

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

Arithmetic intersection on GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces

Chao Li and Yihang Zhu

Compositio Math. **154** (2018), 1407–1440.

 ${\rm doi:} 10.1112/S0010437X18007108$







Arithmetic intersection on GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces

Chao Li and Yihang Zhu

Abstract

We prove an explicit formula for the arithmetic intersection number of diagonal cycles on GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces in the minuscule case. This is a local problem arising from the arithmetic Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture for orthogonal Shimura varieties. Our formula can be viewed as an orthogonal counterpart of the arithmetic–geometric side of the arithmetic fundamental lemma proved by Rapoport–Terstiege–Zhang in the minuscule case.

Contents

ion	1407
poport–Zink spaces	1411
section problem and the point-counting formula	1419
cedness of minuscule special cycles	1425
section length formula	1432
ements	1439
	1439
	ion apoport–Zink spaces section problem and the point-counting formula cedness of minuscule special cycles section length formula ements

1. Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The arithmetic Gan–Gross–Prasad conjecture (arithmetic GGP conjecture) generalizes the celebrated Gross–Zagier formula to higher dimensional Shimura varieties (see [GGP12, §27] and [Zha12, §3.2]). It is a conjectural identity relating the height of certain algebraic cycles on Shimura varieties to the central derivative of certain Rankin–Selberg *L*-functions. Let us briefly recall the rough statement of the conjecture. The diagonal embeddings of unitary groups

$$H = \mathrm{U}(1, n-1) \hookrightarrow G = \mathrm{U}(1, n-1) \times \mathrm{U}(1, n)$$

or of orthogonal groups

$$H = \mathrm{SO}(2, n-1) \hookrightarrow G = \mathrm{SO}(2, n-1) \times \mathrm{SO}(2, n)$$

induce an embedding of Shimura varieties $\operatorname{Sh}_H \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Sh}_G$. We denote its image by Δ and call it the *diagonal cycle* or the *GGP cycle* on Sh_G . Let π be a tempered cuspidal automorphic representation on *G* appearing in the middle cohomology of Sh_G . Let Δ_{π} be the (cohomological trivialization of the) π -component of Δ . The arithmetic GGP conjecture asserts that the

(conditional) Beilinson–Bloch–Gillet–Soulé height of Δ_{π} should be given by the central derivative of a certain Rankin–Selberg *L*-function $L(s,\pi)$ up to simpler factors,

$$\langle \Delta_{\pi}, \Delta_{\pi} \rangle \sim L'(1/2, \pi).$$

The Gross–Zagier formula [GZ86] and the work of Gross, Kudla and Schoen [GK92, GS95] can be viewed as the special cases n = 1 and n = 2 in the orthogonal case correspondingly. The recent work of Yuan *et al.* [YZZ13, YZZ12] has proved this conjecture for n = 1, 2 in the orthogonal case in vast generality.

In the unitary case, Zhang has proposed an approach for general n using the relative trace formula of Jacquet–Rallis. The relevant arithmetic fundamental lemma relates an arithmetic intersection number of GGP cycles on unitary Rapoport–Zink spaces with a derivative of orbital integrals on general linear groups. The arithmetic fundamental lemma has been verified for n = 1, 2 by Zhang [Zha12] and for general n in the minuscule case by Rapoport *et al.* [RTZ13].

In the orthogonal case, very little is known currently beyond n = 1, 2 and no relative trace formula approach has been proposed yet. However, it is notable that Krishna [Kri16] has recently established a relative trace formula for the case $SO(2) \times SO(3)$ and one can hope that his method will generalize to formulate a relative trace formula approach for general $SO(n-1) \times SO(n)$.

Our goal in this article is to establish an orthogonal counterpart of the arithmetic–geometric side of the arithmetic fundamental lemma in [RTZ13], namely to formulate and compute the arithmetic intersection of GGP cycles on GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces in the minuscule case.

1.2 The main results

Let p be an odd prime. Let $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, W = W(k), K = W[1/p] and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(W)$ be the lift of the absolute p-Frobenius on k. Let $n \ge 4$.¹ Let V^{\flat} be a self-dual quadratic space over \mathbb{Z}_p of rank n-1 and let $V = V^{\flat} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p x_n$ (orthogonal direct sum) be a self-dual quadratic space over \mathbb{Z}_p of rank n, where x_n has norm 1. Associated to the embedding of quadratic spaces $V^{\flat} \hookrightarrow V$ we have an embedding of algebraic groups $G^{\flat} = \operatorname{GSpin}(V^{\flat}) \hookrightarrow G = \operatorname{GSpin}(V)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . After suitably choosing compatible local unramified Shimura–Hodge data $(G^{\flat}, b^{\flat}, \mu^{\flat}, C(V^{\flat})) \hookrightarrow (G, b, \mu, C(V))$, we obtain a closed immersion of the associated GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces

$$\delta: \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{RZ}$$

See §2 for precise definitions and see §3.2 for the moduli interpretation of δ . The space RZ is an example of Rapoport–Zink spaces of Hodge type, recently constructed by Kim [Kim13] and Howard and Pappas [HP17]. It is a formal scheme over Spf W, parameterizing deformations (up to quasi-isogeny) of a *p*-divisible group \mathbb{X}_0/k with certain crystalline Tate tensors (coming from the defining tensors of G inside some GL_N). Roughly speaking, if X^{\flat} is the *p*-divisible group underlying a point $x \in \operatorname{RZ}^{\flat}$, then the *p*-divisible group underlying $\delta(x) \in \operatorname{RZ}$ is given by $X = X^{\flat} \oplus X^{\flat}$.

Remark 1.2.1. The datum $(G, b, \mu, C(V))$ is chosen in such a way that the space RZ provides a p-adic uniformization of $(\widehat{\mathscr{S}}_W)_{/\mathscr{S}_{ss}}$, the formal completion of \mathscr{S}_W along \mathscr{S}_{ss} . Here \mathscr{S}_W is the base change to W of Kisin's integral model [Kis10] of a GSpin Shimura variety (which is of Hodge type) at a good prime p and \mathscr{S}_{ss} is the supersingular locus (= the basic locus in this case) of the special fiber of \mathscr{S}_W . For more details, see [HP17, § 7.2].

¹ When n = 3, one can formulate the arithmetic fundamental lemma for SO(2)×SO(3) building on the work [Kri16]. We have verified it in the minuscule case by explicit calculation and so we exclude n = 3 for notational convenience.

The group $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{g \in G(K) : gb = b\sigma(g)\}$ consists of the \mathbb{Q}_p -points of an inner form of G and acts on RZ via its action on the fixed p-divisible group \mathbb{X}_0 . Let $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. As explained in §3, the intersection of the GGP cycle Δ on $\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} \times_W \mathrm{RZ}$ and its g-translate leads to study of the formal scheme

$$\delta(\mathbf{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathbf{RZ}^{g},\tag{1.2.1.1}$$

where RZ^{g} denotes the *q*-fixed points of RZ.

We call $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ regular semisimple if

$$L(g) := \mathbb{Z}_p x_n + \mathbb{Z}_p g x_n + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_p g^{n-1} x_n$$

is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank n. Let $L(g)^{\vee}$ denote the dual lattice of L(g). We further call gminuscule if $L(g) \subset L(g)^{\vee}$ (i.e. the quadratic form restricted to L(g) is valued in \mathbb{Z}_p) and $L(g)^{\vee}/L(g)$ is a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. See Definition 3.3.2 for equivalent formulations. When $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is regular semisimple and minuscule, we will show that the formal scheme (1.2.1.1) is in fact a zero-dimensional scheme of characteristic p. Our main theorem is an explicit formula for its arithmetic intersection number (i.e. the total W-length of its local rings).

To state the formula, assume that g is regular semisimple and minuscule and assume that \mathbb{RZ}^g is non-empty. Then g stabilizes both $L(g)^{\vee}$ and L(g) and thus acts on the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $L(g)^{\vee}/L(g)$. Let $P(T) \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$ be the characteristic polynomial of g acting on $L(g)^{\vee}/L(g)$. For any irreducible polynomial $R(T) \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$, we denote its multiplicity in P(T) by m(R(T)). Moreover, for any polynomial R(T), we define its *reciprocal* by

$$R^*(T) := T^{\deg R(T)} \cdot R(1/T).$$

We say that R(T) is self-reciprocal if $R(T) = R^*(T)$. Now we are ready to state our main theorem.

THEOREM A. Let $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be regular semisimple and minuscule. Assume that \mathbb{RZ}^g is nonempty. Then we have the following.

(1) (Corollary 5.1.2) $\delta(\mathbf{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathbf{RZ}^{g}$ is a scheme of characteristic p.

(2) (Theorem 3.6.4) $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}$ is non-empty if and only if P(T) has a unique self-reciprocal monic irreducible factor Q(T)|P(T) such that m(Q(T)) is odd. In this case, $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g})(k)$ is finite and has cardinality

$$\deg Q(T) \cdot \prod_{R(T)} (1 + m(R(T))),$$

where R(T) runs over all non-self-reciprocal monic irreducible factors of P(T). Here the group $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ acts on RZ via the central embedding $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \hookrightarrow J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and the action stabilizes $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^g$.

(3) (Corollary 5.4.2) Let c = (m(Q(T)) + 1)/2. Then $1 \le c \le n/2$. Assume that p > c. Then $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}$ is a disjoint union over its k-points of copies of Spec $k[X]/X^{c}$. In particular, the intersection multiplicity at each k-point of $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}$ is the same and equals c.

Along the way we also prove a result that should be of independent interest. In [HP17], Howard and Pappas defined closed formal subschemes RZ_{Λ} of RZ for each vertex lattice Λ (recalled in § 2). Howard and Pappas studied the reduced subschemes RZ_{Λ}^{red} in detail and proved that they form a nice stratification of RZ^{red} . We prove the following.

THEOREM B (Theorem 4.2.11). $RZ_{\Lambda} = RZ_{\Lambda}^{red}$ for each vertex lattice Λ .

1.3 Novelty of the method

The results (Theorems A and B) are parallel to the results in [RTZ13] for unitary Rapoport–Zink spaces. The main new difficulty in the GSpin case is due to the fact that, unlike the unitary case, the GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces are *not* of PEL type. They are only of Hodge type and as for now they lack full moduli interpretations that are easy to work with directly (see Remark 2.4.1).

In [RTZ13], the most difficult parts are the reducedness of minuscule special cycles [RTZ13, Theorem 10.1] and the intersection length formula [RTZ13, Theorem 9.5]. They are the analogues of Theorems B and A(3), respectively. In [RTZ13], they are proved using Zink's theory of windows and displays of *p*-divisible groups and involve rather delicate linear algebra computation. In contrast, in our method we rarely directly work with *p*-divisible groups and we completely avoid computations with windows or displays. Instead we make use of what are essentially consequences of Kisin's construction of integral models of Hodge-type Shimura varieties to *abstractly* reduce the problem to algebraic geometry over k. More specifically, we reduce the intersection length computation to the study of a certain scheme of the form $S^{\bar{g}}_{\Lambda}$ (Proposition 5.1.4), where S_{Λ} is a smooth projective k-variety closely related to orthogonal Grassmannians and \bar{g} is a certain finite-order automorphism of S. Thus, our method overcomes the difficulty of non-PEL type and also makes the actual computation much more elementary.

It is worth mentioning that our method also applies to the unitary case considered in [RTZ13]. Even in this PEL-type case, our method gives a new and arguably simpler proof of the arithmetic fundamental lemma in the minuscule case (see [LZ17]).

It is also worth mentioning that the very recent work of Bueltel and Pappas [BP17] gives a new moduli interpretation for Rapoport–Zink spaces of Hodge type when restricted to p-nilpotent noetherian algebras. Their moduli description is purely group theoretic (in terms of (G, μ) -displays) and does not involve p-divisible groups. Although we do not use (G, μ) -displays in this article, it would be interesting to see if it is possible to extend the results of this article using their group-theoretic description (e.g. to certain non-minuscule cases).

1.4 Strategy of the proofs

Our key observation is that in order to prove these theorems, we only need to understand \mathcal{O} -points of RZ for very special choices of W-algebras \mathcal{O} .

To prove Theorem B, it turns out that we only need to understand $\operatorname{RZ}(W/p^2)$ and $\operatorname{RZ}(k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2)$. Note that the W-algebras W/p^2 and $k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$, when viewed as thickenings of Spec k (under reduction modulo p or ϵ , respectively), are objects of the crystalline site of Spec k. For such an object \mathcal{O} , we give in Theorem 4.1.7 an explicit description of $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathcal{O})$ and more generally an explicit description of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{O})$ for any special cycle \mathcal{Z} in RZ. Theorem 4.1.7 is the main tool to prove Theorem B and is also the only place we use p-divisible groups. This result is a Rapoport–Zink space analogue of a result of Madapusi Pera [Mad16, Proposition 5.16] for GSpin Shimura varieties. Its proof also relies on [Mad16, Proposition 5.16] and is ultimately a consequence of Kisin's construction of the integral canonical models of Hodge-type Shimura varieties [Kis10].

To prove the intersection length formula (Theorem A(3)), let Λ be the vertex lattice $L(g)^{\vee}$. Theorem B allows us to reduce Theorem A(3) to the problem of studying the fixed-point subscheme of the smooth k-variety $S_{\Lambda} \cong p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda}^{\operatorname{red}}$ under the induced action $\bar{g} \in \operatorname{SO}(\Lambda/\Lambda^{\vee})$ of g. Moreover, Howard and Pappas [HP17] have provided an explicit description of S_{Λ} . Thus, we now face a problem that purely concerns algebraic geometry over k. Since the fixed point of a smooth k-variety under a group of order coprime to p is still smooth [Ive72, 1.3], this point of view immediately explains that when \bar{g} is semisimple (in which case m(Q(T)) = 1), the intersection multiplicity must be 1. More generally, under the simplifying assumption p > c, we further reduce the intersection length computation to more elementary algebraic geometry of orthogonal Grassmannians over k (Lemma 5.2.9), which allows us to finally obtain the intersection length formula.

The remaining parts of Theorem A are relatively easier. From Theorem B, it is not difficult to deduce Theorem A(1). The set of k-points of RZ is well understood group theoretically in terms of the affine Deligne-Lusztig set. The point-counting formula (Theorem A(2)) essentially only relies on this description and we follow the strategy in [RTZ13] to give a short streamlined proof (Proposition 3.4.4).

1.5 Organization of the paper

In §2, we review the structure of GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces and special cycles. In §3, we formulate the arithmetic intersection problem of GGP cycles and prove the point-counting formula for the k-points of the intersection in the minuscule case (Theorem A(2)). In §4, we prove reducedness of minuscule special cycles (Theorem B). In §5, we deduce from Theorem B that the arithmetic intersection is concentrated in the special fiber (Theorem A(1)) and finally compute the intersection length when p is sufficiently large (Theorem A(3)).

2. GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces

In this section we review the structure of GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces due to Howard and Pappas [HP17]. We refer to [HP17] for the proofs of these facts.

2.1 Quadratic spaces and GSpin groups

Let p be an odd prime. Let (V, q) be a non-degenerate self-dual quadratic space over \mathbb{Z}_p of rank $n \ge 3$. By definition, the *Clifford algebra* C(V) is the quotient of the tensor algebra V^{\otimes} by the two-sided ideal generated by elements of the form $v \otimes v - q(v)$. It is free of rank 2^n over \mathbb{Z}_p . The linear map $v \mapsto -v$ preserves the quadratic form q on V and induces an involution on C(V). This involution decomposes $C(V) = C^+(V) \oplus C^-(V)$ into even and odd parts. The image of the injection $V \hookrightarrow C^-(V)$ generates C(V) as a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra.

We also have a canonical involution $*: C(V) \to C(V)$, which is a \mathbb{Z}_p -linear endomorphism characterized by $(v_1v_2\cdots v_k)^* = v_k\cdots v_2v_1$ for $v_i \in V$. The spinor similated group $G = \operatorname{GSpin}(V)$ is the reductive group over \mathbb{Z}_p such that for a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra R,

$$G(R) = \{ g \in C^+(V)^{\times} : gV_R g^{-1} = V_R, \ g^* g \in R^{\times} \}.$$

The character $\eta_G: G \to \mathbb{G}_m$ given by $g \mapsto g^*g$ is called the *spinor similitude*.

Let G act on C(V) by the conjugation action $g \cdot v := gvg^{-1}$. This action stabilizes V and preserves the quadratic form q. Thus, we obtain a homomorphism

$$G \to \mathrm{SO}(V).$$

The kernel of the above morphism is the central \mathbb{G}_m inside G given by the natural inclusion $R^{\times} \subset G(R)$ for any \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra R. The restriction of η_G on the central \mathbb{G}_m is given by $g \mapsto g^2$. Note that the central \mathbb{G}_m in G is equal to the identity component of the center of G and it is equal to the center of G precisely when n is odd.

2.2 Basic elements in GSpin groups

Let $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, W = W(k) and K = W[1/p]. Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(W)$ be the lift of the absolute *p*-Frobenius on *k*. Let $D = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(C(V), \mathbb{Z}_p)$ be the contragredient *G*-representation of C(V).

Any $b \in G(K)$ determines two isocrystals

$$(V_K, \Phi = b \circ \sigma), \quad (D_K, F = b \circ \sigma).$$

Denote by \mathbb{T} the pro-torus over \mathbb{Q}_p of character group \mathbb{Q} . Recall that $b \in G(K)$ is *basic* if its slope morphism $\nu_b : \mathbb{T}_K \to G_K$ factors through (the identity component of) $Z(G_K)$, i.e. factors through the central \mathbb{G}_m . By [HP17, Lemma 4.2.4], b is basic if and only if (V_K, Φ) is isoclinic of slope 0, if and only if (D_K, F) is isoclinic of slope $-\nu_b \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{T}_K, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. The map $b \mapsto \nu_b$ gives a bijection between the set of basic σ -conjugacy classes and the set $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the \mathbb{Q}_p -quadratic space

$$V_K^{\Phi} = \{ x \in V_K : \Phi x = x \}$$

has the same dimension and determinant as $V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and has Hasse invariant $(-1)^{2\nu_b}$ [HP17, Proposition 4.2.5].

2.3 Local unramified Shimura–Hodge data

Since V is self-dual, we know that $V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ has Hasse invariant +1. In particular, V contains at least one hyperbolic plane and we can pick a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis x_1, \ldots, x_n of V such that the Gram matrix of the quadratic form q under this basis² is of the form

We will fix x_1, \ldots, x_n once and for all. Define a cocharacter

$$\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \to G, \quad t \mapsto t^{-1}x_1x_2 + x_2x_1.$$

Pick an explicit element $b = x_3(p^{-1}x_1 + x_2) \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Then one can show that b is basic with $\nu_b = \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, V_K^{Φ} has the opposite Hasse invariant -1 (cf. § 2.2).

Fix any $\delta \in C(V)^{\times}$ such that $\delta^* = -\delta$. Then $\psi_{\delta}(c_1, c_2) = \operatorname{Trd}(c_1 \delta c_2^*)$ defines a non-degenerate symplectic form on C(V), where $\operatorname{Trd} : C(V) \to \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the reduced trace (see [HP17, § 4.1.2]). We have a closed immersion into the symplectic similitude group

$$G \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GSp}(C(V), \psi_{\delta}).$$

By [HP17, Proposition 4.2.6], the tuple $(G, b, \mu, C(V))$ defines a *local unramified Shimura–Hodge* datum in the sense of [HP17, Definition 2.2.4]. In fact, for the fixed G and μ , the σ -conjugacy class of b is the unique basic σ -conjugacy class for which (G, b, μ) is a local unramified Shimura–Hodge datum (see [HP17, Remark 4.2.7]).

Remark 2.3.1. The tuple $(G, b, \mu, C(V))$ is chosen in such a way that the associated Rapoport– Zink space (see below) provides a *p*-adic uniformization for the supersingular locus of a related GSpin Shimura variety. For more details on the relation with Shimura varieties, see [HP17, §7].

² By this we mean the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i, j)th entry is $q(x_i + x_j) - q(x_i) - q(x_j)$.

Arithmetic intersection on GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces

2.4 GSpin Rapoport–Zink spaces

There is a unique (up to isomorphism) *p*-divisible group \mathbb{X}_0/k such that its (contravariant) Dieudonné module $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{X}_0)$ is given by the *W*-lattice D_W in the isocrystal D_K . The non-degenerate symplectic form ψ_{δ} induces a principal polarization λ_0 of \mathbb{X}_0 . Fix a collection of tensors (s_{α}) on C(V), including the symplectic form ψ_{δ} as a member, that cut out *G* from GL(C(V)). By [HP17, §4.3], we have a *GSpin Rapoport–Zink space*

$$RZ := RZ(G, b, \mu, C(V), (s_{\alpha})).$$

It is a formal scheme over W, together with a closed immersion into the symplectic Rapoport– Zink space $RZ(X_0, \lambda_0)$. Moreover, the formal scheme RZ itself depends only on the local unramified Shimura–Hodge datum $(G, b, \mu, C(V))$ and not on the choice of the tensors (s_α) .

Denote by (X, ρ, λ) the universal triple over $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)$, where X is the universal p-divisible group, ρ is the universal quasi-isogeny and λ is the universal polarization. Consider the restriction of this triple to the closed formal subscheme RZ of $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)$. We denote this restricted triple also by (X, ρ, λ) and call it the universal triple over RZ.

Remark 2.4.1. Let Nilp_W be the category of W-algebras in which p is nilpotent. As a set-valued functor on the category Nilp_W , the symplectic Rapoport–Zink space $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)$ has an explicit moduli interpretation in terms of triples (X, ρ, λ) . In contrast, the subfunctor defined by RZ does not have an explicit description. In fact, in [HP17] Howard and Pappas only give a moduli interpretation of RZ when it is viewed as a set-valued functor on a more restricted category $\operatorname{ANilp}_W^{\text{fsm}}$. In this article we do not make use of this last moduli interpretation. All we will need is the global construction of RZ as a formal subscheme of $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)$ due to Howard and Pappas.

Over RZ, the universal quasi-isogeny ρ respects the polarizations λ and λ_0 up to a scalar $c(\rho) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$, i.e. $\rho^{\vee} \circ \lambda \circ \rho = c^{-1}(\rho) \cdot \lambda_0$ (Zariski locally on RZ_k). Let $\mathrm{RZ}^{(\ell)} \subseteq \mathrm{RZ}$ be the closed and open formal subscheme where $\mathrm{ord}_p(c(\rho)) = \ell$. We have the decomposition into a disjoint union

$$RZ = \coprod_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} RZ^{(\ell)}$$

In fact, each $RZ^{(\ell)}$ is connected and they are mutually (non-canonically) isomorphic; see [HP17, §§ 4.3.3 and 4.3.4].

2.5 The group J_b

The algebraic group $J_b = \operatorname{GSpin}(V_K^{\Phi})$ has \mathbb{Q}_p -points

$$J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \{g \in G(K) : gb = b\sigma(g)\}$$

and $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts on RZ via its action on \mathbb{X}_0 as quasi-endomorphisms. The action of $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on RZ restricts to isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{RZ}^{(\ell)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RZ}^{(\ell + \mathrm{ord}_p(\eta_b(g)))}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(2.5.0.1)

where $\eta_b : J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}$ is the spinor similitude. In particular, $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ acts on RZ and, since $\eta_b(p) = p^2$, we have an isomorphism

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ} \cong \mathrm{RZ}^{(0)} \coprod \mathrm{RZ}^{(1)}$$

Remark 2.5.1. In this article we are interested in studying the fixed locus \mathbb{RZ}^g of \mathbb{RZ} under $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. By (2.5.0.1), this is non-empty only when $\operatorname{ord}_p(\eta_b(g)) = 0$. Since $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is central in $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, one could also study $(p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathbb{RZ})^g$ for $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. However, by (2.5.0.1), we know that $(p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathbb{RZ})^g \neq \emptyset$ only if $\operatorname{ord}_p(\eta_b(g))$ is even and in this case

$$(p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ})^g \cong p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{g_0},$$

where $g_0 = p^{-\operatorname{ord}_p(\eta_b(g))/2}g$. Hence, the study of $(p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \operatorname{RZ})^g$ for general g reduces to the study of RZ^g for g satisfying $\operatorname{ord}_p(\eta_b(g)) = 0$.

2.6 Special endomorphisms

Using the injection $V \hookrightarrow C(V)^{\mathrm{op}}$, we can view

 $V \subseteq \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(D)$

as special endomorphisms of D: the action of $v \in V$ on D is explicitly given by

$$(vd)(c) = d(vc), \quad d \in D, \quad c \in C(V).$$

Base changing to K gives $V_K \subseteq \operatorname{End}_K(D_K)$. Since the space $\operatorname{End}_{K,F}(D_K)$ of F-equivariant endomorphisms of D_K can be identified with the space $\operatorname{End}^0(\mathbb{X}_0)$ of quasi-endomorphisms of \mathbb{X}_0 , we obtain an embedding of \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces

$$V_K^{\Phi} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}^0(\mathbb{X}_0).$$

Elements of V_K^{Φ} are thus viewed as quasi-endomorphisms of X_0 and we call them *special quasi-endomorphisms*.

2.7 Vertex lattices

DEFINITION 2.7.1. A vertex lattice is a \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice $\Lambda \subseteq V_K^{\Phi}$ such that

$$p\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda^{\vee} \subseteq \Lambda.$$

We define

$$\Omega_0 = \Lambda / \Lambda^{\vee}.$$

Then the quadratic form $v \mapsto p \cdot q(v)$ makes Ω_0 a non-degenerate quadratic space over \mathbb{F}_p . The *type* of Λ is defined to be $t_{\Lambda} := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Omega_0$.

By [HP17, 5.1.2], the type of a vertex lattice is always an *even* integer t such that $2 \le t \le t_{\text{max}}$, where

$$t_{\max} = \begin{cases} n-2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \det(V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) = (-1)^{n/2} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}/(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})^2, \\ n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ n & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \det(V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}) \neq (-1)^{n/2} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}/(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\times})^2. \end{cases}$$

It follows that the quadratic space Ω_0 is always *non-split*, because otherwise a Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \Omega_0$ would provide a vertex lattice $\Lambda^{\vee} + \mathcal{L} \subseteq V_K^{\Phi}$ of type 0 (cf. [HP17, 5.3.1]).

2.8 The variety S_{Λ}

DEFINITION 2.8.1. Define

$$\Omega = \Omega_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k \cong \Lambda_W / \Lambda_W^{\vee}$$

Let $d = t_{\Lambda}/2$. Let $OGr(\Omega)$ be the moduli space of Lagrangian subspaces $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \Omega$. We define $S_{\Lambda} \subseteq OGr(\Omega)$ to be the reduced closed subscheme of $OGr(\Omega)$ with k-points given as follows:

$$S_{\Lambda}(k) = \{ \text{Lagrangian subspaces } \mathcal{L} \subseteq \Omega : \dim(\mathcal{L} + \Phi(\mathcal{L})) = d + 1 \} \\ \cong \{ (\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d) : \mathcal{L}_d \subseteq \Omega \text{ Lagrangian}, \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_d \cap \Phi \mathcal{L}_d, \dim \mathcal{L}_{d-1} = d - 1 \},$$

where the last bijection is given by $\mathcal{L} \mapsto (\mathcal{L} \cap \Phi \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$.

More precisely, for any k-algebra R, the R-points $S_{\Lambda}(R)$ is the set of pairs $(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d)$ such that:

- \mathcal{L}_d is a totally isotropic *R*-module local direct summand of $\Omega \otimes_k R$ of local rank *d*;
- \mathcal{L}_{d-1} is an *R*-module local direct summand of $\Omega \otimes_k R$ of local rank d-1;
- $\mathcal{L}_{d-1} \subset \mathcal{L}_d \cap \Phi \mathcal{L}_d$, where Φ acts on $\Omega \otimes_k R = \Omega_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} R$ via the identity on Ω_0 and the *p*-Frobenius on *R*. In particular, \mathcal{L}_{d-1} is totally isotropic and is a local direct summand of \mathcal{L}_d and of $\Phi \mathcal{L}_d$. (For the last statement, see Remark 2.8.2 below.)

By [HP17, 5.3.2], S_{Λ} is a k-variety with two isomorphic connected components S_{Λ}^{\pm} , each being projective and smooth of dimension $t_{\Lambda}/2-1$. For more details, see [HP17, § 5.3] and [HP14, § 3.2].

Remark 2.8.2. In the sequel we will frequently use the following simple fact without explicitly mentioning it. Let R be a commutative ring and M a free R-module of finite rank. Suppose that M_1, M_2 are submodules of M that are local direct summands. Suppose that $M_1 \subset M_2$. Then M_1 is a local direct summand of M_2 and both M_1 and M_2 are locally free.

2.9 Structure of the reduced scheme RZ^{red}

DEFINITION 2.9.1. For a vertex lattice Λ , we define $\operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda} \subseteq \operatorname{RZ}$ to be the locus where $\rho \circ \Lambda^{\vee} \circ \rho^{-1} \subseteq$ End(X), i.e. the quasi-endomorphisms $\rho \circ v \circ \rho^{-1}$ lift to actual endomorphisms for any $v \in \Lambda^{\vee}$. In other words, if we define a locus $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)_{\Lambda}$ using the same condition inside $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)$ (so that this locus is a closed formal subscheme by [RZ96, Proposition 2.9]), then $\operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda}$ is the intersection of RZ with $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)_{\Lambda}$ inside $\operatorname{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)$. In particular, $\operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda}$ is a closed formal subscheme of RZ.

Consider the reduced subscheme $RZ^{(\ell),red}$ of $RZ^{(\ell)}$. By the result [HP17, Theorem 6.4.1], the irreducible components of $RZ^{(\ell),red}$ are precisely $\{RZ^{(\ell),red}_{\Lambda}\}$, where Λ runs through the vertex lattices of the maximal type $t_{\Lambda} = t_{max}$. Moreover, there is an isomorphism of k-schemes [HP17, Theorem 6.3.1]

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{\Lambda},$$
 (2.9.1.1)

which also induces an isomorphism between $RZ_{\Lambda}^{(\ell),red}$ and S_{Λ}^{\pm} for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, RZ^{red} is equidimensional of dimension $t_{max}/2 - 1$.

2.10 The Bruhat–Tits stratification

For any vertex lattices Λ_1 and Λ_2 , the intersection $\mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda_1}^{\mathrm{red}} \cap \mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda_2}^{\mathrm{red}}$ is non-empty if and only if $\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2$ is also a vertex lattice, in which case it is equal to $\mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda_1 \cap \Lambda_2}^{\mathrm{red}}$ [HP17, Corollary 6.2.4].

In this way we obtain a *Bruhat–Tits stratification* on RZ^{red} . Associated to a vertex lattice Λ , we define an open subscheme of RZ_{Λ}^{red} given by

$$\mathrm{BT}_\Lambda = \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_\Lambda - \bigcup_{\Lambda' \subsetneq \Lambda} \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda'} \, .$$

Then

$$\mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}} = \coprod_{\Lambda} \mathrm{BT}_{\Lambda}$$

is a disjoint union of locally closed subschemes, indexed by all vertex lattices.

2.11 Special lattices

One can further parametrize the k-points in each RZ_{Λ} using special lattices.

DEFINITION 2.11.1. We say that a W-lattice $L \subseteq V_K$ is a special lattice if L is self-dual and $(L + \Phi(L))/L \cong W/pW$.

We have a bijection [HP17, Proposition 6.2.2]

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{special lattices } L \subseteq V_K \}.$$
 (2.11.1.1)

To construct this bijection, one uses the fact [HP17, Corollary 3.2.3] that $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \text{RZ}(k)$ can be identified with the affine Deligne-Lusztig set

$$X_{G,b,\mu^{\sigma}}(k) = \{g \in G(K) : g^{-1}b\sigma(g) \in G(W)\mu^{\sigma}(p)G(W)\}/G(W).$$
(2.11.1.2)

The special lattice associated to $g \in G(K)$ is then given by $g\mu(p^{-1}).V_W \subseteq V_K$. Conversely, given a special lattice $L \subseteq V_K$, there exists some $g \in G(K)$ such that $g\mu(p^{-1}).V_W = L$ and $g.V_W = \Phi(L)$. The point in RZ(k) then corresponds to the image of g in $X_{G,b,\mu^{\sigma}}(k)$. The Dieudonné module of the p-divisible group at this point is given by $M = gD_W \subseteq D_K$ and the image of Verschiebung is $(F^{-1}p)M = g \cdot p\mu(p^{-1})D_W$.

LEMMA 2.11.2. Suppose that $x_0 \in \operatorname{RZ}(k)$ corresponds to a special lattice L under (2.11.1.1). Let $M = \mathbb{D}(X_0) \subset D_K$ be the Dieudonné module of the p-divisible group X_0 corresponding to x_0 . Then we have

$$L = \{ v \in V_K \mid v(F^{-1}p)M \subset (F^{-1}p)M \}, \quad \Phi L = \{ v \in V_K \mid vM \subset M \}.$$

Here we view $V_K \subset \operatorname{End}_K(D_K)$ as in § 2.6.

Proof. This follows from [HP17, \S 6.2.1 and Proposition 6.2.2].

2.12 Special lattices and vertex lattices

For any vertex lattice Λ , the bijection (2.11.1.1) induces a bijection

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{special lattices } L \subseteq V_K : \Lambda_W^{\vee} \subseteq L \subseteq \Lambda_W \} = \{ \text{special lattices } L \subseteq V_K : \Lambda_W^{\vee} \subseteq L \}.$$

$$(2.12.0.1)$$

Sending a special lattice L to $\mathcal{L} := L/\Lambda_W^{\vee} \subseteq \Omega$ gives a bijection between the right-hand side of (2.12.0.1) and $S_{\Lambda}(k)$, which is the effect of the isomorphism (2.9.1.1) on k-points.

DEFINITION 2.12.1. For each special lattice $L \subseteq V_K$, there is a unique minimal vertex lattice $\Lambda(L) \subseteq V_K^{\Phi}$ such that

$$\Lambda(L)_W^{\vee} \subseteq L \subseteq \Lambda(L)_W.$$

In fact, let $L^{(r)} = L + \Phi(L) + \cdots + \Phi^r(L)$. Then there exists a unique integer $1 \leq d \leq t_{\max}/2$ such that $L^{(i)} \subsetneq L^{(i+1)}$ for i < d, and $L^{(d)} = L^{(d+1)}$. Then $L^{(i+1)}/L^{(i)}$ all have W-length 1 for i < d and

$$\Lambda(L) := (L^{(d)})^{\Phi} \subseteq V_K^{\Phi}$$

is a vertex lattice of type 2d and $\Lambda(L)^{\vee} = L^{\Phi}$.

Notice that $\Lambda(L)_W$ is the smallest Φ -invariant lattice containing L and $\Lambda(L)_W^{\vee}$ is the largest Φ -invariant lattice contained in L. It follows that the element of $\operatorname{RZ}(k)$ corresponding to a special lattice L lies in $\operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda}$ if and only if $\Lambda(L) \subseteq \Lambda$, and it lies in $\operatorname{BT}_{\Lambda}$ if and only if $\Lambda(L) = \Lambda$. Thus, we have the bijection

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \operatorname{BT}_{\Lambda}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{L \text{ special lattices} : \Lambda(L) = \Lambda\}.$$
 (2.12.1.1)

2.13 Deligne–Lusztig varieties

For any vertex lattice Λ , by [HP17, Theorem 6.5.6], $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus BT_{\Lambda}$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension $t_{\Lambda}/2 - 1$, isomorphic to a disjoint union of two Deligne–Lusztig varieties $X_B(w^{\pm})$ associated to two Coxeter elements w^{\pm} in the Weyl group of SO(Ω_0). Here $\Omega_0 := \Lambda/\Lambda^{\vee}$ is the quadratic space over \mathbb{F}_p defined in Definition 2.7.1. In particular, the k-variety $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus BT_{\Lambda}$ only depends on the quadratic space Ω_0 .

Let us recall the definition of $X_B(w^{\pm})$. Let $d = t_{\Lambda}/2$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the bilinear pairing on Ω_0 . Since Ω_0 is a non-degenerate non-split quadratic space over \mathbb{F}_p (§ 2.7), one can choose a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_d, f_d, \ldots, f_1$ of Ω such that $\langle e_i, f_i \rangle = 1 \forall i$ and all other pairings between the basis vectors are 0, and Φ fixes e_i, f_i for $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$ and interchanges e_d with f_d . This choice of basis gives a maximal Φ -stable torus $T \subseteq SO(\Omega)$ (diagonal under this basis) and a Φ -stable Borel subgroup $B \supseteq T$ as the common stabilizer of the two complete isotropic flags

$$\mathcal{F}^{\pm}: \langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \langle e_1, \dots, e_{d-1}, e_d^{\pm} \rangle,$$

where $e_d^+ := e_d$ and $e_d^- := f_d$. Let s_i (i = 1, ..., d - 2) be the reflection $e_i \leftrightarrow e_{i+1}, f_i \leftrightarrow f_{i+1}$ and let t^{\pm} be the reflection $e_{d-1} \leftrightarrow e_d^{\pm}, f_{d-1} \leftrightarrow e_d^{\pm}$. Then the Weyl group W(T) = N(T)/T is generated by $s_1, \ldots, s_{d-2}, t^+, t^-$. We also know that W(T) sits in a split exact sequence

$$0 \to (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{d-1} \to W(T) \to S_d \to 0.$$

Since Φ fixes s_i and swaps t^+ and t^- , we know that the d-1 elements $s_1, \ldots, s_{d-2}, t^+$ (respectively $s_1, \ldots, s_{d-2}, t^-$) form a set of representatives of Φ -orbits of the simple reflections. Therefore,

$$w^{\pm} := t^{\mp} s_{d-2} \cdots s_2 s_1 \in W(T)$$

are Coxeter elements of minimal length. The *Deligne–Lusztig variety* associated to B and the Coxeter element w^{\pm} is defined to be

$$X_B(w^{\pm}) := \{g \in \mathrm{SO}(\Omega)/B : \operatorname{inv}(g, \Phi(g)) = w^{\pm}\},\$$

where $\operatorname{inv}(g,h) \in B \setminus \operatorname{SO}(\Omega)/B \cong W(T)$ is the relative position between the two Borels gBg^{-1} and hBh^{-1} . The variety $X_B(w^{\pm})$ has dimension d-1. Under the map $g \mapsto g\mathcal{F}^{\pm}$, the disjoint union $X_B(w^+) \coprod X_B(w^-)$ can be identified with the variety of complete isotropic flags

$$\mathcal{F}:\mathcal{F}_1\subseteq\mathcal{F}_2\subseteq\cdots\subseteq\mathcal{F}_d$$

such that $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_{i-1} + \Phi(\mathcal{F}_{i-1})$ and $\dim_k(\mathcal{F}_d + \Phi(\mathcal{F}_d)) = d + 1$. The two components are interchanged by an orthogonal transformation of determinant -1. Notice that such \mathcal{F} is determined by the isotropic line \mathcal{F}_1 by

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_1 + \Phi(F_1) + \dots + \Phi^{i-1}(\mathcal{F}_1)$$

and is also determined by the Lagrangian \mathcal{F}_d by

$$\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}_d \cap \Phi(\mathcal{F}_d) \cap \dots \cap \Phi^{d-i}(\mathcal{F}_d)$$

The bijection (2.12.1.1) induces a bijection

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \operatorname{BT}_{\Lambda}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} X_B(w^+)(k) \coprod X_B(w^-)(k)$$
 (2.13.0.2)

by sending a special lattice L with $\Lambda(L) = \Lambda$ to the flag determined by the Lagrangian $\mathcal{F}_d = L/\Lambda_W^{\vee}$. This bijection is the restriction of the isomorphism (2.9.1.1) to k-points and we obtain the desired isomorphism

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \operatorname{BT}_{\Lambda} \cong X_B(w^+) \coprod X_B(w^-).$$
 (2.13.0.3)

2.14 Special cycles

DEFINITION 2.14.1. For an *m*-tuple $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ of vectors in V_K^{Φ} , define its fundamental matrix $T(\mathbf{v}) = (\langle v_i, v_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,\ldots,m}$. We define the special cycle $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq \mathrm{RZ}$ to be the locus where $\rho \circ v_i \circ \rho^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(X)$, i.e. all the quasi-endomorphisms $\rho \circ v_i \circ \rho^{-1}$ lift to actual endomorphisms on X $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$. Similar to Definition 2.9.1, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v})$ is a closed formal subscheme of RZ, which is the intersection of RZ with the analogously defined cycle inside $\mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0)$. Since $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v})$ only depends on the \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule $\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbf{v})$ of V_K^{Φ} , we also write $\mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbf{v}))$.

LEMMA 2.14.2. Let $x_0 \in \operatorname{RZ}(k)$ correspond to L under (2.11.1.1). Let \mathbf{v} be an arbitrary \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule of V_K^{Φ} . Then $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v})$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \subset \Phi L$, if and only if $\mathbf{v} \subset \Phi L \cap L$.

Proof. The first equivalence follows from Lemma 2.11.2. The second equivalence holds because \mathbf{v} is Φ -invariant.

DEFINITION 2.14.3. When m = n and $T(\mathbf{v})$ is non-singular, we obtain a lattice

$$L(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbb{Z}_p v_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_p v_n \subseteq V_K^{\Phi}.$$

By the Cartan decomposition, $T(\mathbf{v}) \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) \operatorname{diag}(p^{r_1}, p^{r_2}, \dots, p^{r_n}) \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for a unique nonincreasing sequence of integers $r_1 \ge \dots \ge r_n$. Note that if we view the matrix $T(\mathbf{v})^{-1}$ as a linear operator $V_K^{\Phi} \to V_K^{\Phi}$ using the basis \mathbf{v} , it sends \mathbf{v} to the dual basis of \mathbf{v} and in particular it sends any \mathbb{Z}_p -basis of $L(\mathbf{v})$ to a \mathbb{Z}_p -basis of $L(\mathbf{v})^{\vee}$. Therefore, the tuple (r_1, \dots, r_n) is characterized by the condition that there is a basis e_1, \dots, e_n of $L(\mathbf{v})$ such that $p^{-r_1}e_1, \dots, p^{-r_n}e_n$ form a basis of $L(\mathbf{v})^{\vee}$. From this characterization, we also see that the tuple (r_1, \dots, r_n) is an invariant only depending on the lattice $L(\mathbf{v})$. We say that \mathbf{v} is minuscule if $T(\mathbf{v})$ is non-singular and $r_1 = 1, r_n \ge 0$.

LEMMA 2.14.4. Suppose that m = n and $T(\mathbf{v})$ is non-singular. Then \mathbf{v} is minuscule if and only if $L(\mathbf{v})^{\vee}$ is a vertex lattice. In this case $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{RZ}_{L(\mathbf{v})^{\vee}}$.

Proof. The statements immediately follow from the definition.

3. The intersection problem and the point-counting formula

3.1 The GSpin Rapoport–Zink subspace

From now on we assume that $n \ge 4$. Suppose that the last basis vector $x_n \in V$ has norm 1. Then the quadratic subspace of rank n-1

$$V^{\flat} = \mathbb{Z}_p x_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_p x_{n-2}$$

is also self-dual. Let $G^{\flat} = \operatorname{GSpin}(V^{\flat})$. Analogously, we define the element

$$b^{\flat} = x_3(p^{-1}x_1 + x_2) \in G^{\flat}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

and the cocharacter

$$\mu^{\flat} : \mathbb{G}_m \to G^{\flat}, \quad t \mapsto t^{-1}x_1x_2 + x_2x_1.$$

As in $\S 2.4$, we have an associated GSpin Rapoport–Zink space

$$\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} = \mathrm{RZ}(G^{\flat}, b^{\flat}, \mu^{\flat}, C(V^{\flat}))$$

The embedding $V^{\flat} \hookrightarrow V$ induces an embedding of Clifford algebras $C(V^{\flat}) \hookrightarrow C(V)$ and a closed embedding of group schemes $G^{\flat} \hookrightarrow G$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , which maps b^{\flat} to b and μ^{\flat} to μ . Thus, by the functoriality of Rapoport–Zink spaces [Kim13, 4.9.6], we have a closed immersion

$$\delta: \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{RZ}$$

of formal schemes over W.

3.2 Relation with the special divisor $\mathcal{Z}(x_n)$

For compatible choices of symplectic forms ψ^{\flat} on $C(V^{\flat})$ and ψ on C(V), the closed embedding of group schemes $\operatorname{GSp}(C(V^{\flat}), \psi^{\flat}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GSp}(C(V), \psi)$ induces a closed immersion of symplectic Rapoport–Zink spaces (§ 2.4)

$$\phi: \mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0^\flat, \lambda_0^\flat) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0, \lambda_0).$$

Since we have a decomposition of $\operatorname{GSp}(C(V^{\flat}), \psi^{\flat})$ -representations

$$C(V) \cong C(V^{\flat}) \oplus C(V^{\flat})x_n,$$

we know that the moduli interpretation of ϕ is given by sending a triple $(X^{\flat}, \rho^{\flat}, \lambda^{\flat})$ to the *p*-divisible group $X = X^{\flat} \oplus X^{\flat}$ with the quasi-isogeny $\rho = \rho^{\flat} \oplus \rho^{\flat}$ and polarization $\lambda = \lambda^{\flat} \oplus \lambda^{\flat}$.

By the functoriality of Rapoport–Zink spaces [Kim13, 4.9.6], we have a commutative diagram of closed immersions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} & \stackrel{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{RZ} \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}^{\flat}_{0}, \lambda^{\flat}_{0}) & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_{0}, \lambda_{0}). \end{array}$$
 (3.2.0.1)

Here the two vertical arrows are induced by the closed immersions $\operatorname{GSpin}(V^{\flat}) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GSp}(C(V^{\flat}), \psi^{\flat})$ and $\operatorname{GSpin}(V) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GSp}(C(V), \psi)$ (§ 2.4).

LEMMA 3.2.1. Diagram (3.2.0.1) is Cartesian, i.e. we have

$$\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) = \phi(\mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0^{\flat}, \lambda_0^{\flat})) \cap \mathrm{RZ}$$
(3.2.1.1)

inside $RZ(X_0, \lambda_0)$.

Proof. By flat descent, to show that the closed formal subschemes on the two sides of (3.2.1.1) agree, it suffices to show that they have the same k-points and the same formal completion at every k-point (cf. [BP17, 5.2.7]). The claim then follows from the observation that both the k-points and the formal completions have purely group-theoretic description.

In fact, the k-points of $\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} = \mathrm{RZ}_{G^{\flat}}$, $\mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_{0}^{\flat}, \lambda_{0}^{\flat}) = \mathrm{RZ}_{H}$ and $\mathrm{RZ} = \mathrm{RZ}_{G}$ have the grouptheoretic description as the affine Deligne–Lusztig sets (2.11.1.2) associated to the groups $G^{\flat} = G\mathrm{Spin}(V^{\flat})$, $H = \mathrm{GSp}(C(V^{\flat}), \psi^{\flat})$ and $G = \mathrm{GSpin}(V)$, respectively. Since $G^{\flat} = H \cap G$ inside $\mathrm{GL}(C(V))$, we know that both sides of (3.2.1.1) have the same k-points. Fix a k-point $x \in \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}(k)$. Then, by [HP17, 3.2.12], $\widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{G^{\flat},x}$ can be identified with $U_{G^{\flat}}^{\mu_{x},\wedge}$, where $\mu_{x} : \mathbb{G}_{m,W} \to G_{W}^{\flat}$ gives a filtration that lifts the Hodge filtration for x, $U_{G^{\flat}}^{\mu_{x}} \subseteq G^{\flat}$ is the unipotent radical of the opposite parabolic group defined by μ_{x} [HP17, 3.1.6], and $U_{G^{\flat}}^{\mu_{x},\wedge}$ is its formal completion along its identity section over W. Similarly, we can identify $\widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{H,x}$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{G,x}$ with $U_{H}^{\mu_{x},\wedge}$ and $U_{G}^{\mu_{x},\wedge}$. Again, because $G^{\flat} = H \cap G$, we know that the formal completions at x of both sides of (3.2.1.1) agree inside $U_{\mathrm{GL}(C(V))}^{\mu_{x},\wedge}$.

LEMMA 3.2.2. $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) = \mathcal{Z}(x_n).$

Proof. Let X^{\flat} be the universal *p*-divisible group over RZ^{\flat} and ρ^{\flat} be the universal quasi-isogeny. Then it follows from the commutative diagram (3.2.0.1) that the image of (X^{\flat}, ρ) under δ is given by the *p*-divisible group $(X^{\flat} \oplus X^{\flat}, \rho^{\flat} \oplus \rho^{\flat})$. Since x_n has norm 1, right multiplication by x_n swaps the two factors $C(V^{\flat})$ and $C(V^{\flat})x_n$. It follows that the quasi-endomorphism

$$(\rho^{\flat} \oplus \rho^{\flat}) \circ x_n \circ (\rho^{\flat} \oplus \rho^{\flat})^{-1} : (X^{\flat} \oplus X^{\flat}) \to (X^{\flat} \oplus X^{\flat})$$

(uniquely determined by the rigidity of quasi-isogenies) simply swaps the two factors, which is an actual endomorphism (i.e. the swapping) of $X^{\flat} \oplus X^{\flat}$. By Definition 2.14.1 of $\mathcal{Z}(x_n)$, we have $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(x_n)$.

Conversely, over $\mathcal{Z}(x_n)$ the universal *p*-divisible group X admits an action of $C(x_n)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes C(V)$, where $C(x_n)$ is the Clifford algebra of the rank-one quadratic space $\mathbb{Z}_p x_n$. Notice that

$$C(x_n)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes C(V) \cong (C(x_n)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes C(x_n)) \oplus (C(x_n)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes C(V^{\flat})).$$

It follows that over $\mathcal{Z}(x_n)$ the universal *p*-divisible group X admits an action of $C(x_n)^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes C(x_n)$, which is isomorphic to the matrix algebra $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. The two natural idempotents of $M_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ then decompose X into a direct sum of the form $X^{\flat} \oplus X^{\flat}$. Hence, $\mathcal{Z}(x_n) \subseteq \phi(\mathrm{RZ}(\mathbb{X}_0^{\flat}, \lambda_0^{\flat})) \cap \mathrm{RZ}$. The latter is equal to $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})$ by (3.2.1.1) and hence $\mathcal{Z}(x_n) \subseteq \delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})$.

Remark 3.2.3. In the following we will only use the inclusion $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(x_n)$.

3.3 Arithmetic intersection of GGP cycles

DEFINITION 3.3.1. The closed immersion δ induces a closed immersion of formal schemes

$$(\mathrm{id}, \delta) : \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} \to \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} \times_W \mathrm{RZ}$$

Denote by Δ the image of (id, δ), which we call the *GGP cycle*.

The embedding $V^{\flat} \hookrightarrow V$ also induces an embedding of quadratic spaces $V_K^{\flat,\Phi} \hookrightarrow V_K^{\Phi}$ and hence we can view

$$J_{b^\flat} = \operatorname{GSpin}(V_K^{\flat, \Phi}) \hookrightarrow J_b$$

as an algebraic subgroup over \mathbb{Q}_p .

For any $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we obtain a formal subscheme

$$g\Delta := (\mathrm{id} \times g)\Delta \subseteq \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} \times_W \mathrm{RZ},$$

via the action of g on RZ. Our goal is to compute the arithmetic intersection number

 $\langle \Delta, g \Delta \rangle$

when g is regular semisimple and minuscule.

DEFINITION 3.3.2. We say that $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is regular semisimple if the

$$\mathbf{v}(g) := (x_n, gx_n, \dots, g^{n-1}x_n)$$

forms a \mathbb{Q}_p -basis of V_K^{Φ} . Equivalently, the fundamental matrix $T(g) := T(\mathbf{v}(g))$ is non-singular (Definition 2.14.1). We say that g is *minuscule* if $\mathbf{v}(g)$ is minuscule (Definition 2.14.3).

3.4 Fixed points

Let $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and let $\mathrm{RZ}^g \subseteq \mathrm{RZ}$ be the fixed locus of g. Then by definition we have

$$\Delta \cap g \Delta \cong \delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}$$

DEFINITION 3.4.1. Let $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be regular semisimple. We define the lattice

$$L(g) := \mathbb{Z}_p x_n + \dots + \mathbb{Z}_p g^{n-1} x_n \subseteq V_K^{\Phi}.$$

LEMMA 3.4.2. Inside RZ, both the formal subschemes RZ^g and $\delta(RZ^{\flat})$ are stable under $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Moreover, under the bijection (2.11.1.1), we have:

- (1) $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}(k)) \cong \{L = L^{\flat} \oplus Wx_n : L^{\flat} \subseteq V_K^{\flat} \text{ special lattices}\};$
- (2) $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}(k)) \cong \{L \text{ special lattices} : x_n \in L\};$
- (3) $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^g(k) \cong \{L \text{ special lattices} : gL = L\};$
- (4) $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}(k)) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}(k)) \cong \{L \text{ special lattices} : gL = L, L \supseteq L(g)_{W} \}.$

Proof. Since $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is central in $J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we know that RZ^g is stable under $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The morphism $\delta : \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat} \to \mathrm{RZ}$ is equivariant with respect to the natural inclusion $J_{b^{\flat}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and the morphism $J_{b^{\flat}} \to J_b$ restricts to the identity between the centers \mathbb{G}_m of $J_{b^{\flat}}$ and of J_b . It follows that δ is equivariant for the $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$ action and so $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})$ is stable under $p^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We now prove the statements (1) to (4).

(1) For a point $L^{\flat} \in p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}(k)$, we can write $L^{\flat} = h^{\flat}\mu^{\flat}(p^{-1}).V_W^{\flat} \subseteq V_K^{\flat}$ for some $h^{\flat} \in G^{\flat}(K)$. Then its image under δ is given by $L = h\mu(p^{-1}).V_W \subseteq V_K$, where h is the image of h^{\flat} in G(K). By $V = V^{\flat} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p x_n$ and the compatibility between h, μ and h^{\flat}, μ^{\flat} , we know that $L = L^{\flat} \oplus W x_n$.

(2) Suppose that L is a special lattice with $x_n \in L$. Since x_n has norm 1, we know that $L = L' \oplus Wx_n$ is the direct sum of Wx_n and its orthogonal complement L' in L. One can check that $L' \subseteq V_K^{\flat}$ is also a special lattice. This finishes the proof in view of item (1).

(3) This is clear since $RZ^{g}(k)$ is the fixed locus of g.

(4) For a point $L \in p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}(k)) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}(k))$, by items (1) (3), we have $L = L^{\flat} \oplus Wx_{n}$ and gL = L. It follows from $x_{n} \in L$ that $gx_{n}, \ldots, g^{n-1}x_{n} \in L$ and so $L \supseteq L(g)_{W}$. Conversely, if a point $L \in \mathrm{RZ}(k)$ satisfies gL = L and $L \supset L(g)_{W}$, then $L \in p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}(k)) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}(k))$ by items (2) and (3).

DEFINITION 3.4.3. We say that a vertex lattice Λ is a *g*-vertex lattice if $g\Lambda = \Lambda$ and $\Lambda \subseteq L(g)^{\vee}$. Denote the set of all *g*-vertex lattices by VL(*g*). In general, if a vertex lattice Λ satisfies $g\Lambda = \Lambda$, then *g* induces an action on $\Omega_0 = \Lambda/\Lambda^{\vee}$, which further induces an action \bar{g} on RZ^{red} and BT_{\Lambda}. We denote the fixed locus of \bar{g} on BT_{\Lambda} by BT^{β}_{\Lambda}.

PROPOSITION 3.4.4.

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g})(k) = \prod_{\Lambda \in \mathrm{VL}(g)} p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{BT}^{\bar{g}}_{\Lambda}(k).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4.2, it suffices to show that the k-points of the right-hand side are in bijection with special lattices L such that gL = L and $L \supseteq L(g)_W$. Notice that any special lattice L is self-dual, so the condition $L \supseteq L(g)_W$ is equivalent to the condition $L \subseteq L(g)_W^{\vee}$. Since $\Lambda(L)_W$ is the minimal Φ -invariant lattice containing L (§ 2.12) and $L(g)_W^{\vee}$ is Φ -invariant, we know that the condition $L \subseteq L(g)_W^{\vee}$ is equivalent to the condition $\Lambda(L) \subseteq L(g)^{\vee}$. The result now follows from taking \bar{g} -invariants and g-invariants of the two sides of the bijection (2.12.1.1). \Box

3.5 Fixed points in a Bruhat–Tits stratum

Let Λ be a vertex lattice and $\Omega_0 = \Lambda/\Lambda^{\vee}$ (§ 2.7). By the isomorphism (2.13.0.3), $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus BT_{\Lambda}$ is the disjoint union of two isomorphic Deligne–Lusztig varieties $X_B(w^{\pm})$ associated to the Coxeter elements w^{\pm} for SO(Ω_0). Write $X := X_B(w^{\pm})$. To compute $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus BT_{\Lambda}^{\bar{g}}$, it suffices to compute the \bar{g} -fixed points $X^{\bar{g}}$.

DEFINITION 3.5.1. We say that a semisimple element $\bar{g} \in SO(\Omega_0)$ is regular if $Z^{\circ}(\bar{g})$, the identity component of the centralizer of \bar{g} in $SO(\Omega_0)$, is a (necessarily maximal) torus.³

PROPOSITION 3.5.2. Let Λ be a vertex lattice and let $\bar{g} \in SO(\Omega_0)(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

(1) $X^{\overline{g}}$ is non-empty if and only if \overline{g} is semisimple and contained in a maximal torus of Coxeter type.

(2) $X^{\bar{g}}$ is non-empty and finite if and only if \bar{g} is regular semisimple and contained in a maximal torus of Coxeter type. In this case, the cardinality of $X^{\bar{g}}$ is given by $t_{\Lambda}/2$.

Remark 3.5.3. Recall that a maximal torus T' is of Coxeter type if $T' = hTh^{-1}$ for some $h \in SO(\Omega_0)$ such that $h^{-1}\Phi(h)$ lifts to a Coxeter element w in the Weyl group W(T) = N(T)/T. In other words, T' is conjugate to T over k but its Frobenius structure is given by $w \cdot \Phi$. For the Coxeter element $w = w^{\pm}$ constructed in § 2.13, we know that an element $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d, \lambda_d^{-1}, \ldots, \lambda_1^{-1})$ of T(k) is fixed by $w \cdot \Phi$ if and only if

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{d-1}, \lambda_d) = (\lambda_d^{\pm p}, \lambda_1^p, \dots, \lambda_{d-2}^p, \lambda_{d-1}^{\pm p}).$$

It follows that a semisimple element $\bar{g} \in SO(\Omega_0)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is contained in a maximal torus of Coxeter type if and only if the eigenvalues of \bar{g} on $\Omega_0 \otimes k$ belong to a single Galois orbit.

Proof. (1) Suppose that $X^{\bar{g}}$ is non-empty. Then it is a general fact about Deligne–Lusztig varieties that \bar{g} must be semisimple [Lus11, 5.9(a)]. Let $T(w) \subseteq SO(\Omega_0)$ be a torus of Coxeter type (associated to $w = w^+$ or w^-) and $B(w) \supseteq T(w)$ be a Borel. Assume that \bar{g} is semisimple.

 $^{^{3}}$ Note the difference with Definition 3.3.2. The conflict of the usage of the word 'regular' should hopefully not cause confusion.

Then we know from [DL76, Proposition 4.7] that $X^{\bar{g}}$ is a disjoint union of Deligne-Lusztig varieties $X_{T' \subset B'}$ for the group $G' = Z^{\circ}(\bar{g})$ and the pairs

$$(T', B') = (hT(w)h^{-1}, hB(w)h^{-1} \cap G'),$$

where h runs over classes $G'(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus \mathrm{SO}(\Omega_0)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $\bar{g} \in hT(w)h^{-1}$. Therefore, $X^{\bar{g}}$ is nonempty if and only if there exists $h \in \mathrm{SO}(\Omega_0)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ such that $\bar{g} \in hT(w)h^{-1}$, if and only if \bar{g} is contained in a maximal torus of Coxeter type (as so is T(w)).

(2) By part (1), we know that $X^{\bar{g}}$ is further finite if and only if all $X_{T'\subseteq B'}$ are zero dimensional, if and only if all $B' = hBh^{-1} \cap G'$ are tori. This happens exactly when $G' = Z^{\circ}(\bar{g})$ itself is a torus, i.e. when \bar{g} is regular. In this case, G' is a maximal torus of Coxeter type in SO(Ω_0) and the cardinality of $X^{\bar{g}}$ is equal to the cardinality of $N(T(w))(\mathbb{F}_p)/T(w)(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The latter group is isomorphic to $(N(T(w))/T(w))^{\Phi}$ by Lang's theorem and hence is isomorphic to the Φ -twisted centralizer of w in the Weyl group W(T) = N(T)/T:

$$Z_{\Phi}(w) := \{ x \in W(T) : xw = w\Phi(x) \}.$$

The cardinality of $Z_{\Phi}(w)$ is known as the Coxeter number of the group SO(Ω_0), which is equal to $d = t_{\Lambda}/2$ since SO(Ω_0) is a non-split even orthogonal group [Lus76/77, 1.15].

3.6 Point counting in the minuscule case

Let $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be regular semisimple and minuscule. Then $\Omega_0(g) := L(g)^{\vee}/L(g)$ is a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space (see Definition 2.14.3) and hence $L(g)^{\vee}$ is a vertex lattice.

Remark 3.6.1. If \mathbb{RZ}^g is non-empty, then g fixes some vertex lattice and so we know that the characteristic polynomial of g has \mathbb{Z}_p -coefficients. It follows that L(g) is a g-stable lattice, from which it also follows easily that $L(g)^{\vee}$ is g-stable. Hence, by definition, $L(g)^{\vee}$ is a g-vertex lattice. The induced action of g on $\Omega_0(g)$, denoted by $\bar{g} \in \mathrm{SO}(\Omega_0(g))(\mathbb{F}_p)$, makes $\Omega_0(g)$ a \bar{g} -cyclic \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. It follows that the minimal polynomial of \bar{g} is equal to its characteristic polynomial.

From now on we assume that \mathbb{RZ}^g is non-empty. Let $\bar{g} \in \mathrm{SO}(\Omega_0(g))(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be as in Remark 3.6.1.

DEFINITION 3.6.2. For any polynomial R(T), we define its *reciprocal* to be

$$R^*(T) := T^{\deg R(T)} \cdot R(1/T).$$

We say that R(T) is self-reciprocal if $R(T) = R^*(T)$.

DEFINITION 3.6.3. Let $P(T) \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\overline{g} \in SO(\Omega_0(g))$. Then P(T) is self-reciprocal. For any monic irreducible factor Q(T) of P(T), we denote by m(Q(T)) the multiplicity of Q(T) appearing in P(T).

THEOREM 3.6.4. Assume that \mathbb{RZ}^g is non-empty. Then $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathbb{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathbb{RZ}^g)(k)$ is non-empty if and only if P(T) has a unique self-reciprocal monic irreducible factor Q(T) such that m(Q(T))is odd. In this case, $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathbb{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathbb{RZ}^g)(k)$ is finite and has cardinality

$$\deg Q(T) \cdot \prod_{R(T)} (1 + m(R(T))),$$

where R(T) runs over all non-self-reciprocal monic irreducible factors of P(T).

Proof. By Proposition 3.4.4, we know that $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g})(k)$ is non-empty if and only if $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{BT}^{g}_{\Lambda}$ is non-empty for some $\Lambda \in \mathrm{VL}(g)$. For any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{VL}(g)$, by definition we have a chain of inclusions of lattices

$$L(g) \subseteq \Lambda^{\vee} \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq L(g)^{\vee},$$

which induces a filtration of \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces

$$0 \subseteq \Lambda^{\vee}/L(g) \subseteq \Lambda/L(g) \subseteq \Omega_0(g).$$

It follows that the map $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda^{\vee}/L(g)$ gives a bijection

$$VL(g) \cong \{ \text{totally isotropic } \bar{g} \text{-invariant subspaces } U \subseteq \Omega_0(g) \}.$$
 (3.6.4.1)

By the bijection (3.6.4.1), VL(g) is non-empty if and only if there is a totally isotropic \bar{g} -invariant subspace U of $\Omega_0(g)$. Such a subspace U induces a filtration

$$0 \subseteq U \subseteq U^{\perp} \subseteq \Omega_0(g). \tag{3.6.4.2}$$

Since U and U^{\perp} are \bar{g} -invariant, we obtain a decomposition of the characteristic polynomial

$$P(T) = P_1(T)Q(T)P_2(T), (3.6.4.3)$$

where $P_1(T), Q(T)$ and $P_2(T)$ are respectively the characteristic polynomials of \bar{g} acting on the associated graded $U, U^{\perp}/U$ and $\Omega_0(g)/U^{\perp}$. Notice that the non-degenerate quadratic form on $\Omega_0(g)$ identifies $\Omega_0(g)/U^{\perp}$ with the linear dual of U, from which we know that $P_2(T) = P_1^*(T)$. Similarly, we know that $Q(T) = Q^*(T)$, i.e. Q(T) is self-reciprocal.

Let $\Lambda = L(g) + U^{\perp}$ be the *g*-vertex lattice corresponding to *U* under the bijection (3.6.4.1) and let $\Omega_0 = \Lambda/\Lambda^{\vee}$ and $\bar{g}_0 \in SO(\Omega_0)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the induced action of \bar{g} on Ω_0 . Since the minimal polynomial of \bar{g} is equal to its characteristic polynomial P(T) (Remark 3.6.1), we know that the minimal polynomial of \bar{g}_0 is equal to its characteristic polynomial Q(T) under the decomposition (3.6.4.3). If \bar{g}_0 is semisimple, then its eigenvalues are distinct. If \bar{g} is further contained in a torus of Coxeter type, then we know that its eigenvalues belong to a single Galois orbit (Remark 3.5.3), so Q(T) is irreducible. Conversely, if Q(T) is irreducible, then clearly \bar{g}_0 is semisimple and contained in a torus of Coxeter type. Hence, we know that \bar{g}_0 is semisimple and contained in a torus of Coxeter type if and only if Q(T) is irreducible.

Therefore, by Proposition 3.5.2(1), $\operatorname{BT}_{\Lambda}^{\overline{g}_0}$ is non-empty if and only if Q(T) is irreducible. In this case, \overline{g}_0 is indeed regular semisimple and the cardinality of $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \operatorname{BT}_{\Lambda}^{\overline{g}_0}$ is equal to $2 \cdot \# X^{\overline{g}_0}$ (due to two connected components), which is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \Omega_0 = \deg Q(T)$ by Proposition 3.5.2(2).

Since $P_2(T) = P_1^*(T)$, we know that the multiplicity of R(T) in $P_1(T)P_2(T)$ is even for any self-reciprocal factor R(T). Hence, Q(T) is the unique self-reciprocal monic irreducible factor of P(T) such that m(Q(T)) is odd. Finally, the factorizations (3.6.4.3) with $P_2(T) = P_1^*(T)$ correspond bijectively to the filtrations (3.6.4.2). Notice such a factorization is given by a choice of the polynomial

$$P_1(T) = \prod_{R(T) \neq R^*(T)} R(T)^{e(R(T))} \cdot \prod_{R(T) = R^*(T)} R(T)^{\lfloor m(R(T))/2 \rfloor}$$

where R(T) runs over all monic irreducible factors of P(T) and $0 \leq e(R(T)) \leq m(R(T))$. So, the total number of such factorizations is exactly given by

$$\prod_{R(T)\neq R^*(T)} (1+m(R(T))).$$

The proof is finished.

4. The reducedness of minuscule special cycles

4.1 The analogue of a result of Madapusi Pera on special cycles

DEFINITION 4.1.1. Let \mathcal{O} be an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ -algebra. Assume that \mathcal{O} is local. Let \mathbf{L} be a finite free \mathcal{O} -module equipped with the structure of a self-dual quadratic space over \mathcal{O} . By an *isotropic line* in \mathbf{L} we mean a direct summand of rank one on which the quadratic form is zero.

We start with a general lemma on Clifford algebras.

LEMMA 4.1.2. Let \mathcal{O} and \mathbf{L} be as in Definition 4.1.1. Let $C(\mathbf{L})$ be the associated Clifford algebra. Let $\xi \in \mathbf{L}$ be an \mathcal{O} -generator of an isotropic line. Let ker (ξ) be the kernel of the endomorphism of $C(\mathbf{L})$ given by left multiplication by ξ . Then, for any $v \in \mathbf{L}$, left multiplication by v preserves ker (ξ) if and only if v is orthogonal to ξ .

Proof. Assume that v is orthogonal to ξ . Then $v\xi = -\xi v$, so v preserves ker (ξ) .

Conversely, assume that v preserves ker(ξ). Write q for the quadratic form and write \langle , \rangle for the corresponding bilinear pairing. Since $\mathcal{O}\xi$ is a direct summand of \mathbf{L} , there exists an \mathcal{O} -module homomorphism $\mathbf{L} \to \mathcal{O}$ sending ξ to 1. Since \mathbf{L} is self-dual, we know that there exists $\zeta \in \mathbf{L}$ representing such a homomorphism. Namely, we have

$$\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle = 1.$$

It immediately follows that we have an \mathcal{O} -module direct sum $\mathbf{L} = \xi^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{O}\zeta$. Replacing ζ by $\zeta - (q(\zeta)/2)\xi$, we may arrange that ζ is isotropic. We have

$$q(\zeta + \xi) = 2\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle = 2$$

 $q(\zeta + \xi) = \zeta \xi + \xi \zeta.$

 $\xi\zeta + \zeta\xi = 2.$

and in $C(\mathbf{L})$ we have

Hence, in $C(\mathbf{L})$, we have

Write

$$v = v_1 + \lambda \zeta$$

with $v_1 \in \xi^{\perp}$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}$. By the first part of the proof we know that v_1 preserves ker (ξ) . Therefore, $\lambda \zeta$ preserves ker (ξ) . Note that $\xi \in \text{ker}(\xi)$ as ξ is isotropic. It follows that, in $C(\mathbf{L})$,

$$0 \xrightarrow{\lambda \zeta \text{ preserves } \ker(\xi)} \xi(\lambda \zeta) \xi \xrightarrow{(4.1.2.1)} \lambda(2-\zeta\xi) \xi \xrightarrow{\xi \text{ isotropic}} 2\lambda \xi.$$

(4.1.2.1)

This is possible only when $\lambda = 0$ and hence we have $v = v_1 \in \xi^{\perp}$.

The next result is a Rapoport–Zink space analogue of [Mad16, Proposition 5.16], which is in the context of special cycles on GSpin Shimura varieties. We only state a weaker analogue as it is sufficient for our need. The proof builds on [Mad16, Proposition 5.16], too. We first introduce some definitions.

DEFINITION 4.1.3. Denote by y_{00} the distinguished k-point of RZ corresponding to X_0 and the identity quasi-isogeny. Let $y_0 \in \text{RZ}(k)$ be an arbitrary element. Let L be the special lattice corresponding to y_0 under (2.11.1.1). When $y_0 = y_{00}$, we have $\Phi L = V_W$ (cf. the discussion below (2.11.1.1)). In this case define $\text{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ to be the one-dimensional subspace of V_k defined by the cocharacter μ of G_W and the representation $G_k \to \text{GL}(V_k)$. For general y_0 , let $g \in$ $X_{G,b,\mu^{\sigma}}(k)$ be associated to y_0 . Then $\Phi L = gV_W$ and g induces a map $V_k \to (\Phi L)_k$ (cf. [Mad16, Proposition 5.16]). Define $\text{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ to be the image of $\text{Fil}^1 V_k$ under the last map.

Remark 4.1.4. By our explicit choice of μ in § 2.3, the submodule $\mathbb{Z}_p x_2$ in V is of weight 1 with respect to μ , and $\bigoplus_{1 \le i \le n, i \ne 2} \mathbb{Z}_p x_i$ is of weight 0 with respect to μ , so Fil¹ $V_k = kx_2$.

Remark 4.1.5. In fact, $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ is the orthogonal complement in $(\Phi L)_k$ of $(L \cap \Phi L)_k$. In the sequel we do not need this description, although one could use this description to give an alternative proof of Corollary 4.1.8 below.

DEFINITION 4.1.6. Let \mathscr{C} be the category defined as follows.

- Objects in \mathscr{C} are triples $(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O} \to k, \delta)$, where \mathcal{O} is a local artinian W-algebra, $\mathcal{O} \to k$ is a W-algebra map and δ is a nilpotent divided power structure on ker $(\mathcal{O} \to k)$.
- Morphisms in \mathscr{C} are W-algebra maps that are compatible with the structure maps to k and the divided power structures.

In the following we will abuse notation to write \mathcal{O} for an object in \mathscr{C} .

Let $y_0 \in \mathrm{RZ}(k)$ be an arbitrary element. Let \mathbf{v} be as in Definition 2.14.1 such that the special cycle $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v})$ contains y_0 . In particular, $\mathbf{v} \subset L \cap \Phi L$ by Lemma 2.14.2. Let $\widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{y_0}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{y_0}$ be the formal completions of RZ and \mathcal{Z} at y_0 , respectively.

THEOREM 4.1.7. For any $\mathcal{O} \in \mathscr{C}$, there is a bijection

 $f_{\mathcal{O}}: \widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{y_0}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \text{isotropic lines in } (\Phi L)_{\mathcal{O}} := \Phi L \otimes_W \mathcal{O} \text{ lifting } \mathrm{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k \}$

such that the following properties hold. Here we equip $(\Phi L)_{\mathcal{O}}$ with the \mathcal{O} -bilinear form obtained by extension of scalars of the W-bilinear form on ΦL .

(1) $f_{\mathcal{O}}$ is functorial in $\mathcal{O} \in \mathscr{C}$ in the following sense. Let $\mathcal{O}' \in \mathscr{C}$ be another object of \mathscr{C} and let $\phi : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}'$ be a morphism in \mathscr{C} . Then we have a commuting diagram

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{y_0}(\mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{y_0}(\mathcal{O}') \\ & \downarrow^{f_{\mathcal{O}}} & \downarrow^{f_{\mathcal{O}'}} \\ & \operatorname{im}(f_{\mathcal{O}}) & \longrightarrow \operatorname{im}(f_{\mathcal{O}'}). \end{split}$$

Here the top horizontal map is the natural map induced by ϕ and the bottom horizontal map is given by base change along ϕ .

(2) $f_{\mathcal{O}}$ restricts to a bijection

$$f_{\mathcal{O},\mathbf{v}}:\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{y_0}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim}$$

{isotropic lines in $(\Phi L)_{\mathcal{O}}$ lifting Fil¹ $(\Phi L)_k$ and orthogonal to the image of **v** in $(\Phi L)_{\mathcal{O}}$ }.

Proof. The existence and construction of the bijection $f_{\mathcal{O}}$ and the property (1) are consequences of [Mad16, Proposition 5.16] and the global construction of RZ in [HP17] using the integral model of the GSpin Shimura variety. We explain this more precisely below.

Consider

$$\mathscr{S} = \mathscr{S}_{U_p U^p},$$

the canonical integral model over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ of the Shimura variety associated to the GSpin Shimura datum associated to a quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over \mathbb{Q} , at a suitable level U^p away from p and a hyperspecial level U_p at p. See [HP17, §7] or [Mad16] for more details on this notion. By [HP17, 7.2.3], we may assume that the following package of data:

- the Shimura datum associated to $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$;
- the Kuga–Satake Hodge embedding (see [HP17, 4.14]) of the Shimura datum into a GSp Shimura datum;
- the chosen hyperspecial level at *p*;
- an element $x_{00} \in \lim_{U^p} \mathscr{S}_{U^p U_p}(k)$

induces, in the fashion of [HP17, 3.1.4], the local unramified Shimura–Hodge datum that we used to define RZ. Let $\widehat{\mathscr{S}}$ be the formal scheme over \mathbb{Z}_p obtained from *p*-adic completion of \mathscr{S} and let $\widehat{\mathscr{S}}_W$ be the base change to W of $\widehat{\mathscr{S}}$. Then, as in [HP17, 3.2.14], we have a morphism of formal schemes over W:

$$\Theta:\mathrm{RZ}\to\widehat{\mathscr{S}_W}$$

We know that Θ maps y_{00} to the k-point of $\widehat{\mathscr{S}}_W$ induced by x_{00} . Moreover, let

$$x_0:=\Theta(y_0)\in\widehat{\mathscr{S}_W}(k)=\mathscr{S}(k)$$

and let \widehat{U} be the formal completion of \mathscr{S} at x_0 (or, what amounts to the same thing, the formal completion of $\widehat{\mathscr{S}}_W$ at x_0). By the construction of RZ in [HP17, §3], we know that Θ induces an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{y_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{U}$.

In [Mad16], two crystals \mathbf{H}_{cris} , \mathbf{L}_{cris} are constructed on \mathscr{S}_k . (In fact, [Mad16] works over \mathbb{F}_p , but we always base change from \mathbb{F}_p to k.) Here \mathbf{H}_{cris} is by definition the first relative crystalline cohomology of the Kuga–Satake abelian scheme over \mathscr{S}_k in the sense of [Mad16].⁴ The specialization of \mathbf{H}_{cris} over Spec k via x_{00} is identified with the Dieudonné module C_W , which is the covariant Diedonné module of the p-divisible group \mathbb{X}_0 considered in this article (and [HP17]) and the contravariant Diedonné module of the Kuga–Satake abelian variety at x_{00} considered in [Mad16].⁵ Moreover, the embedding $V \hookrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(C)$ has a crystalline realization, which is a sub-crystal \mathbf{L}_{cris} of $\operatorname{End}(\mathbf{H}_{cris})$. For details, see [Mad16, § 4]. Among others, \mathbf{L}_{cris} has the following structures:

- its specialization $\mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{cris},x_0}$ to any $x_0 \in \mathscr{S}(k)$, viewed as a W-module, has the structure of a W-quadratic space;
- $\mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{cris},x_0} \otimes_W k$ contains a canonical isotropic line $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{cris},x_0} \otimes_W k)$.

By the definition of Θ and the definition of the parametrization of RZ(k) by the affine Deligne-Lusztig set (cf. [HP17, § 2.4]), we know that when $y_0 \in RZ(k)$ corresponds to the special lattice L under (2.11.1.1), the following statements are true.

- (a) There is an isomorphism of Dieudonné modules $(gC)_W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{cris},x_0}$.
- (b) There is a W-linear isometry $(\Phi L)_W \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris},x_0}$ under which $\mathrm{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ is identified with

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{1}(\mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{cris},x_{0}}\otimes_{W}k).$$

(c) We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi L & \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_W((gC)_W) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{L}_{\operatorname{cris}, x_0} & \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_W(\mathbf{H}_{\operatorname{cris}, x_0}) \end{array}$$

⁴ See footnote 5.

⁵ Due to different conventions, the Kuga–Satake abelian scheme (and p-divisible group) considered by Madapusi Pera in [Mad16] is different from that considered by Howard and Pappas in [HP17]. In fact, they are dual to each other.

where:

- the right vertical map is induced by the map in (a);
- the left vertical map is the map in (b);
- the bottom horizontal map arises from the fact that \mathbf{L}_{cris} is a sub-crystal of $End(\mathbf{H}_{cris})$.

In the rest of the proof we make the identifications in (a) and (b) above and omit them from the notation. Abbreviate $\mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{cris},x_0}$ and $\mathbf{L} := \mathbf{L}_{\mathrm{cris},x_0}$.

Now, in [Mad16, Proposition 5.16], Madapusi Pera constructed a bijection

$$\widehat{U}(\mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{isotropic lines in } \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{O}} := \mathbf{L} \otimes_W \mathcal{O} \text{ lifting Fil}^1 \mathbf{L}_k \}.$$

Moreover, by the construction given in [Mad16, Proposition 5.16], the above bijection is functorial in $\mathcal{O} \in \mathscr{C}$. We define $f_{\mathcal{O}}$ as the above bijection precomposed with the isomorphism $\Theta : \widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{y_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{U}$.

It remains to prove property (2). Note that $\mathbf{H} = gC_W$ is the *covariant* Dieudonné module of the *p*-divisible group X_{y_0} over *k* determined by $y_0 \in \mathrm{RZ}(k)$. Given $y \in \widehat{\mathrm{RZ}}_{y_0}(\mathcal{O})$ lifting y_0 , by Grothendieck–Messing theory (for covariant Dieudonné modules) we know that $y \in \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{y_0}$ if and only if the image of \mathbf{v} in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}})$ stabilizes $\mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}} \subset \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$, where $\mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the Hodge filtration corresponding to the deformation from *k* to \mathcal{O} of the X_{y_0} determined by *y*. Now, as is stated in the proof of [Mad16, Proposition 5.16],⁶ we know that $\mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the kernel in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ of any \mathcal{O} -generator ξ of the isotropic line $f_{\mathcal{O}}(y)$. Here $\xi \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is viewed as an element of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}})$. By Lemma 4.1.2, \mathbf{v} preserves $\mathrm{Fil}^1 \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}} = \ker \xi$ if and only if \mathbf{v} is orthogonal to ξ (inside $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{O}}$). Thus, $y \in \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{y_0}$ if and only if $f_{\mathcal{O}}(y)$ is orthogonal to the image of \mathbf{v} in $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{O}} = (\Phi L)_{\mathcal{O}}$.

COROLLARY 4.1.8. Fil¹(ΦL)_k is orthogonal to the image of **v** in (ΦL)_k.

Proof. Consider the bijection $f_{\mathcal{O},\mathbf{v}}$ in Theorem 4.1.7(2) for $\mathcal{O} = k$. Since the source of this bijection is non-empty, so is its target. The corollary then follows.

4.2 Reducedness of minuscule special cycles

PROPOSITION 4.2.1. Let Λ be a \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice in V_K^{Φ} with $p^i\Lambda \subset \Lambda^{\vee} \subset \Lambda$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. (Equivalently, Λ^{\vee} has invariant (r_1, \ldots, r_n) such that $i \geq r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_n \geq 0$.) Then the special cycle $\mathcal{Z}(\Lambda^{\vee})$ defined by Λ^{\vee} has no (W/p^{i+1}) -points. In particular, taking i = 1, we see that $\mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda}(W/p^2) = \emptyset$ for any vertex lattice Λ or equivalently $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v})(W/p^2) = \emptyset$ for any minuscule \mathbf{v} .

Proof. Suppose that there exists $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\Lambda^{\vee})(W/p^{i+1})$. Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(\Lambda^{\vee})(k)$ be induced by x under the reduction map $W/p^{i+1} \to W/p = k$. Under (2.11.1.1), x_0 determines a special lattice L. By Lemma 2.14.2, $\Lambda_W^{\vee} \subset L \cap \Phi L$. Note that $W/p^{i+1} \to k$ is a surjection whose kernel admits nilpotent divided powers. By Theorem 4.1.7, the existence of the lift x of x_0 implies that there exists an isotropic line \mathcal{L} (over W/p^{i+1}) in $(\Phi L)_{W/p^{i+1}}$ lifting $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ and such that \mathcal{L} is orthogonal to the image of Λ^{\vee} in $(\Phi L)_{W/p^{i+1}}$. Let $l \in \Phi L$ be a lift of a generator of \mathcal{L} . Then $\langle l, \lambda \rangle \in p^{i+1}W$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\vee}$. It follows that $p^{-(i+1)}l \in \Lambda_W$. Hence, $p^{-1}l \in p^i \Lambda_W \subset (\Lambda^{\vee})_W \subset \Phi L$, i.e. $l \in p \Phi L$. This contradicts the fact that \mathcal{L} lifts a non-zero line in $(\Phi L)_k$.

⁶ Madapusi Pera defined Fil¹ $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{O}}$ using the contravariant Grothendieck–Messing theory of the *p*-divisible group of the Kuga–Satake abelian scheme in his sense, which is the same as the covariant Grothendieck–Messing theory of the *p*-divisible group over \hat{U} transported via Θ from the universal *p*-divisible group over RZ in the sense of Howard and Pappas.

4.2.2. Let $u \in V_K^{\Phi} - \{0\}$. Suppose that $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(u)(k)$. Let $T = k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ be the ring of dual numbers over k. We equip T with the map $T \to k, \epsilon \mapsto 0$. The kernel of this map is (ϵ) and it admits nilpotent divided powers (in a unique way). Thus, Theorem 4.1.7 can be applied to $\mathcal{O} = T$.

Let $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$ and $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \mathcal{Z}(u)_k$ be the tangent spaces at x_0 to $\operatorname{RZ}_k = \operatorname{RZ} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} W} \operatorname{Spec} k$ and to $\mathcal{Z}(u)_k = \mathcal{Z}(u) \times_{\operatorname{Spf} W} \operatorname{Spec} k$, respectively. We will always take the point of view that $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$ is the preimage of $\{x_0\}$ under the reduction map $\operatorname{RZ}(T) \to \operatorname{RZ}(k)$ and similarly for $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \mathcal{Z}(u)_k$. We compute $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$ and $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \mathcal{Z}(u)_k$ explicitly in the following. The result is given in Corollary 4.2.7.

Let L be the special lattice associated to x_0 under (2.11.1.1). Since $x_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(u)(k)$, we have $u \in L \cap \Phi L$ by Lemma 2.14.2. Let \bar{u} be the image of u in $(\Phi L)_k$. Let $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ be as in Definition 4.1.3. By Corollary 4.1.8, we know that \bar{u} is orthogonal to $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$.

Define \mathscr{D} to be the set of isotropic lines in $(\Phi L)_T$ lifting Fil¹ $(\Phi L)_k$. Define \mathscr{D}_u to be the subset of \mathscr{D} consisting of lines which are in addition orthogonal to the image of u in $(\Phi L)_T$. Let

$$\mathscr{G} = f_T : \mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{D}$$

$$(4.2.2.1)$$

be the bijection given in Theorem 4.1.7. By the same theorem, it restricts to a bijection

$$\mathcal{T}_{x_0}\mathcal{Z}(u)_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{D}_u$$

DEFINITION 4.2.3. We identify $(\Phi L)_T$ with $(\Phi L)_k \otimes_k T$. Fix a k-generator v_0 of Fil¹ $(\Phi L)_k$. Define a map

$$\hat{\mathscr{F}} : (\Phi L)_k \to \{T \text{-submodules of } (\Phi L)_T\} \\ w \mapsto \operatorname{span}_T \{ v_0 \otimes_k 1 + w \otimes_k \epsilon \}.$$

LEMMA 4.2.4. $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ factors through $(\Phi L)_k/\mathrm{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ and its image consists of T-module direct summands of $(\Phi L)_T$ of rank one.

Proof. For any $\lambda \in k$, we have

$$v_0 \otimes 1 + (w + \lambda v_0) \otimes \epsilon = (1 + \lambda \epsilon)(v_0 \otimes 1 + w \otimes \epsilon)$$

and $1 + \lambda \epsilon \in T^{\times}$. Hence, $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ factors through $(\Phi L)_k/\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$. For any $w \in (\Phi L)_k$, we know that $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(w)$ is a free module of rank one by definition. It remains to show that $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(w)$ is a direct summand of $(\Phi L)_T$. Let A be a k-vector space complement of $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ inside $(\Phi L)_k$. We easily check that the following T-submodule of $(\Phi L)_T$ is a T-module complement of $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(w)$:

$$\operatorname{span}_T\{v' \otimes 1 + w \otimes \epsilon \mid v' \in A\}.$$

COROLLARY 4.2.5. The map $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}$ induces a bijection of sets:

$$\mathscr{F}: (\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k)^{\perp}/\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{D}.$$

Moreover, \mathcal{F} restricts to a bijection

$$\{\bar{u}, \operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k\}^{\perp}/\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{D}_u.$$

Proof. Since $\langle v_0, v_0 \rangle = 0 \in k$, the condition that $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(w)$ is isotropic is equivalent to $\langle w, v_0 \rangle = 0 \in k$. Since v_0 is orthogonal to \bar{u} , the condition that $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(w)$ is orthogonal to the image of u in $(\Phi L)_T$ is equivalent to $\langle w, \bar{u} \rangle = 0 \in k$. LEMMA 4.2.6. Let \mathscr{G} be as in (4.2.2.1) and let \mathscr{F} be as in Corollary 4.2.5. The map

$$\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F} : (\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k)^{\perp} / \operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k \to \mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$$

is k-linear.

Proof. The proof is a routine check, using the functorial property stated in Theorem 4.1.7.

We first recall the k-vector space structure on $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$, from the point of view that $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$ is the preimage of $\{x_0\}$ under the map $\operatorname{RZ}(T) \to \operatorname{RZ}(k)$.

Scalar multiplication: Given a tangent vector $v \in \mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$ corresponding to $v_T \in \operatorname{RZ}(T)$ and given a scalar $\lambda \in k$, the tangent vector λv corresponds to the following element $(\lambda v)_T$ of $\operatorname{RZ}(T)$: the image of v_T under $\operatorname{RZ}(T) \xrightarrow{T \to T, \epsilon \mapsto \lambda \epsilon} \operatorname{RZ}(T)$. We see that $(\lambda v)_T$ is indeed a preimage of x_0 . Addition: Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$ be two tangent vectors. Let $T_i = k[\epsilon_i]/\epsilon_i^2, i = 1, 2$, be two copies of T. We represent v_i as an element $(v_i)_{T_i}$ in $\operatorname{RZ}(T_i)$ that reduces to $x_0 \in \operatorname{RZ}(k)$ for i = 1, 2. Let \tilde{T} be the fiber product of T_1 and T_2 over k, in the category of k-algebras. Namely, $\tilde{T} = k[\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]/(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)^2$. Let δ be the k-algebra map

$$\delta: T \to T, \quad \epsilon_1 \mapsto \epsilon, \quad \epsilon_2 \mapsto \epsilon.$$

By the fact that \tilde{T} is the fiber product of T_1 and T_2 , there is a canonical bijection

$$\operatorname{RZ}(T_1) \times \operatorname{RZ}(T_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{RZ}(\tilde{T}).$$
 (4.2.6.1)

Denote by $v_1 + v_2$ the image of $((v_1)_{T_1}, (v_2)_{T_2})$ in $\operatorname{RZ}(\tilde{T})$ under the above bijection. Then the tangent vector $v_1 + v_2$ corresponds to the following element $(v_1 + v_2)_T$ of $\operatorname{RZ}(T)$: the image of $v_1 + v_2$ under $\delta_* : \operatorname{RZ}(\tilde{T}) \to \operatorname{RZ}(T)$. This last element is indeed a preimage of x_0 .

We now check that $\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F}$ is k-linear. We first check the compatibility with scalar multiplication. For any $\lambda \in k$ and $w \in (\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k)^{\perp}$, we have $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(w) = \operatorname{span}_T \{v_0 \otimes 1 + w \otimes_k \epsilon\}$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(\lambda w) = \operatorname{span}_T \{v_0 \otimes 1 + \lambda w \otimes_k \epsilon\}$. Let m_{λ} denote the map $T \to T, \epsilon \mapsto \lambda \epsilon$. Then we have $\tilde{\mathscr{F}}(w) \otimes_{T,m_{\lambda}} T = \tilde{\mathscr{F}}(\lambda w)$ as submodules of $(\Phi L)_T$. By the functoriality in \mathcal{O} stated in Theorem 4.1.7, we know that for all $d \in \mathscr{D}$, the element $\mathscr{G}^{-1}(d \otimes_{T,m_{\lambda}} T) \in \operatorname{RZ}(T)$ is equal to the image of $\mathscr{G}^{-1}(d)$ under $\operatorname{RZ}(T) \xrightarrow{(m_{\lambda})_*} \operatorname{RZ}(T)$. It follows that $(\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F})(\lambda w)$ is equal to λ times the tangent vector $(\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F})(w)$.

We are left to check the additivity of $\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F}$. Let $w_1, w_2 \in (\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k)^{\perp}$. Let $\mathscr{D}_i, \mathscr{F}_i, \mathscr{G}_i$ be the analogues of $\mathscr{D}, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{G}$ respectively with T replaced by T_i for i = 1, 2. Also, let $f_{\tilde{T}}$ be as in Theorem 4.1.7 (with $\mathcal{O} = \tilde{T}$, where $\ker(\tilde{T} \to k)$ is equipped with the unique nilpotent divided power structure). Let $d_i := \mathscr{F}_i(w_i), i = 1, 2$. Then $d_i = \operatorname{span}_{T_i}(v_0 \otimes 1 + w_i \otimes \epsilon_i)$. We easily see that the assertion $(\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F})(w_1 + w_2) = (\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F})(w_1) + (\mathscr{G}^{-1} \circ \mathscr{F})(w_2)$ follows from the following claim.

CLAIM. Under (4.2.6.1), the element $(\mathscr{G}_1^{-1}(d_1), \mathscr{G}_2^{-1}(d_2))$ is sent to the element

$$f_{\tilde{T}}^{-1}(\operatorname{span}_{\tilde{T}}\{v_0 \otimes 1 + w_1 \otimes \epsilon_1 + w_2 \otimes \epsilon_2\}).$$

We now prove the claim. Let \tilde{d} be such that the element $(\mathscr{G}_1^{-1}(d_1), \mathscr{G}_2^{-1}(d_2))$ is sent under (4.2.6.1) to $f_{\tilde{T}}^{-1}(\tilde{d})$. Thus, \tilde{d} is an isotropic line in $(\Phi L)_{\tilde{T}}$. By the functoriality stated in Theorem 4.1.7 and the functorial definition of (4.2.6.1), we see that \tilde{d} is characterized by the condition that $\tilde{d} \otimes_{\tilde{T}} T_i = d_i$, i = 1, 2, where the tensor product is with respect to the structure map $\tilde{T} \to T_i$ expressing \tilde{T} as the fiber product of T_1, T_2 (i.e. reduction modulo ϵ_j for $j \neq i$). Using this characterization of \tilde{d} , we see that \tilde{d} is as predicted in the claim.

COROLLARY 4.2.7. There is an isomorphism from the tangent space $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_k$ to

$$(\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k)^{\perp}/\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k,$$

which identifies the subspace $\mathcal{T}_{x_0}\mathcal{Z}(u)_k$ of $\mathcal{T}_{x_0}\operatorname{RZ}_k$ with

$$\{\bar{u}, \operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k\}^{\perp}/\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.2.5, Lemma 4.2.6 and the bijectivity of \mathscr{G}^{-1} asserted in Theorem 4.1.7.

LEMMA 4.2.8. Let $\Lambda \subset V_K^{\Phi}$ be a vertex lattice. Let L be a self-dual W-lattice in V_K such that $\Lambda_W^{\vee} \subset L \subset \Lambda_W$. Let A be the image of Λ_W^{\vee} in L_k . Then the following statements hold.

- (1) $\dim_k \Lambda_W/L = \dim_k L/\Lambda_W^{\vee}$. Here both spaces are vector spaces over k because $p\Lambda_W \subset \Lambda_W^{\vee} \subset L$ and $pL \subset p\Lambda_W \subset \Lambda_W^{\vee}$.
- (2) $A \supset A^{\perp}$. Here A^{\perp} is the orthogonal complement of A in L_k , under the k-bilinear pairing on L_k that is the reduction of the W-bilinear pairing on L.

Proof. (1) Consider the W-bilinear pairing

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_W \times \Lambda_W &\to W \\ (x, y) &\mapsto p \langle x, y \rangle \end{split}$$

where \langle , \rangle is the *K*-bilinear form on $V_K^{\Phi} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K = V_K$. We get an induced *k*-quadratic space structure on $\Lambda_W / \Lambda_W^{\vee}$. The image of *L* in $\Lambda_W / \Lambda_W^{\vee}$ is equal to the orthogonal complement of itself, i.e. it is a Lagrangian subspace. Statement (1) follows.

(2) By definition, A^{\perp} is the image in L_k of the W-submodule $p\Lambda_W^{\vee\vee} = p\Lambda_W$ of L. We have $p\Lambda_W \subset \Lambda_W^{\vee}$, so A^{\perp} is a subset of the image of Λ_W^{\vee} in L_k , which is A.

PROPOSITION 4.2.9. Let $\Lambda \subset V_K^{\Phi}$ be a vertex lattice of type t (so $t \ge 2$ is even). For all $x_0 \in \mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda}(k)$, we have

$$\dim_k \mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda,k} = t/2 - 1.$$

Proof. Let L be the special lattice associated to x_0 under (2.11.1.1) and let $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ be as in Definition 4.1.3. Then $\Lambda_W^{\vee} \subset L \cap \Phi L$. Denote by A the image of Λ_W^{\vee} in $(\Phi L)_k$. Then Ais orthogonal to $\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$ by Corollary 4.1.8. By Corollary 4.2.7, we have an isomorphism of k-vector spaces

$$\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda,k} \cong \{A, \operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k\}^{\perp} / \operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k.$$

Since A is orthogonal to Fil¹(ΦL)_k, we have $A \supset$ Fil¹(ΦL)_k by Lemma 4.2.8 applied to the self-dual W-lattice ΦL . Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda,k} \cong A^{\perp}/\operatorname{Fil}^1(\Phi L)_k$. Since the bilinear pairing on $(\Phi L)_k$ is non-degenerate, we have $\dim_k \mathcal{T}_{x_0} \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda,k} = \dim_k (\Phi L)_k - \dim_k A - 1 = \dim_k (\Phi L/\Lambda_W^{\vee}) - 1$. By statement (1) in Lemma 4.2.8 (applied to ΦL), we have $\dim_k (\Phi L/\Lambda_W^{\vee}) = t/2$.

COROLLARY 4.2.10. Let $\Lambda \subset V_K^{\Phi}$ be a vertex lattice. The formal scheme $\operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} W} \operatorname{Spec} k$ is regular.

Proof. Let t be the type of Λ . Denote $X := \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda}^{\operatorname{red}}$ and $Y := \operatorname{RZ}_{\Lambda} \times_{\operatorname{Spf} W} \operatorname{Spec} k$. Then X is a formal subscheme of Y over k. Recall from § 2.9 that X is a smooth k-scheme of dimension t/2-1. It follows that for all $x_0 \in Y(k)$, the complete local ring of Y at x_0 is of dimension $\geq t/2 - 1$. By Proposition 4.2.9, the tangent space of Y at x_0 has k-dimension equal to t/2 - 1. Hence, Y is regular at x_0 .

THEOREM 4.2.11. Let $\Lambda \subset V_K^{\Phi}$ be a vertex lattice. Then $\mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda} = \mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda}^{\mathrm{red}}$ and is of characteristic p.

Proof. RZ_{Λ} does not admit W/p^2 -points (Proposition 4.2.1) and its special fiber is regular (Corollary 4.2.10). It follows from [RTZ13, Lemma 10.3] that RZ_{Λ} is equal to its special fiber. Being regular itself, RZ_{Λ} is reduced.

5. The intersection length formula

5.1 The arithmetic intersection as a fixed point scheme

Recall from § 3.3 that we are interested in computing the intersection of RZ^g and $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^\flat)$ for $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

PROPOSITION 5.1.1. Assume that $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is regular semisimple. Then $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^g$ is contained in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v}(g))$, where $\mathbf{v}(g) = (x_n, gx_n, \dots, g^{n-1}x_n)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2.2, we have $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(x_n)$. Hence, $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^g \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(x_n) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^g \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(gx_n)$ by the definition of special cycles. Repeating this procedure, we obtain

$$\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(x_{n}) \cap \mathcal{Z}(gx_{n}) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{Z}(g^{n-1}x_{n}) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{v}(g)).$$

COROLLARY 5.1.2. Assume that $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is regular semisimple and minuscule. Then

$$\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g} \subset \mathrm{RZ}_{L(g)^{\vee}} = \mathrm{RZ}_{L(g)^{\vee}}^{\mathrm{red}}$$

In particular, $\delta(\mathbf{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathbf{RZ}^{g}$ is a scheme of characteristic p.

Proof. The first statement is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.14.4, Theorem 4.2.11 and Proposition 5.1.1. Now both $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})$ and RZ^{g} are closed formal subschemes of RZ , so $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap$ RZ^{g} is a closed formal subscheme of the scheme $\mathrm{RZ}_{L(g)^{\vee}} = \mathrm{RZ}_{L(g)^{\vee}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ of characteristic p. Hence, $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^{g}$ is itself a scheme of characteristic p.

5.1.3. In the rest of this section we will fix $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ regular semisimple and minuscule, and assume that $\mathrm{RZ}^g \neq \emptyset$. Take $\Lambda := L(g)^{\vee}$. Then Λ is a vertex lattice stable under g; see Remark 3.6.1. We are interested in computing the intersection length of $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})$ and RZ^g around a k-point of intersection. Recall the isomorphism (2.9.1.1) between $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda}$ (which we now know is just $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}_{\Lambda}$) and S_{Λ} . Recall from § 2.8 that S_{Λ} is a projective smooth variety over k of dimension $t_{\Lambda}/2 - 1$. We write $d = t_{\Lambda}/2$. Let $\Omega_0 = \Lambda/\Lambda^{\vee}$ and $\Omega = \Omega_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} k = \Lambda_W/\Lambda_W^{\vee}$. Let \langle , \rangle be the k-bilinear form on Ω (§ 2.7). Let $\mathbb{G} = \mathrm{SO}(\Omega), \mathbb{G}_0 = \mathrm{SO}(\Omega_0)$. Let \bar{g} be the induced action of g on Ω . Then $\bar{g} \in \mathbb{G}_0(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset \mathbb{G}(k)$.

There is a natural action of \bar{g} on S_{Λ} via its action on Ω . On *R*-points \bar{g} sends $(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d)$ to $(\bar{g}\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \bar{g}\mathcal{L}_d)$. The latter is indeed a point of S_{Λ} because $\bar{g}\Phi = \Phi \bar{g}$ by the fact that $\bar{g} \in \mathbb{G}_0(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The following proposition allows us to reduce the study of intersection multiplicities to the study of the non-reduced structure of $S_{\Lambda}^{\bar{g}}$.

PROPOSITION 5.1.4. $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^g) \cong S^{\overline{g}}_{\Lambda}$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 4.2.11, Corollary 5.1.2 and the observation that the isomorphism (2.9.1.1) induces an isomorphism $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus (\mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda})^g \xrightarrow{\sim} S^{\bar{g}}_{\Lambda}$, it suffices to show that

$$(p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda}) \cap (p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})) = (p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda}).$$

Since both $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda}$ and $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})$ are closed formal subschemes of $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}$ and since $p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda}$ is a reduced scheme, it suffices to check that

$$p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mathrm{RZ}^{\mathrm{red}}_{\Lambda}(k) \subset p^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat})(k).$$

Now the left-hand side consists of special lattices L containing Λ^{\vee} and the right-hand side consists of special lattices L containing x_n (see (2.12.0.1) and Lemma 3.4.2). We finish the proof by noting that by definition $x_n \in \Lambda^{\vee} = L(g)$.

Proposition 5.1.4 reduces the intersection problem to the study of $S^{\bar{g}}_{\Lambda}$.

5.2 Study of S^g_{Λ}

We continue to use the notation in $\S5.1$. We adopt the following notation from [HP14, $\S3.2$].

DEFINITION 5.2.1. Let OGr(d-1) (respectively OGr(d)) be the moduli space of totally isotropic subspaces of Ω of dimension d-1 (respectively d). For a finite-dimensional vector space W over k and an integer l with $0 \leq l \leq \dim W$, we write Gr(W, l) for the Grassmannian classifying l-dimensional subspaces of W. Thus, for $j \in \{d-1, d\}$ and any k-algebra R, we have

$$OGr(j)(R) = \{R\text{-module local direct summands of } \Omega \otimes_k R \text{ of local rank } j \text{ which are totally isotropic} \}.$$

Also,

 $Gr(W, l)(R) = \{R \text{-module local direct summands of } W \otimes_k R \text{ of local rank } k\}.$

DEFINITION 5.2.2. If A is a finite-dimensional k-vector space, we write \mathbb{A}^A for the affine space over k defined by A. Thus, for a k-algebra R, we have $\mathbb{A}^A(R) = A \otimes_k R$.

DEFINITION 5.2.3. Let \mathcal{L}_d , \mathcal{M}_d be Lagrangian subspaces of Ω such that $\Omega = \mathcal{L}_d \oplus \mathcal{M}_d$. We write $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d)$ for the space of anti-symmetric k-linear maps $\mathcal{L}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$. Here we say that $\phi : \mathcal{L}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ is anti-symmetric if the bilinear form $\mathcal{L}_d \times \mathcal{L}_d \to k$, $(x, y) \mapsto \langle x, \phi y \rangle$ is anti-symmetric.

5.2.4. Recall that, in general, if A is a finite-dimensional vector space over k and B is a subspace, then we can construct a Zariski open of the Grassmannian $\operatorname{Gr}(A, \dim B)$ as follows. Choose a subspace C of A such that $A = B \oplus C$. Then there is an open embedding $\iota_{B,C}$: $\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}_k(B,C)} \to \operatorname{Gr}(A, \dim B)$, which we now describe. For any k-algebra R and any R-point ϕ of $\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}_k(B,C)}$, we view ϕ as an element of $\operatorname{Hom}_k(B,C) \otimes R = \operatorname{Hom}_R(B \otimes R, C \otimes R)$. Then $\iota_{B,C}$ maps ϕ to the R-point of $\operatorname{Gr}(A, \dim B)$ corresponding the following R-submodule of A:

$$\{x + \phi(x) \mid x \in B \otimes R\}. \tag{5.2.4.1}$$

For details, see for instance [Har95, Lecture 6]. In the following we will think of $\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}_k(B,C)}$ as a Zariski open of $\operatorname{Gr}(A, \dim B)$, omitting $\iota_{B,C}$ from the notation.

LEMMA 5.2.5. Let $\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d$ be complementary Lagrangian subspaces of Ω over k. Then

$$\operatorname{OGr}(d) \times_{\operatorname{Gr}(\Omega,d)} \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_d,\mathcal{M}_d)} = \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d,\mathcal{M}_d)}$$

In particular, the k-point \mathcal{L}_d in OGr(d) has an open neighborhood of the form $\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d,\mathcal{M}_d)}$.

Proof. Let R be a k-algebra and ϕ an R-point of $\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d)}$. Then the submodule (5.2.4.1) (for $B = \mathcal{L}_d$) is Lagrangian if and only if for all $x \in B \otimes R$,

$$\langle x + \phi(x), x + \phi(x) \rangle = 0.$$

But we have $\langle x, x \rangle = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x) \rangle = 0$ since $\mathcal{L}_d \otimes R$ and $\mathcal{M}_d \otimes R$ are both Lagrangian. Hence, (5.2.4.1) is Lagrangian if and only if $\langle x, \phi(x) \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_d \otimes R$, if and only if ϕ is an R-point of $\mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d)}$.

5.2.6. It follows from the assumptions we made on $\bar{g} \in \mathbb{G}(k)$ in 5.1.3 that its characteristic polynomial on Ω is equal to its minimal polynomial on Ω (see Remark 3.6.1). In general, this property is equivalent to the property that every primary component of the $k[\bar{g}]$ -module Ω is a cyclic $k[\bar{g}]$ -module. This property is inherited by any $k[\bar{g}]$ -submodule of Ω , since any $k[\bar{g}]$ submodule of a cyclic $k[\bar{g}]$ -module is cyclic.

From now on we let $x_0 = (\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d) \in S_{\Lambda}(k)$ be an element fixed by \bar{g} . Then $\Phi \mathcal{L}_d \subset \Omega$ is a $k[\bar{g}]$ -submodule. By the previous paragraph, we know that $\bar{g}|_{\Phi \mathcal{L}_d}$ has its minimal and characteristic polynomials being equal, and that in its Jordan normal form all the Jordan blocks have distinct eigenvalues.

DEFINITION 5.2.7. Let λ be the (non-zero) eigenvalue of \bar{g} on the one-dimensional $\Phi \mathcal{L}_d / \mathcal{L}_{d-1}$. Let c be the size of the unique Jordan block of eigenvalue λ of $\bar{g}|_{\Phi \mathcal{L}_d}$.

5.2.8. Let
$$x_0 = (\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d) \in S_{\Lambda}(k)^{\overline{g}}$$
 as in 5.2.6. Define
$$Y := \operatorname{Gr}(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d, d-1) \times_k \operatorname{OGr}(d).$$

Let $\mathcal{I} \subset Y$ be the sub-functor defined by the incidence relation, i.e. for a k-algebra R,

$$\mathcal{I}(R) = \{ (\mathcal{L}'_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}'_d) \in \operatorname{Gr}(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d, d-1)(R) \times \operatorname{OGr}(d)(R) \mid \mathcal{L}'_{d-1} \subset \mathcal{L}'_d \}.$$

The pair $(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d)$ defines a k-point in \mathcal{I} , which we again denote by x_0 . It is well known that the incidence sub-functor of $\operatorname{Gr}(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d, d-1) \times \operatorname{Gr}(\Omega, d)$ is represented by a closed subscheme and it follows that \mathcal{I} is a closed subscheme of Y.

Since $x_0 = (\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d) \in S_{\Lambda}(k)$ is fixed by \bar{g} , we have a natural action of \bar{g} on Y, stabilizing \mathcal{I} and fixing $x_0 \in \mathcal{I}$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}, x_0}, \quad \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}^{\bar{g}}, x_0}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{S}} := \mathcal{O}_{S_\Lambda, x_0}, \quad \mathcal{S} := \mathcal{O}_{S_\Lambda^{\bar{g}}, x_0}$$

be the local rings at x_0 of $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}^{\bar{g}}, S_{\Lambda}, S_{\Lambda}^{\bar{g}}$, respectively. Let

$$ilde{\mathcal{R}}_p := ilde{\mathcal{R}}/\mathfrak{m}^p_{ ilde{\mathcal{R}}}, \quad \mathcal{R}_p := \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{m}^p_{\mathcal{R}}, \quad ilde{\mathcal{S}}_p := ilde{\mathcal{S}}/\mathfrak{m}^p_{ ilde{\mathcal{S}}}, \quad \mathcal{S}_p := \mathcal{S}/\mathfrak{m}^p_{\mathcal{S}}$$

be the quotients of the above four local rings modulo the pth powers of their respective maximal ideals.

The following lemma expresses the observation that $\mathcal{I}^{\bar{g}}$ may serve as a model for $S^{\bar{g}}_{\Lambda}$ locally around x_0 .

Lemma 5.2.9.

- (1) There is a k-algebra isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p \cong \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$, equivariant for the \bar{g} -action on both sides.
- (2) There is a k-algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{R}_p \cong \mathcal{S}_p$.

Proof. We first show (1). Let $(\mathcal{L}'_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}'_d)$ be the tautological pair over $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$ for the moduli problem S_{Λ} , and let $(\mathcal{L}''_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}''_d)$ be the tautological pair over $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$ for the moduli problem \mathcal{I} . Note that

$$\Phi \mathcal{L}'_d = (\Phi \mathcal{L}_d) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$$

as submodules of $\Omega \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$ because $\Phi : \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p \to \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$ factors through the reduction map $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p \to k$. It follows that $(\mathcal{L}'_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}'_d)$ defines a point in $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p)$ lifting $x_0 \in \mathcal{I}(k)$. Similarly,

$$\Phi \mathcal{L}''_d = (\Phi \mathcal{L}_d) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$$

as submodules of $\Omega \otimes_k \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$ and hence $(\mathcal{L}''_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}''_d)$ defines a point in $S_{\Lambda}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ lifting $x_0 \in \mathcal{S}_{\Lambda}(k)$. The point in $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p)$ and the point in $S_{\Lambda}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p)$ constructed above give rise to inverse k-algebra isomorphisms between $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$, which are obviously \bar{g} -equivariant.

(2) follows from (1), since \mathcal{R}_p (respectively \mathcal{S}_p) is the quotient ring of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$ (respectively $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$) modulo the ideal generated by elements of the form $r - \bar{g} \cdot r$ with $r \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_p$ (respectively $r \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_p$). \Box

5.3 Study of $\mathcal{I}^{\overline{g}}$

Next we study $\mathcal{I}^{\bar{g}}$ by choosing certain explicit coordinates on \mathcal{I} . Choose a k-basis $v_1, \ldots, v_d, w_1, \ldots, w_d$ of Ω such that:

- \mathcal{L}_{d-1} is spanned by v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1} ;
- \mathcal{L}_d is spanned by v_1, \ldots, v_d ;
- $\Phi \mathcal{L}_d$ is spanned by $v_1, \ldots, v_{d-1}, w_d$;
- $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = 0, \langle v_i, w_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$

We will denote

$$\hat{v}_i := \begin{cases} v_i, & 1 \leq i \leq d-1, \\ w_d, & i = d. \end{cases}$$

Also, denote

$$\mathcal{M}_d := \operatorname{span}_k(w_1, \ldots, w_d).$$

For $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, define an element $\phi_i \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d))$ by

$$\phi_i(v_j) = \delta_{ij} w_d. \tag{5.3.0.1}$$

Then $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{d-1}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d))$.

By § 5.2.4 and Lemma 5.2.5, there is a Zariski open neighborhood of x_0 in Y of the form

$$\mathcal{U} := \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d))} \times \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d)}$$

LEMMA 5.3.1. (1) Let R be a k-algebra. Let $y \in \mathcal{U}(R)$, corresponding to

$$(\phi, \psi) \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d)) \otimes R \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d) \otimes R.$$

We view $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathcal{L}_{d-1} \otimes R, \operatorname{span}_R(w_d))$ and $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathcal{L}_d \otimes R, \mathcal{M}_d \otimes R)$. Then y is in \mathcal{I} if and only if $\psi|_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1} \otimes R} = \phi$.

(2) The projection to the first factor $\mathcal{U} \to \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1},\operatorname{span}_k(w_d))}$ restricts to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d))}.$$

Proof. (1) We know that y is in \mathcal{I} if and only if for all $v \in \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \otimes R$, there exists $v' \in \mathcal{L}_d \otimes R$ such that

$$v + \phi(v) = v' + \psi(v')$$

as elements of $\Omega \otimes R$. Decompose $v' = v'_1 + v'_2$ with $v'_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \otimes R$ and $v'_2 \in \operatorname{span}_R(v_d)$. Then the above equation reads

$$v - v_1' = v_2' + (\psi(v') - \phi(v)).$$

Since $v - v'_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \otimes R$, $v'_2 \in \operatorname{span}_R(v_d)$, $\psi(v') - \phi(v) \in \mathcal{M}_d \otimes R$, we know that the above equation holds if and only if $v = v'_1$, $v'_2 = 0$, $\phi(v) = \psi(v)$. Hence, $y \in \mathcal{I}$ if and only if for all $v \in \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \otimes R$, we have $\psi(v) = \phi(v)$. This proves (1).

(2) By (1), we know that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}$ is the affine subspace of \mathcal{U} associated to the linear subspace of

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d)) \times \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d)$$

consisting of pairs (ϕ, ψ) such that $\psi|_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1}} = \phi$. Call this subspace A. We only need to show that projection to the first factor induces an isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d))$.

Note that if $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d)$, then ψ is determined by $\psi|_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1}}$. This is because for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, we have

$$\langle \psi v_d, v_i \rangle = \begin{cases} -\langle v_d, \psi v_i \rangle, & i \leq d-1, \\ 0, & i = d, \end{cases}$$
(5.3.1.1)

which means that $\psi(v_d)$ is determined by $\psi|_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1}}$. Conversely, given $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d))$, we can construct $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{anti}}(\mathcal{L}_d, \mathcal{M}_d)$ such that $\psi|_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1}} = \phi$ as follows. For $1 \leq j \leq d-1$, define $\psi(v_j)$ to be $\phi(v_j)$. Define $\psi(v_d)$ to be the unique element of \mathcal{M}_d satisfying (5.3.1.1). In this way we have defined a linear map $\psi : \mathcal{L}_d \to \mathcal{M}_d$ such that $\psi|_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1}} = \phi$. We now check that ψ is anti-symmetric. We need to check that for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq d$, we have $\langle \psi v_j, v_i \rangle = -\langle \psi v_i, v_j \rangle$. If j = d, this is true by (5.3.1.1). Suppose that j < d. Then $\langle \psi v_j, v_i \rangle = \langle \psi v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ because $\psi v_j, \psi v_i \in \operatorname{span}_k(w_d)$ and $\langle w_d, \mathcal{L}_{d-1} \rangle = 0$. Thus, ψ is indeed anti-symmetric. It follows that $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \operatorname{span}_k(w_d))$, as desired. \Box

From now on we assume that $x_0 = (\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d) \in S^{\overline{g}}_{\Lambda}(k)$.

DEFINITION 5.3.2. Write the matrix over k of \bar{g} acting on $\Phi \mathcal{L}_d$ under the basis $\hat{v}_1, \ldots, \hat{v}_d$ (see § 5.3) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 \\ H_3 & H_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where H_1 is of size $(d-1) \times (d-1)$, H_2 is of size $(d-1) \times 1$, H_3 is of size $1 \times (d-1)$ and $H_4 \in k$.

Remark 5.3.3. Since \bar{g} stabilizes \mathcal{L}_{d-1} , we have $H_3 = 0$.

PROPOSITION 5.3.4. Let R be a k-algebra and let $y = (\phi, \psi) \in \mathcal{U}(R)$. Write $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} r_i \phi_i$ with each $r_i \in R$. Denote by \vec{r} the row vector (r_1, \ldots, r_{d-1}) .

(1) We view ϕ as an element of $\operatorname{Gr}(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d, d-1)(R)$. It is fixed by $\bar{g}|_{\Phi \mathcal{L}_d}$ if and only if

$$\vec{r}(H_1 + H_2\vec{r}) = H_4\vec{r}.$$
(5.3.4.2)

(2) Assume that $y \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ and that $\phi \in \operatorname{Gr}(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d, d-1)$ is fixed by $\overline{g}|_{\Phi \mathcal{L}_d}$. Then ψ , viewed as an element of $\operatorname{OGr}(d)(R)$, is fixed by \overline{g} . In other words, y is fixed by \overline{g} in this case.

Proof. (1) First we identify $(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d) \otimes R$ with R^d using the basis $\hat{v}_1, \ldots, \hat{v}_d$. As a point of $Gr(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d, d-1)$, ϕ corresponds to the submodule of $(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d) \otimes R$ given by the column space of the *R*-matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{d-1} & 0\\ \vec{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\phi \in \operatorname{Gr}(\Phi \mathcal{L}_d, d-1)$ is fixed by $\bar{g}|_{\Phi \mathcal{L}_d}$ if and only if the following two *R*-matrices have the same column space:

$$A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} I_{d-1} & 0\\ \vec{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2\\ H_3 & H_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{d-1} & 0\\ \vec{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that since $\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 \\ H_3 & H_4 \end{pmatrix}$ is invertible, A_1 and A_2 have the same column space if and only if the column space of A_2 is contained in that of A_1 . Since $H_3 = 0$ (Remark 5.3.3), we have

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 + H_2 \vec{r} & 0\\ H_4 \vec{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

But we easily see that the column space of $\begin{pmatrix} H_1+H_2\vec{r} & 0 \\ H_4\vec{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is contained in that of $\begin{pmatrix} I_{d-1} & 0 \\ \vec{r} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if (5.3.4.2) holds.

(2) Let OGr(d-1,d) be the incidence subscheme of $OGr(d-1) \times OGr(d)$. Consider the natural morphism

$$f: \mathcal{I} \to \mathrm{OGr}(d-1, d), \quad (\mathcal{L}'_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}'_d) \mapsto (\mathcal{L}'_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}'_d).$$

Note that $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}$ is connected because it is a linear subspace of the affine space \mathcal{U} by Lemma 5.3.1. Thus, $(\bar{g} \cdot \mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{I} = \bar{g}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I})$ is also connected. Since $\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}$ and $(\bar{g} \cdot \mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{I}$ share a common k-point, namely x_0 , we see that $f(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I})$ and $f((\bar{g} \cdot \mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{I})$ are in one connected component of $\operatorname{OGr}(d-1,d)$. We have $y \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{I}$ and $\bar{g}y \in (\bar{g} \cdot \mathcal{U}) \cap \mathcal{I}$. In particular, f(y) and $f(\bar{g}y)$ are R-points of the aforementioned connected component of $\operatorname{OGr}(d-1,d)$. Recall from [HP14, § 3.2] that $\operatorname{OGr}(d-1,d)$ has two connected components and each is isomorphic to $\operatorname{OGr}(d-1)$ via the projection to the first factor. Our assumptions imply that $f(y), f(\bar{g}y)$ have the same image in $\operatorname{OGr}(d-1)$. It follows that $f(y) = f(\bar{g}y)$. But by definition f is injective on R-points, so $y = \bar{g}y$.

PROPOSITION 5.3.5. Assume that $x_0 \in S^{\bar{g}}_{\Lambda}(k)$. Then the local ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}^{\bar{g}}, x_0}$ of $\mathcal{I}^{\bar{g}}$ at x_0 is isomorphic to the local ring at the origin of the subscheme of \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_k defined by the equations (5.3.4.2), where \mathbb{A}^{d-1}_k has coordinates r_1, \ldots, r_{d-1} . Moreover, explicitly we have

$$\mathcal{R} \cong k[X]/X^c.$$

Proof. The first claim follows from Lemma 5.3.1 and Proposition 5.3.4. To compute \mathcal{R} explicitly, we may and shall assume that the bases chosen in 5.2.8 are such that the matrix H_1 is already in its (upper-triangular) Jordan normal form. Recall from Definition 5.2.7 that all the Jordan blocks have distinct eigenvalues. Let $J_{d_1}(\lambda_1), \ldots, J_{d_{s-1}}(\lambda_{s-1})$ be the Jordan blocks that have eigenvalues different from λ . Let $\lambda_s = \lambda$ and let $J_{d_s}(\lambda_s)$ be the Jordan block of eigenvalue λ_s that appears in H_1 , where we allow $d_s = 0$. Then $d_s = c - 1$. Moreover, we assume that $J_{d_1}(\lambda_1), \ldots, J_{d_s}(\lambda_s)$ appear in the indicated order. Note that $H_4 = \lambda$. Write $H_1 = (h_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq d-1}$. The equation (5.3.4.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} r_{i-1}h_{i-1,i} + (h_{i,i} - \lambda + \vec{r}H_2)r_i = 0, & 2 \le i \le d-1, \\ (h_{1,1} - \lambda + \vec{r}H_2)r_1 = 0. \end{cases}$$
(5.3.5.1)

Note that when $h_{i,i}$ is not in the Jordan block $J_{d_s}(\lambda_s)$, we have $h_{i,i} - \lambda \in k^{\times}$, so the element $h_{i,i} - \lambda + \vec{r}H_2$ is a unit in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{d-1},0}$. Hence, for $i \leq d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{s-1} = d - c$, each r_i is solved to be a multiple of r_{i-1} and this multiple eventually becomes zero when this procedure is iterated. In other words, the ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{d-1},0}$ defining \mathcal{R} is generated by

$$r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{d-c}, \quad (\vec{r}H_2)r_{d-c+1}, \quad (\vec{r}H_2)r_i + r_{i-1} \ (d-c+1 < i \le d-1).$$

When c = 1, we have $\mathcal{R} \cong k$, as expected. Assume now that $c \ge 2$. Let h_1, \ldots, h_{c-1} be the last c-1 entries of the $(d-1) \times 1$ matrix H_2 . Make the change of variables

$$\begin{cases} X_i = r_{d-c+i}, & 1 \leq i \leq c-1, \\ A = \vec{r}H_2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \left(\frac{k[X_1, \dots, X_{c-1}, A]}{(A - \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} h_i X_i, AX_1, X_1 + AX_2, X_2 + AX_3, \dots, X_{c-2} + AX_{c-1})}\right)_{(X_1, \dots, X_{c-1})}.$$

By eliminating the variables X_1, \ldots, X_{c-2} , we obtain that

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \left(\frac{k[X_{c-1}, A]}{(X_{c-1}A^{c-1}, A - X_{c-1}\sum_{i=0}^{c-2} h_{c-1-i}(-A)^i)}\right)_{(X_{c-1}, A)}.$$

Note that if $h_{c-1} = 0$, then the last two rows of the matrix

$$\lambda I_d - \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 \\ 0 & H_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

are both zero. This contradicts the fact that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} H_1 & H_2 \\ 0 & H_4 \end{pmatrix}$, which represents \bar{g} on $\Phi \mathcal{L}_d$, has in its Jordan normal form a unique Jordan block of eigenvalue λ (cf. § 5.2.6). Hence, $h_{c-1} \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{c-2} h_{c-1-i}(-A)^i$ is a unit in $k[X_{c-1}, A]_{(X_{c-1}, A)}$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{R} \cong \left(\frac{k[X]}{(X^c)}\right)_{(X)} = k[X]/X^c,$$

as desired.

5.4 The intersection length formula

We are now ready to determine the structure of the complete local ring of S_{Λ}^{g} at a k-point of it when p is large enough. It is a consequence of Lemma 5.2.9, Proposition 5.3.5 and some commutative algebra.

THEOREM 5.4.1. Let $x_0 \in S^{\overline{g}}_{\Lambda}(k)$. Let λ and c be as in Definition 5.2.7. Assume that p > c. Then the complete local ring of $S^{\overline{g}}_{\Lambda}$ at x_0 is isomorphic to $k[X]/X^c$ as a k-algebra.

Proof. Denote by \hat{S} the complete local ring of $S^{\bar{g}}_{\Lambda}$ at x_0 . Since S_{Λ} is smooth of dimension d-1 (see § 2.8), we know that \hat{S} is a quotient ring of $k[[X_1, \ldots, X_{d-1}]]$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the maximal ideal of \hat{S} . By Lemma 5.2.9 and Proposition 5.3.5, there is a k-algebra isomorphism $\hat{S}/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^p \cong k[X]/X^c$. It follows that \hat{S} is isomorphic to $k[X]/X^c$ as a k-algebra by [LZ17, Lemma 4.3.6].

COROLLARY 5.4.2. Let $g \in J_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be regular semisimple and minuscule. Assume that $\mathrm{RZ}^g \neq \emptyset$ and keep the notation of 5.1.3. Let $x_0 \in (\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^g)(k)$. Let $(\mathcal{L}_{d-1}, \mathcal{L}_d) \in S_{\Lambda}(k)$ correspond to x_0 via Proposition 5.1.4 and define λ, c as in Definition 5.2.7. Assume that p > c. Then the complete local ring of $\delta(\mathrm{RZ}^{\flat}) \cap \mathrm{RZ}^g$ at x_0 is isomorphic to $k[X]/X^c$. Moreover (without assuming that p > c), we have c = (m(Q(T)) + 1)/2, where Q(T) is as in Theorem 3.6.4. In particular, $1 \leq c \leq n/2$.

Proof. The first part follows immediately from Proposition 5.1.4 and Theorem 5.4.1. It remains to show that

$$c=\frac{m(Q(T))+1}{2}$$

Suppose that $x_0 \in BT_{\Lambda'}$ for some vertex lattice Λ' (not necessarily equal to $\Lambda = L(g)^{\vee}$). Let L be the associated special lattice. Then we have (§ 2.12)

$$(\Lambda')_W^{\vee} \subseteq L \subseteq \Lambda'_W, \quad (\Lambda')_W^{\vee} \subseteq \Phi(L) \subseteq \Lambda'_W.$$

Hence, the eigenvalue λ of \bar{g} on $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_d)/\mathcal{L}_{d-1} \cong (L+\Phi(L))/L$ appears among the eigenvalues of \bar{g} on $\Lambda'/(\Lambda')^{\vee}$. We know that the characteristic and minimal polynomials of \bar{g} on $\Lambda'/(\Lambda')^{\vee}$ are both equal to $Q(T) \in \mathbb{F}_p[T]$ by the proof of Theorem 3.6.4. Let R(T) be the characteristic polynomial of \bar{g} on $(\Lambda')_W^{\vee}/L(g)$, so that R(T)Q(T) is the characteristic polynomial of \bar{g} on $\Lambda'_W/L(g)$. Thus, we know that the characteristic polynomial of \bar{g} on $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_d)$ divides R(T)Q(T) and is divisible by R(T). It follows that c, the algebraic multiplicity of λ in $\bar{g}|_{\Phi(\mathcal{L}_d)}$, is equal to the multiplicity of $T - \lambda$ in R(T)Q(T) as Q(T) is irreducible. This number is also equal to the multiplicity of Q(T)in R(T)Q(T) since λ is a root of the irreducible polynomial Q(T). The desired formula for c then follows since

$$m(Q(T)) + 1 = 2 \cdot$$
 the multiplicity of $Q(T)$ in $R(T)Q(T)$.

Finally, we note that m(Q(T)) is a positive odd integer not greater than the degree of P(T) and the latter, being the type of the vertex lattice $\Lambda = L(g)^{\vee}$, is an even integer $\leq t_{\max}$ (see § 2.7). The bound for c follows from the value of t_{\max} given in § 2.7.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to B. Howard, M. Kisin, M. Rapoport and W. Zhang for helpful conversations or comments. We would also like to thank the referee for a careful reading and numerous suggestions. Our debt to the two papers [RTZ13, HP17] should be clear to readers.

References

- BP17 O. Bueltel and G. Pappas, (G, μ) -displays and Rapoport-Zink spaces, Preprint (2017), arXiv:1702.00291.
- DL76 P. Deligne and G. Lusztig, Representations of reductive groups over finite fields, Ann. of Math.
 (2) 103 (1976), 103–161.
- GGP12 W. T. Gan, B. H. Gross and D. Prasad, Symplectic local root numbers, central critical L values, and restriction problems in the representation theory of classical groups, Astérisque 346 (2012), 1–109; sur les conjectures de Gross et Prasad. I.
- GK92 B. H. Gross and S. S. Kudla, Heights and the central critical values of triple product Lfunctions, Compos. Math. 81 (1992), 143–209.
- GS95 B. H. Gross and C. Schoen, The modified diagonal cycle on the triple product of a pointed curve, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 45 (1995), 649–679.

ARITHMETIC INTERSECTION ON GSPIN RAPOPORT-ZINK SPACES

- GZ86 B. H. Gross and D. B. Zagier, *Heegner points and derivatives of L-series*, Invent. Math. 84 (1986), 225–320.
- Har95 J. Harris, Algebraic geometry. A first course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 33 (Springer, New York, 1995); corrected reprint of the 1992 original.
- HP14 B. Howard and G. Pappas, On the supersingular locus of the GU(2,2) Shimura variety, Algebra Number Theory 8 (2014), 1659–1699.
- HP17 B. Howard and G. Pappas, Rapoport-Zink spaces for spinor groups, Compos. Math. 153 (2017), 1050–1118.
- Ive72 B. Iversen, A fixed point formula for action of tori on algebraic varieties, Invent. Math. 16 (1972), 229–236.
- Kim13 W. Kim, Rapoport–Zink spaces of Hodge type, Preprint (2013), arXiv:1308.5537.
- Kis10 M. Kisin, Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), 967–1012.
- Kri16 R. M. Krishna, Relative trace formula for SO2 × SO3 and the Waldspurger formula, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, PhD thesis, Columbia University (2016).
- LZ17 C. Li and Y. Zhu, Remarks on the arithmetic fundamental lemma, Algebra Number Theory 11 (2017), 2425–2445.
- Lus76/77 G. Lusztig, Coxeter orbits and eigenspaces of Frobenius, Invent. Math. **38** (1976–1977), 101–159.
- Lus11 G. Lusztig, From conjugacy classes in the Weyl group to unipotent classes, Represent. Theory 15 (2011), 494–530.
- Mad16 K. Madapusi Pera, Integral canonical models for spin Shimura varieties, Compos. Math. 152 (2016), 769–824.
- RTZ13 M. Rapoport, U. Terstiege and W. Zhang, On the arithmetic fundamental lemma in the minuscule case, Compos. Math. 149 (2013), 1631–1666.
- RZ96 M. Rapoport and T. Zink, Period spaces for p-divisible groups, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 141 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996).
- YZZ12 X. Yuan, S.-W. Zhang and W. Zhang, *Triple product L-series and Gross-Kudla-Schoen cycles*, Preprint (2012), https://www.math.columbia.edu/~wzhang/math/online/triple.pdf.
- YZZ13 X. Yuan, S.-W. Zhang and W. Zhang, *The Gross-Zagier formula on Shimura curves*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 184 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2013).
- Zha12 W. Zhang, On arithmetic fundamental lemmas, Invent. Math. 188 (2012), 197–252.

Chao Li chaoli@math.columbia.edu

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA

Yihang Zhu yihang@math.columbia.edu Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA