Mediterranean alcohol-drinking pattern and mortality in the SUN (Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra) Project: a prospective cohort study
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Abstract
Moderate alcohol intake has been related to lower mortality. However, alcohol use includes other dimensions beyond the amount of alcohol consumed. These aspects have not been sufficiently studied as a comprehensive entity. We aimed to test the relationship between an overall alcohol-drinking pattern and all-cause mortality. In a Mediterranean cohort study, we followed 18,394 Spanish participants up to 12 years. A validated 136-item FFQ was used to assess baseline alcohol intake. We developed a score assessing simultaneously seven aspects of alcohol consumption to capture the conformity to a traditional Mediterranean alcohol-drinking pattern (MADP). It positively scored moderate alcohol intake, alcohol intake spread out over the week, low spirit consumption, wine preference, red wine consumption, wine consumed during meals and avoidance of binge drinking. During the follow-up, 206 deaths were identified. For each 2-point increment in a 0–9 score of adherence to the MADP, we observed a 25 % relative risk reduction in mortality (95 % CI 11, 38 %). Within each category of alcohol intake, a higher adherence to the MADP was associated with lower mortality. Abstainers (excluded from the calculations of the MADP) exhibited higher mortality (hazard ratio 1·82, 95 % CI 1·14, 2·90) than participants highly adherent to the MADP. In conclusion, better adherence to an overall healthy alcohol-drinking pattern was associated with reduced mortality when compared with abstention or departure from this pattern. This reduction goes beyond the inverse association usually observed for moderate alcohol drinking. Even moderate drinkers can benefit from the advice to follow a traditional MADP.
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A U-shaped association between alcohol intake and the risk of cardiovascular(1), cancer(2) and non-cardiovascular–non-cancer mortality(3,4) has frequently been reported. The pathological effects of excess alcohol consumption have been widely studied in many different tissues such as the myocardium(5,6). Moreover, deleterious effects of heavy drinking have also been reported in epidemiological studies(6,7). Low-to-moderate alcohol intake when compared with abstinence or heavy drinking is usually assumed to reduce the risk of major chronic disease and all-cause mortality. The mechanisms proposed to explain this inverse association with moderate alcohol intake include increases in serum HDL-cholesterol, inhibition of platelet production, aggregation, increased fibrinolysis(7), beneficial effects on endothelial function and inflammation(8), and enhanced insulin sensitivity(9). However, drinking alcoholic beverages involves other dimensions beyond the precise amount of alcohol intake. For example, alcohol intake can be conceptualised as an element that forms part of an overall dietary pattern or as a substance consumed in order to seek psychoactive effects. In the culinary tradition of Mediterranean countries, alcohol intake used to be moderate, spread out over the week, low spirit consumption, wine preference, red wine consumption, wine consumed during meals and avoidance of binge drinking. During the follow-up, 206 deaths were identified. For each 2-point increment in a 0–9 score of adherence to the MADP, we observed a 25 % relative risk reduction in mortality (95 % CI 11, 38 %). Within each category of alcohol intake, a higher adherence to the MADP was associated with lower mortality. Abstainers (excluded from the calculations of the MADP) exhibited higher mortality (hazard ratio 1·82, 95 % CI 1·14, 2·90) than participants highly adherent to the MADP. In conclusion, better adherence to an overall healthy alcohol-drinking pattern was associated with reduced mortality when compared with abstention or departure from this pattern. This reduction goes beyond the inverse association usually observed for moderate alcohol drinking. Even moderate drinkers can benefit from the advice to follow a traditional MADP.
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from spirits was lower than 25%, participants received 1 point; (4) preference for wine – we scored 1 point if the proportion of alcohol derived from wine was at least 75% of total alcohol intake; (5) consuming wine preferentially during meals – we scored 1 point if the proportion of alcohol from wine consumed with meals was at least 75% of total alcohol from wine consumed; (6) preference for red wine over other types of wine – we scored 1 point if the proportion of red wine consumed is at least 75% of total wine consumption; (7) avoidance of excess drinking occasions – we assigned 1 point if the maximum number of drinks consumed in a single weekday, or a day during the weekend, or in special occasions never exceeded five drinks.

We selected these cut-off points in order to better capture the traditional Mediterranean drinking pattern as described in previous publications\cite{14–16}, and also taking into account the shape of the dose-response curve observed in restricted cubic spline analyses for continuous variables.

Once these seven items are summed, the MADP score could potentially range from 0 to 9 points. We grouped participants into four categories according to this score: 0 to 2 points (low adherence); 3 to 4 (moderate–low); 5 to 6 (moderate–high); 7 to 9 (high adherence). Abstainers, who did not drink at all, were excluded from this computation, and they were classified in a fifth group.

### Mortality assessment

Participants in the cohort study were carefully followed up in order to detect each death. At least annually, participants were contacted by mail and asked about changes of postal address. If postal mail failed, we used telephone or email contacts. We also exchanged information with the alumni associations and other professional associations to track participants. The closest relative, the appropriate professional association and the postal system allowed us to identify more than 85% of deaths. For the rest of the deaths, we checked the National Death Index every 6 months to confirm the vital status of all our participants with no updated information. Death certificates and medical records of deceased participants were obtained. Causes of death were adjudicated, blinded to alcohol or dietary information, according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision\cite{17}, and grouped as cardiovascular, cancer and other causes.

### Covariate assessment

We gathered information about anthropometric, sociodemographic, lifestyle and medical variables from the baseline questionnaire. Physical activity was assessed through a validated questionnaire\cite{18}. Adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern was evaluated using a well-known score\cite{14}; however, we excluded alcohol intake to avoid overlapping with our main exposure.

### Statistical analysis

To assess the relationship between adherence to the MADP and the risk of mortality, Cox regression models were fitted.
Hazard ratios (HR) and their 95% CI were calculated using the high-adherence group as the reference category. We also computed the HR for abstainers using this same category as the reference group. For the Cox regression model, age was the underlying time variable and exit time was defined as date of death or date when completing the last follow-up questionnaire (for survivors).

We also evaluated the risk of mortality associated with a 2-point increment in the MADP score, by introducing in the model the total score divided by 2 as a continuous variable. Tests for linear trend were also conducted. For these two latter analyses, abstainers were excluded.

First, age and sex were introduced in the model, and then, in the multiple-adjusted model, we additionally considered as potential confounders BMI (kg/m²), total energy intake (kJ/d), physical activity (metabolic equivalent task-h/week), prevalent or previous hypertension, prevalent hypercholesterolaemia, smoking habit (current smoker, former smoker or never smoker), tertiles of adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern, prevalent or previous cancer, presence of diabetes or CVD at baseline, and time spent watching television (h/week).

To assess the individual contribution of every specific MADP item, we fitted Cox regression models for each of the seven items using the highest score as the reference category. We evaluated the influence of each single item on mortality rates by alternately subtracting one single component from the overall MADP score, following the methodology of a previous article (19).

We conducted sensitivity analyses by rerunning all the models under different assumptions.

To compare the baseline characteristics of the participants according to the adherence to the MADP, we performed ANOVA (for continuous variables) and χ² (for categorical variables) tests. Analyses were performed using STATA version 12.0 (StataCorp).

**Results**

During the follow-up period (137,479 person-years), a total of 206 deaths were identified. The main characteristics of the 18,394 participants categorised according to their adherence to the MADP are displayed in Table 2.

Participants with a higher adherence to the MADP were older (46·0 years), less physically active, were less likely to be current smokers but more prone to be former smokers, and had better average adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern.

We evaluated the influence of each single item on mortality scores by alternately subtracting one single component from the overall MADP score, following the methodology of a previous article (19).

We conducted sensitivity analyses by rerunning all the models under different assumptions.

To compare the baseline characteristics of the participants according to the adherence to the MADP, we performed ANOVA (for continuous variables) and χ² (for categorical variables) tests. Analyses were performed using STATA version 12.0 (StataCorp).

### Results

During the follow-up period (137,479 person-years), a total of 206 deaths were identified. The main characteristics of the 18,394 participants categorised according to their adherence to the MADP are displayed in Table 2.

Participants with a higher adherence to the MADP were older (46·0 years), less physically active, were less likely to be current smokers but more prone to be former smokers, and had better average adherence to the Mediterranean dietary pattern.

An inverse association between the MADP as a continuous variable and the risk of mortality was apparent (HR 0·75, 95% CI 0·62, 0·89 for every 2-point increase in the MADP), with a significant inverse linear trend (P=0·003).
As shown in Fig. 2, the inverse association between the MADP and the risk of mortality remained apparent within the categories of total alcohol intake, suggesting that additional reductions in mortality were associated with better adherence to the MADP beyond the effect of moderate alcohol intake.

Moderate alcohol intake (5–25 g/d in women and 10–50 g/d in men) was inversely associated with all-cause mortality compared with abstainers in the present sample, but the CI included the null value (HR 0·69, 95 % CI 0·45, 1·06). However, beyond these results, adherence to the overall MADP in the highest level (7 to 9 points) showed a stronger reduction in the risk of mortality. Every single component of the MADP seemed to have a similar influence on the inverse association with the risk of mortality, except for the total amount of alcohol consumed, the influence of which was slightly higher.

When we used the highest category of adherence (7 to 9 points) to the MADP as the reference category, participants with a low adherence to the MADP (HR 3·09, 95 % CI 1·74, 5·50) and abstainers (HR 1·82, 95 % CI 1·14, 2·90) had a significantly higher risk of death than those with the highest adherence to the MADP, after adjustment for relevant confounders (Table 3). Participants with a moderate–low and moderate–high adherence also have a higher risk of death, but not statistically significant. Further adjustment for educational levels did not lead to any substantial change in these estimates.

The association between each single component of the MADP and the risk of mortality is presented in Table 4. After additional adjustment for all the other items, every MADP component was individually associated with a lower risk of death in its point estimate (data not shown), but usually the CI included the null value.

Every single component of the MADP seemed to have a similar influence on the inverse association with the risk of mortality, except for the total amount of alcohol consumed, the influence of which was slightly higher.

The main results of the present study were consistent for almost all the different scenarios that we included in the sensitivity analyses. For each additional 2-point increment in the MADP, we found stronger reductions for cardiovascular deaths (HR 0·55, 95 % CI 0·35, 0·84) than for cancer deaths.
To rule out the possible confounding effect of smoking habit, we reran the analysis only among never smokers, and we obtained the results in the same direction but without statistical significance due to the small number of deaths occurred among never smokers.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study assessing an overall alcohol-drinking pattern to comprehensively account for different aspects of alcohol consumption beyond the total amount of alcohol intake. Consistent with previous studies, we observed lower mortality among moderate drinkers. However, with our novel approach, we found that participants with a high adherence to a traditional Mediterranean alcohol-drinking pattern exhibited a 45% relative reduction in overall mortality vs. abstainers, well beyond the non-significant 31% relative reduction observed when only moderate alcohol intake was taken into account. This finding suggests that the assessment of an overall drinking pattern is better than the simple appraisal of the total amount of alcohol intake to capture the potential effect of alcohol consumption on mortality. Other aspects of the drinking pattern beyond total intake are able to provide additional relevant information.

The additional information gained by including further dimensions of alcohol consumption seems biologically sound because blood alcohol concentration depends, among other factors, on the quantity and frequency of alcohol consumption. Therefore, the same amount of total alcohol ingested will lead to higher blood alcohol concentration if it is consumed in a shorter period of time. Similarly, the same frequency of consumption will lead to higher blood alcohol concentration when the amount consumed in a single occasion is higher. Previous investigations have reported an increased risk of death for decreasing drinking-days, or for the presence of heavy drinking occasions, at the same level of alcohol consumption. Similar associations have been reported for myocardial infarction or major coronary events. Also, higher concentrations of alcohol in the gastrointestinal tract may have a local carcinogenic effect and higher blood alcohol concentrations may promote pancreatitis and liver disease. These previous findings support the harmful effects of highly concentrated consumption patterns (binge drinking).

The type of beverage consumed is another important point. Although not all authors agree, moderate wine consumption has been associated with a lower risk of cardiovascular, cancer, external-cause, and all-cause mortality, and with longer life expectancy compared with beer or spirit consumption. Accordingly, we observed a lower rate of mortality among wine drinkers compared with non-wine drinkers. This protective benefit may be due in part to the quantity of alcohol consumed, but it can specially be strengthened by the presence of considerable amounts of polyphenols in wine. Moreover, wine consumption has previously been related to beneficial cardiovascular and anti-carcinogenic effects. An alternative explanation for this association is that wine drinkers are healthier than non-wine drinkers in other aspects. For instance, dietary habits may differ from wine drinkers to beer drinkers or spirit drinkers. In order to account for confounding by these factors, several lifestyle variables, quality diet parameters and health indicators were included in the multiple-adjusted models. In addition, some of the associations found in previous studies may be due to different patterns of consumption among wine drinkers than in other drinkers.
Actually, preference for wine drinking has been associated with a less concentrated pattern of consumption\(^{(44)}\). Preferentially, wine drinkers are known to be at a lower risk of becoming heavy drinkers\(^{(45)}\). We found an inverse association between preference for wine and mortality, independently of other aspects of the alcohol-drinking pattern. On the contrary, preference for spirits did not show the same inverse association with the risk of mortality as wine. This differential effect also reported in a previous cohort study\(^{(31)}\) could be explained because spirits are distilled beverages with higher concentrations of alcohol per unit, but with lower concentrations of polyphenols. Wine drinkers are also known to be at a lower risk of being involved in violent deaths than beer or spirit drinkers\(^{(46)}\). This difference can also contribute to their lower mortality rates.

Alcohol intake was derived from a FFQ. This questionnaire inquired about dietary habits during the past year. However, alcohol intake includes some variability across different times of consumption. Therefore, other additional aspects of alcohol consumption were inquired in the baseline questionnaire, including the maximum number of drinks consumed in a weekday, during the weekend or in special occasions during the past year, and the pattern of drinking wine during meals. Participants drinking more than five drinks in a single occasion were at a higher risk of mortality. This is consistent with previous findings\(^{(47)}\), including also a recent research that found for binge drinkers a 14 to 168 % increased risk of all-cause death among men and a 26 to 106 % among women\(^{(48)}\). Binge drinking has also been related to an increased risk of CHD and hypertension\(^{(49)}\), and of mortality\(^{(50,51)}\). Other studies have reported a higher risk of death among heavy drinkers\(^{(22,52–54)}\), and these excess deaths are found to be especially attributable to cardiovascular and external causes\(^{(55)}\). Heavy drinking occasions may trigger atherosclerosis\(^{(56,57)}\) and increase arrhythmias\(^{(58)}\), sexually transmitted disease\(^{(59)}\), violence\(^{(60)}\) and traffic injuries.

Another important issue is the differential effect of consuming alcoholic beverages with meals or outside of meals. Some possible consequences of the consumption of alcohol with meals have been investigated: hypoglycaemic and insulin-lowering effects\(^{(61)}\); reduced postprandial blood pressure among hypertensive patients\(^{(62)}\); or a reduction in LDL susceptibility to lipid peroxidation\(^{(63)}\). Only Trevisan et al.\(^{(64)}\) reported an increased risk of cardiovascular, cancer and all-cause mortality (51 % increased risk) among drinkers outside of meals compared with drinkers of alcohol preferentially during meals, independently of the quantity of alcohol consumed. The present results are consistent with their findings.

Previous studies have frequently used the abstainers group as the reference category. However, artificially elevated rates of mortality in abstainers due to a higher mortality among former drinkers or to the avoidance of alcohol drinking because of medical causes (‘sick quitter’ hypothesis) may introduce some bias\(^{(55)}\). This was the reason why we did not use always the abstainers as the reference category. If another category is used as the reference, as we did in Tables 3 and 4, this potential bias will only affect the specific comparison for the abstainers group.
Table 4. Mortality hazard ratios (HR) for each component of the Mediterranean alcohol-drinking pattern, using the best score (only among drinkers) as the reference category, in the Seguimiento Universidad de Navarra Project 1999–2012
(Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mediterranean alcohol-drinking pattern component*</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate alcohol intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted</td>
<td>Low intake†</td>
<td>0·95</td>
<td>0·69, 1·31</td>
<td>0·750</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>2·35</td>
<td>1·38, 3·99</td>
<td>0·002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-adjusted model</td>
<td>1·03</td>
<td>0·74, 1·43</td>
<td>0·856</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>2·07</td>
<td>1·20, 3·57</td>
<td>0·009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption spread out over the week (d/week:g/week ratio)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted</td>
<td>Evenly distributed</td>
<td></td>
<td>1·40</td>
<td>0·94, 2·08</td>
<td>0·100</td>
<td>1·88</td>
<td>1·21, 2·92</td>
<td>0·005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-adjusted model††</td>
<td>1·30</td>
<td>0·87, 1·95</td>
<td>0·202</td>
<td>1·69</td>
<td>1·08, 2·64</td>
<td>0·033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low spirit consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(alcohol from spirits/total alcohol)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted</td>
<td>Wine preference (≥75%)</td>
<td>1·29</td>
<td>0·86, 1·93</td>
<td>0·222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-adjusted model††</td>
<td>1·08</td>
<td>0·71, 1·65</td>
<td>0·703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate alcohol intake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wine consumed preferably</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with meals (wine with meals/total wine)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted</td>
<td>Red wine preference (≥75%)</td>
<td>1·34</td>
<td>0·96, 1·86</td>
<td>0·084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-adjusted model††</td>
<td>1·34</td>
<td>0·96, 1·86</td>
<td>0·084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preference for red wine over other types of wine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(red wine/total wine)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted</td>
<td>Preference for red wine over other types of wine</td>
<td>1·22</td>
<td>0·90, 1·67</td>
<td>0·206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-adjusted model††</td>
<td>1·25</td>
<td>0·91, 1·72</td>
<td>0·179</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No excess consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(maximum in one single occasion)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted</td>
<td>No excess (≤5)</td>
<td>1·64</td>
<td>1·07, 2·21</td>
<td>0·019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple-adjusted model††</td>
<td>1·33</td>
<td>0·92, 1·92</td>
<td>0·126</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Abstainers were excluded.
† Low intake: <5 g/d women; <10 g/d men.
‡ Moderate intake: 5–25 g/d women; 10–50 g/d men.
§ High intake: >25 g/d women; >50 g/d men.
∥ Evenly distributed: fourth quartile of the ratio d/week of alcohol intake:g/week of alcohol consumed.
†† Moderately distributed: third and second quartiles of the ratio d/week of alcohol intake:g/week of alcohol consumed.
** Not distributed: first quartile of the ratio d/week of alcohol intake:g/week of alcohol consumed.
‡‡ Adjusted for age, sex, BMI (kg/m²), total energy intake (kJ/d), physical activity (metabolic equivalent task-h/week), prevalent hypertension, prevalent hypercholesterolaemia, smoking habit (current smoker, former smoker or never smoker), Mediterranean dietary pattern (tertiles of adherence), prevalent or previous cancer, diabetes or CVD, and watching television (h/week).

We did not adjust our analyses for socio-economic status. Participants in the SUN cohort study are all university graduates. Therefore, our sample is fairly homogeneous in this aspect, and this fact reduces the potential confounding by educational and socio-economic status. However, additional adjustment for educational levels did not substantially change the results.

Some degree of misclassification is possible in self-reported alcohol consumption and alcohol-drinking patterns. However, in the validation study of the FFQ(13), the correlation coefficient for alcohol (r 0·88) intake was higher than that for most other nutrients. Moreover, if there is some degree of misclassification, it will be more probably non-differential and therefore the association would be probably driven towards the null value.

The cut-off points for different items of the MADP intended to capture the concept of the traditional Mediterranean drinking pattern(10,15,16). However, some of these cut-off points may be debatable and some degree of arbitrariness needs to be acknowledged as a limitation. In spite of that, the results of some sensitivity analyses after changing the cut-off points for different items showed the robustness of the association between the MADP score and total mortality.

Some items of the MADP could potentially exhibit collinearity. However, in our database, we observed κ coefficients for every two items ranging from −0·103 to +0·31. Therefore, collinearity seems not to be a very relevant issue. Moreover, collinearity could be used to advantage because patterns are characterised on the basis of a comprehensive assessment of related behaviours(66).

Studies investigating one single aspect of alcohol consumption have some limitations: they may be confounded...
either by other aspects or by the whole pattern; the effect of a single aspect could be small and not detectable; the correlation between aspects makes it difficult to assess them separately\(^{67}\). Therefore, since drinking alcohol involves more dimensions than the specific amount of alcohol consumed, the best way to fully appraise the association between alcohol-drinking choices and health is to adopt the dietary pattern approach. This is currently the customary and accepted approach in other fields of nutritional epidemiology because this comprehensive approach allows for synergies and interactions, pre-empts confounding by other aspects of the pattern, gets closer to the real world and gives a more practical basis to issue public health recommendations\(^{66}\).

The limits for alcohol intake that we proposed might be seen as apparently high compared with some current guidelines. However, these guidelines usually do not take into account the role of the whole pattern of alcohol consumption.

In summary, the consumption of alcohol following a pattern of consumption that gets away from the traditional Mediterranean drinking habits is associated with a higher risk of mortality, as it is the total abstention of alcohol in comparison with good conformity to the traditional Mediterranean drinking pattern. Notwithstanding this result, perhaps the most sensible conclusion is that abstainers should not be counselled to start drinking because if they do it in a wrong way they may adopt drinking patterns with the potential to increase their risk of death. It is worth not to forget that alcohol intake is the eighth global death risk factor and the third risk factor for disease and disability measured in disability-adjusted life years\(^{68}\). However, if a person goes for drinking, the Mediterranean drinking pattern can be considered a sensible and healthy way of consuming alcohol.
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