Sumi (also known by its exonym ‘Sema’) is a Tibeto-Burman language spoken in Nagaland, North-east India. It is one of the major languages of the state, with an estimated 242,000 speakers living primarily in Zunheboto district, as well as in the major cities of Kohima and Dimapur. Bradley (1997) places Sumi (referred to as Sema), among the ‘Southern Naga’ languages, which include Angami (also known as Tenyidie) and Ao, in contrast to the ‘Northern Naga’ languages such as Konyak and Nocte. Burling (2003) offers a more conservative classification, placing Sumi (referred to as Simi) in an ‘Angami-Pochuri’ group containing Angami, Chakhesang (Chokri and Kheza) and Mao. Four main dialects of Sumi have been identified: the Western dialect, the Eastern dialect, the Chizolimi dialect, and the Central dialect. The Central dialect is the standard dialect used in published works of Sumi (Sreedhar 1976: 4–5).

The earliest published work on Sumi can be found in *The Linguistic Survey of India* edited by Sir George Grierson (see Grierson 1967). In 1916, John Hutton wrote the first sketch grammar of the language, ‘Rudimentary grammar of the Sema Naga language, with vocabulary’, a revised version of which is included in his published anthropological description *The Sema Nagas* (see Hutton 1968), along with a revised word list. Sreedhar (1980) provides the most comprehensive grammar of Sumi to date, with a significant portion of *A Sema Grammar* dedicated to the phonology of the language. This grammar incorporates much of the data already presented in his *Sema Phonetic Reader*, published earlier, in 1976 (Sreedhar 1976). Although Hutton had previously identified three contrastives tones, Sreedhar’s work represents the first attempt at transcribing tones for all lexical items. However, a number of words were inconsistently transcribed for tone, and many of his transcriptions differ greatly from those offered in the present Illustration, calling to question the reliability of some of his tonal transcriptions.

The orthography employed here is the same as that used in Sumi language publications, most notably the Bible and language textbooks. The creation of this Latin-based orthography is attributed to the missionary Rev. W. F. Dowd and Inashe Sema, who published a primer entitled *Mlali* in 1909 (Sreedhar 1976). This orthography has not been completely standardised, and tones are not always consistently marked – ‘h’ is sometimes added to the end of a syllable to indicate low tone, and a preceding consonant is sometimes doubled to indicate high tone. However, this practice is often applied at a writer’s own discretion to words where it is felt that tone needs to be marked to avoid confusion.

This study was based on the speech of three speakers of the Central dialect: a 39-year-old female speaker from the Satakha area of Zunheboto district; a 38-year-old male speaker

1 The name of the language in Sumi is *Süsä* /ʃɨʧa/[sɪʃ tsəːl] (formerly *Sümitsa* /ʃɨmɪʧa/[sɪʃ miːʃ tsaːl] ~ *[sɪʃ mʃ tsaːl]). The people of the tribe refer to themselves as *Sümi* /ʃɪmɪ/[sɪʃ miː] (literally, ‘Sü people’).
residing in the town of Zunheboto, and a 27-year-old male speaker who had spent significant portions of his childhood in both the Zunheboto town and Satakha areas. Minor differences were found to exist between the Zunheboto and Satakha dialects. The recorded text was provided by the female speaker.

Consonants
The inventory of consonant phonemes in Sumi is unusual among its neighbouring languages in that it includes a set of uvular stops and a set of velar fricatives. Sumi also lacks a phonemic contrast between alveolar/dental fricatives and postalveolar fricatives. The status of its coronal rhotic (the voiced alveolar approximant) is only marginal, in contrast to neighbouring languages such as Angami (Tenyidie) and Ao, which typically include both a voiced and a voiceless (or aspirated) coronal rhotic.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consonant</th>
<th>Labial</th>
<th>Labiodental</th>
<th>Alveolar</th>
<th>Postalveolar</th>
<th>Palatal</th>
<th>Velar</th>
<th>Uvular</th>
<th>Glottal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plosive</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>pʰ</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>tʰ</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>kʰ</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>q qʰ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affricate</td>
<td>(ts)</td>
<td>(tsʰ)</td>
<td>ʧ ʧʰ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fricative</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>v (s z)</td>
<td>j ʒ</td>
<td>x ɣ</td>
<td>h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>mʰ m</td>
<td>nʰ n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximant</td>
<td>j</td>
<td>j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral approximant</td>
<td>lʰ l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Unlike the female speaker, the two male speakers insisted that the underlying form for this was amihi /əmʰi/ ‘body hair’, possibly due to an orthographic bias which inserts the high front vowel /i/ after a bilabial nasal that precedes a syllable containing /i/, e.g. amili /əmʰi/ ‘tongue’ is usually pronounced [æm˨˩ li˧]. It should be noted that both produced the glottal fricative as [f] with breathy phonation in this environment.

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
p & /əpʰ/ \quad \text{‘father’} \\
pʰ & /əpʰ/ \quad \text{‘village’} \\
b & /əbə/ \quad \text{‘dung’} \\
t & /ətʰu/ \quad \text{‘long yam’} \\
tʰ & /ətʰu/ \quad \text{‘steam’} \\
d & /ədʰ/ \quad \text{‘time’} \\
k & /əkʰi/ \quad \text{‘house’} \\
kʰ & /əkʰi/ \quad \text{‘bee’} \\
g & /əqʰ/ \quad \text{‘face’} \\
q & /əqʰ/ \quad \text{‘pit’} \\
qʰ & /əqʰ/ \quad \text{‘brain’} \\
ʧ & /əkʰi/ \quad \text{‘mouth’} \\
ʧʰ & /əkʰi/ \quad \text{‘breast’} \\
f & /əfʰ/ \quad \text{‘older sister’} \\
v & /əvʰ/ \quad \text{‘mithun (domesticated species of bovine)’} \\
ʃ & /əʃʰ/ \quad \text{‘meat’} \\
ʒ & /əʒʰ/ \quad \text{‘blood’} \\
x & /əxʰ/ \quad \text{‘fermented soya beans’} \\
ɣ & /əɣʰ/ \quad \text{‘bone’} \\
h & /əhʰ/ \quad \text{‘tooth’} \\
mʰ & /əmʰ/ \quad \text{‘body hair’}^2
\end{array}
\]
Stops, fricatives and affricates

Stops show a three-way voice-onset time contrast, with the exception of the uvular stops, which only show a contrast in aspiration. /qʰ/ is commonly realised as [qʰ] with a fricated release, e.g. aqho/aqʰo/. [aj qʰo] ‘brain’, aqhi/aqʰi/[aj qʰi] ‘moon’.

The post-alveolar fricatives /ʃ/ and /ʒ/ and post-alveolar affricates /ʧ/ and /ʤ/ are realised as the alveolar fricatives [s] and [z] and alveolar affricates [ts] and [tz], respectively, before the central vowels /i/ and /a/, e.g. ashi/aʃi/ [aʃi] ‘meat’ and asii/aʃi/ [aʃi] ‘wood’, aji /aʃi/[aj ʃi] ~ [aj ʒi] ‘blood’ and aza/aʃa/ [aj za] ‘mother’, akičhi /akiʃi/[aj ki ʃi] ‘mouth’ and akuťši/akiʃi/[aj ki ʃi] ‘head’. [ʒ] is in free variation with [ʃ].

For speakers from the Zunheboto town area, the labial-velar approximant [w] is in complementary distribution with the labio-dental fricative [v], occurring only before the back rounded vowels /u/ and /o/, e.g. awu/əvʊ/[aj wu] ‘chicken’, awo/əvʊ/[aj wo] ‘pig’, but avi/əvi/[aʃi wı] (“[aʃi wi]”) ‘mithun’ and avii/əvi/[aʃi vi] (“[aʃi vi]”) ‘frost’/‘dew’.

For speakers of the Satakha dialect, [v] occurs before all vowels, including the back rounded vowels e.g. awu/əvʊ/[aj vu] ‘chicken’, awo/əvʊ/[aj wo] ‘pig’.

Liquids, approximants and nasals

/m/ is realised as a syllable nasal in a minor syllable (see section on syllable structure below) in word-initial position, where it is typically followed by a stop or lateral, e.g. mla/mlah/mla/[mj lala] ‘work’, muku/mukū/[mj ku] ‘twenty’ ([mu kũ] only in very careful speech). /m/ is also realised as syllable nasal when it bears a different tone from a preceding vowel (see section on tone below). The breathy sonorants /mʱ nʱ lʱ/ are realised with a breathy [h] release, e.g. amhi/aʌmi/[aj mʱi] ‘body hair’, anha/aʌnʱ/[aj nʱ] ‘mucus’ (and nha/ŋʰa/[ŋʱa] ‘to cover’), alha/əlha/[aj lʱa] ‘layer’. A more comprehensive acoustic study of these breathy sonorants is presented in Harris (2009).

The alveolar approximant /l/ is a marginal phonoeme in the language, which Sreedhar (1976, 1980) does not include in the phonemic inventory in his analysis. While it seems to occur only in borrowed words, its inclusion here is motivated by the fact that speakers are motivated to consistently place the pitch on words like murasii/mùrəʃi/[mùl jəl siʃ] ~ [mj jəl siʃ] ‘snow’/‘hail’ into tonal categories, suggesting that these words have been more ‘nativised’ than other loanwords. It has two allophones: the alveolar approximant [l], which occurs in

3 Unlike the female speaker, the two male speakers insisted that the underlying form for this was anaha/ənāhə/ ‘mucus’, although both produced the glottal fricative as [h] with breathy phonation in this environment. The word nha/ŋʰa/ ‘to cover’ is offered as an alternative to show that the breathy nasal is in fact a single phoneme.

4 Sreedhar (1980: 36, 38) designates /s/ and /z/ as the underlying phonemes, with [ʃ] and [ʒ] as positional variants. In this analysis, /ʃ/ and /ʒ/ have been chosen as the underlying phonemes since they occur in less specific phonetic environments (before all vowels except /a/ and /i/). Similarly, Matisoff (1973, 1982: 6–7) reports that dental fricatives and affricates occur as allophones of their palatal counterparts before the vowel /i/ in Lahu.
syllable-initial position, as in murusì; and the alveolar trill [ɾ], which occurs in syllable-final position, e.g. khurši /kʰurʃi/ [kʰurʃi] ‘horse’.

Consonant palatalisation
Velar obstruents are realised as palatal obstruents before the front vowels /i/ and /e/, e.g. agi /agì/ [aː+iˑ] ‘face’, aki /aki/ [aː+cɪ] ‘house’. The front vowels /i/ and /e/ also often trigger palatalisation of other preceding consonants, e.g. akive /akɪvè/ [əj cɪ颚 və] ~ [əj cɪ颚 və] ‘stomach’, aje /aʒe/ [əj ʃe] ~ [əj ʃe] ‘name’, ashi /aʃi/ [əj ʃi] ~ [əj ɔi] ‘meat’, axone /aʃɔnə/ [əj xoˈɛnə] ‘fermented soya beans’, ali /a/li/ [əj ʃi] ‘pot’.

Glottal stop
A glottal stop is sometimes inserted between two vowels at a word or morpheme boundary, such as between possessive prefixes and noun roots. It is often represented orthographically by a hyphen. This glottal stop can also be realised as creaky voice on the second vowel or deleted altogether, e.g. a-a /ʔa-a/ [əaʔa] ~ [əa] ‘place’ (where a- is a non-relational prefix marker), i-a /i-ʔa/ [iʔa] ~ [iə] ‘my place’.

A glottal stop is also sometimes added before a word-initial vowel when following another word. One of the male speakers often inserts a glottal stop (or a full pause) in this environment, e.g. ago /ʔa-gò/ [ʔaʔɔ] ‘pit’, imu /imʊ/ [ʔimʊ] ‘my brother’, omla /ɔmla/ [ʔomlə] ‘your chest’. In some instances, this is realised as creaky voice on the initial part of the vowel, e.g. agi /agì/ [aː+iˑ] ‘face’, amli /amli/ [əmlɪ ‘my tongue’. In contrast, the female speaker usually produces word-initial vowels in this environment with creaky voice, which leads into modal voice roughly at the mid-point of the vowel, e.g. amla /ɔmla/ [əmla ‘chest’, omla /ɔmla/ [əmla ‘my chest’, omla /ɔmla/ [əmla ‘your chest’. This creaky phonation can accompany any of the three phonemic tones, e.g. ago /ʔa-gò/ [ʔaʔɔ] ‘pit’, avich /avɪʔj/ [aːvɪʔj] ‘frost’, afo /aːfo/ [ʔaʔo] ‘older sister’.

Word-final glottalisation (or even a full glottal stop) is often produced following a high tone, e.g. amlo / ømlɔ/ [əmlɔʔlɔʔ] ‘heart’. This glottalisation is independent from the prosodic glottal stop, i.e. it occurs even when a word is produced in isolation and is hence not the result of a glottal stop inserted before a following vowel-initial word. This will be discussed later, in the section on tones.

Vowels
There are six monophthong vowel phonemes, which can be divided into two levels of height: high and low, and three levels of backness: front, central and back. The vowel chart gives the approximate location of each vowel phoneme.
The low front and back vowels /e/ and /o/ can also be realised as [ɛ] or [ɔ], though these appear to be in free distribution with [e] and [o]. The high central vowel /i/ is sometimes realised as [ɔ] in word-medial position, e.g. akütsi ñakîţi/ [aɭ kiɭ tiɭ] ~ [aɭ koɭ tiɭ] ‘black’.

Vowel sequences and long vowels

A few vowel sequences are permissible in Sumi, but they occur across syllable boundaries, e.g. aghau /a.ya.û/ [aɭ yaɭ uɭ] ‘bird’. Phonetic long vowels may occur with the loss of an intervocalic glide, e.g. amiyi /âm.i.ji/ [aɭ miɭ jiɭ] ~ [aɭ miɭ] ‘charcoal’. More generally, they occur as a result of morphological concatenation. In such cases, as mentioned previously, a glottal stop may also be inserted at the morpheme boundary to break up the vowel sequence, e.g. a-a /â-à/ [aɭ] ~ [aɭ ?aɭ] ‘place’, a-i /â-ì/ [aɭ] ~ [aɭ ?iɭ] ‘arum lîly’, au /a-u/ [auɭ] ~ [aɭ ?uɭ] ‘hand’.

Vowel harmony

Vowel harmony typically occurs in certain syllables (see section on syllable structure below) in non-word-final position. The vowel in these syllables is typically a high vowel /i i u/ that displays harmony in terms of backness with the vowel of the following syllable. One common example of this can be seen with the deverbal prefix kV-, where V is one of the high vowels /i i u/:

/pì/  pi  ‘to say’    /akipì/  akîpi  ‘saying; speech’
/jê/  ye  ‘to write’    /akîjê/  akîye  ‘writing’
/ţì/  su  ‘to hurt’    /akîţì/  akûsî  ‘hurting; pain’
/bà/  ba  ‘to defecate’    /akîbà/  akûba  ‘defecating’
/pù/  phu  ‘to search’    /akûpù/  akûphu  ‘searching’
/pò/  po  ‘to run’    /akûpò/  akûpo  ‘running’

Note that in these examples the non-relational prefix a- is also added. The tone alternations in the deverbal forms are more complex and discussed in greater depth in Teo (2009).

Word-final vowel deletion

In the Satakha dialect, there is also a tendency to delete word-final high vowels following a sonorant, irrespective of the tone they carry. The final tone is then realised on the word-final sonorant, e.g. kîginolî /kîyînîlî/ [kîɭ yiɭ noll] ‘intestines’, kîni /kîni/ [kînɭ] ‘two’, amu /âmû/ [amɭ] ‘older brother’, pamu /pamû/ [pamɭ] ‘his older brother’.

Syllable structure

The canonical syllable in Sumi is open and allows all consonant phonemes to occupy the onset position. Onsetless syllables are permitted, though a glottal stop may be inserted in this position. Verbs in Sumi are minimally monosyllabic, e.g. ba /bà/ [baɭ] ‘to defecate’, while nouns are minimally disyllabic and take the non-relational ‘NRL’ prefix a- to fulfil the minimal
requirement of disyllabic, e.g. \textipa{aba /ə-bə/} [ə. ə] ‘dung’ (NRL-dung). However, the noun root itself \textipa{(-ba)} is minimally monosyllabic. It should be noted that for reasons of economy, this morpheme boundary will be marked only in this section.

Monomorphemic verbs and numerals in isolation can be composed of a minor syllable followed by a full syllable, a structure first described as ‘sesquisyllabic’ by Matisoff (1973). Unlike a full syllable, minor syllables only allow a restricted set of consonants /p t k m/ to occupy onset position and the vowel of the minor syllable typically displays vowel harmony with that of the full syllable. The vowel in a minor syllable is typically shorter than a vowel in a full syllable and may be altogether deleted between a stop and a lateral approximant, e.g. \textipa{kiša /kǐšə/} [ki.ki] ‘to marry’, \textipa{piti /piti/} [pi.pi] ‘to burn’, \textipa{kini /kini/} [ki ki] ‘two’, \textipa{kũthũ /kũthũ/} [ki thبيع] ‘three’. Minor syllables also do not allow the full range of tonal contrasts (see section on tone below).

Monomorphemic noun roots can also be sesquisyllabic, but are not free morphemes – in citation form they still take the non-relational prefix \textipa{-a} to fulfil the minimal requirement of disyllabic. In many cases, the vowel of the minor syllable is not produced at all, except in very careful speech, resulting in a disyllabic word e.g. \textipa{akichi /ə-kĩthũ/} [ə.ki ki] ‘heart’, \textipa{akuisů /ə-kĩthũ/} [ə.ki kĩ] ‘head’. In compounds containing such noun roots, the vowel of the minor syllable is almost always deleted in speech, even in careful speech, e.g. \textipa{akichizũ /ə-kĩthũ-zĩ/} [ə.ki thũ zĩ] ‘milk’ (‘NRL-breast-water’) – [ə.ki thũ zĩ] is only produced when the speaker is reading the word out.

A syllabic bilabial nasal can also occupy the minor syllable slot, e.g. \textipa{mila /mĩla/} [mĩ la] ‘to foam’, \textipa{muku /mũkũ/} [mũ ku] ‘twenty’. In nouns, the nasal is resyllabified following the non-relational \textipa{-a} prefix, as well as after the prefixes \textipa{i-} ‘my’ and \textipa{o-} ‘your; e.g. \textipa{amlo /a-mũlə/} [am.lə] ‘heart’, \textipa{amla /a-mũlə/} [am.lə] ‘chest’, \textipa{imla /i-mũlə/} [im.lə] ‘my chest’, \textipa{omla /o-mũlə/} [om.lə] ‘your chest’. A vowel is sometimes added in the careful pronunciation of some words, e.g. \textipa{muku ‘twenty’} [mũ ku], perhaps due to the orthographic convention of transcribing the vowel. There is also an orthographic bias to transcribe the vowel /i/, e.g. \textipa{amili /ə-mĩli/} ‘tongue’, which is typically pronounced [am.i li], though [ə.mĩ li] is also considered to be acceptable. The nasal in the noun root is realised as syllabic when the preceding prefix bears a different tone, e.g. \textipa{pamla /pa-mũlə/} [pa.mũ la] ‘his chest’, \textipa{pamili /pa-mĩli/} [pa.mĩ li] ‘his tongue’.

In contrast to sesquisyllabic nouns and root nouns, the first syllable of a ‘true’ disyllabic verb or noun root does not necessarily display this sort of vowel harmony and is never deleted in speech, e.g. \textipa{asamo /a-ʃamə/} [ə.samə] ‘dream’, \textipa{athonhe /a-thũnə/} [ə.ə thũnə] ‘tortoise’, \textipa{ayeghi /a-jeŋũ/} [ə.ə jeŋũ] ‘earth’, \textipa{heŋhi /hẽŋũ/} [he.ə qũ] ‘to kill’.

However, in trisyllabic compound nouns, which take the form (C)VCVCV, i.e. three full syllables, if the vowel in the second syllable is high, there is a tendency to treat the second syllable as a minor syllable. Often, it is deleted and the word is resyllabified into two syllables, e.g. \textipa{Sũmitsa /ʃi-mĩ-ʃũ/} [ši.mĩ šũ] ‘Sumi language (old name)’ (‘Sũ-person-language’); \textipa{avudu /a-ũ-ũũ/} [a.ũ ũũ] ‘rooster’ (‘NRL-chicken-male’) (Satakha dialect).

This deletion occurs even when the high vowel does not display harmony with the following vowel, as in \textipa{ajikku /aʒĩ-kũ/} [aʒĩ kũ] ‘cup’ (‘rice beer-plate’). Interestingly, in attempting to recover the deleted vowel in this word, younger speakers insert a high vowel that displays vowel harmony with the following vowel, resulting in the morphologically opaque form [a tit ʃũ kũ].

Such deletion does not occur when the second syllable is a non-high vowel, e.g. \textipa{awoshi /ə-wo-ʃũ/} [ə wo.ʃũ] (“aw.ʃũ] or [ə vo.ʃũ] (“aw.ʃũ]) (Satakha dialect) ‘pork’ (‘NRL-pig-meat’). Speakers hearing [aw.ʃũ] would instead interpret this as \textipa{awushi ‘chicken meat’}.
Tones

In Sumi, full syllables can take any of the three tones – low, mid and high:

- **Low** /əpʊ/ apu/apuh ‘father’
  /əkùti/ akü̂tsi ‘black’
- **Mid** /apu/ apu ‘water scoop’
  /əkùti/ akü̂tsi ‘head’
- **High** /əpʊ/ apu/appu ‘son’
  /əkùti/ akü̂tsi ‘rotten’

These tones are generally realised as level tones, e.g. apu /əpʊ/ [ə.l pu.] ‘father’, apu /apu/ [a.t pu.] ‘water scoop’. Any significant pitch movements (rises or falls) across the syllable can be attributed to anticipation of the following tone or to f0 declination across the utterance. Teo (2009) provides a more comprehensive description of the phonetic realisation of these tones.

Minor syllables, including the syllabic nasal, take only low or mid tone.

/ˈpiti/ piti ‘to burn’
/ˈpītī/ piti ‘to bear offspring’

/ˈmła/ mla/mlah ‘to work’
/ˈmīlə/ mla ‘to foam’
/ˈmīlə/ mla/mla ‘to be easy’

In these examples, the tonal contrast always occurs on the full syllable. A tonal contrast only appears on a minor syllable when it is preceded by a full syllable such as the prefix a-:

/əkùtʰə/ akulho ‘fatigue’
/əkùtʰə/ akulho ‘curry’
/əkùtʰə/ akulho ‘insect larvae’

In these cases, the minor syllable still only bears low or mid tone.

Interaction between consonants and tone


That such word-final glottalisation is not the same as the previously discussed glottal stop, which is inserted at a morpheme boundary, is shown by its occurrence even after high tones in utterance-final position, e.g. amlo /əmlɔ/ [a mlɔʔ] ‘heart’, pamu /pamu/ [p a muʔ] ‘his older brother’, muki [mu.j kuʔ?] ‘twenty’. It does not occur with other utterance-final tones, e.g. ana /əna/ [a nə] ‘(cooked) rice’, azũ /əʒũ/ [a ʒi] ‘water’, athonhe /atʰɔnʔɛ/ [a tʰɔnʔ e] ‘tortoise’.

Stress

Stress is not phonemic in Sumi. However, it might be argued that minor syllables in sesquisyllabic structures receive ‘less prominence’ vis-à-vis full syllables. They are often
shorter than full syllables and are usually deleted. They also take a reduced set of consonants and vowels in their onset slot and nucleus slots, as well as a reduced tonal inventory.

Transcription of recorded passage
The passage recorded and transcribed here is ‘The North Wind and the Sun’, translated by the female speaker into Sumi from English. A phonemic transcription is provided along with an orthographic version.

Phonemic transcription
\[ \text{Ahu-u mïlâi nò këëñînë} \]
\[ \text{Ahu-u mïlâi nò këëñînë kimáno kâïïnû akumtôu kela pì | kipëna àkè yûlûkì lono | àyëzimî} \]
\[ \text{lakâïnû áphì | àkîlî vîpûî iyi || tilëno kîmáno iyi xàtëhålû | kímá dòlo kâïïnû atiyûjì | àyëzû} \]
\[ \text{pelônò pâphì xàve lûpiye kênò | tipaunò akumtôu ipinì pì || ikehu | àhûu mïlâîno pàkikà} \]
\[ \text{kimîfî jînó mïlâî | îkêmû pâno pàkikà jînó mïlâî i òye | àyëzûñò pâphì pëjì pàkîjì kîtëhë lûve || ikehu | âjëkâløye} \]
\[ \text{åhûu mïlâîye tilêno tâve || enò kûyônà këëñînëno livi iji} \]
\[ \text{yèjëpëî kemîfà àyëzûñô pàî kâëvî lono | pâphì xàve || ikehu | âhûu mïlâîye} \]
\[ \text{këëñînëno | kìmá dòlo akumtôu kepi pîmò òye jàkepû jîve ||} \]

Orthographic transcription
Ahu-u mûlû ngî Khetsûnhe
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