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#### Abstract

In this paper we solve the problem of desingularization of an absolutely isolated singularity of a differential equation, including the dicritical case. As an application, we prove the finiteness of the number of dicritical points in the blowing up tree of an absolutely isolated singularity.
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## 0. Introduction

Let $X$ be a non singular variety of dimension $n$ over a field $k$. A differential equation on $X$ is an invertible subsheaf $\mathfrak{D}$ of the sheaf of derivations:

$$
\mathfrak{D} \hookrightarrow T_{X}=\operatorname{Der}_{k}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

such that the quotient $T_{X} / \mathfrak{D}$ is torsion free. A singular point of $\mathfrak{D}$ is a point of the critical locus of the injection $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow T_{X}$, that is: a point $p$ such that the morphism $\mathfrak{D}_{p} \otimes k(p) \rightarrow T_{X p} \otimes k(p)$ is not injective. If $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is a system of parameters at $p$ and $f_{1}\left(\partial / \partial x_{1}\right)+\cdots+f_{n}\left(\partial / \partial x_{n}\right)$ is a local generator of $\mathfrak{D}$, then $p$ is a singular point of $\mathfrak{D}$ if and only if $f_{1}(p)=\cdots=f_{n}(p)=0$. The multiplicity of $\mathfrak{D}$ at a point $p \in X$, is

$$
m_{p}(\mathfrak{D})=\min _{a \in \mathcal{F}_{p}}\left\{v_{p}(a)\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{p}$ is the Fitting ideal $F_{n-1}\left(\left(T_{X} / \mathfrak{D}\right)_{p}\right)$ and $v_{p}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}_{p}$-adic valuation corresponding to $p$. In terms of the local expression of $\mathfrak{D}$, one has

$$
m_{p}(\mathfrak{D})=\min \left\{v_{p}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, v_{p}\left(f_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for a point $p$ to be a singular point of $\mathfrak{D}$ is that $m_{p}(\mathfrak{D})>0$.

If $p$ is a singular point of a differential equation $\mathfrak{D}$, and $\mathfrak{m}$ is the ideal of $p$ then the differential equation induces an endomorphism of the cotangent space

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{T}: \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} & \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2} \\
f & \rightarrow D f
\end{aligned}
$$

being $D$ a local generator of $\mathfrak{D}$ at $p$. We say that $D_{T}$ is the linear part of $\mathfrak{D}$ at $p$. A singularity of a differential equation is said to be irreducible if the linear part, $D_{T}$, has at least one nonzero eigenvalue.

Let $\pi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ the blowing up of $X$ with center at $p$, and let $E$ be the exceptional fiber. If $\mathfrak{D}$ is a differental equation on $X$, there exists one and only one differential equation, $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$, on $X^{\prime}$ such that its singular locus has codimension greater than 1 and $\mathfrak{D}_{X^{\prime}-E}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{D}_{\mid X-p} \cdot \mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ is called the proper transform of $\mathfrak{D}$ by $\pi$. If $E$ is solution of $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ (that is, $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime} \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the ideal of $E$ ), we say that $p$ (or $\pi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ ) is non-dicritical, and one has

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\pi^{*} \mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(1-m) \quad\left(\mathfrak{p}=\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(1)\right)
$$

where $m$ is the multiplicity of $\mathfrak{D}$ at $p$. On the contrary, if $E$ is not a solution of $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$, then we say that $p$ is dicritical, and one has

$$
\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\pi^{*} \mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(-m)
$$

An isolated singularity $p$ is said to be absolutely isolated if all the singularities of the blowing up tree of $p$ are isolated. More precisely, if for any sequence of quadratic transformations

$$
X_{n} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n}} \cdots \longrightarrow X_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} X
$$

such that: the center, $p_{i}$, of $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_{i}$ is a closed point in the fiber of $p$ and is a singular point of $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$, where $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$ is the proper transform of $\mathfrak{D}$ by $X_{i} \rightarrow X$, then all the singularities of $\mathfrak{D}_{n}$ over the exceptional fiber are isolated.

The definition of an absolutely isolated singularity given in [1] is adapted to the non-dicritical case. Our definition does not make restrictions about the dicriticalness, that is, it includes the dicritical case.

In this paper we prove the following.
THEOREM. If $p$ is an absolutely isolated singularity, then after a finite number of quadratic transformations all the singularities become irreducible.

To prove this theorem one introduces a number associated with the singularity and to the exceptional divisor obtained after a sequence of blowing up's. This is the critical length relative to a divisor at a point $p$. If the divisor is empty, this number is the classical Milnor number of the differential equation; if the divisor
has only one component, it is the 'adapted Milnor number' defined in [1]. These numbers are calculated in term of Chern classes (Theorem 3), and this fact permits us to compute the variation of the critical length under blowing up (Theorem 4). One proves that this number never increases, and it decreases strictly whenever one blows up a point of multiplicity greater than 1 . The desingularization theorem follows easily. As an application of the desingularization theorem, one can prove the following

THEOREM. The number of dicritical points in the blowing up tree of an absolutely isolated singularity is finite.

In the non-dicritical case, the above desingularization theorem is due to C. Camacho, F. Cano and P. Sad [1]. When the dimension of $X$ is two, the desingularization is due to Seidenberg [6]. In dimension three, the desingularization of a non-dicritical differential equation is due to Cano [2, 3].

This paper has been carried out under the direction of J. B. Sancho Guimerá. The main ideas and methodology are due to him.

## 1. A fundamental theorem on Chern classes

The results of this section can be found in [5]. Let $X$ be a non singular cuasiprojective variety over a field $k$. The intersection theory used here will be that of the graded group $\mathrm{GK}(X)$ of the Grothendieck group of coherents modules on $X$ with respect to the filtration defined by the codimension of the support; the product, direct and inverse image, Chern classes, etc, are supposed to be the ones defined with respect to this graded ring.
Let $E$ and $F$ be two locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules and let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r=\operatorname{rank} \text { of } F \\
& n=\operatorname{rank} \text { of } E .
\end{aligned}
$$

DEFINITION. Let $f: F \rightarrow E$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules. The natural morphism id $\oplus f: F \rightarrow F \oplus E$ induces a closed immersion $\mathbb{P}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(F \oplus E)$. The closed subscheme defined by this immersion shall be called the projective graph of $f$.

The cohomology class of the projective graph of $f$ in $\operatorname{GK}(\mathbb{P}(F \oplus E))$ shall be denoted by $\Gamma_{f}$.

PROPOSITION. Let $\xi$ be the obstruction class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(F \oplus E)}(-1)$. For any morphism $f$, the cohomology class of the projective graph of $f$ is

$$
\Gamma_{f}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} c_{i}(E) \cdot \xi^{n-i}
$$

THEOREM 1. The Chern class $c_{n-r+1}(E-F)$ is equal to the projection on $X$ of the self-intersection class of the projective graph of the null morphism. That is, if $\Gamma_{0}$ is the cohomology class of the null morphism, and $\pi: \mathbb{P}(F \oplus E) \rightarrow X$ is the natural projection, then

$$
c_{n-r+1}(E-F)=\pi_{*}\left(\Gamma_{0} \cdot \Gamma_{0}\right)
$$

COROLLARY. The Chern class $c_{n-r+1}(E)$ is equal to the projection on $X$ of the self-intersection class of the projective graph of the null morphism in $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r} \oplus E\right)$. This gives a geometric construction of Chern classes.

COROLLARY. Let $f: F \rightarrow E$ be a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ modules. One can see that

$$
c_{n-r+1}(E-F)=\pi_{*}\left(\Gamma_{f} \cdot \Gamma_{0}\right)
$$

In the rest of this section $f$ will be supposed to be an injective morphism of sheaves.

DEFINITION. Let $f: F \rightarrow E$ be an injective morphism of locally free modules. The critical locus of $f$ is the locus of the points $p$ such that the morphism $F \otimes k(p) \rightarrow$ $E \otimes k(p)$ is not injective. This locus is a closed subset, defined by the Fitting ideal $F_{n-r}(E / F)$. We shall consider it to be a closed subscheme: $\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X} / F_{n-r}(E / F)$. The critical cycle of $f, \operatorname{Cr}(f)$, is the cycle (in $\operatorname{GK}(X)$ ) associated with the critical locus. By definition:

$$
\operatorname{Cr}(f)=\sum_{p} n_{p} \cdot p
$$

where $p$ runs over the generic points of the critical locus of $f$ and $n_{p}$ is the length at $p$ of the module $\left(\mathcal{O}_{X} /\left(F_{n-r}(E / F)\right)\right)_{p}$.

THEOREM 2. Let $f: F \rightarrow E$ be an injective morphism of locally free modules, and let $r=$ rank of $F, n=$ rank of $E$. If the critical locus of $f$ has codimension greater than or equal to $n-r+1$, then the Chern class $c_{n-r+1}(E-F)$ is the critical cycle of $f$. That is,

$$
c_{n-r+1}(E-F)=\operatorname{Cr}(f)
$$

## 2. Critical length relative to a divisor: Variation under blowing up

Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed subscheme of $X$ defined by a sheaf of ideals $\mathfrak{I}$. Let us denote by $T_{X}^{Y}$ the submodule of $T_{X}$ formed by the derivations of $X$ which are tangent to $Y$, that is,

$$
T_{X}^{Y}=\left\{D \in T_{X} \text { such that } D \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{I}) \subset \operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{I})\right\}
$$

where $\operatorname{Rad}(\mathfrak{I})$ is the radical of $\mathfrak{I}$. Let us suppose that $Y$ is reduced. Let $N_{Y / X}=$ $\left(\mathfrak{I} / \mathfrak{I}^{2}\right)^{*}$ be the module of sections of the the normal bundle of $Y$ in $X$. We have the exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow T_{X}^{Y} \rightarrow T_{X} \rightarrow i_{*} N_{Y / X}, \\
& D \mapsto D: \mathfrak{I} / \mathfrak{I}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}, \\
& f \mapsto D f,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the morphism $T_{X} \rightarrow i_{*} N_{Y / X}$ is obtained by adjuntion from the natural morphism $i^{*} T_{X} \rightarrow N_{Y / X}$. If $Y$ is a smooth subscheme, the sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{X}^{Y} \rightarrow$ $T_{X} \rightarrow i_{*} N_{Y / X} \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

EXAMPLE. Let $Y$ be a smooth hypersurface. Let $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ be local parameters at a point $x$, such that $Y$ is defined by $x_{1}=0$. Then $\left\{\left(\partial / \partial x_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\partial / \partial x_{n}\right)\right\}$ is a basis of $T_{X, x}$ and $\left\{x_{1}\left(\partial / \partial x_{1}\right),\left(\partial / \partial x_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\partial / \partial x_{n}\right)\right.$ is a basis of $T_{X, x}^{Y}$.

The following proposition is immediate:

## PROPOSITION.

(a) $T_{X}^{Y}=T_{X}^{Y_{\text {red }}}$.
(b) If $Y=\lambda_{1} E_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} E_{m}$ is an effective divisor whose irreducible components are smooth and have normal crossings, then one has the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow T_{X}^{Y} \rightarrow T_{X} \rightarrow N_{E_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{E_{m}} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $N_{E_{j}}$ is the module of sections of the normal bundle of $E_{j}$ in $X$. Moreover $T_{X}^{Y}$ is a locally free module of rank $n=$ dimension of $X$. Locally, if $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is a system of parameters at $x$, such that $Y$ is defined by $x_{1}^{\lambda_{1}} \cdots x_{r}^{\lambda_{r}}=0$, then $T_{X, x}^{Y}$ is a free module with basis

$$
\left\{x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, x_{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}\right\} .
$$

Let $Y=\lambda_{1} E_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} E_{m}$ be an effective smooth divisor (that is, $E_{j}$ is smooth) with normal crossings. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a differential equation on $X$ and let

$$
Y(\mathfrak{D}) \subset Y
$$

be the effective divisor formed by the components of $Y$ that are a solution of $\mathfrak{D}$ (that is, $\mathfrak{D}$ is tangent to them).

DEFINITION. The critical locus of $\mathfrak{D}$ relative to $Y, \operatorname{Sin}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)$, is the critical locus of the injection $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow T_{X}^{Y(\mathfrak{D})}$. This is the closed subscheme defined by the Fitting ideal $F_{n-1}\left(T_{X}^{Y(\mathfrak{D})} / \mathfrak{D}\right)$; this ideal will be denoted by $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)$.

The critical locus of $\mathfrak{D}$ relative to $Y$ is contained in the critical locus of $\mathfrak{D}$, that is,

$$
\boldsymbol{\operatorname { S i n }}(\mathfrak{D}, Y) \subset \operatorname{Sin}(\mathfrak{D})
$$

This follows immediately from the fact that the injection $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow T_{X}$ factors through $T_{X}^{Y(\mathfrak{D})}$.

DEFINITION. Let $p$ be a generic point of the critical locus of $\mathfrak{D}$ relative to $Y$. The critical length of $\mathfrak{D}$ at the point $p$ relative to $Y, n_{p}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)$, is the length at $p$ of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{X} / \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)\right)_{p}$

$$
n_{p}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)=\text { length } \mathcal{O}_{p}\left(\frac{\mathcal{O}_{X}}{\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)}\right)_{p}
$$

The critical cycle of $\mathfrak{D}$ relative to $Y, \operatorname{Cr}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)$, is the cycle associated with the critical locus of $\mathfrak{D}$ relative to $Y$. By definition,

$$
\operatorname{Cr}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)=\sum_{p} n_{p}(\mathfrak{D}, Y) \cdot p
$$

where $p$ runs over the generic points of the critical locus of $\mathfrak{D}$ relative to $Y$.
THEOREM 3. Let $Y$ be an effective smooth divisor with normal crossings, $\mathfrak{D}$ a differential equation on $X$ with isolated singularities, and let us denote by $T_{X}^{Y(\mathfrak{D})}$ the module of derivations tangent to $Y(\mathfrak{D})$. Then the Chern class $c_{n}\left(T_{X}^{Y(\mathfrak{D})}-\mathfrak{D}\right)$ is the critical cycle relative to $Y$, that is

$$
c_{n}\left(T_{X}^{Y(\mathfrak{D})}-\mathfrak{D}\right)=\operatorname{Cr}(\mathfrak{D}, Y) .
$$

Proof. It is immediate from theorem 2 applied to the injection $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow T_{X}^{Y(\mathfrak{D})}$.
THEOREM 4. Let $Y$ be an effective smooth divisor (it may be empty) with normal crossings, and $\mathfrak{D}$ a differential equation on $X$ which is tangent to $Y$. Let $p$ be an isolated singularity of $\mathfrak{D}$ of multiplicity $m, \pi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ the blowing up with center at $p$ and $E$ the exceptional fiber. Let $r$ be the number of components of $Y$ which contain $p$. If the proper transform $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{D}$ has only isolated singularities, then
(1) If $p$ is non-dicritical, (that is, the exceptional fiber is a solution of the differential equation $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ ), then

$$
n_{p}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)-\sum_{q \in E} n_{q}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}, \pi^{*} Y\right) \cdot d_{q}=(m-1)^{r} m^{n-r}
$$

where $n_{q}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}, \pi^{*} Y\right)$ are the corresponding critical lengths of $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ at $q$ relative to $\pi^{*} Y$ and $d_{q}$ is the degree of the field extension $k(p) \rightarrow k(q)$.
(2) If $p$ is dicritical (that is, the exceptional fiber is not a solution of the differential equation $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}$ ), then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{p}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)-\sum_{q \in E} n_{q}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}, \pi^{*} Y\right) \cdot d_{q} \\
& \quad=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(m+1)^{n}-\frac{(m+1)^{n}-1}{m}, \quad \text { if } r=0 \\
\left(m^{r}-m^{r-1}\right)(m+1)^{n-r}, \\
\text { if } r>0
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $n_{p}(\mathfrak{D}, Y)-\sum_{q \in E} n_{q}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}, \pi^{*} Y\right) \cdot d_{q}$ is always greater or equal to 0 , and it is 0 if and only if p is a singularity of multiplicity 1 and $r>0$.

Proof. We only have to consider the components of the divisor that contain $p$, so we can suppose that $Y=\lambda_{1} E_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{r} E_{r}$. By the proposition we may suppose that $Y$ is reduced, $Y=E_{1}+\cdots+E_{r}$, and $\pi^{*} Y=E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}+E$, where $E_{i}^{\prime}=$ proper transform of $E_{i}$. Let us denote $n_{p}=n_{p}(\mathfrak{D}, Y), n_{q}=n_{q}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}, \pi^{*} Y\right)$ and $Y^{\prime}=\pi^{*} Y\left(\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)$.

As the question is local, one can suppose that $X$ is a projective variety. Moreover, it is not difficult to see that one can suppose that the only singularity of the differential equation is $p$ (replacing $T_{X}$ by a locally free module $M$ of rank $n$ such that: $\mathfrak{D} \hookrightarrow M, M_{\mid U} \simeq T_{X \mid U}$ for some open neighbourhood $U$ of $p$, and $\mathfrak{D}$ is locally a direct summand of $M$ outside of $p$ ). By Theorem $3, c_{n}\left(T_{X}^{Y}-\mathfrak{D}\right)=n_{p} \cdot p$ and $c_{n}\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{Y^{\prime}}-\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{q \in E} n_{q} \cdot q$. Moreover

$$
\left(n_{p}-\sum_{q \in E} n_{q} \cdot d_{q}\right) \cdot p=\pi_{*}\left(\pi^{*} c_{n}\left(T_{X}^{Y}-\mathfrak{D}\right)-c_{n}\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{Y^{\prime}}-\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

because $\pi_{*}(q)=d_{q} \cdot p$ and $\pi_{*} \pi^{*}=\operatorname{id}\left(\pi_{*} \pi^{*}(a)=a \cdot \pi_{*}(1)=a\right.$, since $\pi_{*}(1)=1$ because $\pi$ is birational). So one has to compute $\pi^{*} c_{n}\left(T_{X}^{Y}-\mathfrak{D}\right)-c_{n}\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{Y^{\prime}}-\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)$. But $\pi$ is an isomorphism outside of $p$, so $\pi^{*} c_{n}\left(T_{X}^{Y}-\mathfrak{D}\right)-c_{n}\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{Y^{\prime}}-\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)$ is concentrated in $E$. As $E$ is a projective space, it must be

$$
\pi^{*} c_{n}\left(T_{X}^{Y}-\mathfrak{D}\right)-c_{n}\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{Y^{\prime}}-\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda E^{n}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Remark. With the object of computing $\lambda$, one can substitute $X$ by any open neighbourhood of $p$, so one may suppose that the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules that appear are free.

We have the exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}+E} \rightarrow T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}} \rightarrow N_{E} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}} \rightarrow T_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow N_{E_{1}^{\prime}} \oplus \cdots \oplus N_{E_{r}^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \\
& 0 \rightarrow T_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow \pi^{*} T_{X} \rightarrow T_{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{E} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $E$ is a projective space, we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E}(-1)^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E} \rightarrow 0
$$

therefore

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E}(1)^{n} \rightarrow T_{E} \rightarrow 0
$$

and taking the tensor product with $\mathcal{L}_{E}$ (notice that $\mathcal{L}_{-E}=\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(1)$ ), one has

$$
0 \rightarrow N_{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E}^{n} \rightarrow T_{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{E} \rightarrow 0
$$

Then $c\left(T_{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{E}\right)=1 /(1-E)^{n}(1+E)$, since

$$
\begin{align*}
& c\left(N_{E}\right)=c\left(\mathcal{L}_{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=c\left(\mathcal{L}_{E} \otimes\left(1-\mathcal{L}_{-E}\right)\right)=c\left(\mathcal{L}_{E}-1\right)=1+E  \tag{1}\\
& c\left(\mathcal{O}_{E}\right)=c\left(1-\mathcal{L}_{-E}\right)=\frac{1}{1-E} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting it all together, one has (taking into account the Remark)

$$
c\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{1}{c\left(T_{E} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{E}\right) c\left(N_{E_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \ldots c\left(N_{E_{r}^{\prime}}\right)}=(1-E)^{n-r}(1+E)
$$

because $c\left(N_{E_{j}^{\prime}}\right)=1+E_{j}^{\prime}=1-E$, as $E_{j}^{\prime}+E=\pi^{*}\left(E_{j}\right)=0$ (by the Remark), and

$$
c\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}+E}\right)=c\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}}-N_{E}\right)=(1-E)^{n-r} .
$$

(1) In this case $Y^{\prime}=E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}+E$ and $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\pi^{*} \mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(m-1) E}$, hence

$$
c_{n}\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}+E}-\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)=\left[\frac{(1-E)^{n-r}}{1+(m-1) E}\right]_{n}
$$

and one concludes by an easy computation (note that $\pi_{*} E^{n}=(-1)^{n-1} \cdot p$ ).
(2) In this case $Y^{\prime}=E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}=\pi^{*} \mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{m E}$, therefore

$$
c_{n}\left(T_{X^{\prime}}^{E_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+E_{r}^{\prime}}-\mathfrak{D}^{\prime}\right)=\left[\frac{(1-E)^{n-r}(1+E)}{1+m E}\right]_{n}
$$

and again an easy computation allows us to conclude.
When $Y$ is empty and $p$ is non-dicritical, the formula is given in [1, Thm 2].

## 3. Desingularization

Our aim now is to prove that the absolutely isolated singularities become irreducible after a finite number of quadratic tranformations. Before proving the theorem let us see some previous lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two hypersurfaces, which are solutions of a differential equation $\mathfrak{D}$ and with normal crossings. If $q \in H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ is an absolutely isolated non-dicritical singularity of $\mathfrak{D}$ with multiplicity 1 , then $q$ is irreducible.

Proof. Either $H_{1}$ or $H_{2}$ gives a nonzero eigenvalue; in fact: on the contrary, we would have $v_{q}\left(H_{1}\right)>1, v_{q}\left(H_{2}\right)>1$, and then $q$ could not be an absolutely isolated singularity (blowing up at $q$, the critical locus would be of codimension less or equal than $n-1$, as can be shown by an easy computation).

LEMMA 2. Let

$$
\cdots \rightarrow X_{m} \rightarrow X_{m-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow X_{0}=X
$$

be a sequence of blowing up's such that: $\pi_{i+1}: X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_{i}$ is a blowing up centered at $p_{i}=$ non-dicritical singular point of $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$, with multiplicity 1 , in the fiber of $p_{i-1}$, placed out of the corners, and which is rational over $p$ (that is, the degree of the extension $k(p) \rightarrow k\left(p_{i}\right)$ is 1$)$.

Then the formal curve in $X$ defined by this sequence of points (that is, the curve whose successive proper transforms pass through the points $p_{i}$ ) is solution of the differential equation.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ be the local ring of $p_{i}$, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$, and let $D_{i}$ be a local generator of $\mathfrak{D}_{i}$ at $p_{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{0}=\mathcal{O}\right.$ and $\left.D_{0}=D\right)$. Notice that $D_{i \mid \mathcal{O}}=D$ for every $i$, because $p_{i}$ is non-dicritical of multiplicity 1 , and then $\mathfrak{D}_{i}=\pi_{i}^{*} \mathfrak{D}_{i-1}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ is a singular point, one has that $D_{i} \mathfrak{m}_{i} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i}$, hence $D\left(\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{i+1} \cap \mathcal{O}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i}^{i+1} \cap \mathcal{O}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ denote the formal completion of $\mathcal{O}$. The formal curve defined by the sequence of points $p_{i}$ is given by the surjective map

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \lim _{\longleftarrow}\left(\mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{m}_{i}^{i+1} \cap \mathcal{O}\right) \simeq k(p)[[t]]
$$

The kernel is an ideal $I$ such that $D I \subset I$, because $D\left(\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{i+1} \cap \mathcal{O}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i}^{i+1} \cap \mathcal{O}$, so the curve is a solution of $\mathfrak{D}$.

THEOREM 5. Let p be an absolutely isolated singularity of a differential equation. Then, after a finite number of quadratic transformations, all the singularities become irreducible.

Proof. By induction on the critical length relative to the exceptional divisor. Notice that, by Theorem 4, this number never increases under blowing up. If it is 0 , then some of the components of the exceptional divisor must give a nonzero eigenvalue. Let $q$ be a singular point, with critical length $n_{q}$ and multiplicity $m_{q}$. If $m_{q}$ is greater than 1 , then, blowing up at $q$, the critical length decreases (by Theorem 4) and one ends by induction. So we may suppose the multiplicity to be 1 and that it remains equal to 1 after blowing up. Moreover, we may suppose that the points we are blowing up at are rational over $q$, since, to the contrary, the critical length also decreases (Theorem 4). Now, the singularities in the corners are irreducible (Lemma 1), and so are the dicritical points (of multiplicity 1); we
may suppose then that we are always blowing up at non-dicritical points, with multiplicity 1 , out of the corners and rational over $q$. By Lemma 2, one has a formal curve passing through $q$, which is transversal to the exceptional fiber, and solution of the differential equation. It is clear then that $q$ is irreducible.

## 4. Finiteness of dicritical points

In this section the base field is supposed to be of characteristic 0 and algebraically closed. Our aim is to see that the dicritical points in the blowing up tree of an absolutely isolated singularity are finite in number. We shall first discuss the case when the variety has dimension two, since the argument is easily generalized for dimension $n$.

THEOREM 6. The number of dicritical points in the blowing up tree of an absolutely isolated singularity is finite ( $\operatorname{dim} X=2$ ).

Proof. It follows from the next proposition.
PROPOSITION. Let $D_{T}$ be the linear part at $p$ of a differential equation of multiplicity 1. Suppose that $D_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu\end{array}\right)$ with $\mu \neq 0$. Then.
(1) If $\lambda / \mu \notin \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, then there is no dicritical points in the blowing up tree of $p$.
(2) If $\lambda / \mu \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, then there is, at most, one dicritical point in the blowing up tree of $p$.
Proof. (1) It follows from the fact that the condition is stable under blowing up: an easy computation shows that blowing up at $p$ two singularities appear and their linear part have the following Jordan form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda-\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu-\lambda\end{array}\right)$, and $(\lambda-\mu) / \mu, \lambda /(\mu-\lambda) \notin \mathbb{Q}^{+}$if $\lambda / \mu \notin \mathbb{Q}^{+}$.

Since the matrix of a dicritical point is $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \mu\end{array}\right), \mu / \mu=1 \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, one concludes.
(2) Let $\lambda / \mu=n / m, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, relatively prime. Suppose that $n \leqslant m$ (if $n \geqslant m$ the same argument is valid, interchanging $\lambda$ by $\mu$ ). If $n=m$, the point is dicritical and blowing up there are no more singular points. If $n<m$, then $(\lambda-\mu) / \mu=(n / m)-1 \notin \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, so one of the points that appear can not have dicritical points in its blowing up tree, by (1). Dicritical points can only appear at the other point. If $\mu-\lambda=\lambda(\mu=2 \lambda)$, then the matrix of this point is either $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right)$ (and then it is dicritical) or $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & \gamma \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right)$, with $\gamma \neq 0$, and blowing up there is only one singular point with matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right)$, that has no dicritical points above it, by (1). If $\lambda-\mu \neq \lambda$, then the matrix of the point is $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu-\lambda\end{array}\right)$ and $\lambda /(\mu-\lambda)=n /(m-n) \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$. But $\max \{n, m\}>\max \{n, m-n\}$, so the process is finite.

Now the theorem follows. In fact, we can suppose, by Theorem 5, that there is a nonzero eigenvalue, so $D_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu\end{array}\right)$ or $D_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right)$. In the first case we conclude by the proposition above. In the second: blowing up, only one singular point appears and its matrix is $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda\end{array}\right)$, so we conclude again by the proposition.

Now, the proof in dimension $n$ is clarified.

THEOREM 7. The number of dicritical points in the blowing up tree of an absolutely isolated singularity is finite.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 5 and the next proposition.

PROPOSITION. Let $D_{T}$ be the linear part at $p$ of a differential equation with a nonzero eigenvalue. Then
(1) If $D_{T}$ has two eigenvalues $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda$, such that $\lambda / \mu \notin \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, then there are no dicritical points in the blowing up tree of $p$. If $D_{T}$ does not diagonalize, then there is not any dicritical point in the blowing up tree.
(2) If

$$
D_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda_{1} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & \lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{j}} \in \mathbb{Q}^{+} \text {for any } i, j
$$

then there is, at most, one dicritical point in the blowing up tree of $p$.
Proof. (1) The existence of two eigenvalues $\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda$, such that $\lambda / \mu \notin \mathbb{Q}^{+}$, is stable by blowing up, as an easy computation shows, so one concludes. If $D_{T}$ does not diagonalize, then its Jordan matrix can be put in the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\ddots & & 0 \\
& \lambda & 1 \\
0 & & \lambda
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $\lambda=0$, since there exists $\mu \neq 0$, we conclude by (1). If $\lambda \neq 0$, one blows up. Either a matrix of the same type comes out (which is non-dicritical), or the zero eigenvalue appears, so one concludes as above.
(2) Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be parameters such that $D_{T}$ is diagonal. One blows up. On the affine set $x_{i} \neq 0$ one has: either a singular point whose Jordan matrix has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\ddots & & 0 \\
& \lambda_{i} & 1 \\
0 & & \lambda_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

that has no dicritical points above it, or a singular point with diagonal matrix and eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{i}, \ldots, \lambda_{i-1}-\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{i}, \lambda_{i+1}-\lambda_{i}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}-\lambda_{i}$. But $\left(\lambda_{j}-\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{i}\right) / \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$if and only if $\lambda_{i} / \lambda_{j}<1$. So, dicritical points (if they exist) can only appear in the affine set $x_{i} \neq 0$ such that $\lambda_{i} / \lambda_{j}<1$ for any $j \neq i$. But if
$\lambda_{j} / \lambda_{i}=m_{j} / n, \quad$ g.c.d. $\left\{n, m_{j}\right\}=1$, then $\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i} / \lambda_{i}=\left(m_{j}-n\right) / n\right.$ and max $\left\{n, m_{j}\right\}>\max \left\{n, m_{j}-n\right\}$, so the process is finite.
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