(C) Canadian Mathematical Society 2012

# Inclusion Relations for New Function Spaces on Riemann Surfaces 

Rauno Aulaskari and Jouni Rättyä


#### Abstract

We introduce and study some new function spaces on Riemann surfaces. For certain parameter values these spaces coincide with the classical Dirichlet space, BMOA, or the recently defined $Q_{p}$ space. We establish inclusion relations that generalize earlier known inclusions between the abovementioned spaces.


## 1 Introduction

Let $R$ be an open Riemann surface that possesses a Green's function, i.e., $R \notin O_{G}$, and let $g_{R}(z, \alpha)$ denote the Green function on $R$ with logarithmic singularity at $\alpha \in R$. Let $A(R)$ denote the collection of all analytic functions on $R$. The classical Dirichlet space $A D(R)$ consists of those $F \in A(R)$ for which

$$
\int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)<\infty
$$

where $d A(z)$ is the element of the Lebesgue area measure on $R$. Following [7], we define $\operatorname{BMOA}(R)$ as the set of $F \in A(R)$ such that

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}(z, \alpha) d A(z)<\infty
$$

For $0<p<\infty$, the space $Q_{p}(R)$, introduced in [2], consists of those $F \in A(R)$ for which

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(z, \alpha) d A(z)<\infty
$$

Metzger [7] (see also [5]) showed that $\operatorname{BMOA}(R)$ contains $A D(R)$ analogously to the case of the unit disc. This result was sharpened in [2] by proving that $A D(R) \subset Q_{p}(R)$ for all $p>0$; see also [1]. Notice that $Q_{1}(R)=\operatorname{BMOA}(R)$.

[^0]We will generalize the above-mentioned definitions of function spaces in the following way. For $0<p, q<\infty$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
A D^{q}(R) & =\left\{F \in A(R): \sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)<\infty\right\}, \\
H_{\mathrm{BMOA}}^{q}(R) & =\left\{F \in A(R): \sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}(z, \alpha) d A(z)<\infty\right\}, \\
H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R) & =\left\{F \in A(R): \sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(z, \alpha) d A(z)<\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $A D^{2}(R)=A D(R), H_{\mathrm{BMOA}}^{q}(R)=\operatorname{BMOA}(R)$ by [12] (see also [10]), and $H_{Q_{p}}^{2}(R)=Q_{p}(R)$ for all $0<p<\infty$.
$2 A D^{q}(R) \subset \mathrm{BMOA}^{(R)}$ for all $0<q<\infty$
For $F \in A(R), 0<q<\infty$ and $\alpha \in R$, let $H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}$ denote the least harmonic majorant of the subharmonic function $u(z)=|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}$. We set $H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}(z)=\infty$ if $u$ admits no harmonic majorant. The following result follows by [12, Corollary 2.6]; see also [10, Proposition 1].
Lemma A Let $F \in A(R), 0<q<\infty$ and $\alpha \in R$. Then

$$
H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}(\alpha)=\frac{q^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}(z, \alpha) d A(z)
$$

An application of [6, Corollary 1] gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \geq \frac{2}{q} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}(\alpha) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which Lemma A yields

$$
A D^{q}(R) \subset H_{\mathrm{BMOA}}^{q}(R)=\mathrm{BMOA}(R)
$$

for all $0<q<\infty$.
$3 \quad H_{Q_{p_{1}}}^{q}(R) \subset H_{Q_{p_{2}}}^{q}(R)$ for all $0<p_{1}<p_{2}<\infty$
To prove this inclusion the following lemma is needed.
Lemma 3.1 Let $R$ be an open Riemann surface that possesses a Green's function, i.e., $R \notin O_{G}$. Let $F \in A(R)$, and let $\alpha \in R, 0<p_{1}<p_{2}<\infty$ and $0<q<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \leq \\
& \quad C \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{1}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
C= \begin{cases}p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) e^{q} q^{1-p_{2}} \Gamma\left(p_{2}-1\right)+p_{2}+1, & \text { if } 1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<\infty \\ \left(p_{1}\left(\left(p_{1}-1\right) e^{q} q^{1-p_{1}} \Gamma\left(p_{1}-1, q\right)+1\right)\right)^{-1}, & \text { if } 0<p_{1}<p_{2} \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Proof By considering a regular exhaustion of $R$, it is sufficient to prove the assertion in the case where $R$ is the interior of a compact bordered Riemann surface $\bar{R}$ and $F$ is analytic on $\bar{R}$.

Let $\alpha \in R$ and $R_{1, \alpha}=\left\{z \in R: g_{R}(z, \alpha)>1\right\}$. Then clearly

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \leq  \tag{3.1}\\
& \int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{1}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\alpha, \alpha_{j}, j=1, \ldots, m$, and $\beta_{k}, k=1, \ldots, n$, be the distinct zeros of $F(z)-F(\alpha)$ in $R_{1, \alpha}$ and on $\partial R_{1, \alpha}$, respectively. For $\alpha, \alpha_{j}, \beta_{k}, j=1, \ldots, m$ and $k=1, \ldots, n$, we take the parameter discs $U(\alpha, \varepsilon)$ and $U\left(\alpha_{j}, \varepsilon\right)$ and the half discs $B\left(\beta_{k}, \varepsilon\right)$ such that they are mutually disjoint. Denote

$$
R_{1, \alpha,\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\},\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}}=R_{1, \alpha} \backslash\left\{U(\alpha, \varepsilon) \bigcup \cup_{j=1}^{m} U\left(\alpha_{j}, \varepsilon\right) \bigcup \cup_{k=1}^{n} B\left(\beta_{k}, \varepsilon\right)\right\}
$$

Green's formula yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R_{1, \alpha,\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\},\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}}}\left(g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) \triangle|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}-|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \triangle g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha)\right) d A(z)=  \tag{3.2}\\
& \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha,\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\},\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}}}\left(|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \frac{\partial g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n}-g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) \frac{\partial|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}}{\partial n}\right) d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $\triangle$ denotes the Laplacian, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ denotes the differentiation in the inward normal direction, and $d s$ is the arc length element on $\partial R_{1, \alpha,\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\},\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}}$. Lengthy but routine calculations show that

$$
\triangle|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}=q^{2}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\triangle g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha)=p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) g_{R}^{p_{2}-2}(z, \alpha)\left|P_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}
$$

where

$$
P_{\alpha}(z)=g_{R}(z, \alpha)+i g_{R}^{*}(z, \alpha)
$$

and $g_{R}^{*}(z, \alpha)$ is a harmonic conjugate of $g_{R}(z, \alpha)$. It is known that $g_{R}^{*}(z, \alpha)$ is locally defined up to an additive constant, and

$$
\frac{\partial g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n}=p_{2} \frac{\partial g_{R}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n}
$$

for $z \in \partial R_{1, \alpha}$.
Let $H_{|F-F(\alpha)|}^{1}$ denote the least harmonic majorant of $|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}$ on $R_{1, \alpha}$. It turns out that the function

$$
\Phi_{1, \alpha}(z):=\left|(F(z)-F(\alpha)) e^{P_{\alpha}(z)}\right|^{q}=|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} e^{q g_{R}(z, \alpha)}
$$

is subharmonic on $R_{1, \alpha}$ and

$$
\Phi_{1, \alpha}(z)=e^{q}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}
$$

for all $z \in \partial R_{1, \alpha}$. The maximum principle yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \leq e^{q} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(z) e^{-q g_{R}(z, \alpha)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z \in R_{1, \alpha}$.
Let $g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha)$ be the Green function of $R_{1, \alpha}$ with logarithmic singularity at $\alpha$. Then $\triangle g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha)=0$ in $R_{1, \alpha,\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\},\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}}$ and $g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha)=0$ for $z \in \partial R_{1, \alpha}$. By [12,13], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \frac{\partial g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s  \tag{3.4}\\
& =\frac{q^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

To deal with the area integral in (3.4), denote $S_{t, \alpha}=\left\{z \in R: g_{R}(z, \alpha)=t\right\}$ for $t>0$. If $z \in S_{t, \alpha}$, then $d t=\frac{\partial g_{R}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d n$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.2) we see that all the integrals

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\int_{\partial U(\alpha, \varepsilon)}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \frac{\partial g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s, & \int_{\partial U\left(\alpha_{j}, \varepsilon\right)}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \frac{\partial g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s \\
\int_{\partial B\left(\beta_{k}, \varepsilon\right)}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \frac{\partial g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s, & \int_{\partial U(\alpha, \varepsilon)} g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) \frac{\partial|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}}{\partial n} d s \\
\int_{\partial U\left(\alpha_{j}, \varepsilon\right)} g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) \frac{\partial|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}}{\partial n} d s, & \int_{\partial B\left(\beta_{k}, \varepsilon\right)} g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) \frac{\partial|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}}{\partial n} d s
\end{array}
$$

tend to zero for all $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $k=1, \ldots, n$. Therefore the equality (3.2)
becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1, p_{2}, q}(\alpha)= & q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)  \tag{3.5}\\
= & p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} g_{R}^{p_{2}-2}(z, \alpha)\left|P_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
& +p_{2} \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \frac{\partial g_{R}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s-\int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}} \frac{\partial|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}}{\partial n} d s \\
= & p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} g_{R}^{p_{2}-2}(z, \alpha)\left|P_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
& +p_{2} \int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q} \frac{\partial g_{R}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s \\
& +q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

where, by Green's formula,

$$
q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)=-\int_{\partial R_{1, \alpha}} \frac{\partial|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q}}{\partial n} d s
$$

We first concentrate on the case $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<\infty$. By the formulae (3.3), (3.5), and (2.1), and by using the inequality $g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha) \leq g_{R}(z, \alpha), z \in R_{1, \alpha}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1, p_{2}, q}(\alpha) \leq & p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) e^{q} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(z) g_{R}^{p_{2}-2}(z, \alpha)\left|P_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} e^{-q g_{R}(z, \alpha)} d A(z)  \tag{3.6}\\
& +2 \pi p_{2} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha)+q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
\leq & p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) e^{q} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left(\int_{S_{t, \alpha}} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(z) \frac{\partial g_{R}(z, \alpha)}{\partial n} d s\right) g_{R}^{p_{2}-2}(z, \alpha) e^{-q g_{R}(z, \alpha)} d t \\
& +p_{2} q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \\
& +q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \\
\leq & 2 \pi p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) e^{q} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha) \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{p_{2}-2} e^{-q t} d t \\
& +p_{2} q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}(z, \alpha) d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \\
\leq & p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) q^{2} e^{q} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{q^{p_{2}-1}} \int_{q}^{\infty} u^{p_{2}-2} e^{-u} d u \\
& +q^{2}\left(p_{2}+1\right) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{1}}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \\
\leq & q^{2}\left(p_{2}\left(p_{2}-1\right) e^{q} q^{1-p_{2}} \Gamma\left(p_{2}-1\right)+p_{2}+1\right) \\
& \cdot \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{1}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma\left(p_{2}-1\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} u^{p_{2}-2} e^{-u} d u$ is the gamma function. By combining (3.1) and (3.6) we obtain the desired inequality for $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<\infty$.

Let now $0<p_{1}<p_{2} \leq 1$. Then the estimate (3.3) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1, p_{1}, q}(\alpha) \geq & p_{1}\left(p_{1}-1\right) e^{q} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(z) e^{-q g_{R}(z, \alpha)} g_{R}^{p_{1}-2}(z, \alpha)\left|P_{\alpha}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)  \tag{3.7}\\
& +2 \pi p_{1} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha)+q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
= & 2 \pi p_{1}\left(p_{1}-1\right) e^{q} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha) \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{p_{1}-2} e^{-q t} d t \\
& +2 \pi p_{1} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha)+q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
= & 2 \pi p_{1} H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha)\left(\left(p_{1}-1\right) e^{q} q^{1-p_{1}} \Gamma\left(p_{1}-1, q\right)+1\right) \\
& +q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma\left(p_{1}-1, q\right)=\int_{q}^{\infty} u^{p_{1}-2} e^{-u} d u$ is the incomplete gamma function. We note that

$$
A\left(p_{1}, q\right)=\left(p_{1}-1\right) e^{q} q^{1-p_{1}} \Gamma\left(p_{1}-1, q\right)+1>0
$$

and hence by dividing by $q^{2}$ in (3.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{1}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)  \tag{3.8}\\
& \quad \geq p_{1} A\left(p_{1}, q\right) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \\
& \quad+\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g_{R_{1, \alpha}}(z, \alpha)=g_{R}(z, \alpha)-1$ for $z \in R_{1, \alpha}$, (3.8) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{1}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \quad \geq p_{1} A\left(p_{1}, q\right) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \\
& \quad+\left(1-p_{1} A\left(p_{1}, q\right)\right) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
& \quad \geq p_{1} A\left(p_{1}, q\right) \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p_{2}}(z, \alpha) d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

The last inequality follows from the fact that $1-p_{1} A\left(p_{1}, q\right)>0$. The desired inequality for $0<p_{1}<p_{2} \leq 1$ follows by combining (3.1) and (3.9).

Theorem 3.2 Let $R$ be a Riemann surface such that $R \notin Q_{G}$, and let $0<p_{1}<p_{2}<$ $\infty$ and $0<q<\infty$. Then the following inclusion holds:

$$
H_{Q_{p_{1}}}^{q}(R) \subset H_{Q_{p_{2}}}^{q}(R)
$$

Proof If either $0<p_{1}<p_{2} \leq 1$ or $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<\infty$, then the assertion follows directly from Lemma 3.1. If $0<p_{1} \leq 1<p_{2}<\infty$, then Lemma 3.1 gives

$$
H_{Q_{p_{1}}}^{q}(R) \subset H_{\mathrm{BMOA}}^{q}(R) \subset H_{Q_{p_{2}}}^{q}(R)
$$

for all $0<q<\infty$.
$4 A D^{q}(R) \subset H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R)$ for all $0<p, q<\infty$
In Section 2, we noted that the inclusion $A D^{q}(R) \subset H_{\mathrm{BMOA}}^{q}(R)=\mathrm{BMOA}(R)$ holds for all $0<q<\infty$. This fact is sharpened in this section by showing the following result.
Theorem 4.1 $A D^{q}(R) \subset H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R)$ for all $0<p, q<\infty$.
Proof Theorem 3.2 implies that $\operatorname{BMOA}(R) \subset H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R)$ for all $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $0<q<\infty$. Combining this with the inclusion $A D^{q}(R) \subset \operatorname{BMOA}(R), 0<q<\infty$, we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
A D^{q}(R) \subset H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $0<q<\infty$.
Now let $0<p<1$. Recall that $R_{1, \alpha}=\left\{z \in R: g_{R}(z, \alpha)>1\right\}$. By (3.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}} \mid F(z)- & \left.F(\alpha)\right|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \leq  \tag{4.2}\\
& 2 \pi p H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha)+q^{2} \int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z)
\end{align*}
$$

because $p-1<0$. Suppose now that $F \in A D^{q}(R)$. Then there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that
$\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \leq \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \leq M_{1}<\infty$
for all $\alpha \in R$. By Section 2 we know that $F \in \operatorname{BMOA}(R)$. Hence, by Lemma A, there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}^{1}(\alpha) \leq H_{|F-F(\alpha)|^{q}}(\alpha) \leq M_{2}<\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in R$. By (4.2) and (4.3), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(z, \alpha) d A(z) & \leq \frac{1}{q^{2}}\left(2 \pi p M_{2}+q^{2} M_{1}\right)  \tag{4.4}\\
& =M_{1}+\frac{2 \pi p}{q^{2}} M_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in R$. On the other hand, we immediately see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(z, \alpha) d A(z)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \quad \leq \int_{R \backslash R_{1, \alpha}}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
& \quad \leq \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
& \leq M_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\alpha \in R$. Combining (4.4) and (4.5) we obtain

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in R} \int_{R}|F(z)-F(\alpha)|^{q-2}\left|F^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{R}^{p}(z, \alpha) d A(z) \leq 2 M_{1}+\frac{2 \pi p}{q^{2}} M_{2}
$$

for all $0<p<1$ and $0<q<\infty$. Thus $F \in H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R)$ for all $0<p<1$ and $0<q<\infty$. This together with (4.1) completes the proof.
$5 \quad H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R) \subset \mathcal{B}(R)$ for all $0<p, q<\infty$
Let $\lambda_{R}(\alpha)$ be the density of the hyperbolic distance (Poincaré metric) on a hyperbolic Riemann surface $R$. The Bloch space is defined as

$$
\mathcal{B}(R):=\left\{F \in A(R): \sup _{\alpha \in R} \frac{\left|F^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}{\lambda_{R}(\alpha)}<\infty\right\} .
$$

The purpose of this section is to show the maximal property of $\mathcal{B}(R)$ with respect to
the spaces $H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R)$. In the case of the unit disc, an analogous result follows by a work of Rubel and Timoney [9].

Theorem 5.1 $H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R) \subset \mathcal{B}(R)$ for all $0<p, q<\infty$.
Proof Let $\pi: \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow R$ be a universal covering map of the unit disc $\mathbb{D})$ to the Riemann surface $R$. Let $\Omega$ denote the fundamental polygon of the Fuchsian group $\Gamma$. If $\alpha \in R$ and $a \in \Omega$ satisfy $\pi(a)=\alpha$, then we may take the Green function of the Riemann surface $\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma$ by setting $g_{\Gamma}(z, a)=g_{R}(\pi(z), \alpha)$. By a result of Myrberg [11, p. 522], we know that

$$
g_{\Gamma}(z, a)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} g_{\mathrm{D}}(z, \gamma(a)),
$$

where $g_{\mathbb{D}}(z, a)$ is the Green function of $\left.\mathbb{D}\right)$ with logarithmic singularity at $a$. Therefore we may define the space $\left.H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma\right)=H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R)$ in the sense that $\left.f \in H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma\right)$ if $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D})$ and $f=F \circ \pi$, where $F \in H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R)$. With a similar understanding, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma)=\mathcal{B}(R)$.

First let $1 \leq p<\infty$. Suppose now that $\left.f \in H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma\right)$, but $\left.f \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma\right)$. Then [3, Lemma] or [8] implies that there exist a sequence of points $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ ) and a sequence of positive numbers $\left\{\rho_{n}\right\}$ such that $\rho_{n} /\left(1-\left|a_{n}\right|\right) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $\left\{f\left(a_{n}+\rho_{n} \xi\right)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right\}$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $(\mathbb{C}$ to a non-constant analytic function $f_{0}(\xi)$. Here, without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_{n} \in \Omega$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that in general this is not possible, but the reasoning in (5.1) below shows that we may do so. Now, for $\delta>0$, set $K=K(\delta)=\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}:|\xi| \leq \delta\}$. Denote $\varphi_{n}(\xi)=a_{n}+\rho_{n} \xi$ and $g_{n}(\xi)=f\left(\varphi_{n}(\xi)\right)-f\left(\varphi_{n}(0)\right)=f\left(a_{n}+\rho_{n} \xi\right)-f\left(a_{n}\right)$. Then

$$
\left|g_{n}(\xi)\right|^{q-2} \rightarrow\left|f_{0}(\xi)\right|^{q-2} \geq \delta_{1}>0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{2} \rightarrow\left|f_{0}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{2} \geq \delta_{2}>0
$$

uniformly in

$$
K_{1}=K \backslash\left(\cup_{j=1}^{n} D\left(\xi_{j}, \varepsilon\right) \cup \cup_{i=1}^{m} D\left(\eta_{i}, \varepsilon\right)\right)
$$

where $D\left(\xi_{j}, \varepsilon\right)=\left\{\xi:\left|\xi-\xi_{j}\right|<\varepsilon\right\} \subset K$ and $D\left(\eta_{i}, \varepsilon\right)=\left\{\xi:\left|\xi-\eta_{i}\right|<\varepsilon\right\}$, $\eta_{i} \in \partial K$, for all $j=1, \ldots, n$ and $i=1, \ldots, m$. Here, for $0<q<\infty$, the points $\xi_{j}$, $j=1, \ldots, n$, are the zeros and poles of $f_{0}$ in $K=\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}:|\zeta|<\delta\}$, and the points $\eta_{i}, i=1, \ldots, m$, are the zeros and poles of $f_{0}$ in $\partial K$. We take $\varepsilon>0$ so small that all the discs $D\left(\xi_{j}, \varepsilon\right)$ and $D\left(\eta_{i}, \varepsilon\right)$ are pairwise disjoint. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left|\frac{1-\overline{\varphi_{n}(0)} \varphi_{n}(\xi)}{\varphi_{n}(\xi)-\varphi_{n}(0)}\right| & =\log \left|\frac{1-\overline{a_{n}}\left(a_{n}+\rho_{n} \xi\right)}{a_{n}+\rho_{n} \xi-a_{n}}\right| \\
& =\log \left|\frac{1-\left|a_{n}\right|}{\rho_{n}} \frac{1+\left|a_{n}\right|}{\xi}-\overline{a_{n}}\right| \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $\xi \in K_{1}$. On the other hand, by the assumption,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K_{1}}\left|g_{n}(\xi)\right|^{q-2}\left|g_{n}^{\prime}(\xi)\right|^{2} g_{\mathbb{D}}^{p}\left(\varphi_{n}(\xi), \varphi_{n}(0)\right) d A(\xi)  \tag{5.1}\\
& \quad=\int_{\varphi_{n}\left(K_{1}\right)}\left|f(z)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{q-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{\mathbb{D}}^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z) \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f(z)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{q-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{\mathbb{D}}^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \int_{\Omega}\left|f(z)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{q-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{\mathbb{D}}^{p}\left(\gamma(z), a_{n}\right) d A(z) \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left|f(z)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{q-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} g_{\mathbb{D}}^{p}\left(\gamma(z), a_{n}\right)\right) d A(z) \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|f(z)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{q-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} g_{\mathbb{D}}\left(\gamma(z), a_{n}\right)\right)^{p} d A(z) \\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega}\left|f(z)-f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|^{q-2}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} g_{\Gamma}^{p}\left(z, a_{n}\right) d A(z) \leq C<\infty
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But this is a contradiction, since the left-hand side of (5.1) tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\left.\left.H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma\right) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D}) / \Gamma\right)$ for all $1 \leq p<\infty$ and $0<q<\infty$. The assertion follows from the nesting property in Theorem 3.2.
$6 \quad H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R) \neq \mathcal{B}(R)$
Using the same idea as in the proof of [4, Theorem 4.2] we can prove that there exists a Riemann surface $R$ such that $H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R) \neq \mathcal{B}(R)$. Since the proof is almost identical to the original one, we omit the details.

Theorem 6.1 For every $0<p, q<\infty$ there exists a Riemann surface $R$ such that $H_{Q_{p}}^{q}(R) \neq \mathcal{B}(R)$.
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