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1. Introduction. In this paper we study the following problem originally proposed by Walsh (8). To determine the class of functions $f(x)$ continuous in a given $n$-dimensional region $R$ and having the property that the value of $f(x)$ be equal to the average of $f(x)$ over the vertices of all sufficiently small regular polytopes similar to a given one, which are centred at $x$. This problem has been studied by several mathematicians $(\mathbf{1} ; \mathbf{6} ; \mathbf{8})$ and has been completely solved except for the four-dimensional regular polytopes $\{3,4,3\},\{3,3,5\}$, $\{5,3,3\}$ (see 3 , p. 129, for the meaning of these symbols) and the $n$-dimensional cube. In each case, the class of functions is identical with a class of harmonic polynomials which can be specified. In § 2, we solve the problem for the fourdimensional figures, thus leaving the problem open only for the $n$-dimensional cube. $\dagger$ We will give a detailed treatment for all regular polytopes simplifying the proofs of those cases already discussed in (1;6;8).

The problem of Walsh leads to a natural generalization. We observe that the groups of symmetries of the regular polytopes are generated by reflections, thus forming a subclass of the irreducible finite orthogonal reflection groups acting on $E^{n}$. We pose the problem of determining the functions $f(x)$, continuous in a given $n$-dimensional region $R$, and satisfying the mean value property

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{1}{g} \sum_{\sigma \in G} f(x+t \sigma y), \quad x \in R, 0<t<\epsilon_{x}, y \text { a fixed non-zero vector } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the order of $G, G$ being an irreducible finite orthogonal reflection group. If $G$ is the group of symmetries of a regular polytope $\pi_{n}$ centred at the origin and $y$ is an arbitrary vertex of $\pi_{n}$, then $G$ acts transitively on the vertices of $\pi_{n}$, and (1.1) becomes identical with Walsh's problem.

The study of the solution space to (1.1) turns out to be closely related to the invariant theory for the irreducible finite reflection groups. Chevalley ( $\mathbf{2}, \mathrm{p} .778$, Theorem A) has shown that for these groups, the algebra $I$ of invariants is generated by $n$ algebraically independent forms $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}$. Coxeter (3, Chapter 11) has classified these groups and has computed (4, p. 780, Table 3) the degrees $m_{1}+1, \ldots, m_{n}+1$ of the forms $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{n}$. The $\mathrm{m}_{j}$ s are distinct so that we may assume that $0<m_{1}<\ldots<m_{n}$. (This holds for all irreducible

[^0]finite orthogonal reflection groups $G$ which are not of type $B_{2 l}(2 l=n ; l \geqq 2)$. In this paper we shall always assume that $G \neq B_{2 l}$. In particular, the symmetry groups of the regular polytopes are not of type $B_{2 l}$.) Furthermore, $m_{1}=1, I_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}{ }^{2}$ (we use these facts later on). We have recently proved the following results concerning the solution space $S$ of (1.1).

Theorem 1.1 (7, Theorem 1.2). Let $G$ be an irreducible finite orthogonal reflection group acting on $E^{n}$. Let $P_{m}(x, y)=\sum_{\sigma \in G}(x \cdot \sigma y)^{m}(1 \leqq m<\infty)$ and

$$
J(x, y)=\frac{\partial\left(P_{m_{1}+1}, \ldots, P_{m_{n+1}}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}
$$

Then

$$
J(x, y)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} J_{k}(y) \prod_{k=1}^{r} L_{k}(x)
$$

where the $J_{k}$ s are homogeneous invariants $\left(\operatorname{deg} J_{k}=m_{k}+1\right)$ forming an integrity basis for $I$ and $L_{k}(x)=0(1 \leqq k \leqq r)$ are the reflecting hyperplanes corresponding to the reflections of $G$.

Let $S$ be the solution space of (1.1) and $D I I$ the linear span of partial derivatives of

$$
\Pi(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{r} L_{k}(x) .
$$

$S=D \Pi$ if and only if $J_{1}(y) \ldots J_{n}(y) \neq 0$ or, equivalently, if and only if $P_{m_{1}+1}(x, y), \ldots, P_{m_{n}+1}(x, y)$ are algebraically independent as polynomials in $x$.

We say that $y$ is an exceptional direction if $J_{1}(y) \ldots J_{n}(y)=0$ and refer to $\mathscr{M}=\left\{y \mid J_{1}(y) \ldots J_{n}(y)=0\right\}$ as the exceptional manifold. Let $G$ again denote the group of symmetries of an $n$-dimensional polytope $\pi_{n}$ centred at the origin. Walsh's problem will then be solved provided we can show that for such groups, $y \notin \mathscr{M}, y$ denoting an arbitrary vertex of $\pi_{n}$. We verify this statement, referred to as the vertex conjecture, for all regular polyhedra with the exception of the $n$-dimensional cube. As explained at the end of $\S 2$, we encounter a certain technical difficulty in this case which we cannot resolve.

Since the solution space $S$ to (1.1) can be characterized for $y \notin \mathscr{M}$, it is natural to ask whether $S$ can also be characterized for $y \in \mathscr{M}$. We solve this problem in § 3 for the dihedral and tetrahedral groups. The complexity of the solution in the latter case leads us to believe that the problem of characterizing $S$ when $y \in \mathscr{M}$ for all irreducible finite orthogonal reflection groups is a rather hopeless one.
2. Verification of the vertex conjecture. In § 3 we describe explicitly the exceptional manifold $\mathscr{M}$ for the dihedral group $D_{n}$, which is the group of symmetries of the regular $n$-gon $\{n\}$. We will find in this case that the vertices of the polygon do not lie in $\mathscr{M}$, thus verifying the vertex conjecture for $D_{n}$.

This leaves us with the figures $\{3,5\},\{5,3\},\{3,4,3\},\{3,3,5\},\{5,3,3\}, \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \gamma_{n}$. The classification of the regular polytopes and the symbol $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right\}$ were discussed in (3, Chapter VII). $\{3,5\}$ and $\{5,3\}$ are three-dimensional; $\{3,4,3\}$, $\{5,3,3\}$, and $\{3,3,5\}$ are four-dimensional; $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$, and $\gamma_{n}$ are $n$-dimensional. We consider separately these three classes. We will make constant use of the following result found in ( 7 , formula 3.4).

Theorem 2.1. Let $P_{m}(x, y)=\sum(x \cdot \sigma y)^{m}, G$ denoting an irreducible finite orthogonal reflection group. For fixed $y, P_{m}(x, y)$ is an invariant polynomial in $x$. $P_{m_{k+1}}(x, y)(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ has the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m_{k}+1}(x, y)=F_{k}\left(I_{1}(x), \ldots, I_{k-1}(x) ; I_{1}(x), \ldots, I_{k}(y)\right)+J_{k}(y) I_{k}(x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{k}$ is a polynomial in $I_{1}(x), \ldots, I_{k}(y)\left(F_{1}=0\right)$ and the $J_{k}$ s are the basic invariants introduced in Theorem 1.1.

In the following, $y$ will denote an arbitrary vertex of the regular polytope $\pi_{n}$, $G$ the group of symmetries of $\pi_{n}$. We define $P_{m}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(x \cdot y_{k}\right)^{m}$, where $y_{k}=\left(y_{k 1}, \ldots, y_{k n}\right)(1 \leqq k \leqq N)$ denote the $N$ vertices of $\pi_{n}$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $G$ which fixes the vertex $y$; i.e., $H$ is the stabilizer of $y$. Let $h$ be the order of $H$. It is readily checked that $P_{m}(x, y)=h P_{m}(x)$. (2.1) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m_{k}+1}(x)=F_{k}^{*}\left(I_{1}(x), \ldots, I_{k-1}(x)\right)+a_{k} I_{k}(x), \quad 1 \leqq k \leqq n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{k}{ }^{*}$ is a polynomial in $I_{1}(x), \ldots, I_{k-1}(x)\left(F_{1}{ }^{*}=0\right)$ and $a_{k}=(1 / h) J_{k}(y)$ is a constant. The representation (2.2) is unique as $I_{1}(x), \ldots, I_{n}(x)$ are algebraically independent. We therefore have the following result.

Corollary. The vertex conjecture is true if and only if $a_{k} \neq 0(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$, the $a_{k} s$ being the numbers occurring in the representation (2.2).

We remark that the latter condition is equivalent to either of the following two:
(i) $P_{m_{1+1}}(x), \ldots, P_{m_{n+1}}(x)$ are algebraically independent;
(ii) $P_{m_{1}+1}(x), \ldots, P_{m_{n}+1}(x)$ form an integrity basis for the algebra $I$ of invariants of $G$ (see 7, Lemma 2.5).

The polyhedra $\{3,5\}$ and $\{5,3\}$. The vertices of the icosahedron $\{3,5\}$ may be chosen as the even permutations of $(0, \pm \tau, \pm 1)$, where $\tau=\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{ } 5)$. The vertices of the dodecahedron $\{5,3\}$ may be chosen as $( \pm \tau, \pm \tau, \pm \tau)$ and the even permutations of $\left(0, \pm 1, \pm \tau^{2}\right)(3, \mathrm{p} .52)$. These two figures have the same symmetry group which is denoted by [3,5]. The degrees of the basic invariant forms of $[3,5]$ are $2,6,10$. Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ form a basic set of homogeneous invariants whose respective degrees are $2,6,10$. Suppose that the vertex conjecture is false. It follows from the corollary to Theorem 2.1 that either $P_{6}=c_{1} I_{1}{ }^{3}$ or $P_{10}=c_{2} I_{1}{ }^{5}+c_{3} I_{1}{ }^{2} I_{2}$, where the $c_{j} \mathrm{~s}$ are constants, $c_{1}>0$ as $P_{6}$ is positive-definite. Thus either $P_{6}=c_{1} I_{1}{ }^{3}$ or $I_{1} \mid P_{10}$. We show that this is not the case.

Let $k_{1}, k_{2}$ denote the coefficients of $x_{1}{ }^{6}$ and $x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{2}$ in $P_{6}$, respectively. Thus

$$
k_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}{ }^{6}, \quad k_{2}=90 \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}^{2} y_{j 2}^{2} y_{j 3}^{2},
$$

where $y_{j}=\left(y_{j 1}, y_{j 2}, y_{j 3}\right)(1 \leqq j \leqq N)$ denote the $N$ vertices. Direct computations show that for $\{3,5\}, k_{1}=4\left(\tau^{6}+1\right)=8 \sqrt{ } 5 \tau^{3}, k_{2}=0$, and for $\{5,3\}$, $k_{1}=8 \tau^{6}+4\left(1+\tau^{12}\right)=80 \tau^{6}, k_{2}=720 \tau^{6}$. It is easily checked that in both cases $k_{2} \neq 6 k_{1}$ while the $x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{2}$ coefficient of $I_{1}{ }^{3}=6\left(x_{1}{ }^{6}\right.$ the coefficient of $I_{1}{ }^{3}$ ). Hence $P_{6} \neq c_{1} I_{1}{ }^{3}$.

We show next that $I_{1} \nmid P_{10}$. Let $T$ be an orthogonal transformation sending one of the vertices of $\{3,5\}$ into a point along the $x_{3}$-axis. Let $Q_{10}(x)=$ $P_{10}\left(T^{-1} x\right)$. Thus

$$
Q_{10}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(T^{-1} x \cdot y_{j}\right)^{10}=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(x \cdot z_{j}\right)^{10}
$$

where $z_{j}=T y_{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq N)$, and $Q_{10}(1, i, 0)=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(z_{j 1}+z_{j 2} i\right)^{10}$. For $\{3,5\}$, two of the twelve numbers $z_{j 1}+z_{j 2} i(1 \leqq j \leqq 12)$ are 0 , the other ten being given by $\zeta^{k} w(0 \leqq k \leqq 9)$, where $\zeta=e^{\pi i / 5}$ and $w \neq 0$. For $\{5,3\}$, the twenty numbers $z_{j 1}+z_{j 2} i(1 \leqq j \leqq 20)$ are given by $\zeta^{k} w_{1}, \zeta^{k} w_{2}(0 \leqq k \leqq 9)$, where $t=w_{2} / w_{1}>0$ (see 3, p. 51, for the relevant diagrams). Thus $Q_{10}(1, i, 0)=$ $10 w^{10} \neq 0$ for $\{3,5\}$ and $Q_{10}(1, i, 0)=10\left(1+t^{10}\right) w_{1}{ }^{10} \neq 0$ for $\{5,3\}$. Since $I_{1}(1, i, 0)=0$, we conclude that $I_{1} \nmid Q_{10}$ which is equivalent to $I_{1} \nmid P_{10}$.

The four-dimensional figures $\{3,4,3\},\{3,3,5\}$, and $\{5,3,3\}$. We start our discussion with the figure $\{3,4,3\}$. The vertices of $\{3,4,3\}$ may be chosen as the permutations of $( \pm 1, \pm 1,0,0)(3, p .156)$. The symmetry group of $\{3,4,3\}$ is denoted by $[3,4,3]$ and the degrees of the basic invariant forms are $2,6,8,12$.

Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}$ be basic invariant forms whose respective degrees are $2,6,8,12$. Suppose that the vertex conjecture is false. Then either $P_{6}=c_{1} I_{1}{ }^{3}$ or $P_{8}=c_{2} I_{1}{ }^{4}+c_{3} I_{1} I_{2}$ or $P_{12}=c_{4} I_{1}{ }^{6}+c_{5} I_{1}{ }^{3} I_{2}+c_{6} I_{1} I_{3}+c_{7} I_{2}{ }^{2}$, where the $c_{i}$ s are constants, $c_{1}>0$. Thus either $P_{6}=c_{1} I_{1}{ }^{3}$ or $I_{1} \mid P_{8}$ or $P_{12} \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$. We show that these possibilities do not occur.

A direct computation yields

$$
\frac{1}{4} P_{6}=3 \sum_{j=1}^{4} x_{j}^{4}+15 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 4}\left(x_{j}^{4} x_{k}^{2}+x_{k}^{4} x_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

The coefficient of $x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{2}$ in $P_{6}$ is zero, while the coefficient of $x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{2}$ in $I_{1}{ }^{3}$ is not zero. Hence $P_{6} \neq c_{1} I_{1}{ }^{3}$. Thus $P_{6}$ and $I_{1}$ are algebraically independent and we may choose $I_{2}$ to be $P_{6}$. We have $I_{1}(1, i, 0,0)=0, I_{2}(1, i, 0,0)=$ $12\left(1^{6}+i^{6}\right)+60\left(i^{2}+i^{4}\right)=0, \quad P_{8}(1, i, 0,0)=2\left[(i+1)^{8}+(i-1)^{8}\right]+16$, $P_{2}(1, i, 0,0)=2\left[(1+i)^{12}+(1-i)^{12}\right]+16$. Since $1+i=\sqrt{ } 2 e^{\frac{12}{\pi i}}, 1-i=$ $\sqrt{ } 2 e^{-\frac{1}{4} \pi i}$, we have $(1+i)^{8}=(1-i)^{8}=16,(1+i)^{12}=(1-i)^{12}=-64$. Thus $P_{8}(1, i, 0,0)=80 \neq 0, P_{12}(1, i, 0,0)=-240 \neq 0$. We conclude that $I_{1} \nmid P_{8}$ and $P_{12} \notin\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$.

We now treat the figures $\{3,3,5\}$ and $\{5,3,3\}$. The 120 vertices of $\{3,3,5\}$ may be chosen as the permutations of $( \pm 2,0,0,0),( \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$, and the even permutations of $\left( \pm \tau, \pm 1, \pm \tau^{-1}, 0\right)$, The 600 vertices of $\{5,3,3\}$ may be chosen as the permutations of $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0,0),( \pm \sqrt{ } 5, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$, ( $\left.\pm \tau, \pm \tau, \pm \tau, \pm \tau^{-2}\right),\left( \pm \tau^{2}, \pm \tau^{-1}, \pm \tau^{-1}, \pm \tau^{-1}\right)$, along with the even permutations of $\left( \pm \tau^{2}, \pm \tau^{-2}, \pm 1,0\right),\left( \pm \sqrt{ } 5, \pm \tau^{-1}, \pm \tau, 0\right),\left( \pm 2, \pm 1, \pm \tau, \pm \tau^{-1}\right)$ ( $3, \mathrm{p} .157$ ). The two figures have the same symmetry group denoted by $[3,3,5]$. The degrees of the basic invariant forms are $2,12,20,30$.

Let $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{4}$ be basic invariant forms whose respective degrees are $2,12,20,30$. Suppose that the vertex conjecture is false. Then either $P_{12}=c_{1} I_{1}{ }^{6}$ or $P_{20}=c_{2} I_{1}{ }^{10}+c_{3} I_{1}{ }^{4} I_{2}$ or $P_{30}=c_{4} I_{1}{ }^{15}+c_{5} I_{1}{ }^{9} I_{2}+c_{6} I_{1}{ }^{3} I_{2}{ }^{2}+c_{7} I_{1}{ }^{5} I_{3}$, where the $c_{i}$ s are constant, $c_{1}>0$. Thus $I_{1} \mid P_{12}$ or $I_{1} \mid P_{20}$ or $I_{1} \mid P_{30}$. We show that these possibilities do not occur.

Let $x_{1}{ }^{a_{1}}, \ldots, x_{4}{ }^{a_{4}}$ be a monomial appearing in $P_{m}(x)$. Since the plus and minus sign can be chosen independently in the listing of vertices for $\{3,3,5\}$ and $\{5,3,3\}$, we conclude that the $a_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ must be even. Thus $P_{m}(x)=0$ for $m$ odd. For $m=2 k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2 k}(1, i, 0,0)=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(y_{j 1}+y_{j 2} i\right)^{2 k}=\sum_{a=0}^{k}\binom{2 k}{2 a} \sum_{j=1}^{N}(-1)^{a} y_{j i}{ }^{2 k-2 a} y_{j 2}{ }^{2 a} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $m$ be an odd prime, so that

$$
\binom{m+1}{2 a} \equiv 0(\bmod m) \quad \text { for } a=1,2, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}(m-1)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m+1}(1, i, 0,0) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}{ }^{m+1}+(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(m+1)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 2}{ }^{m+1} \quad(\bmod m) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}{ }^{m+1}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 2}{ }^{m+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m+1}(1, i, 0,0) \equiv\left\{1+(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(m+1)}\right\} \sum_{j=1}^{N}{y_{j 1}}^{m+1} \quad(\bmod m) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m+1}(1, i, 0,0) \equiv 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}{ }^{m+1}(\bmod m) \quad \text { for } m=11,19 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain a similar congruence for $P_{30}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{30}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i, 0)=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\sqrt{ } 2 y_{j 1}+y_{j 2} i+y_{j 3} i\right)^{30} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since 29 is prime, the multinomial coefficients behave like the above binomial coefficients, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{30}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i, 0) \equiv 2\left(2^{14}-1\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}{ }^{30} \equiv-4 \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}{ }^{30} \quad(\bmod 29) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}^{m+1} \not \equiv 0(\bmod m) \quad \text { for } m=11,19,29 .
$$

(2.5) then implies that $P_{m+1}(1, i, 0,0) \neq 0$ for $m=11,19$ and (2.8) implies that $P_{30}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i, 0) \neq 0$. Since $I_{1}(1, i, 0,0)=I_{1}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i, 0)$, we conclude that $I_{1} \nmid P_{m+1}$ for $m=11,19,29$.

We first consider $\{3,3,5\}$. A direct computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}^{m+1}=16+2 \cdot 2^{m+1}+24\left(\tau^{m+1}+\tau^{-(m+1)}+1\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that, when $n$ is even,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{n}+\tau^{-n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n+1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ is the Fibonacci sequence, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=f_{2}=1, \quad f_{n+2}=f_{n+1}+f_{n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

( 5 , pp. 166-167, equations 11.42 and 11.48). It is easily checked that the Fibonacci sequence modulo $m$ is periodic. In particular, $f_{n+m-1} \equiv f_{n}(\bmod m)$ for $m=11,19,29$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{m+1}+\tau^{-m-1}=f_{m}+f_{m+2} \equiv f_{1}+f_{3}=1+2=3 \quad(\bmod m) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $m$ being an odd prime, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{m-1} \equiv 1(\bmod m) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.9), (2.12), and (2.13) that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}^{m+1} \equiv 16+8+72+24=120 \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod m)
$$

We consider next the figure $\{5,3,3\}$. A direct computation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j 1}{ }^{m+1}= 12 \cdot 2^{m+1}+\left(16 \cdot 5^{\frac{1}{2}(m+1)}+\ldots\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
&= 240 \cdot 2^{m-1}+40 \cdot 5^{\frac{1}{2}(m+1)}+40\left(\tau^{2(m+1)}+\tau^{-2(m+1)}\right) \\
& \quad+120\left(\tau^{m+1}+\tau^{-(m+1)}\right)+120 \\
& \equiv 240+200 \cdot 5^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)}+40\left(f_{3}+f_{5}\right) \\
&+120\left(f_{1}+f_{3}+1\right) \quad(\bmod m) .
\end{align*}
$$

A direct check yields

$$
5^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)} \equiv 1(\bmod m) \quad \text { for } m=11,19,29 .
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_{j}^{m+1} \equiv 1200 \not \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod m)
$$

The $n$-dimensional polytopes. The vertex problem has been discussed for these figures in (6, pp. 264-266). We discuss the problem again here for the sake of completeness and give a more direct treatment for the $n$-dimensional simplex $\alpha_{n}$.

Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ denote the $n+1$ vertices of the $n$-dimensional simplex $\alpha_{n}$. Any $n$ of the $y_{j}$ s are then linearly independent. The symmetry group of $\alpha_{n}$ is denoted by $S_{n+1}$. The degrees of the basic invariants of $S_{n+1}$ are $2, \ldots, n+1$. We proceed to show that the polynomials

$$
P_{m}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left(x \cdot y_{j}\right)^{m} \quad(2 \leqq m \leqq n+1)
$$

are algebraically independent. Let $\xi_{j}=x \cdot y_{j} \quad(1 \leqq j \leqq n+1)$. Then $\xi_{j}=x \cdot y_{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq n)$ is a non-singular transformation from ( $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ) to $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} y_{j}=0, \sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\left(x \cdot y_{j}\right)=0$ so that $\xi_{n+1}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}$. Translating the problem into the ( $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$ ) variables we must show that the polynomials $P_{k}=\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_{j}{ }^{k} \quad(2 \leqq k \leqq n+1)$, where $\xi_{n+1}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}$, are algebraically independent. We do this as follows. Let $\bar{P}_{k}=\bar{P}_{k}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n+1}\right)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \xi_{j}{ }^{k}(1 \leqq k \leqq n+1)$. Let

$$
J=\frac{\partial\left(P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n+1}\right)}{\partial\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)}, \quad \bar{J}=\frac{\partial\left(\bar{P}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{P}_{n+1}\right)}{\partial\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n+1}\right)} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{J} & =\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & \cdot & \cdot & & 1 \\
\frac{\partial \bar{P}_{2}}{\partial \xi_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{\partial \bar{P}_{2}}{\partial \xi_{n+1}} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\frac{\partial \bar{P}_{n+1}}{\partial \xi_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \frac{\partial \bar{P}_{n+1}}{\partial \xi_{n+1}}
\end{array}\right|  \tag{2.15}\\
& =(n+1)!\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\xi_{1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & & \cdot & \cdot \\
\xi_{n+1} \\
\xi_{1}^{n+1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\prod_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq n+1}^{n+1} \\
\left(\xi_{k}-\xi_{j}\right) .
\end{array}\right. \\
& =(n+1)
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P_{r}}{\partial \xi_{s}}=\frac{\partial \bar{P}_{r}}{\partial \xi_{s}}-\frac{\partial \bar{P}_{r}}{\partial \xi_{n+1}}, \quad 2 \leqq r \leqq n+1,1 \leqq s \leqq n \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting the $(n+1)$ st column in $\bar{J}$ from all other columns we conclude from (2.16) that

$$
\bar{J}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc|c}
0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 1  \tag{2.1.}\\
\hdashline & - & - & - & \left\lvert\, \frac{\bar{\partial} \widetilde{P}_{2}}{\partial \xi_{n+1}}\right. \\
& & & & \cdot \\
& & & & \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial \bar{P}_{n+1}}{\partial \xi_{n+1}}\right.
\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{n+1} J .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=(-1)^{n+1}(n+1)!\prod_{1 \leq j<k \leq n+1}\left(\xi_{k}-\xi_{j}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi_{n+1}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=(-1)^{2 n+1}(n+1)!\prod_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq n}\left(\xi_{k}-\xi_{j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\xi_{1}+\ldots+\xi_{n}+\xi_{j}\right) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $J \not \equiv 0$ so that $P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n+1}$ are algebraically independent.
The $2 n$ vertices of the $n$-dimensional cross polytope ("octahedron") $\beta_{n}$ may be chosen to be the permutations of $( \pm 1,0, \ldots, 0) . \beta_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n}$ have the same symmetry group denoted by $C_{n}$. The degrees of the basic invariants of $C_{n}$ are given by $2 k(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$. Now

$$
P_{2 k}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n}\left(x \cdot y_{j}\right)^{2 k}=2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}^{2 k} \quad(1 \leqq k \leqq n) .
$$

We have just shown that the polynomials $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}{ }^{k}(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ are algebraically independent. Substituting $x_{j}{ }^{2}$ for $x_{j}$, we conclude that the polynomials $P_{2 k}(x)(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ are algebraically independent.
We note that for the figures $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$, the fact that $P_{m_{1+1}}, \ldots, P_{m_{n}+1}$ are algebraically independent is equivalent to the well-known fact that the power sums $\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j}{ }^{k}(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$ are algebraically independent. This is no longer the case for the $n$-dimensional cube. We may thus say that the difficulty of the vertex problem in this case stems from the abundance of vertices of $\gamma_{n}$. In ( $6, \mathrm{pp} .266-267$ ) the vertex problem is settled in the affirmative for $n \leqq 7$ but we have no proof yielding the result for all $n$.
3. The mean value problem for the exceptional directions. As shown in § 2, the solution space $S$ to (1.2) can be completely described provided $y \notin \mathscr{M}, \mathscr{M}$ denoting the exceptional manifold. We now take up the problem of describing $S$ when $y$ is an exceptional direction. We do this in detail for the dihedral group $D_{n}$ and for the group $S_{4}$ of the tetrahedron $\alpha_{3}$.

The dihedral group $D_{n}$. This group has a simple description if we introduce the complex coordinate $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$. We then choose $D_{n}$ to be the group generated by the linear transformations $z^{\prime}=\zeta z, z^{\prime}=\bar{z}$, where $\zeta=e^{2 \pi i / n}$. $D_{n}$ is thus the symmetry group of the regular $n$-gon $\{n\}$ with the vertices $r e^{i(\pi / n+2 k \pi / n)}(0 \leqq k<n), r>0$. The mirrors for the reflections of $D_{n}$ have the equations $\theta=\arg z=k \pi / n(0 \leqq k<2 n)$. These lines divide the plane into $2 n$ fundamental regions. The degrees of the basic invariants are 2 and $n,|z|^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Re}\left(z^{n}\right)$ forming a basic set. Thus $P_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y\right)=J_{1}(y)\left(x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$, $P_{n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, y\right)=a(y)\left(x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} n}+J_{2}(y)\left[\left(x_{1}-i x_{2}\right)^{n}+\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)^{n}\right]$, where $a(y)$ is a polynomial in $y, J_{1}(y)$ and $J_{2}(y)$ being the basic invariants introduced in Theorem 1.1. Since $J_{1}(y)=c\left(y_{1}{ }^{2}+y_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$, where $c \neq 0, \mathscr{M}=\left\{y \mid J_{2}(y)=0\right\}$. $P_{n}(1, i, y)=2^{n} J_{2}(y)$, so that we conclude that $y \in \mathscr{M}$ if and only if $P_{n}(1, i, y)=0$. Now $P_{n}(1, i, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{2 n}\left(y_{j 1}+y_{j 2} i\right)^{n}$, where the vectors $y_{j}=\left(y_{j 1}, y_{j 2}\right) \quad(1 \leqq j \leqq 2 n)$ denote the $2 n$ vectors $\sigma y \quad\left(\sigma \in D_{n}\right)$. Let $Y=y_{1}+y_{2} i$. The $2 n$ numbers $\left(y_{j 1}+y_{j 2} i\right)(1 \leqq j \leqq 2 n)$ are identical with the $2 n$ numbers $\zeta^{j} Y, \zeta^{j} \bar{Y}(0 \leqq j<n)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(1, i, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\zeta^{j} Y\right)^{n}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\zeta^{j} \bar{Y}\right)^{n}=n\left(Y^{n}+\bar{Y}^{n}\right)=2 n|Y|^{n} \cos n \theta \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y=|Y| e^{i \theta}$.
The exceptional directions are thus obtained by setting $\cos n \theta=0$. This occurs for $\theta=\pi / 2 n+k \pi / n \quad(0 \leqq k<2 n)$. Thus $\mathscr{M}$ consists of the angle bisectors of the $2 n$ fundamental regions determined by the mirrors for the reflections of $D_{n}$. Since $\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{n}\right)$ is a homogeneous $n$th degree polynomial vanishing on the reflecting lines $\theta=k \pi / n \quad(0 \leqq k<2 n)$, we may choose $\Pi(x)=\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{n}\right)$. Hence $S=D\left[\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{n}\right)\right]$ provided $\operatorname{Re}\left(Y^{n}\right) \neq 0$. If $y$ is a vertex, then $\operatorname{Re}\left(Y^{n}\right)=-r^{n} \neq 0$. The vertex of the $n$-gon $\{n\}$ is therefore not an exceptional direction so that the vertex problem is solved for $D_{n}$.

If $y \in \mathscr{M}$, then $\arg Y=\pi / 2 n+k \pi / n(0 \leqq k<2 n) . y$ is thus a vertex of the regular $2 n$-gon $\{2 n\}$ whose symmetry group is $D_{2 n}$. Hence $S=D\left[\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{2 n}\right)\right]$. We summarize the above discussion in the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Let $D_{n}$ be the dihedral group generated by $z^{\prime}=e^{2 \pi i / n} z, z^{\prime}=\bar{z}$. Let $Y=y_{1}+y_{2}$ i. If $\operatorname{Re}\left(Y^{n}\right) \neq 0$, then the solution space $S$ to (1.1) $\left(G=D_{n}\right)$ is given by $D\left[\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{n}\right)\right]$. If $\operatorname{Re}\left(Y^{n}\right)=0$, then $S=D\left[\operatorname{Im}\left(z^{2 n}\right)\right]$.

The symmetric group $S_{4}$. The vertices of the tetrahedron $\alpha_{3}$ may be chosen to be $(1,1,1),(1,-1,-1),(-1,-1,1),(-1,1,-1)$. Let $S_{4}$ be the group of 24 linear transformations $x_{j}{ }^{\prime}=\epsilon_{j} x_{\sigma(j)}(1 \leqq j \leqq 3)$, where $\sigma(j)$ is an arbitrary permutation of $1,2,3$ and either all $\epsilon_{j}=1$ or one $\epsilon_{j}$ equals 1 , the other two being equal to -1 . It is easily seen that $S_{4}$ is the group of symmetries of $\alpha_{3}$. The degrees of the basic invariants of $S_{4}$ are $2,3,4$. The basic invariants $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$ may be chosen as

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{j}{ }^{2}, \quad x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, \quad \sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq 3} x_{j}^{2} x_{k}^{2}
$$

A direct computation yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1} P_{2}(x, y)=I_{1}(y) I_{1}(x), \quad c_{2} P_{3}(x, y)=I_{2}(y) I_{2}(x), \\
& c_{4} P_{4}(x, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}{ }^{4} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{j}{ }^{4}+6 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} x_{j}{ }^{2} x_{k}{ }^{2} \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} y_{j}{ }^{2} y_{k}{ }^{2}  \tag{3.2}\\
&=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}{ }^{4}\right) I_{1}{ }^{2}(x)+\left[-2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}{ }^{4}+6 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} y_{j}{ }^{2} y_{k}{ }^{2}\right] I_{3}(x),
\end{align*}
$$

where the $c_{j} \mathrm{~S}$ are non-zero constants.
Let

$$
J_{1}(y)=I_{1}(y), \quad J_{2}(y)=I_{2}(y), \quad J_{3}(y)=-2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}^{4}+6 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} y_{j}{ }^{2} y_{k}{ }^{2}
$$

Since $J_{1}(y)=\sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}{ }^{2}$, we have $\mathscr{M}=\left\{y \mid J_{2}(y) J_{3}(y)=0\right\}$. A sketch of $\mathscr{M}$ is to be found in (9, p. 68). We now describe the solution space $S$ to (1.1) when $y \in \mathscr{M}$. For each fixed $y$, we form the ideal $\mathscr{P}_{y}$ generated by $P_{m}(x, y)$ $(1 \leqq m<\infty)$. We will obtain a finite basis for $\mathscr{P}_{y}$. We first prove two lemmas which will be useful in the remainder of the paper.

Lemma 3.1. Let $S_{4}$ be the group of symmetries of $\alpha_{3}$ and let $P_{m}(x, y)=$ $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{4}}(x \cdot \sigma y)^{m}(1 \leqq m<\infty)$. Then

$$
P_{m}(x, y)=\sum_{|a|=m} \frac{m!}{a!} x^{a} J_{a}(y)
$$

where $J_{a}(y)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{4}}(\sigma y)^{a}$. If $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$, then $J_{a}(y)=0$ unless all $a_{j} s$ have the same parity.

Proof.

$$
P_{m}(x, y)=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{4}}(x \cdot \sigma y)^{m}=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{4}} \sum_{|a|=m} \frac{m!}{a!} x^{a}(\sigma y)^{a}=\sum_{|a|=m} \frac{m!}{a!} x^{a} J_{a}(y) .
$$

Let $T$ be the group of permutations $\sigma$ of $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{a}(y)=\left[1+(-1)^{a_{1}+a_{2}}+(-1)^{a_{1}+a_{3}}+(-1)^{a_{2}+a_{3}}\right] \sum_{\sigma \in T}(\sigma y)^{m} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If all the $a_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ do not have the same parity, then two of the three numbers $a_{1}+a_{2}, a_{1}+a_{3}, a_{2}+a_{3}$ are odd and one is even. Thus $1+(-1)^{a_{1}+a_{2}}+$ $(-1)^{a_{1}+a_{3}}+(-1)^{a_{2}+a_{3}}=0$, proving the lemma.

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that for odd $m, J_{a}(y)=0$ unless $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ are all odd. In this case, $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\left|x^{a}, y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}\right| J_{a}(y)$. We thus obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.2. For odd $m$, we have $I_{2}(x) I_{2}(y) \mid P_{m}(x, y)$.
We now find a finite basis for $\mathscr{P}_{\boldsymbol{y}}$; we assume throughout the discussion that $y \neq 0$. We distinguish several cases. The required computations prove to be
rather lengthy and the final results are incorporated in a table at the end of this section.

Case I. $J_{2}(y) \neq 0, J_{3}(y)=0$. A direct computation yields $\left(I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x)\right)=$ $\left(P_{2}(x, y), P_{3}(x, y)\right)$. Because of Lemma 3.2, $P_{m} \in\left(I_{2}\right) \subset\left(P_{2}, P_{3}\right)$ for $m$ odd. Since $J_{3}(y)=0$, (3.2) shows that $P_{4} \in\left(I_{1}\right) \subset\left(P_{2}, P_{3}\right)$. Writing $P_{6}(x, y)$ and $P_{8}(x, y)$ as polynomials in $I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x), I_{3}(x)$ we obtain $P_{6}(x, y) \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{8}(x, y)=Q(x, y)+b_{0}(y) I_{3}^{2}(x) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(x, y) \in\left(I_{1}\right) \subset\left(I_{1}, I_{2}\right)=\left(P_{2}, P_{3}\right)$.
We show next that $b_{0}(y) \neq 0$. It then follows from (3.4) that $\left(P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{8}\right)=$ ( $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}{ }^{2}$ ). Writing out $P_{m}(x, y)$ as a polynomial in $I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x), I_{3}(x)$, we see that for $m \geqq 8, P_{m} \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$. It follows that $\mathscr{P}_{y}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$. Now $I_{1}(1, i, 0)=0, I_{3}(1, i, 0)=-1$. It follows from (3.3) that $P_{8}(1, i, 0, y)=$ $b_{0}(y)$. Using Lemma 3.1 to compute $P_{8}(1, i, 0, y)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b_{0}(y)}{16}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}{ }^{8}-14 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(y_{j}{ }^{6} y_{k}{ }^{2}+y_{j}{ }^{2} y_{k}{ }^{6}\right)+35 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} y_{j}{ }^{4} y_{k}{ }^{4} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $b_{0}(y)=0$. Let $u_{j}=y_{j}{ }^{2}(1 \leqq j \leqq 3)$. Since $J_{2}(y) \neq 0, u_{j}>0$ $(1 \leqq j \leqq 3) . J_{3}(y)=0$ and $b_{0}(y)=0$ become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{2}-3 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} u_{j} u_{k}=0, \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}^{4}-14 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(u_{j}^{3} u_{k}+u_{j} u_{k}^{3}\right)+35 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} u_{j}^{2} u_{k}^{2}=0, \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. We solve (3.6) by introducing the new variables

$$
\xi_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} u_{j}, \quad \xi_{2}=\sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} u_{j} u_{k}, \quad \xi_{3}=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} .
$$

We note that the polynomials appearing in (3.6) are symmetric in $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$. They can thus be rewritten as polynomials in $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}$. A straightforward but rather lengthy computation shows that (3.6) becomes transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}{ }^{2}-5 \xi_{2}=0, \quad \xi_{1}{ }^{4}-18 \xi_{1}{ }^{2} \xi_{2}-52 \xi_{1} \xi_{3}+65 \xi_{2}{ }^{2}=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the first equation of (3.7) into the second, we obtain $\xi_{1} \xi_{3}=0$. Since $\xi_{3}=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} \neq 0$, we have $\xi_{1}=0$. (3.7) then implies that $\xi_{2}=0$. $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ are the three roots of the equation $u^{3}-\xi_{1} u^{2}+\xi_{2} u-\xi_{3}=0$ so that $u_{j}{ }^{3}=\xi_{3}$ ( $1 \leqq j \leqq 3$ ). This is impossible since $\xi_{3}$ must have complex cubic roots. Thus $b_{0}(y) \neq 0$ and $\mathscr{P}_{v}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$.

We observe that $\xi_{1}{ }^{2}-5 \xi_{2}=0, \xi_{3}=0$ yield a solution to (3.7). Thus $J_{2}(y)=0$ and $J_{3}(y)=0$ imply that $b_{0}(y)=0$. We use this fact later on in Case III.

Case II. $J_{2}(y)=0, J_{3}(y) \neq 0$. It follows from (3.2) that $\left(P_{2}, P_{4}\right)=\left(I_{1}, I_{3}\right)$ and that $P_{3}=0$. Since $J_{2}(y)=0$, Lemma 3.2 implies that $P_{m}(x, y)=0$ for $m$ odd. Now $P_{6}(x, y)=R(x, y)+b_{1}(y) I_{2}{ }^{2}(x)$, where $R(x, y) \in\left(I_{1}\right)$ and $b_{1}(y)$ is a polynomial in $y$. If $b_{1}(y) \neq 0$, then $\left(P_{2}, P_{4}, P_{6}\right)=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}\right)$. Writing $P_{m}(x, y)$ as a polynomial in $I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x), I_{3}(x)$, we observe that for $m \geqq 6$, we have $P_{m} \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}\right)$. It follows that $\mathscr{P}_{y}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}\right)$. Since $I_{1}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i)=0, I_{2}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i)=-\sqrt{ } 2$, we have $P_{6}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i, y)=2 b_{1}(y)$. Using Lemma 3.1 to compute $P_{6}(x, y)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{4} P_{6}(x, y)=2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} x_{j}{ }^{6} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}{ }^{6} \\
+\frac{6!}{4!2!} \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(x_{j}{ }^{4} x_{k}{ }^{2}+x_{k}{ }^{4} x_{j}{ }^{2}\right) \cdot \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(y_{j}{ }^{4} y_{k}{ }^{2}+y_{k}{ }^{4} y_{j}{ }^{2}\right) \\
\\
+6 \frac{6!}{2!2!2!} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{2} y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{2} y_{3}{ }^{2},
\end{array}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \frac{1}{24} P_{6}(\sqrt{ } 2, i, i, y)=2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} y_{j}{ }^{6}-15 \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(y_{j}{ }^{4} y_{k}{ }^{2}+y_{k}{ }^{4} y_{j}{ }^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
+180 y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}^{2} y_{3}{ }^{2}
$$

Suppose now that $b_{1}(y)=0$. Since $J_{2}(y)=0, y_{j}=0$ for some $j(1 \leqq j \leqq 3)$. If $y_{3}=0$, then we conclude from (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(y_{1}{ }^{6}+y_{2}{ }^{6}\right)-15\left(y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{2}+y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{4}\right)=0 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $z=y_{2}{ }^{2} / y_{1}{ }^{2}$. (3.10) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z+1)\left(2 z^{2}-17 z+2\right)=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $y_{2} / y_{1}= \pm \sqrt{ }\left(\frac{1}{4}(17 \pm \sqrt{ } 273)\right)= \pm \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{ } 42 \pm \sqrt{ } 26)$. Setting in turn $y_{2}=0$ and $y_{1}=0$ we find that the common solutions to $b_{1}(y)=0, J_{2}(y)=0$ are given by the 24 rays $y_{\sigma(1)}=t, y_{\sigma(2)}= \pm \frac{1}{4}(\sqrt{ } 42 \pm \sqrt{ } 26) t, y_{\sigma(3)}=0$, where $t>0$ and $\sigma(j)$ denotes an arbitrary permutation of $1,2,3$. Let $L_{1}$ denote the union of these rays. We have shown that $\mathscr{P}_{v}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}\right)$ if $J_{2}(y)=0, J_{3}(y) \neq 0, y \notin L_{1}$.

We now investigate the case $y \in L_{1}$. A direct computation shows that $J_{3}(y) \neq 0$ for $y \in L_{1}$ so that we have again $\left(P_{2}, P_{4}\right)=\left(I_{1}, I_{3}\right)$. Since $b_{1}(y)=0$, we have $P_{6} \in\left(I_{1}\right) \subset\left(I_{1}, I_{3}\right)$. Writing out $P_{8}(x, y), P_{10}(x, y)$, and $P_{12}(x)$ as polynomials in $I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x)$, and $I_{3}(x)$, we find that $P_{m}(x, y) \in\left(I_{1}, I_{3}\right)$ for $m=8,10$ and $P_{12}(x, y)=S(x, y)+b_{2}(y) I_{2}{ }^{4}(x)$, where $S(x, y) \in\left(I_{1}, I_{3}\right)$ and $b_{2}(y)$ is a polynomial in $y$. We show that $b_{2}(y) \neq 0$ for $y \in L_{1}$. Thus $\left(P_{2}, P_{4}, P_{12}\right)=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{4}, I_{3}\right)$ for $y \in L_{1}$. Writing out $P_{m}(x, y)$ as a polynomial in $I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x), I_{3}(x)$, we find that for $m \geqq 12, P_{m} \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{4}, I_{3}\right)$. It thus follows that for $y \in L_{1}, \mathscr{P}_{y}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{4}, I_{3}\right)$. Let $\zeta=e^{\frac{1}{3} \pi i}$. Since $I_{1}\left(1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}\right)=$ $I_{3}\left(1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}\right)=0, I_{2}\left(1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}\right)=-1$, we have $P_{12}\left(1, \zeta, \zeta^{2}, y\right)=b_{2}(y)$. Since
$y \in L_{1}, y_{j}=0$ for some $j(1 \leqq j \leqq 3)$. Suppose that $y_{3}=0$. Using Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{4} P_{12}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right)=2\left(x_{1}{ }^{12}+x_{2}{ }^{12}+x_{3}^{12}\right)\left(y_{1}{ }^{12}+y_{2}{ }^{12}\right)  \tag{3.12}\\
+\binom{12}{2} \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(x_{j}{ }^{10} x_{k}{ }^{12}+x_{k}{ }^{10} x_{j}{ }^{2}\right) \cdot\left(y_{1}{ }^{10} y_{2}{ }^{2}+y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{10}\right) \\
+\binom{12}{4} \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(x_{j}{ }^{8} x_{k}{ }^{4}+x_{k}{ }^{8} x_{j}{ }^{4}\right) \cdot\left(y_{1}{ }^{8} y_{2}{ }^{4}+y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{8}\right) \\
\\
\\
+2\binom{12}{6} \sum_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3} x_{j}{ }^{6} x_{k}{ }^{6} \cdot y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{6} .
\end{array}
$$

Hence $b_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right)=0$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(y_{1}{ }^{12}+y_{2}{ }^{12}\right)-\binom{12}{2}\left(y_{1}{ }^{10} y_{2}{ }^{2}+y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2}{ }^{10}\right)  \tag{3.13}\\
&-\binom{12}{4}\left(y_{1}{ }^{8} y_{2}{ }^{4}+y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{8}\right)+2\binom{12}{6} y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{6}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right) \in L_{1},(3.10)$ holds. We claim that these two equations have no common solutions. It follows that $b_{2}(y) \neq 0$ for $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right) \in L_{1}$. The same reasoning holds if we assume that $y_{1}=0$ or $y_{2}=0$, so that $b_{2}(y) \neq 0$ for $y \in L_{1}$. To see that (3.10) and (3.13) are incompatible, we let $z=y_{2}{ }^{2} / y_{1}{ }^{2}$. (3.10) and (3.13) then become (3.11) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(z^{6}+1\right)-\binom{12}{2}\left(z^{5}+z\right)-\binom{12}{4}\left(z^{4}+z^{2}\right)+2\binom{12}{6} z^{3}=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It suffices to show that (3.14) and $2 z^{2}-17 z+2=0$ have no common root, which is equivalent to showing that $2 z^{2}-17 z+2$ does not divide the polynomial in (3.13). This can be done by a direct computation, which we omit.

Case III. $J_{2}(y)=J_{3}(y)=0$. Since $J_{2}(y)=0, y_{j}=0$ for some $j(1 \leqq j \leqq 3)$. Assume that $y_{3}=0$. Then the two equations $J_{2}(y)=0$ and $J_{3}(y)=0$ can be solved by setting $z=y_{2}{ }^{2} / y_{1}{ }^{2}$. We find that $y_{2} / y_{1}= \pm \tau^{-1}$. Similar calculations may be carried out for $y_{1}=0$ and $y_{2}=0$. The common solutions to $J_{2}(y)=0$, $J_{3}(y)=0$ are then given by the 24 rays $y_{\sigma(1)}=t, y_{\sigma(2)}= \pm \tau^{ \pm 1} t, y_{\sigma(3)}=0$, where $t>0$ and $\sigma(j)$ denotes any permutation of $1,2,3$. Let $L_{2}$ denote the union of these rays, so that $y \in L_{2}$.

Since $J_{2}(y)=0$, Lemma 3.2 implies that $P_{m}(x, y)=0$ for $m$ odd. Equations (3.2) show that $P_{4}(x, y) \in\left(I_{2}\right)$. Now $P_{6}(x, y)=R(x, y)+b_{1}(y) I_{2}{ }^{2}(x)$. It is seen by inspection that the rays in $L_{1}$ are distinct from those in $L_{2}$. Since $L_{1}$ is the solution to $J_{2}(y)=b_{1}(y)=0$, we must have $b_{1}(y) \neq 0$ for $y \in L_{2}$. Thus $\left(P_{2}, P_{6}\right)=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$. Using (3.4) and (3.5) we have $P_{8} \in\left(I_{1}\right) \subset\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ since $J_{2}(y)=0$ and $J_{3}(y)=0$ imply that $b_{0}(y)=0$. Writing out $P_{10}$ and $P_{12}$ as polynomials in $I_{1}(x), I_{2}(x), I_{3}(x)$, we find that $P_{10} \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}\right), P_{12}(x, y)=$ $T(x, y)+b_{3}(y) I_{3}{ }^{3}(x)$, where $T \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$. We show that $b_{3}(y) \neq 0$ so that
$\left(P_{2}, P_{6}, P_{12}\right)=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}{ }^{3}\right)$. Writing $P_{m}$ as a polynomial in $I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}$, we find that for $m \geqq 12$ we have $P_{m} \in\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}{ }^{3}\right)$. Thus $\mathscr{P}_{v}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}{ }^{3}\right)$ for $y \in L_{2}$.

Since $I_{1}(1, i, 0)=I_{2}(1, i, 0)=0$ and $I_{3}(1, i, 0)=-1$, we have

$$
P_{12}(1, i, 0, y)=-b_{3}(y) .
$$

Let $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right) \in L_{2}$. Using (3.12) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4} P_{12}\left(1, i, 0, y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right)=4\left(y_{1}{ }^{12}\right. & \left.+y_{2}{ }^{12}\right)-2\binom{12}{2}\left(y_{1}{ }^{10} y_{2}{ }^{2}+y_{2}{ }^{10} y_{1}{ }^{2}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& +2\binom{12}{4}\left(y_{1}{ }^{8} y_{2}{ }^{4}+y_{1}{ }^{4} y_{2}{ }^{8}\right)-2\binom{12}{6} y_{1}{ }^{6} y_{2}{ }^{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $z=y_{2}{ }^{2} / y_{1}{ }^{2} . J_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right)=0$ and $b_{3}(y)=0$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}-3 z+1=0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(z^{6}+1\right)-\binom{12}{2}\left(z^{5}+z\right)+\binom{12}{4}\left(z^{4}+z^{2}\right)-\binom{12}{6} z^{3}=0 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
$J_{3}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right)=0$ and $b_{3}(y)=0$ will be incompatible provided that (3.16) and (3.17) have no common root. However, (3.16) and (3.17) have a common root if and only if $z^{2}-3 z+1$ divides the polynomial of (3.17). A direct computation, which we omit here, shows that this is not so. Hence, if $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right) \in L_{2}$, then $b_{3}(y) \neq 0$. Reasoning in a similar fashion for $y_{1}=0$ and $y_{2}=0$, we see that $b_{3}(y) \neq 0$ for $y \in L_{2}$. Thus for $y \in L_{2}$, we have $\mathscr{P}_{y}=\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}{ }^{3}\right)$.

We observe that in all cases $\mathscr{P}_{y}=\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}\right)$, where $Q_{j}(1 \leqq j \leqq 3)$ is homogeneous and $Q_{1}(x)=Q_{2}(x)=Q_{3}(x)=0$ if and only if $x=0$. Furthermore, $S$ is the solution space to the system $Q_{j}(\partial / \partial x) f=0(1 \leqq j \leqq 3)$. It follows from a result in ( $\mathbf{6}$, the corollary to Theorem 2.2) that $S$ is a finitedimensional space spanned by homogeneous polynomials and $\operatorname{dim} S=k_{1} k_{2} k_{3}$, $\operatorname{deg} S=\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(k_{j}-1\right)$, where $\operatorname{deg} Q_{j}=k_{j}$. $\operatorname{dim} S$ denotes the dimension of $S$ while $\operatorname{deg} S$ denotes the maximum degree of the polynomials in $S$. We summarize our results in the following table:

| Case | ideal $\mathscr{P}_{y}$ | $\operatorname{dim} S$ | $\operatorname{deg} S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $J_{2}(y) \neq 0, J_{3}(y) \neq 0$ | $\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}\right)$ | 24 | 6 |
| $J_{2}(y) \neq 0, J_{3}(y)=0$ | $\left(I_{1}, I_{2}, I_{3}{ }^{2}\right)$ | 48 | 10 |
| $J_{2}(y)=0, J_{3}(y) \neq 0$, <br> $y \notin L_{1}$ | $\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}\right)$ | 48 | 9 |
| $J_{2}(y)=0, J_{3}(y) \neq 0$, <br> $y \in L_{1}$ | $\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{4}, I_{3}\right)$ | 96 | 15 |
| $J_{2}(y)=0, J_{3}(y)=0$ | $\left(I_{1}, I_{2}{ }^{2}, I_{3}{ }^{3}\right)$ | 144 | 17 |

We note that if $J_{2}(y) \neq 0, J_{3}(y) \neq 0$, then $S=D \Pi$, where

$$
\Pi=\prod_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(x_{j}{ }^{2}-x_{k}^{2}\right) .
$$

It is easily checked that $J_{2}(1,0,0)=0, J_{3}(1,0,0) \neq 0,(1,0,0) \notin L_{1}$. Thus $\mathscr{P}_{(1,0,0)}=\mathscr{P}_{y}$ for $J_{2}(y)=0, J_{3}(y) \neq 0, y \notin L_{1}$. The orbit of ( $1,0,0$ ) under $G$ is given by the permutations of $( \pm 1,0,0)$, these being the vertices of the octahedron $\beta_{3}$. It follows from the vertex problem that in this case $S=D \Pi$, where

$$
\Pi=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \prod_{1 \leqq j<k \leqq 3}\left(x_{j}^{2}-x_{k}^{2}\right) .
$$

It is not known whether a similar characterization of $S$ can be given in the remaining cases.
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