarily positive feedback for eating while that from the stomach and small intestine provides primarily negative feedback. Initially, the brain is informed about the amount of food ingested and its nutrient content via afferent input. The gastrointestinal tract is equipped with specialised chemoreceptors that monitor physiological activity and pass information to the brain, mainly via the vagus nerve. This afferent information constitutes one class of satiety signals and forms part of the post-ingestive control of appetite. A post-absorptive phase is also usually present in response to digested nutrients crossing the intestinal wall to enter the circulation. These products, which accurately reflect the food consumed, may be metabolised in the peripheral tissues or organs or may enter the brain directly via the circulation. In either case, these products constitute a further class of metabolic satiety signals. It has been argued that the degree of oxidative metabolism of glucose and free fatty acids in the liver constitutes a significant source of information for the control of appetite. Additionally, products of digestion and agents responsible for their metabolism may reach the brain and bind to specific chemoreceptors that influence the brain's satiety regulation. This mechanism is a common property of all nutrients and is the basis of the satiety cascade.

Macronutrients and satiating power

A vulnerable point within the psychobiological system is the interaction between the nature of the diet and the body's biological responses to food. The concept of the satiety cascade implies that foods of varying nutritional composition will engage differently with the mediating processes and will, therefore, exert differing effects on satiation and satiety. Dietary variables could override the satiation response and modify appetite control by a strong attractiveness mediated by sensory mechanisms, by nutrient composition or by an interaction between sensory and nutritional components. Considering protein consumption, current surveys suggest that most populations consume between 13 and 15% daily energy as protein and dietary recommendations suggest the intake of 0.8–1.0 g of protein for every kg of body weight. However, analysis of anthropological evidence suggests the possibility that our hunter-gatherer ancestors may have consumed diets containing 19–35% protein (Cordain et al. 2000). However, this view is not universally accepted (Milton, 2000).

Do the macronutrients protein, carbohydrate and fat contribute equally to satiating power? The answer is not
obvious. One reason is that these macronutrients are not of equal caloric density, and therefore comparisons among them should be made on the basis of their individual caloric contributions rather than upon weight. Investigations of the action of macronutrients can be made by presenting preloads in which one macronutrient is held constant and the other two are varied systematically. For example, when fat is held constant and protein and carbohydrate adjusted, it is generally found that protein provides greater satiating power than carbohydrate. Therefore, high-protein meals would be expected to give rise to intense and prolonged satiety.

**Protein and satiety**

Evidence for a specific effect of protein on satiety goes back to the ‘aminostatic’ concept of appetite control (Mellinkoff et al. 1956). Early studies examined the effects of breakfast nutritional composition and found that high-protein breakfasts were followed by a consistent and prolonged ‘sense of well-being’, and that daily energy intake computed from diaries was inversely related to the protein content of breakfast. Unfortunately though, the outcomes of both studies were compromised by methodological shortfalls, such as not controlling for the energy content or bulk of the breakfast meals. Similar tantalising but problematic findings were reported for the effect of protein on subjective hunger. Fryer et al. (1955) noted that a high-protein weight-loss diet was associated with the least reports of hunger, and Mellinkoff et al. (1956) found an inverse correlational relationship between hunger and serum amino acid levels.

Later studies enabled firmer conclusions to be drawn regarding the satiating power of protein. Booth et al. (1970) evaluated the effects of equicaloric high- and low-protein composite meals on the intake of a nutritionally intermediate cornflower pudding three hours later. Although the palatability of the food offered must be questioned, the authors nevertheless described a 26% reduction in voluntary intake after the high-protein meal. Using a completely different methodology, Butler et al. (1981) administered a small preload of an 8g mixture of four amino acids or placebo (134kJ) half an hour before offering a cooked midday meal. The amino acid preload led to a 10% reduction in energy intake from this meal compared with placebo.

Other studies have described the effects of high protein loads or meals on carefully measured scales of subjective motivation to eat. Spring et al. (1983) found that subjects reported feeling significantly more full after eating 227g of turkey breast (high in protein) than after an equicaloric amount of high-carbohydrate sherbet. Likewise, Hill & Blundell (1986) found that eating a high-protein lunchtime meal led subjects to rate themselves as having less desire to eat and as feeling more full than after an equicaloric and equivolume high-carbohydrate meal. A similar action of protein was also seen in obese subjects (Hill & Blundell, 1986). Consequently, there is a good deal of evidence that high-protein foods can exert a potent modulation of human appetite. However, comparisons between different types of protein, such as egg albumin, casein, gelatin, soya protein, pea protein and wheat gluten, have failed to display differences arising from a manipulated meal (Lang et al. 1998).

**Proteins and satiety signalling**

Considering the operations of the satiety cascade, proteins in food could exert an action on satiety via the pre-absorptive or post-absorptive mechanism. In fact there is evidence for an action via both routes.

In general, proteins could intervene in the satiety cascade by triggering, or maintaining, the release of the satiety hormone cholecystokinin. There is good evidence in man that cholecystokinin intensifies normal satiety (Greenough et al. 1998) and in animals the satiety effect of protein has been shown to be mediated via cholecystokinin-A type receptors (Trigazis et al. 1997).

It is clear that intact protein can have a potent satiety-triggering effect, but in addition specific amino acids or peptides could also exert a noticeable action. Individual amino acids such as phenylalanine or tryptophan (Hill & Blundell, 1988) have been shown to increase satiety and also adjust food preferences and selection. More recently, the dipeptide phenylalanine–aspartic acid, when delivered in capsule form before a meal, significantly reduced food consumption (Rogers & Blundell, 1989). Interestingly, this effect was most prominent when the dipeptide was administered an hour before the meal (Rogers et al. 1995).

There seems to be a clear potential for other functional peptides such as caseinomacropetides to exert a satiety-enhancing effect. There is some evidence that caseinomacropetide can release cholecystokinin and may modulate the release of other gastrointestinal hormones such as glucagon–like peptide-1, which is known to be involved in satiety (Blundell & Naslund, 1999).

Proteins and peptides may also exert effects via a post-absorptive route. Important here is the concept of a hierarchy of satiety power among the macronutrients (Stubbs, 1996). This satiating concept depends upon the limited store of protein in the body (lean body mass) and the tight coupling between protein intake and oxidation. According to this formulation the hierarchy of satiating power is protein > carbohydrate > fats.

In addition, there is evidence for a strong effect of protein on diet-induced thermogenesis. A comparison between two diets demonstrated that the diet containing 29% protein produced a greater effect on 24 h diet-induced thermogenesis than a 9% protein diet (Westterterp-Plantenga et al. 1999).

Another post-absorptive mechanism depends upon the role of amino acids as precursors of brain neurotransmitters — for example, tryptophan for serotonin and phenylalanine and tyrosine for dopamine and noradrenaline. The capacity of these neurotransmitters to modulate the expression of appetite through brain systems can be influenced by the ratio of amino acids (e.g. tryptophan to large neutral amino acids) in the plasma.

These mechanisms indicate how protein exerts effects on satiety either as an entire food, a food component or as an isolated entity. Potent effects have been demonstrated for intact protein (Blundell & Hill, 1986; Hill & Blundell, 1990), dipeptides (Rogers et al. 1990, 1991) and single...
Carbohydrates and satiety

There are strong logical reasons why glucose should be one of the most important nutrients to be monitored. As Carlson (1991) has pointed out: ‘because the brain controls eating, it seems reasonable that hunger might be triggered by a decrease in the brain’s primary fuel’. The idea that the metabolism of glucose in the body is related to the existence of hunger and eating is represented in the glucostatic hypothesis formulated by Mayer (1953, 1955). It was postulated that the short-term articulation of energy intake with energy needs is under glucostatic control (Van Itallie, 1973). After the consumption of a carbohydrate meal initiation (Campfield & Smith, 1986) and the observation that increases in energy intake follow injections of 2-deoxy-D-glucose (Thompson & Campbell, 1977). Conversely, glucose infusions (particularly into the hepatic portal system) produce an inhibition of the tendency to eat (Novin et al. 1973). After the consumption of a carbohydrate food, the glucose produced could be monitored early by glucose-detecting interoreceptors in the upper gastrointestinal tract linked to visceral afferent fibres in the vagus nerve (Mei, 1985). In addition, Oomura (1988) has described glucose-sensitive neurons (which decrease their activity in response to applied glucose) and glucose-receptor neurons (which are activated) in different parts of the brain, which presumably provide an interface between the presence of available glucose and activity in amnergic or peptidergic neural pathways (Blundell, 1991). Apart from these direct links between the detection of glucose and neural transmission, an indirect mechanism has been postulated through which carbohydrate in the diet could be related to neurochemical activity. This mechanism relates the proportion of carbohydrate to protein in the diet to the ratio of tryptophan to other large neutral amino acids in plasma, which determines the uptake of tryptophan into the brain (Wurtman, 1982). In turn, it is postulated that this leads to an activation of serotoninergic neurons, which are functionally coupled to eating behaviour and food selection. The evidence for all stages in this biobehavioural loop is equivocal (Blundell & Hill, 1987), but the ingestion of pure carbohydrate certainly alters the ratios of plasma amino acids (Teff et al. 1989). The mediator of this alteration is the glucose-induced release of insulin.

It follows that many mechanisms exist to monitor the activity of glucose released by ingested carbohydrates. Therefore, it should be possible to demonstrate a relationship between consumed carbohydrates and a modulation of the expression of appetite. Although sweet carbohydrates induce some positive feedback for eating through the induction of oral afferent stimulation by sweet receptors, this should be countered by the potent inhibitory action via post-ingestive and post-absorptive mechanisms (see below for effects of carbohydrates on cognitive performance and mood).

Carbohydrates and appetite: experimental evidence

On the basis of studies on rats, it was argued some years ago that: ‘if the cumulative inhibitory effects of carbohydrate on feeding are indeed energostatic then any substance that can readily be used by the animal to provide energy should produce an appropriate food intake compensation over a period of several hours after loading’ (Booth, 1972). Studies have shown that this is also the case for man. A variety of carbohydrates, including glucose, fructose, sucrose, maltodextrin and polysaccharides, exert measurable effects when given in a preload or an experimental meal. That is, they suppress later intake by an amount roughly equivalent to their energy value, although the time course of the suppressive action may vary according to the rate at which the carbohydrates are metabolised.

The preload paradigm is a sensitive procedure for disclosing the effects of glucose and maltodextrin (glucose polymer) on appetite when these carbohydrates are delivered in a natural food product. For example, in a study using the uncoupling design (Blundell et al. 1988), the actions of sweetness and energy content of a yoghurt preload were separated experimentally by using judicious combinations of glucose, maltodextrins, high-intensity sweeteners and the yoghurt base (Rogers & Blundell, 1989). After consumption, feelings of hunger were suppressed significantly by the high-energy preloads (glucose and maltodextrins) to a greater extent than after the lower-energy loads. Reduction of energy intake in the test meal was proportional to the energy difference (678 kJ) between the preloads. The accuracy of this adjustment confirms the frequent, though not universal, finding that human subjects adjust their voluntary intake in response to covert manipulations of the carbohydrate content of a food. In a more recent study, a comparison was made between preloads containing maltodextrin or sucrose compared with lower-energy loads with similar taste characteristics. At one hour after consumption, both high-energy preloads had suppressed intake compared with their low-energy counterparts.

Taken together, these and other studies demonstrate that the preload design is a sensitive procedure for assessing the satiating power of carbohydrates. It appears that accurate adjustment of subsequent energy intake (often called energy compensation) is evident for glucose and amino acids (Hill & Blundell, 1988). This evidence indicates the potential for proteins to influence the intensity of satiety and to exert control over the pattern of food consumption.

Carbohydrates and appetite: experimental evidence

On the basis of studies on rats, it was argued some years ago that: ‘if the cumulative inhibitory effects of carbohydrate on feeding are indeed energostatic then any substance that can readily be used by the animal to provide energy should produce an appropriate food intake compensation over a period of several hours after loading’ (Booth, 1972). Studies have shown that this is also the case for man. A variety of carbohydrates, including glucose, fructose, sucrose, maltodextrin and polysaccharides, exert measurable effects when given in a preload or an experimental meal. That is, they suppress later intake by an amount roughly equivalent to their energy value, although the time course of the suppressive action may vary according to the rate at which the carbohydrates are metabolised.

The preload paradigm is a sensitive procedure for disclosing the effects of glucose and maltodextrin (glucose polymer) on appetite when these carbohydrates are delivered in a natural food product. For example, in a study using the uncoupling design (Blundell et al. 1988), the actions of sweetness and energy content of a yoghurt preload were separated experimentally by using judicious combinations of glucose, maltodextrins, high-intensity sweeteners and the yoghurt base (Rogers & Blundell, 1989). After consumption, feelings of hunger were suppressed significantly by the high-energy preloads (glucose and maltodextrins) to a greater extent than after the lower-energy loads. Reduction of energy intake in the test meal was proportional to the energy difference (678 kJ) between the preloads. The accuracy of this adjustment confirms the frequent, though not universal, finding that human subjects adjust their voluntary intake in response to covert manipulations of the carbohydrate content of a food. In a more recent study, a comparison was made between preloads containing maltodextrin or sucrose compared with lower-energy loads with similar taste characteristics. At one hour after consumption, both high-energy preloads had suppressed intake compared with their low-energy counterparts.

Taken together, these and other studies demonstrate that the preload design is a sensitive procedure for assessing the satiating power of carbohydrates. It appears that accurate adjustment of subsequent energy intake (often called energy compensation) is evident for glucose and amino acids (Hill & Blundell, 1988). This evidence indicates the potential for proteins to influence the intensity of satiety and to exert control over the pattern of food consumption.
maltodextrin. Measurement at one hour seems to be just about perfect for detecting the effects of these carbohydrates on satiety. However, this interval may not be appropriate for other carbohydrates (or for other macronutrients).

Carbohydrate and the satiety index

Over twenty years ago it was suggested that: ‘it could be of great value to have tables showing the energy–satiety ratio of all the common foods to indicate their potential for causing over nutrition’ (Heaton, 1981). Subsequently, the term ‘satiating efficacy’ was proposed ‘as an index to compare the satiating potency of different foods’ (Kissileff, 1984). A further measure explored by Holt et al. (1995, 1999) relates the satiety response following a food to the satiety response following a reference food (white bread). Isoenergetic preloads (1000 kJ) of thirty-eight foods were consumed, following which subjective ratings of motivation to eat were completed over two hours. Significant differences in the satiating effects of the foods were seen, indicating that different foods differ in their satiating capacities. This novel approach quantifies the effects of a food on satiety and was based on the idea of developing a satiety index analogous to the glycaemic index. These studies showed that high-carbohydrate foods had a high satiety index measured by the satiety area under curve (AUC). The satiety index was positively correlated with the carbohydrate content of the foods tested.

A further measure has been termed the ‘satiety quotient’, which permits a measure of the effect of a food on satiation (within an eating episode) and satiety (the effect following eating). This allows determination of the degree of suppression of hunger that can be brought about per unit of food energy consumed (Green et al. 1997) and permits the tracking of changes over time. Using data from a variety of foods freely consumed, it was demonstrated that hunger was reduced more per kJ of carbohydrate food consumed than of high-fat food — but the strength of this varied with time. This finding confirms the proposal that, ‘joule for joule’, carbohydrate is more satiating than fat (Rolls et al. 1994).

Evidence from nutritional interventions

The results from short-term studies show clearly that carbohydrates exert a marked influence over the expression of appetite. Real-life intervention studies have demonstrated that this degree of appetite control has practical consequences. In one study, people identified as snackers were encouraged to eat 25 % of their daily energy intake from high-carbohydrate or high-fat snacks during separate three-week periods. The subjects incorporated the snack foods into their normal eating repertoires and maintained a normal life-style. The study was monitored very carefully to ensure compliance to the experimental requirements and to assess food intake from the snack foods and from the rest of the eating pattern. Measures of total energy intake indicated that food consumption was physiologically valid and quite in keeping with body size and the level of physical activity. First, generous consumption of these palatable high-carbohydrate foods did not generate abnormally high energy intakes; indeed daily energy intake was an average of 364 kJ less than with the high-fat snacks — a value that could lead to a weight loss of approximately 3.5 kg over the course of a year. Second, the high-carbohydrate foods significantly lowered the total daily fat intake down to a level recommended in the National Dietary Guidelines (Lawton et al. 1998). Consequently this high-carbohydrate intervention, which was easily achieved, exerted a notable control over appetite and the pattern of nutrient intake.

In a series of studies carried out in Scotland, subjects were required to increase their consumption of high-carbohydrate breakfast cereals by 60 g/d for twelve weeks (Kirk et al. 1997). This intervention resulted in a 5.4 % reduction in energy from fat and a 5.1 % increase in energy from starch. A second study with overweight men (body mass index 29.4 kg/m²), who were required to increase their intake of high-carbohydrate breakfast cereal by 90 g/d (approximately three bowls), led to an increase in carbohydrate consumption from 40 to 47 % of total energy (Crombie & Kirk, 1999). The positive changes in carbohydrate intake were accompanied by small weight losses.

Taken together, these studies show that a high intake of carbohydrate foods can lower fat intake and does not compromise energy balance.

Fat and appetite control

The effect of dietary fat on appetite and energy balance has been extensively researched and reviewed in the last ten years (Blundell et al. 1996). Owing to the high palatability and energy density of fatty foods, much of the evidence indicates the potential of high-fat products to cause overconsumption (Blundell & Macdiarmid, 1997). However, there exists the ‘fat paradox’ which arises from the conflict between the capacity of fat to generate potent fat-induced satiety signals and the presence of high-fat hyperphagia (Blundell et al. 1995).

Because of the potency of fatty foods to stimulate a positive energy balance (partly through the process of passive consumption), it is important to develop procedures to mitigate this action. Three possibilities will be discussed here: (1) fat substitutes or fat mimetics, (2) specific types of fatty acids or triacylglycerols, and (3) modification of fats through processes such as fractionation.

Fat substitutes: evidence from Olestra

Olestra is the name of one of a class of compounds called sucrose polyesters. Olestra has the organoleptic properties of natural fat but it is not hydrolysed by lipases. Therefore, following consumption, it cannot produce metabolisable energy and can be regarded as possessing no calories. However, Olestra can be used in cooking and baking in similar ways to natural fat and it can therefore be incorporated into a wide range of foods. As a substitute for fat it therefore effectively reduces the fat content of foods and the fat energy absorbed by the body. Undigested Olestra passes straight through the gastrointestinal tract. The key issue is whether or not the consumption of Olestra-containing foods — with their reduced fat and energy contents —
will lead to subsequent compensation, i.e. a later increase in the intake of fat and/or energy.

In short-term studies, one initial experiment in healthy, lean, young adult males found that the substitution of 20 or 36 g of fat by Olestra at a breakfast meal (Blundell et al. 1991; Rolls et al. 1994) led to full compensation during the course of the rest of the day. However, this study is atypical (probably because of the highly selected, well regulating subjects), and most studies have shown that the replacement of dietary fat with Olestra during one day does not cause full compensation during the next twenty-four hours. The replacement of 55 g of fat by Olestra at either lunch or dinner (Cotton et al. 1996) did not alter hunger or food intake during the test day or the following day. In a similar study, in which 55 g of Olestra was substituted in either three meals or five snacks across the course of one day, no effect was detected on hunger or food consumption. Therefore these studies indicate that Olestra can lead to a short-term reduction in the consumption of fat and energy.

Similar results have been obtained in longer-term studies. For example, when lean and obese subjects substituted an average of 26 g of fat per day for two weeks (Hill et al. 1998), total energy intake was reduced by 8 % and fat intake by 11 %. This meant that subjects compensated for only 20 % of the substituted energy.

However, the degree of compensation does appear to vary with the absolute level to which fat is reduced. When 55 g of Olestra reduced the percentage energy from fat in the diet from 32 to 20 %, a compensation of 67 % was observed (Cotton et al. 1996). However, only 21 % compensation was seen with a reduction of fat energy from 43 to 32 %. Taken together, several studies suggest that a reduction of fat energy down to generally recommended values (30–32 % of food energy per day) will not generate a strong hunger drive or tendency towards compensation. Interestingly, it does not seem to matter whether or not subjects have knowledge about the presence of a fat substitute in the foods being consumed (De Graaf et al. 1996; Miller et al. 1998). Importantly, in one long-term trial lasting for nine months, an Olestra-containing diet (reducing fat from 32 to 25 % of energy) led to a reduction of 6·3 kg in body weight. These outcomes suggest that this strategy of fat substitution could be a useful approach to functional foods for appetite control.

Enhancing satiety: fatty acids

It has been noted earlier that foods containing a high percentage of fat have the capacity to promote over-consumption in obese (Lawton et al. 1993; Green et al. 1994a) subjects. This effect, which occurs during the process of ‘satiation’, is almost certainly due to the high energy density of high-fat foods, but the somewhat weaker effect of fat on ‘satiety’ is probably due to the physiological action of fat in generating post-ingestive inhibitory signals. Therefore the demonstration of varying effects of fats on satiety signalling could be useful in the development of fat-containing foods that modulate satiety. The induction of physiological satiety signals may well depend, at least in part, on the composition of fatty acids in the particular fats used. Two prominent structural features of fatty acids are their chain length and degree of saturation. In principle it is possible to manipulate both of these aspects. At the present time only a few studies have investigated these effects.

In two studies in which chain length was manipulated, medium-chain triacylglycerols have been shown to have greater satiating power than long-chain triacylglycerols (Rolls et al. 1988; Stubbs & Harbron, 1995). A further study kept chain length constant and varied the degree of saturation (Lawton et al. 2000). This short-term study indicated that a meal containing largely monounsaturated fat induced a weaker satiety than polyunsaturated fat or a blend of saturated fat and monounsaturated fat. A second study produced a similar but less decisive outcome. Taken together, these and other studies suggest that monounsaturated fatty acids may exert a relatively weak effect on satiety. On the other hand, polyunsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic acid are worthy of further investigation for their relatively strong effect.

Enhancing satiety: novel treatments

It is known that fat, introduced directly into the gastrointestinal tract, can lead to an inhibition of eating that is mediated, at least in part, by the slowing of gastric emptying (Welch et al. 1985). The importance of these gastrointestinal responses means that if ingested fat (or fat-containing products) can resist digestion and reach the intestine, they may be able to induce a stimulation of satiety. One possible mechanism involved here is the so-called ‘ileal brake’ phenomenon. This concept has been invoked to explain the action of a specific treatment of fatty acids incorporated into yoghurt as an emulsion.

This emulsion, known, as Olibra, is a food ingredient containing fractionated palm oil and fractionated oat oil in the proportion 95:5. This emulsion contains more palmitic and linoleic acids, and less oleic acid, than milk fat. A yoghurt containing 5 g of the Olibra fat was given to subjects as a breakfast. In separate studies in lean (Burns et al. 2000) and obese (Burns et al. 2001) subjects, this single administration significantly reduced food intake throughout the whole day. This effect, which was accompanied by an increased sense of fullness and decreased hunger, was therefore maintained for up to eight hours. This is an extremely powerful effect. If an action of this intensity can be maintained with repeated administration, then the product could well become a functional food for appetite control. However, long-term weight-loss trials are required before any specific obesity-controlling effect can be claimed. Still, this novel manipulation suggests a potential route for developing fats with an enhanced action upon satiety.

Interim summary for satiety

Analysis of experimental studies indicates that all foods share certain common features (weight, volume, texture) which contribute to their effects on satiation and satiety. However, the nutritional composition also plays a key role and markedly modulates the intensity and duration of satiety and the strength of satiation. This means that the macronutrient composition of foods can favour consumption (and over-consumption) and also limit the amount of food willingly consumed and the motivational feelings associated with eating. The macronutrients...
protein, carbohydrate and fats differ in their mechanisms of inducing satiety and also vary in their impact on the size and frequency of eating episodes. Therefore many opportunities exist for targeted manipulations of proteins, fats and carbohydrates in the development of functional foods for appetite control.

**Biomarkers for appetite**

A biomarker can be defined as a surrogate endpoint that can be used instead of some clinical endpoint. How can this be applied to a behavioural or psychological function such as appetite control? If the ultimate objective of a functional food for appetite control is the reduction or maintenance of food intake, then a surrogate or ‘proxy’ for this could be the profile of subjective hunger that oscillates with the pattern of food intake and is usually well correlated with the amount of food consumed (when hunger fails to correlate with food eaten there are usually good reasons). Consequently, it can be proposed that subjectively perceived hunger — translated into an objective endpoint by means of the visual analogue rating scale — can be regarded as an objective biomarker for appetite control.

**Functional foods for cognitive performance**

**Measurement of cognitive processes**

In principle, a large number of mental or cognitive tasks have the potential to disclose the effects of foods. However, in practice, a limited number of tests have been used. Performance tasks reflect a number of cognitive functions; for example, perception, memory, attention and arousal, information processing, accuracy and speed of movement (Bellisle et al. 1998). These tasks represent single components of performance, which form part of more complex skills and abilities (see Table 1); for example, car-driving ability or operating machinery. Cognitive performance encompasses not only measures of speed (reaction time) but also of processing accuracy (measures of accurate and inaccurate detection). Interventions may elicit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Example of tests</th>
<th>Common components of task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reaction time (decision time and movement time)</td>
<td>Simple (SRT) or choice (CRT)</td>
<td>Stimulus appears (visual or auditory) and S must make a single response, usually by depressing a key. In CRT one of a number of stimuli may appear and S must make one of two responses (e.g. left hand, right hand) according to the type of stimulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vigilance (also known as attention), rapid information processing or continuous performance</td>
<td>Search tests, e.g.</td>
<td>Detection of stimulus items from particular categories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– categoric search</td>
<td>S must replace digits with symbols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Digit symbol substitution</td>
<td>S must attend to certain features of stimuli and ignore others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Stroop</td>
<td>A series of numbers are presented visually in rapid succession on a VDU screen. S has to respond by depressing a key when a sequence of three odd or three even digits is detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>– Bakan</td>
<td>S must detect flicker and fusion of light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual information processing</td>
<td>Critical flicker fusion threshold</td>
<td>S shown a list of stimuli at a given rate (e.g. one per second). At end of presentation S recalls the stimuli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal executive</td>
<td>Immediate recall</td>
<td>S must recognise rather than recall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working memory (short-term memory)</td>
<td>Verbal memory</td>
<td>S must discriminate or recognise patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spatial memory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Associative memory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Word recognition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pattern comparison</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate memory</td>
<td>Digit span</td>
<td>S must remember (recall) series of items in forward or reverse order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasoning</td>
<td>Arithmetic, logical, grammatical or semantic</td>
<td>S must process and indicate if stimulus (phrase, equation or expression) is true or false</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomotor performance (tracking ability)</td>
<td>Driving simulation</td>
<td>Tracking and deviation on a course are monitored continuously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pursuit rotor</td>
<td>S must trace a shape (maze) with a stylus under time pressure. Error score computed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visuo-spatial motor task</td>
<td>Simulator/driving task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tapping task</td>
<td>S must tap in rapid succession to a key</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CRT, choice reaction time; S, subject; SRT, simple reaction time; VDU, visual display unit.
changes in function in some or all of these performance components.

In choosing a task much depends on whether an immediate (short-term) effect of food is expected or whether a chronic long-term adaptation to a diet is being examined. The former and arguably more simple approach is the focus of most current research. Measures that are frequently utilised in assessing the effects of nutrients include reaction time, attention and memory. Other tests have also been used but selection is frequently based on accessibility rather than likely effect of a nutrient on a particular cognitive process. The proposed mechanism of action of a nutrient in terms of its effects on blood glucose or other neurotransmitter systems and the likely effects of changes in these neurobiological parameters on cognitive processes have not been considered. Thus a failure to detect an effect of a particular macronutrient on a particular aspect of cognitive function may be due to a true lack of effect, because the neuropsychological processes needed to perform the task are unaffected by the nutrient ingested. The effect of compensatory effort in experimental situations — ‘the Hawthorne effect’ (Roethlisberger, 1997) — is well known and can account for null results. Alternatively, the intervention may affect only a small component of the task (e.g. reaction time or decision time) such that an effect on overall performance is not detected. Recent advances in computer technology have meant that these tasks can be administered in a structured, carefully controlled fashion, with extremely accurate measurement of processing and reaction time, correct responses and error rates. Examination of the pattern of effects on sub-processes within a task or on simple unitary measures of cognition may be helpful in determining the mechanism of action or neurotransmitter systems involved or affected by the nutrient ingested.

Effects of foods on cognitive performance

Various studies have examined the effects of nutritional interventions across a range of cognitive domains. The effects of these manipulations on each of the major areas of cognitive performance are summarised below.

Reaction time. Reaction time may be measured as a response to a variety of stimuli. Visual or auditory stimuli are presented and response time is measured. Simple or choice reaction time can be employed, requiring the participant to respond selectively to stimuli which have particular features, e.g. frequency, colour, spatial position, tone, etc. Thus the reaction time task can comprise more than one cognitive process. In addition, the reaction time recorded can be broken down into movement and detection components.

Studies that examine the effects of macronutrients on reaction time are described in Table 2. The main conclusions of this body of research can be summarised as follows.

1. Effects are more clearly demonstrable in the morning (particularly breakfast studies).
2. Nutritional interventions, which facilitate a rise in blood glucose, enhance performance of reaction time tasks.
3. The findings of studies of macronutrients given in combination suggest that fat may slow reaction time; carbohydrate can impair peripheral processing and reaction time, depending on the time of day and type of carbohydrate ingested as well as ratio of carbohydrate to protein.
4. Foods that facilitate speed of reaction should enhance glucose availability, e.g. high glycaemic index. There have been no studies that specifically compare the effects of foods with different glycaemic indices. One study (Kaplan et al. 2001) found similar improvements in memory after high- and low-glycaemic-index carbohydrates in the elderly.

Attention and vigilance. Vigilance or attention tasks have been included in a large number of studies that aim to assess the effects of nutrients on performance. The nature of the task employed often differs and it is sometimes difficult to determine whether the cognitive processes that may be involved in each task are similar or comparable. Digit symbol substitution and the Bakan test have frequently been employed (see Table 3). However, some studies do not adequately describe the specific test used.

The effects of nutrients on attention and vigilance, as described in Table 3, can be summarised as follows.

1. Attention can be difficult to disrupt in the first half of the day but seems susceptible to fat ingestion at lunch and later. It can be disrupted by high carbohydrate and effects of this are most clear in terms of response time measures.
2. Effects could be due to an impairment of either detection or movement, but work on reaction time measures would suggest that movement is the most vulnerable cognitive process.
3. Although participants appear to be slower, there is some suggestion that they are also more accurate, which could imply criterion shifts.
4. Protein appears to influence susceptibility to distraction.
5. The suggestion that attention can be enhanced by energy requires verification.

Memory. Examination of functional food effects on measures of memory has focused on short-term or working memory (see Table 4). Tests employed usually require immediate recall of a word list or similar stimuli presented at a fixed rate, after which the subject is required to repeat or write down as many of the stimuli as possible in a fixed period of time. The number of items in the word list is usually twenty to thirty in normal volunteer samples and seven plus or minus two words should be the average number recalled. Some samples, notably students, recall almost double this rate, partly due to the effects of training and intelligence. Two effects are common in memory tasks. Primacy and recency effects relate to the increased likelihood of recalling the earliest and latest stimuli from a list, although few studies examine the order of words recalled or request that the subject recalls the stimuli in the order presented. The nature of the stimulus words are...
also important since efficiency of recall can be affected by differences in the frequency, concreteness and imagery of the words used as stimuli. It is therefore important that memory tasks select and match stimuli on these features. Other types of memory tasks sometimes employed include delayed recall, where the subject is required to recall a list presented some time earlier (usually ten to twenty minutes) and has had to perform other tasks in the interim period. Recognition recall requires the subject to identify stimuli that were presented earlier from a list that includes unseen, distractor stimuli. Recognition recall is generally easier than free recall. Pattern comparison is another form of memory task that examines spatial memory performance. Paired associate learning involves remembering two paired but semantically unrelated stimuli and recalling one of the pair when the other is presented. Although there are many variants of memory tasks, those used in the assessment of nutrients have been limited.

A summary of the effects of nutrients on memory, outlined in Table 4, is given in the following.

1. Glucose appears reliably to improve memory, particularly in vulnerable subjects such as the young and elderly.
2. Pure carbohydrate in other forms improves memory but can impair peripheral processing, attention and reaction time, depending on the time of day, type of carbohydrate ingested and ratio of carbohydrate to protein. It is also associated with feelings of fatigue.
3. The carbohydrate:protein of a meal can produce depletion or enhancement of tryptophan (Klassen et al. 1999). Where tryptophan availability is enhanced, faster memory scanning has been demonstrated in stress-prone subjects who may have receptor sensitisation of the serotonergic system or 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) deficiency (Markus, 1999).
4. Tryptophan depletion selectively impairs memory consolidation in normal volunteers (Riedel et al. 1999).
5. Thus memory tasks appear to be important measures of cognitive function, which are susceptible to nutritional manipulations.

Other aspects of performance. A large number of cognitive tests can be used to examine the effects of nutrients on performance and which measure functions other than attention and memory.

Psychomotor performance involving hand–eye coordination can be used to reflect the kind of cognitive processes involved in operating machinery or driving. These tasks may feature reaction time measures but are generally more complex performance indicators than the tests reviewed in the section on reaction time above. The effect of macronutrients on these performance measures is shown in Table 5. The simplest form of psychomotor task, which has been used reasonably widely in this area, is the tapping task. Dieters performed better on a finger-tapping test in the morning (Deijen et al. 1989). Lloyd et al. (1994, 1996) also used a tapping task to assess breakfast and lunch manipulations.

More complex psychomotor tasks involve tracking and control. Driving can be considered to be an ecologically valid psychomotor task and real or simulated driving tasks are used to establish the effects of drugs or other interventions on actual driving and driver safety. There have been a small number of studies examining nutrient effects on performance, which have used tracking or driving simulator tasks. Performance of a tracking task was better in a group of cadets after repeated consumption of a tyrosine-rich drink than in the group supplied with a carbohydrate-rich drink (Deijen et al. 1999). Smith & Rich (1998) examined the effect of no snack, a chocolate bar or an equivalent calorific portion of cheese and biscuits on simulated driving. Following consumption of the chocolate, subjects drove more carefully and hit the side of the track less often. It is difficult to determine from this manipulation whether any specific ingredient in the chocolate was predominantly affecting the performance although the caffeine content is not likely to be high enough to have an alerting effect. An alternative explanation is that mood may have been modified differentially across the three conditions (foods were not matched for taste, appearance and palatability) and this could have mediated the performance effects observed.

Other tests assess perceptual processes such as vision. Such tests have been used widely in assessing the importance of the essential fatty acid, docosahexaenoic acid, in neural maturation, visual acuity and brain function in ageing (Horrocks & Yeo, 1999). The effect of docosahexaenoic acid supplementation on cognitive performance in healthy young adults has not been examined specifically. Another widely used test in psychopharmacology is Critical Flicker Fusion Threshold, which involves the discrimination of flicker from fusion and is an index of cortical arousal and visual information processing capacity. Visual information processing (measured by Critical Flicker Fusion Threshold) was increased significantly by a decaffeinated Coca-Cola drink with added tryptophan, compared with a decaffeinated Coca-Cola drink alone (Cunliffe et al. 1998). This effect of amino acid manipulation suggests impairment of performance follows protein consumption. Fat ingestion has also been shown to decrease flicker fusion frequency, indicating increased central fatigue or decreased visual information processing capacity (Cunliffe et al. 1997).

Other cognitive tasks such as reasoning tasks (involving logical, semantic and grammatical reasoning), problem-solving tasks and arithmetic tasks have also been employed in studies of nutrient effects. Addition and sentence verification tasks are not affected by missing breakfast (Dickie & Bender, 1982; Smith & Kendrick, 1992; Smith et al. 1994a). Smith et al. (1994b) showed that a high-fat lunch did not affect logical reasoning. In comparison with performance after a diet soft-drink (containing aspartame), subjects solved more arithmetic problems in a shorter time after consuming calorie-containing yoghurts (Kanarek & Swinney, 1990).

Providing a supplementation of branched-chain amino acids has also been shown to prevent impairment of performance of shape rotation and identification tasks and to improve performance of the Stroop task after exercise.
Table 2. Effect of nutrients on reaction time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Cognitive testing</th>
<th>Effect on performance of task</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring &lt;i&gt;et al.&lt;/i&gt; (1983)</td>
<td>184 healthy adults (129 M, fifty-five F), 18–65 years</td>
<td>Two meals for BK or lunch: – HCHO (non-dairy sherbet) – HP (turkey breast)</td>
<td>Auditory RT Assessment 2 h after consuming the meal</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>No pre-meal measure of performance Between-subjects design Foods were not BK or lunch standard items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lieberman &lt;i&gt;et al.&lt;/i&gt; (1983)</td>
<td>Young men</td>
<td>Two lunches: – HCHO (pita bread) – HP (turkey breast)</td>
<td>Auditory RT Assessment after lunch</td>
<td>Slower RT to an auditory stimulus after the HCHO meal than after the HP meal</td>
<td>No baseline measures of cognitive performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith &amp; Miles (&lt;i&gt;b,c&lt;/i&gt;) (1986)</td>
<td>Forty-eight subjects</td>
<td>Two conditions: – lunch – no lunch</td>
<td>Serial self-paced CRT (detection RT and movement time) Assessment before and after lunch</td>
<td>Lunch consumption impaired detection time (RT) on CRT</td>
<td>Movement time slower in early afternoon than in morning, independent of meal consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith &lt;i&gt;et al.&lt;/i&gt; (1988)</td>
<td>Five M and six F</td>
<td>Three iso-energetic lunches (3.77 MJ in M, 2.72 MJ in F): – HCHO, high in starch (40% starch, 15% sugar, 15% protein, 30% fat) – HCHO, high in sugar (15% starch, 40% sugar, 15% protein, 30% fat) – HP (14% starch, 1% sugar, 55% protein, 30% fat)</td>
<td>RT-focused attention test Assessment before and 75 min following lunch</td>
<td>Slower RT to peripheral targets after the HCHO meals than after the HP meal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd &lt;i&gt;et al.&lt;/i&gt; (1994)</td>
<td>Eighteen subjects (fifteen F, three M), mean age 27 years</td>
<td>Three iso-caloric lunches (about 2.92 MJ): – LF/HCHO (2.90 MJ, 29/54% en) – MF/MCHO (2.95 MJ, 45/42% en) – MHF/LCHO (3.01 MJ, 62/24% en)</td>
<td>SRT Assessment 30 min before lunch, 30 min, 90 min and 150 min after finishing lunch</td>
<td>Longer RT after LF and HF lunches, compared with the MF lunch, at 90 min post ingestion</td>
<td>The MF/MCHO meal was most similar in size and macronutrient content to the subject’s habitual lunch. Results may reflect responses to changes in normal intake rather than to the specific macronutrient composition of the meals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith &lt;i&gt;et al.&lt;/i&gt; (&lt;i&gt;c&lt;/i&gt;) (1994)</td>
<td>Experiment 1: forty-eight university students (twenty-four M, twenty-four F)</td>
<td>Six conditions: – no BK + decaffeinated coffee – cereal toast BK (equivalent to LF) + decaffeinated coffee – cooked BK (equivalent to HF) + decaffeinated coffee – no BK + caffeinated coffee – cereal toast BK (equivalent to LF) + caffeinated coffee – cooked BK (equivalent to HF) + caffeinated coffee</td>
<td>SRT Five-choice serial response time (CRT) Assessment 30 min before BK, 60 and 120 min after the start of BK</td>
<td>No effect of BK on performance on SRT or CRT</td>
<td>Between-subject design BK differs from lunch Caffeine enhanced performance of SRT but had no effect on CRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith &lt;i&gt;et al.&lt;/i&gt; (&lt;i&gt;c&lt;/i&gt;) (1994)</td>
<td>Experiment 2: forty-eight university students (twenty-four M, twenty-four F)</td>
<td>Four conditions: – three-course meal (5.02–6.28 MJ) + decaffeinated drink – three-course meal (5.02–6.28 MJ) + caffeinated drink – no meal + decaffeinated drink – no meal + caffeinated drink</td>
<td>SRT Five-choice serial response task (CRT) Assessment before the meal and 90 min and 180 min after the start of the meal</td>
<td>No effects on tasks</td>
<td>Effects are different from those observed after lunch or BK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Males (groups A and B: nine M in each)</td>
<td>Meals</td>
<td>Reaction Test</td>
<td>SRT Performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells &amp; Read (1996)</td>
<td>Eighteen males</td>
<td>Two meals:</td>
<td>Serial choice reaction</td>
<td>No effect on SRT Overall little change in performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(groups A and B: nine M in each)</td>
<td>– HF/LCHO (3-18 MJ, 55/40 % en)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– LF/HCHO (3-60 MJ, 88/7 % en)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group A: ate meals at 10.30 (brunch)</td>
<td>Group B: BK (08.15) and test meal at 12.30 (lunch)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunliffe et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Sixteen healthy volunteers (nine M, seven F), aged 20–47 years (mean, 29.7 years)</td>
<td>1672 kJ at BK of approx. 200 ml: – pure CHO (maltodextrin) – pure fat (long-chain triacylglycerol emulsion) Control: mixed CHO, fat and protein (55 % CHO, 15 % protein, 30 % fat, same sources of CHO and fat)</td>
<td>Simple visual reaction time Hourly for 4 h post ingestion</td>
<td>Slower after CHO No effect of fat on RT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd et al. (1996)</td>
<td>Sixteen healthy habitual BK eaters (fourteen F, two M), age 26 years</td>
<td>Four conditions: – no BK – three iso-caloric BK (2-51 MJ): – LF/HCHO (27/62 % en) – MF/MCHO (44/47 % en) – HF/LCHO (56/34 % en) Habitual BK (1-05 MJ, 25 % fat, 65 % CHO)</td>
<td>SRT Assessment beginning 30 min before, and 30 min, 90 min and 150 min after BK</td>
<td>No clear difference in performance between the four conditions BK provided in the study was higher in en than usual BK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer et al. (2001)</td>
<td>Fifteen young males</td>
<td>1670 kJ at breakfast, spoonable creams of: – pure fat – pure CHO – pure protein</td>
<td>Simple and choice RT Hourly for 3 h post ingestion</td>
<td>Fat improved RT performance compared with baseline at 1, 2 and 3 h, and reduced error rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lluch et al. (2000)</td>
<td>Thirty-two volunteers (sixteen M and sixteen F; seventeen LF and fifteen HF consumers)</td>
<td>Two iso-caloric BK (1-72 MJ) and lunches (3-10 MJ for F, 4-14 MJ for M) – LF/HCHO (BK: 22/67 % en, lunch: 20/61 % en) – HF/LCHO (BK: 48/42 % en, lunch: 54/32 % en)</td>
<td>Simple and choice RT Assessment just before and 30 min after finishing lunch</td>
<td>Slower RT after HF lunch than after LF lunch No effect of habitual diet on performance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BK, breakfast; CHO, carbohydrate; CRT, choice reaction time; en, energy; F, female; HCHO, high carbohydrate; HF, high fat; HP, high protein; LCHO, low carbohydrate; LF, low fat; M, male; MCHO, medium carbohydrate; MF, medium fat; RT, reaction time; SRT, simple reaction time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Cognitive testing</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Spring et al.</em></td>
<td>184 healthy adults</td>
<td>Two meals for BK or lunch:</td>
<td>Dichotic shadowing (sustained and selective aspects of attention)</td>
<td>Impairment on the sustained selective attention task after HCHO lunch compared with HP in subjects over 40 years of age</td>
<td>No pre-meal measure of performance Between-subjects design Foods were not BK or lunch standard items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1983)</em></td>
<td>(129 M, fifty-five F), 18–65 years</td>
<td>- HCHO (non-dairy sherbet)</td>
<td>Assessment 2 h after consuming the meal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HP (turkey breast)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lieberman et al.</em></td>
<td>Young men</td>
<td>Two lunches:</td>
<td>DSST</td>
<td>Poorer performance on a DSST after the HCHO meal than after the HP meal</td>
<td>No baseline measures of cognitive performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1983)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HCHO (pita bread)</td>
<td>Assessment after lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HP (turkey breast)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Smith et al.</em></td>
<td>Five M and six F</td>
<td>Three iso-energetic lunches</td>
<td>Two tasks:</td>
<td>Slower RT to peripheral targets after the HCHO meals than after the HP meal susceptibility to distracting stimuli greater after the HP lunch than after the HCHO meals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1988)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3·77 MJ in M, 2·72 MJ in F):</td>
<td>- focused attention test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HCHO, high in starch</td>
<td>- search test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(40 % starch, 15 % sugar, 15 % protein, 30 % fat)</td>
<td>Assessment before and 75 min following lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HCHO, high in sugar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(15 % starch, 40 % sugar, 15 % protein, 30 % fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HP (14 % starch, 1 % sugar, 55 % protein, 30 % fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Deijen et al.</em></td>
<td>Two groups:</td>
<td>During three weeks, diet group</td>
<td>Sustained visual attention task</td>
<td>No effect on attention type tasks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1989)</em></td>
<td>- control (n=9)</td>
<td>had a daily intake of 110 g protein, 320 g CHO and 80 g fat</td>
<td>Pattern comparison</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- diet (n=10)</td>
<td>- BK: 70 g protein (63 %), 25 g CHO (8 %)</td>
<td>DSST</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21–27 years</td>
<td>- lunch: 10 g protein (9 %), 100 g CHO (31 %)</td>
<td>Assessment: baseline scores (last day of 3 weeks, after BK and dinner) and post-treatment scores (2 months later)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Benton et al.</em></td>
<td>Seventy young female</td>
<td>Glucose drink (50 g) after</td>
<td>Reaction to Bakan test</td>
<td>Improved decision time</td>
<td>Between-subjects design, no dietary restrictions on test day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1994)</em></td>
<td>students</td>
<td>baseline and 25 min later</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(25 g) or CHO-free placebo drinks (sweetened with aspartame and acesulfame K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kelly et al.</em></td>
<td>Experiment 1:</td>
<td>Four lunches varying in energy</td>
<td>Choice of tasks:</td>
<td>Performance on the task was poorer after compared with before the meal</td>
<td>Limited number of subjects and dimensions measured Subjects were allowed to choose among the tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1994)</em></td>
<td>two groups of six</td>
<td>and carbohydrate content</td>
<td>Performance on the task was poorer after compared with before the meal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>subjects</td>
<td>- LF/low en (1·80 MJ)</td>
<td>- DSST</td>
<td>No systematic variations in performance as a consequence of either the energy or macronutrient content of the meals</td>
<td>Unrestricted access to caffeine and nicotine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HF/high en (3·53 MJ)</td>
<td>Assessment before and after consuming the lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- LCHO/low en (1·81 MJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HCHO/high en (3·51 MJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lloyd et al.</em></td>
<td>Eighteen subjects</td>
<td>Three iso-caloric lunches</td>
<td>Bakan task (sustained attention)</td>
<td>No effect on sustained attention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1994)</em></td>
<td>(fifteen F, three M), mean age 27 years</td>
<td>(about 2·93 MJ):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- LF/HCHO (2·90 MJ, 29/54 % en)</td>
<td>Assessment 30 min before lunch, 30 min, 90 min and 150 min after finishing lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- MF/MCHO (2·95 MJ, 45/42 % en)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- HF/LCHO (3·01 MJ, 62/24 % en)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Meals</td>
<td>Tasks</td>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Smith et al. (1994a) | Forty-six university students (twenty M, twenty-six F) | Four lunches:  
- small HF: 530 g, 5-40 MJ, 55 % fat  
- large HF: 840 g, 5-44 MJ, 58 % fat  
- small LF: 600 g, 3-51 MJ, 19 % fat  
- large LF: 840 g, 3-68 MJ, 23 % fat | Repeated digit vigilance task  
Focused attention task  
Categoric search task  
Assessment before lunch and 90 min after the start of the meal | Slower but more accurate response after HF lunch than after LF lunch on selective attention tasks at 90 min  
No effect of fat content or weight of the meals on vigilance tasks | Between-subjects design |
| Smith et al. (1994c) | Experiment 1: forty-eight university students (twenty-four M, twenty-four F) | Six conditions:  
- no BK + decaffeinated coffee  
- cereal toast BK (equivalent to LF) + decaffeinated coffee  
- cooked BK (equivalent to HF) + decaffeinated coffee  
- no BK + caffeinated coffee  
- cereal toast BK (equivalent to LF) + caffeinated coffee  
- cooked BK (equivalent to HF) + caffeinated coffee | Repeated-digits vigilance task  
Assessment 30 min before BK, 60 and 120 min after the start of BK | No effect of BK on performance on sustained attention tasks | Between-subject design |
| Wells et al. (1995) | Experiment 1: five males  
Experiment 2: eight males | Two testing days with two consecutive 3 h duodenal infusions of:  
- 100 g intralipid/l followed by isotonic saline (9 g NaCl/l)  
- isotonic saline (9 g NaCl/l) followed by 100 g intralipid/l (cross-over balanced design) | Sustained attention task  
Assessment at seven time points: one before the first infusion and six during the two infusions | Lipid affected the speed and accuracy of performance in the sustained attention task | Between-subjects design |
| Wells & Read (1996) | Eighteen males (groups A and B: nine M in each) | Two meals:  
- HF/LCHO (3-18 MJ, 55/40 % en)  
- LF/HCHO (3-60 MJ, 89/7 % en)  
Group A: ate meals at 10.30 (brunch)  
Group B: BK (08.15) and test meal at 12.30 (lunch) | Bakan task | Increase in the frequency of false alarms in the Bakan test after the LF/HCHO brunch | |
| Lloyd et al. (1996) | Sixteen healthy habitual BK eaters (fourteen F, two M), age 26 years  
Habitual BK (1-05 MJ, 25 % fat, 65 % CHO) | Four conditions:  
- no BK  
- three iso-caloric BK (2-51 MJ):  
  - LF/HCHO (27/62 % en)  
  - MF/MCHO (44/47 % en)  
  - HF/LCHO (56/34 % en) | Bakan task  
Assessment beginning 30 min before, and 30 min, 90 min and 150 min after BK | No clear difference in performance between the four conditions | |
| Lluch et al. (2000) | Thirty-two volunteers (sixteen M and sixteen F; seventeen LF and fifteen HF consumers) | Two iso-caloric BK (1-72 MJ) and lunches (3-10 MJ for F, 4-14 MJ for M):  
- LF/HCHO (BK: 22/67 % en, lunch: 20/61 % en)  
- HF/LCHO (BK: 48/42 % en, lunch: 54/32 % en) | Attention  
Assessment just before and 30 min after finishing lunch | No effect on attention  
No effect of habitual diet on performance | |
Kanarek & Swinney (1990)  
**Experiment 1:** sixteen males (19–22 years)  
Four conditions: BK (1·26 MJ) followed by:  
– lunch + caloric snack (confectionary snack)  
– no lunch + caloric snack  
– lunch + non-caloric snack (drink)  
– no lunch + non-caloric snack (drink)  
**Attention Assessment 15 min following eating of the snack**  
Subjects blind to caloric content of snacks  
Subjects responded faster in the attention task after the caloric snack than after the non-caloric snack  
Practice effects observed; therefore, a practice day introduced in the second experiment  
Pre-lunch performance not assessed (in both experiments)  

Smith & Miles (1986a)  
**Forty-eight university students (eighteen M, thirty F)**  
Two conditions:  
– lunch (three-course meal from university refectory, chosen by subjects)  
– no lunch  
**Detection of repeated numbers**  
Assessment before and after lunch  
Deficit in performance following lunch consumption on number task  
Caffeine also consumed following lunch  

Smith & Miles (1986b)  
**Forty-eight subjects**  
Two conditions:  
– lunch  
– no lunch  
**Stroop colour and word task (selective attention)**  
Assessment before and after lunch  
Stroop not influenced by lunch  

Smith & Miles (1986c)  
**Twenty-four university students**  
Four conditions:  
– lunch (soup, two sandwiches, fruit pie and fruit)  
– no lunch  
– with or without noise (75 dB v. 40 dB)  
**Detection of odd or even digits**  
Hit rate positively correlated with extraversion, negatively correlated with neuroticism  
Post-lunch dip in hits detected and RT in the 40 dB (quiet) but not 75 dB (noisy) condition  

Smith et al. (1994c)  
**Forty-eight university students (twenty-four M, twenty-four F)**  
Four conditions:  
– three-course meal (5·02–6·28 MJ) + decaffeinated drink  
– three-course meal (5·02–6·28 MJ) + caffeinated drink  
– no meal +decaffeinated drink  
– no meal + caffeinated drink  
**Repeated-digits vigilance**  
Assessment before the meal and 90 min and 180 min after the start of the meal  
No effects on task  
Effects are different from those observed after lunch or BK  

Fischer et al. (2001)  
**Fifteen young males**  
1670 kJ at breakfast, spoonable creams of:  
– pure fat  
– pure CHO  
– pure protein  
**Combi test (peripheral attention)**  
Best performance after fat  

Table 3. **Continued**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Cognitive testing</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kanarek &amp; Swinney</td>
<td>Experiment 1: sixteen males</td>
<td>Four conditions: BK (1·26 MJ) followed by:</td>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>Subjects responded faster in the attention task after the caloric snack than after the non-caloric snack</td>
<td>Practice effects observed; therefore, a practice day introduced in the second experiment Pre-lunch performance not assessed (in both experiments)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith &amp; Miles</td>
<td>Forty-eight university students (eighteen M, thirty F)</td>
<td>Two conditions: – lunch (three-course meal from university refectory, chosen by subjects) – no lunch</td>
<td>Detection of repeated numbers</td>
<td>Deficit in performance following lunch consumption on number task</td>
<td>Caffeine also consumed following lunch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Effect of nutrients on memory tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Cognitive testing</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deijen et al. (1989)</td>
<td>Two groups:</td>
<td>During three weeks, diet group had a daily intake of 110 g protein, 320 g CHO, 80 g fat</td>
<td>Pattern comparison</td>
<td>Diet group performed more slowly in the morning on memory scanning task than the control group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>− control (n = 9)</td>
<td>− BK: 70 g protein (63 %), 25 g CHO (8 %), lunch: 10 g protein (9 %), 100 g CHO (31 %)</td>
<td>Memory scanning task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>− diet (n = 10)</td>
<td>− lunch: 10 g protein (9 %), 100 g CHO (31 %)</td>
<td>Assessment: baseline scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21–27 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>(last day of 3 weeks, after BK and dinner) and post-treatment scores (2 months later)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markus et al. (1998)</td>
<td>Forty-three subjects:</td>
<td>Two iso-energetic diets:</td>
<td>Memory scanning task after</td>
<td>Performance in HS subjects was better with the CHO-rich diet than with the protein-rich diet, but only after controllable stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>− twenty-two HS,</td>
<td>− CHO-rich, protein-poor diet (66 % CHO, 4 % protein, 30 % fat)</td>
<td>controllable or uncontrollable stress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>− twenty-one LS</td>
<td>− protein-rich/CHO-poor diet (40 % CHO, 26 % protein, 33 % fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deijen et al. (1999)</td>
<td>Twenty-one cadets</td>
<td>Five daily doses of an iso-caloric drink (1·07 MJ):</td>
<td>Memory task</td>
<td>Group with the tyrosine-rich drink performed better on a memory task than the group consuming the CHO-rich drink</td>
<td>Between-subjects design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(two groups, of ten and eleven, receiving two different drinks)</td>
<td>− protein-rich drink (2 g tyrosine)</td>
<td>Assessment before the combat course and on the sixth day of the course</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benton et al. (1994)</td>
<td>Seventy young female students</td>
<td>Glucose drink (50 g) after baseline and 25 min later (25 g) or CHO-free placebo drinks (sweetened with aspartame and acesulfame K)</td>
<td>Memory task</td>
<td>Improved recall after glucose drink</td>
<td>Between subjects design, no dietary restrictions on test day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin &amp; Benton (1999)</td>
<td>Eighty female students</td>
<td>Four groups:</td>
<td>Brown–Petersen memory task</td>
<td>Poorer performance after fasting</td>
<td>Between subjects design, Nature of BK consumed not known/controlled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− BK + 50 g glucose drink (n = 28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− BK + placebo drink (n = 25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− fasted + 50 g glucose drink (n = 12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− fasted + placebo drink (n = 15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly et al. (1994)</td>
<td>Experiment 1: two groups of six subjects</td>
<td>Four lunches varying in energy and fat and carbohydrate content:</td>
<td>Number recognition task</td>
<td>In both experiments:</td>
<td>Limited number of subjects and dimension measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− LF/low en (1·80 MJ)</td>
<td>Repeated acquisition task</td>
<td>− performance on the tasks was poorer after than before the meal</td>
<td>Subjects were allowed to choose among the tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− HF/high en (3·53 MJ)</td>
<td>Assessment before and after</td>
<td>− no systematic variations in performance as a consequence of either the energy or macronutrient content of the meal</td>
<td>Unrestricted access to caffeine and nicotine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− LCHO/low en (1·80 MJ)</td>
<td>consuming the lunch (Experiment 1); after BK and lunch (Experiment 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− HCHO/high en (3·51 MJ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd et al. (1994)</td>
<td>Eighteen subjects</td>
<td>Three iso-caloric lunches (about 2·93 MJ):</td>
<td>Free recall task (memory)</td>
<td>No effect on memory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(fifteen F, three M), mean age 27 years</td>
<td>− LF/HCHO (2·90 MJ, 29/54 % en)</td>
<td>Assessment 30 min before</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− MF/MCHO (2·95 MJ, 45/42 % en)</td>
<td>lunch, 30 min, 90 min and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>− HF/LCHO (3·01 MJ, 62/24 % en)</td>
<td>150 min after finishing lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Cognitive testing</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1994)</td>
<td></td>
<td>– no BK + decaffeinated coffee</td>
<td>– free recall</td>
<td>Free recall task</td>
<td>Conclusions about the effects of BK on performance depend on the type of task</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– cooked BK (equivalent to HF) + decaffeinated coffee</td>
<td>– delayed recognition memory</td>
<td>delayed recognition memory task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– no BK + caffeinated coffee</td>
<td>– semantic reasoning</td>
<td>No effect on semantic memory task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– cooked BK (equivalent to HF) + decaffeinated coffee</td>
<td>Assessment 60 min before the start of BK</td>
<td>Impairment of the accuracy of performing the logical reasoning task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd et al.</td>
<td>Sixteen healthy habitual BK eaters (fourteen F, two M), age 28 years Habitual BK (1.05 MJ, 25 % fat, 65 % CHO)</td>
<td>Four conditions:</td>
<td>Free recall task</td>
<td>No clear difference in performance between the four conditions</td>
<td>BK provided in the study was higher in energy than usual BK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1996)</td>
<td></td>
<td>– no BK</td>
<td>Assessment beginning 30 min before, and 30 min, 90 min and 150 min after BK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liuch et al.</td>
<td>Thirty-two volunteers (sixteen M and sixteen F; seventeen LF and fifteen HF consumers)</td>
<td>Two iso-caloric BK (1.72 MJ) and lunches (3.10 MJ for F, 4.14 MJ for M):</td>
<td>Associative memory (code substitution)</td>
<td>No effect on memory task</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2000)</td>
<td></td>
<td>– LF/HCHO (BK: 22/67 % en, lunch: 20/61 % en)</td>
<td>Assessment just before and 30 min after finishing lunch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– HF/LCHO (BK: 48/42 % en, lunch: 54/32 % en)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanarek &amp; Swinney</td>
<td>Experiment 1: sixteen males (19–22 years)</td>
<td>Four conditions:</td>
<td>Digit span recall (forwards and backwards)</td>
<td>Subjects recalled more digits in the backwards digit span test after the caloric snack than after the non-caloric snack</td>
<td>Practice effects observed Pre-lunch performance not assessed (in both experiments)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1990)</td>
<td></td>
<td>– BK (1.26 MJ) followed by:</td>
<td>Assessment 15 min following eating of the snack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– lunch + caloric snack (confectionary snack)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– no lunch + caloric snack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– lunch + non-caloric – snack (drink)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– no lunch + non-caloric snack (drink)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker &amp; Benton</td>
<td>Thirty-three university students (seventeen M, sixteen F), mean age 21 years</td>
<td>Two conditions:</td>
<td>Spatial memory</td>
<td>Subjects who ate a BK took less time to finish memory tasks than subjects who did not have BK</td>
<td>Between-subjects design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1995)</td>
<td></td>
<td>– no BK</td>
<td>Immediate recall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– milk-based nutritional beverage</td>
<td>Assessment 2 h after BK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al.</td>
<td>Forty-eight students (twenty-four M, twenty-four F)</td>
<td>Four conditions:</td>
<td>Free recall task</td>
<td>No effects on memory tasks</td>
<td>Effects are different from those observed after lunch or BK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1994c)</td>
<td></td>
<td>– three-course meal (5.02–6.28 MJ) + decaffeinated drink</td>
<td>Delayed recognition memory task</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– three-course meal (5.02–6.28 MJ) + caffeinated drink</td>
<td>Assessment before the meal and 90 min and 180 min after the start of the meal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– no meal + decaffeinated drink</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– no meal + caffeinated drink</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al.</td>
<td>144 volunteers (seventy-two M, seventy-two F), mean age 21 years</td>
<td>Four conditions:</td>
<td>Spatial memory task</td>
<td>Subjects who consumed the cereal BK performed better on the spatial memory task than those in the no BK condition</td>
<td>Between-subjects design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1999)</td>
<td></td>
<td>– no BK + decaffeinated coffee</td>
<td>Working memory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– no BK + caffeinated coffee</td>
<td>Assessment before BK, 80 min and 120 min after the beginning of BK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– cereal BK + decaffeinated coffee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>– cereal BK + caffeinated coffee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BK, breakfast; CHO, carbohydrate; en, energy; F, female; HCHO, high carbohydrate; HF, high fat; HP, high protein; HS, high-stress-prone; LCHO, low carbohydrate; LF, low fat; LS, low-stress-prone; M, male; MCHO, medium carbohydrate; MF, medium fat.
(Hassmen et al. 1994). Since exercise increases the plasma concentration ratio of free tryptophan to other large neutral amino acids leading to an elevation of 5-HT in the brain, branched-chain amino acids may therefore minimise central and mental fatigue during and after sustained exercise.

Interim summary for cognitive performance

In summary, acute interventions with high carbohydrate and low protein are sedating and anxiolytic. Protein-rich, carbohydrate-poor interventions tend to produce arousal and improve reaction time and vigilance. Nutritional interventions that facilitate a rise in blood glucose enhance performance of memory and reaction time tasks. The decline in glucose in hypoglycaemia impairs performance. Fat appears to decrease alertness but this effect is often delayed and the habitual diet is important in producing this response. Acute effects vary with time of day. The effect size for glucose is larger than for other macronutrient manipulations and confounding variables are more easily controlled. Chronic or longer-term intervention studies are rarer but suggest that a protein-rich diet can increase negative and decrease positive affect. Longer-term (habitual diet) effects on performance are important because of their relationship to certain disease states that carry with them the risk of cognitive impairment. Poor glucose regulation is associated with poor cognitive performance although this has been examined only in healthy elderly (Kaplan et al. 2000) and diabetic (Strachan et al. 1997) populations.

Biomarkers for cognitive performance

Biomarkers for cognitive function are probably more difficult to measure than the actual function itself. We are currently lacking a strong theoretical base to relate neurochemical or physiological activity to cognitive performance. Biomarkers need to be identified in order to elucidate the mechanisms by which food components may affect performance. However, these mechanisms are unlikely to be simple and therefore biomarkers are not an easy route to identifying the effects of food on specific functions.

It is also clear that cognitive performance is normally well protected by a regulatory process that maintains a stable output. This means that effectiveness of overt task behaviour is difficult to disrupt or enhance but the cost of maintaining efficient processing and performance capacity may vary. Decrements are therefore difficult to measure except in circumstances where large manipulations or interventions have an effect on control mechanisms, e.g. drugs with strong effects on the central nervous system. Any genuine effects will tend to be subtle ones, and can only be detected reliably by measuring both overt performance and the underlying ‘maintenance costs’ of performance protection. These should be detectable as ‘latent decrements’ — various markers of increased regulatory strain — seen, for example, in sympathetic dominance in the autonomic nervous system, subjective strain (increased effort, strain, fatigue as after-effect) and in impairment in secondary aspects of performance in complex tasks where only the primary component is usually protected. In this case a measure of increased selectivity (narrowed attention) can be derived based on the relative performance in the primary and secondary tasks. Decrements should also be revealed by the use of tasks in which upper control is a key factor, e.g. as used to assess frontal lobe problems or fatigue after-effects (involving effort, planning, sequential management). Thus any assessment of cognitive performance should include measures of costs as well as of overt performance, and assess both upper and lower function (Hockey, 1997). One solution might be to build a complex task from simpler components, allowing one to test effects of cognitive components alone (i.e. minimal control) and also combined (with additional control requirement to manage the two and plan shifts of focus between them). These behavioural actions can be regarded as biological activities although they clearly differ from a physiological index such as blood glucose.

There are also some physiological indices that may be good candidates as biomarkers of cognitive function. These include measures of autonomic nervous system activity, heart rate and electroencephalography, although these are complex, variable and, currently, difficult to work with in experimental settings where cognitive performance is examined simultaneously. Blood glucose level or rate of change has been used as a biomarker in a number of studies. However, the action of glucose on the cholinergic system may increase synthesis of acetylcholine (Owens & Benton, 1994). Hence the performance-enhancing effects of glucose on reaction time may not be solely related to the increased availability of neural fuel. Alternatively the effect may be produced by increased glucose uptake in the frontal cortex in response to cognitive load. The increase in heart rate associated with high cognitive load supports this assertion. Other studies suggest that stable performance is related to a balanced glucose metabolism and state of metabolic activation. Future markers might include metabolites of neurotransmitters, e.g. 5-HT, but the development of these will require an understanding of their involvement in simple and complex cognitive activities. Moreover, the use of physiological biomarkers relies on the assumption that peripheral measures reflect central activity.

Functional foods for mood

Effect of food on mood and mood on performance

There are some well-documented effects of food on mood and of mood on performance (for a review, see Rogers et al. 1995). Many studies have related the effects of food on mood to the modulation of serotonin by carbohydrate (e.g. Wurtman et al. 1981) but effects of consuming other foods on mood have also been documented, e.g. chocolate’s positive effects on mood have been attributed to its orosensory properties (Macdiarmid & Hetherington, 1995). Carbohydrate produces a reduction in depression in vulnerable samples such as premenstrually depressed women and those with seasonal affective disorder (Wurtman
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Cognitive testing</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deijen et al. (1989)</td>
<td>Two groups: – control (n = 9) – diet (n = 10) 21–27 years</td>
<td>During three weeks, diet group had a daily intake of 110 g protein, 320 g CHO, 80 g fat – BK: 70 g protein (63%), 25 g CHO (8%) – lunch: 10 g protein (9%), 100 g CHO (31%)</td>
<td>Finger tapping: assessment baseline scores (last day of 3 weeks, after BK and dinner) and post-treatment scores (2 months later)</td>
<td>Diet group performed better in the morning on finger tapping than the control group</td>
<td>Between-subjects design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deijen et al. (1999)</td>
<td>Twenty-one cadets (two groups, of ten and eleven, receiving two different drinks)</td>
<td>Five daily doses of an iso-caloric drink (1·07 MJ): – protein-rich drink (2 g tyrosine) – CHO-rich drink</td>
<td>Tracking task: assessment before the combat course and on the sixth day of the course</td>
<td>Group with the tyrosine-rich drink performed better on tracking task than the group consuming the CHO-rich drink</td>
<td>Between-subjects design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly et al. (1994)</td>
<td>Experiment 1: two groups of six subjects</td>
<td>Four lunches varying in energy and fat and CHO content: – LF/low en (1·80 MJ) – HF/high en (3·53 MJ) – LCHO/low en (1·81 MJ) – HCHO/high en (3·51 MJ)</td>
<td>Choice of four psychomotor tasks available: – differential reinforcement of low-rate schedule of point presentation Assessment before and after consuming the lunch (Experiment 1); after BK and lunch (Experiment 2)</td>
<td>In both experiments: – performance on the tasks was poorer after than before the meal – no systematic variations in performance as a consequence of either the energy or macronutrient content of the meals</td>
<td>Limited number of subjects and dimension measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd et al. (1994)</td>
<td>Eighteen subjects (fifteen F, three M), mean age 27 years</td>
<td>Three iso-caloric lunches (about 2·93 MJ): – LF/HCHO (2·90 MJ, 29/54 % en) – MF/MCHO (2·95 MJ, 45/42 % en) – HF/LCHO (3·10 MJ, 62/24 % en)</td>
<td>Two-finger tapping task: assessment 30 min before lunch, 30 min, 90 min and 150 min after finishing lunch</td>
<td>Reduced after CHO and fat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cunliffe et al. (1997)</td>
<td>Sixteen healthy volunteers (nine M, seven F), age 20–47 years (mean, 29.7 years)</td>
<td>1672 kJ at BK approx. 200 ml: – pure CHO (maltodextrin) – pure fat (long chain triacylglycerol emulsion)</td>
<td>Control: mixed CHO, fat and protein (55 % CHO, 15 % protein, 30 % fat same sources of CHO and fat)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd et al. (1996)</td>
<td>Sixteen healthy habitual BK eaters (fourteen F, two M), age 26 years Habitual BK (1·05 MJ, 25 % fat, 65 % CHO)</td>
<td>Four conditions: – no BK – three iso-caloric BK (2·51 MJ) – LF/HCHO (2·72/62 % en) – MF/MCHO (44/47 % en) – HF/LCHO (56/34 % en)</td>
<td>Two-finger tapping task: assessment beginning 30 min before, and 30 min, 90 min and 150 min after BK</td>
<td>No clear difference in performance between the four conditions</td>
<td>BK provided in the study was higher in energy than usual BK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eight tasks:

- Slower RT after HF lunch than after LF lunch
- Pre-lunch performance not assessed
- Subjects solved more arithmetic problems and solved these problems in less time after consuming the lunch which decreased, respectively, after particular macronutrients, e.g. feelings of coldness or fullness which decrease and increase, respectively, after protein ingestion (Cunliffe et al. 1997; Fischer et al. 2001). Carbohydrate has also been shown to increase feelings of sedation and fatigue (see Reid & Hammersley, 1995 for a review of the effects of carbohydrate). These studies have not, however, considered the mediating effect of mood on performance but rather have viewed a change in mood as a result of food intake as separate from any effects observed on performance. For instance, poorer performance has been observed in samples of highly restrained women or those currently dieting (Rogers & Green, 1993; Green et al. 1994; Green & Rogers, 1995; Wing et al. 1995). Negative mood is also present in such samples and it is difficult to untangle the effects of dieting on performance from the effects of mood. Indeed the presence of both may compound effects.

Most studies in this area have considered mood to be a dependent variable rather than a predictor of level of performance. However, mood can affect performance in its own right and can modulate the motivation to perform well, affecting compensatory effort and reducing the effect of other interventions on overall level of performance. The effect of mood on performance is modulated by time of day, consumption of foods and stimulants such as caffeine, and can be influenced by mood-induction techniques. Exercise can also have positive effects on mood, which may interact with food consumed. Thus it is important to examine changes in subjective state after nutritional intervention and with a degree of statistical complexity relate these changes to effects on cognitive function, to determine whether mood change is a correlate or a consequence of the food ingested and the level of cognitive function demonstrated.

Effect of mood on satiety

Most of the studies examining the relationship between mood and eating behaviour tend to focus on the effects of food intake on mood (e.g. sleep and arousal) rather than the converse (i.e. the effects of mood on food intake). In fact, most of the work concerns the effects of dietary carbohydrate on serotonin, which tends to modulate mood (Wurtman & Wurtman, 1989). The effects of manipulations on the macronutrient content of foods and diets have been discussed elsewhere (for a review see Benton & Donohoe, 1999). Some subjective feelings and mood changes reported post ingestion in studies of performance can be related to the satiating and thermogenic effects of particular macronutrients, e.g. feelings of coldness or fullness which decrease and increase, respectively, after protein ingestion (Cunliffe et al. 1997; Fischer et al. 2001).

When examining the effects of mood on food, most studies have been involved with how mood initiates and stimulates food intake (e.g. negative mood induces consumption of ‘comfort’ foods). Therefore, there is evidence to suggest that mood (in particular negative mood) may act as a precursor for food intake (i.e. initiation of a single eating episode) and possibly over-consumption of highly palatable foods (e.g. chocolate). However, the effects of mood on satiation and satiety are still undetermined, but it is likely that mood interacts with palatability to influence satiety.
The precise relationship between palatability (often regarded as the hedonic dimension of food) and appetite has been debated for some time now (Ramirez, 1990). The original work of Hill and colleagues (Hill et al. 1984) was instrumental in raising the issue by demonstrating that subjective hunger was higher after a preferred, fixed preload compared with a non-preferred, fixed preload. Therefore, it has been proposed that palatability has an important influence on the initiation and termination of eating events (Blundell & Rogers, 1991b). The palatability of a food is as a result of the integration of orosensory and post-ingestive stimuli. Like hunger, palatability is a hypothetical construct; that is, an explanatory concept that cannot be observed directly itself, but is inferred from operationally defined and measurable events (Blundell & Rogers, 1991). Therefore, the term ‘palatability’, like hunger, can be conceived of in two ways: either as an intervening variable not measurable directly or, when construed as the perceived pleasantness of food, as a subjective experience that can be monitored objectively by means of a rating. Consequently, in reference to human appetite, palatability appears to be considered to be equivalent to perceived pleasantness of food. Nonetheless, the relationship of pleasantness (or palatability) to actual consumption remains to be determined. With reference to the mediating processes involved in the satiety cascade, it is important to know how these mechanisms are related to fluctuations in perceived palatability and hunger. For example, is high palatability a sufficient or necessary condition for the initiation of eating? It is suggested that the answer to both situations must be no, since there are occasions when even highly palatable food will not be consumed and unpalatable food will be consumed. Another important issue here is what is the relationship between palatability and the termination of eating (satiation)? That is, what is the relationship between palatability and hunger? It is known that mood has a strong influence on cognitive performance; therefore, the relationship amongst mood, satiety, palatability and performance is important.

### Effect of palatability on satiety

Work on the relationship between palatability and satiety has demonstrated that hunger was increased at the end of a preferred meal compared with a non-preferred meal (Hill et al. 1984). This phenomenon has recently been confirmed (Yomans, 1996; Yomans et al. 1999). Moreover, with respect to the profile of hunger during eating, there was a tendency for hunger to increase during the early stages of eating when the most palatable food was consumed whereas fullness did not follow the same pattern. Therefore, these data suggest that the manipulation of palatability leads to stimulation of appetite, which in turn could increase food intake through the process of satiation. What is less clear is how palatability affects subsequent hunger and food intake (i.e. satiety). From the data that exist there seems to be some ambiguity about the effects of palatability on satiation and satiety (Hill et al. 1984; Johnson & Vickers, 1992; Rogers & Schutz, 1992; De Graaf et al. 1999).

### Habitus diet

The composition of the habitual diet could have important consequences for the physiological and behavioural patterns of the participant. For example, phenotypes of habitual diet composition (low-fat v. high-fat) have recently been identified using data from a national database (Macdiarmid et al. 1996). It has been suggested that individuals habitually consuming different diets vary in certain physiological and behavioural characteristics (Blundell & Cooling, 2000). Therefore, habitual diet may have an important influence on the effect of food on satiety, cognitive performance and mood as well as sleep (LeNoury et al. 2000).

### Habitual diet and satiety

In line with the habitual diet composition, the familiarity with the preload could also have an important influence on the outcome. The effects of the interventions in the macronutrient (and energy) content of the food/diet on appetite control and performance may be dependent on the habitual diet of the participant. For example, a high-fat preload may have a different effect on a habitual, high-fat consumer compared with a habitual low-fat consumer (e.g. perceptions of palatability). Tournier & Louis-Sylvestre (1991) have hypothesised that a learned adjustment to the calories in liquid food would occur with repeated exposure. One study demonstrated that informed and uninformed subjects ate similar weights of low- and high-energy sweet preloads (Rolls et al. 1989). This suggests that, when ingesting familiar foods, individuals may rely on previous experiences with these foods to determine how much they should eat (Booth et al. 1982). Clearly participants would not be able to do this with unfamiliar foods.

### Habitual diet and performance

Habitual diet does not seem to influence performance in short-term studies where nutritional interventions are made (Lluch et al. 2000). However, performance seems to be optimal when the food consumed most resembles the usual diet, e.g. medium-fat, medium-carbohydrate (Lloyd et al. 1994, 1996). Naturalistic studies do, however,
suggest that the habitual diet, which influences parameters such as cholesterol level, may influence levels of performance. Low plasma cholesterol was associated with slower movement and decision time on a choice reaction time task (Benton, 1995; Muldoon et al. 1997). However, this linear relationship was found only in females; males exhibited a non-linear relationship, suggesting that more than one mechanism may be involved. The interaction of habitual diet and cognitive performance in man requires further investigation. There may be an interaction between cholesterol, dieting and cognitive function because dieting lowers cholesterol.

Evidence from animal studies suggests that there may be differences between the chronic and acute impact of diets varying in fat content. Studies in animals suggest that the structure of the brain may be modified over time by dietary fatty acids (Wainwright et al. 1994). A high-fat diet generally disrupts cognitive function. In addition, an enriched environment reduced the learning impairment associated with frontal lobe function whereas glucose enhanced memory performance by exerting beneficial effects on hippocampus-related memory function (Greenwood & Winocur, 1997).

Some physical illnesses are associated with impairment of cognitive function and dietary intake is a risk factor for the development of these conditions, e.g. atherosclerosis, type 2 diabetes and hypertension. Obesity is a significant risk factor in the development of all these conditions. Rogers (2001) has reviewed the long-term impact of diet on mood and cognitive function in relation to these conditions. Antioxidants, vitamins and other nutritional supplements have been reported to prevent or delay age-related cognitive impairment (Kalmijn et al. 1997; Lethem & Orrell, 1997). Epidemiological studies support these findings (e.g. Gale et al. 1996) although some controlled studies have shown little or no effect of supplementation (Smith et al. 1999, 2000; Cockle et al. 2000).

Summary
This domain of research possesses great potential for collaborations between academia and industry for the development of such products with functional properties. The current world-wide epidemic of obesity has generated an urgent need for the development of functional foods with the capacity to control appetite and influence the regulation of body weight. Some foods already exist and others are under development. There is a clear market need for such products. At the same time, the requirement of foods to produce vitality and influence dynamic lifestyles creates a drive to produce foods with the capacity to empower people to cope well with an ever more challenging technological environment.

The physiological system underlying the function of satiety is better understood than that responsible for competitive performance. Key sites in the brain — and the periphery — have been identified, and both genetic and pharmaceutical tools have contributed to understanding the network. Consequently, some clear targets (and processes) exist to guide the development of functional foods for satiety. In the field of cognitions, rather less is known about the detail of the neural network although developments in pharmaceuticals have identified certain neurochemical systems and receptors. However, it is likely to be more difficult to develop foods that can exert specific and selective actions at such critically localised targets. Moreover, because of the importance of maintaining an adequate level of cognitive performance, people can protect themselves from degradation in performance by increasing effort. Consequently, measurement of both applied effort and the performance endpoint are required to assess the impact of nutritional manipulations.

A corollary of this is that, because of the requirement to maintain a continuous high level of cognitive performance, it is rather difficult for foods to enhance performance even further (the ceiling effect). Therefore, an appropriate strategy for foods may be to develop products that can protect people from an enforced performance decrement due to fatigue or stress.

Another strategic issue concerns the unavoidable simultaneous effect of foods on different domains of psychological behavioural functioning. In the field of pharmaceuticals it is well known that drugs developed for the treatment of one psychological condition — for example, anxiety — can have collateral effects on appetite and satiety. The capacity of some anxiolytic and neuroleptic drugs to increase eating and body weight may contribute to a form of iatrogenic-induced obesity. In the field of functional foods it is therefore important to develop foods that enhance satiety without exerting detrimental effects on mood or cognitive performance; and to create more products for sustaining cognitive performance that do not compromise satiety.

A further challenge in this area is also to go beyond unitary cognitive functions to complete integrated performance relevant to modern life-styles such as vehicle driving, technological monitoring and operating industrial equipment. Only a very few studies (e.g. Moser et al. 1983) have examined the effects of food materials on a complicated skill such as car driving. This type of cognitive task clearly requires the interpretation of a number of cognitive functions such as reaction time, perceptual ability, information processing, tracking ability and memory.

The identification of biomarkers in the field of psychological and behavioural functions requires more thought and careful consideration. A biomarker can be conceptualised as an indicator of normal biological processes, a parameter associated with the psychological/behavioural response or a surrogate endpoint. In other areas of physiological functioning relevant to functional foods, a convenient biomarker could be a measured variable in blood or some other tissue that correlated with a functional endpoint or disease state. A good example could be a measure of intestinal flora for bowel disease. However, in this particular field it may be more difficult to measure some underlying physiological or neural variable than it is to measure the functional endpoint itself. For both satiety and cognitive performance we have suggested how the issue of biomarkers could be approached.
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