K.B. Reid

(received July 23, 1968)

1. A <u>tournament</u> T_n with n nodes is a complete asymmetric digraph [2]. A set S of arcs of a tournament is called <u>consistent</u> if the tournament contains no oriented cycles composed entirely of arcs of S [1]. The object of this note is to provide a new lower bound for f(n), the greatest integer k such that every tournament with n nodes contains a set of k consistent arcs. Erdős and Moon [1] showed that $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right] \leq f(n) \leq \left(\frac{1+\epsilon}{\epsilon}\right) {n \choose 2}$, where [x] denotes the largest integer not exceeding x, and the second inequality holds for any fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and all sufficiently large n.

Consistent arcs are of interest, for example, in consistency of paired comparison experiments [3]. The problem of finding largest sets of consistent arcs in a tournament is an extension of the problem of finding largest transitive subtournaments [4].

2. A T_{2m+1} is <u>regular</u> if the outdegree of each node is m. A T_{2m} is <u>almost regular</u> if m of the nodes have outdegree m - 1 and m of the nodes have outdegree m.

LEMMA 1. If T_n is neither regular nor almost regular and S is a consistent set of arcs in T_n such that |S| is a maximum, then $|S| \ge f(n-1) + [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$.

<u>Proof.</u> Since T_n is neither regular nor almost regular, T_n has a node v with outdegree not less than $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1$ or a node w with indegree not less than $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1$. The T_{n-1} obtained from T_n by deleting v (or w) and its adjacent arcs contains a consistent set of at least

^{*} Partial support for this paper was received by Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-67A 0305 0008.

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 12, no. 3, 1969

f(n-1) arcs, which with the $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1$ arcs in T_n directed away from v (or directed towards w) forms a consistent set of at least $f(n-1) + \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1$ arcs in T_n . Thus, if S is as stated, $|S| \ge f(n-1) + \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1$.

LEMMA 2. Every almost regular T_{2m} contains two nodes u and v such that the outdegree of u is m-1, the outdegree of v is m, and T_{2m} contains an arc from u to v.

<u>Proof</u>. For m = 1, the result is clear. If there were m^2 arcs directed from the m nodes of outdegree m to the m nodes of outdegree m -1, then there could be no arc joining any two nodes of outdegree m for then one of these nodes would have outdegree greater than m. This is impossible when $m \ge 2$. Thus, such a u and v are guaranteed in every almost regular T_{2m} .

LEMMA 3. If S is a consistent set of arcs in an almost regular $T_{2m} (m \ge 2)$ such that |S| is a maximum, then $|S| \ge f(2m-2) + 2m$.

<u>Proof.</u> Let u and v be as in Lemma 2. Let A be the m arcs directed away from v, and let B be the m arcs directed towards u. Then $A \cap B = \phi$ since u is directed towards v. The T_{2m-2} obtained from T_{2m} by deleting nodes u and v and all their adjacent arcs contains a consistent set of at least f(2m-2) = 2m arcs, which with $A \cup B$ forms a consistent set of at least f(2m-2) + 2m arcs in T_{2m} . Thus, if S is as stated, $|S| \ge f(2m-2) + 2m$.

THEOREM 1. $f(n) \ge \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \left[\frac{n+3}{2}\right] - 1$ for all integers $n \ge 2$.

<u>Proof.</u> It is easy to see that equality holds for $2 \leq n \leq 4$. Let $n \geq 5$ and assume $f(k) \geq \left[\frac{k}{2}\right] \left[\frac{k+3}{2}\right] - 1$ for all k such that $4 \leq k \leq n-1$. Let S be a consistent set of arcs in T_n such that |S|is a maximum. If T_n is neither regular nor almost regular, then by Lemma 1 and the induction hypothesis, $|S| \geq f(n-1) + \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1 \geq [\frac{n-1}{2}] \left[\frac{n+2}{2}\right] - 1 + \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + 1 = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \left(\left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right] + 1\right) + \left[\frac{n-1}{2}\right] = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right] - 1 = [\frac{n+1}{2}] \left[\frac{n+2}{2}\right] - 1 \geq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \left[\frac{n+3}{2}\right] - 1$. If T_n is regular (i.e. n = 2m + 1some $m \geq 2$) and v is a node of T_n , then the T_{n-1} obtained from T_n by deleting v and its adjacent arcs contains a consistent set of at least f(n-1) = f(2m) arcs, which with the m arcs in T_n directed

262

away from v forms a consistent set of at least f(2m) + m arcs in T_n . Thus, if T_n is regular $|S| \ge f(2m) + m \ge m(m+2) - 1 = [\frac{n}{2}][\frac{n+3}{2}] - 1$, by the induction hypothesis. If T_n is almost regular (i.e. n = 2m for some $m \ge 3$), then by Lemma 3 and the induction hypothesis, $|S| \ge f(2m-2) + 2m \ge m(m+1) - 1 = [\frac{n}{2}][\frac{n+3}{2}] - 1$. Thus, $f(n) \ge [\frac{n}{2}][\frac{n+3}{2}] - 1$. By induction, the result follows.

3. For $2 \leq n \leq 7$, $f(n) = \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \left[\frac{n+3}{2}\right] - 1$ as can be seen by considering "extremal" tournaments where the lower bound in Theorem 1 is assumed for each such n. This is done in [5], but the arguments are very special for each case so that only the results are given here. To show f(2) = 1, f(3) = 2, and f(4) = 5 simply consider the one T_2 , the strong T_3 , and the strong T_4 . To show f(5) = 7, consider the regular T_5 . To show f(6) = 11, consider the unique T_6 containing no transitive T_4 as a subtournament [4]. To show f(7) = 14, consider the unique T_7 containing no transitive T_4 as a subtournament [4].

While Theorem 1 yields $f(8) \ge 19$, the exact value of f(8) is 20 [5]. To show $f(8) \ge 20$, the following result given in [5] is of help: any T_8 without a consistent set of 20 arcs is almost regular, contains no regular T_7 as a subtournament, but for every pair of nodes x and y with outdegrees 4, the T_6 obtained from T_8 by deleting x and y and all their adjoining arcs is almost regular. But, on the other hand, among the four nodes of outdegree 4 of such a T_8 there is a transitive T_3 , so that deleting from T_8 the transmitter and carrier [2] of this T_3 results in a T_6 which is not almost regular. Thus, $f(8) \le 19$, is impossible so that $f(8) \ge 20$. To show $f(8) \le 20$, consider the T_8 obtained from the unique T_7 containing no transitive T_4 by adding a new node x and seven new arcs adjacent to x such that the nodes joined by arcs directed towards x form a strong T_2 .

While $f(9) \ge 23$ by Theorem 1, the exact value of f(9) is 24. That $f(9) \ge 24$ follows easily from f(8) = 20; to show $f(9) \le 24$, a certain regular T_9 (the composition or lexicographic product of the two strong T_3 's) has no consistent set of 25 arcs.

Since f(8) = 20, we can use Lemmas 1 and 3 to proceed as in Theorem 1 to obtain

THEOREM 2. $f(n) \ge \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \left[\frac{n+3}{2}\right] \frac{\text{for integers}}{2} n \ge 8$.

<u>Acknowledgement</u>. The author would like to thank Professor E.T. Parker of the University of Illinois for his advice in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Erdös and J.W. Moon, On sets of consistent arcs in a tournament. Can. Math. Bull. 8 (1965) 269-271.
- F. Harary, R.Z. Norman and D. Cartwright, Structural models: An introduction to the theory of directed graphs. (New York, John Wiley and Sons, 1965).
- 3. M.G. Kendall and B.B. Smith, On the method of paired comparisons. Biometrika 31 (1939) 324-345.
- K.B. Reid and E.T. Parker, Disproof of a conjecture of Erdös and Moser on tournaments. J. Combinatorial Theory (to appear).
- 5. K.B. Reid, Structure in finite graphs. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, 1968.

University of Illinois, Urbana

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge