

What is extinction research?

John Alroy and Barry Brook

The extinction of biological species is a modern concept that has been broadly understood for the last two centuries, following in the wake of Georges Cuvier's pioneering research on fossils in the early 19th century (Rudwick, 1997). Today, there is little disagreement that most species of life to have ever lived on Earth are now extinct (Raup 1990) and that extinction is currently occurring at a greatly elevated rapid pace (Lamkin and Miller 2016). Conservation of Earth's biodiversity is therefore of acute interest to all of humanity. Given this context, it is no coincidence that efforts to kickstart a new, interdisciplinary science of extinction were first made several decades ago (Lawton and May, 1995; Brook and Alroy, 2017).

One might therefore think that a straightforward characterisation of extinction research would be at hand. However, the field encompasses a range of studies from the purely biological to the cultural, necessitating a flexible definition. Furthermore, almost anything having to do with extinct or threatened species, ecosystems, or cultural change could imaginably fall within this broad area. Indeed, one perspective is that all of palaeontology qualifies as extinction research because nearly all fossil organisms are extinct (Raup, 1990); and that all of conservation biology also qualifies because organisms are presumably worth conserving exactly when threatened with extinction (Soulé, 1985).

At the other extreme, one might argue that to qualify as such, extinction research must be concerned explicitly with documenting and explaining extinctions that have actually occurred. For example, a paper describing changes in foraminiferal community structure across the Cretaceous-Palaeogene (K-Pg) boundary might not qualify, because communities can change considerably even in the absence of extinction. Contrast this with Alvarez et al.'s (1980) argument for a bolide impact cause of the mass die-off event, which makes the extinction theme central and explicit. This illustrates the kind of research that sits obviously within our scope.

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.

10.1017/ext.2024.8

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

Yet both broad and fine brushes obscure the nuanced contributions from diverse fields that directly address extinction's causes and consequences. In this brief essay, we therefore seek to strike a middle ground between broad and narrow conceptions. Our goal is provide a definition that works for all disciplines. We outline not only easy cases on either end of the continuum of relevance, but also the often trans-disciplinary grey zone.

On a simple level, any research that cites extinction as a primary topic is by definition extinction research and therefore within the scope of *Cambridge Prisms: Extinction*. To cite an obvious example, the keystone Alvarez et al. (1980) paper mentioned earlier has the word "extinction" in its title, and it was indeed every bit as relevant as the geochemistry underpinning its methods could be.

However, what goes in a paper title is not always a reliable indicator. Li et al. (2010) described an "extinct dinosaur" with descriptive style, but fossil descriptions and morphological studies by themselves are not in scope, no matter how interesting they might be. Likewise, Delavaux et al. (2023) address a key topic of biological invasion (in trees), but this is also not "extinction research", even though invasive species can of course cause extinction. The issue is that this kind of a paper may say little or nothing to draw the link.

Several common categories of research also fall in the grey zone. This does include many studies on biodiversity, such as those documenting gradients through time and space (Mannion et al., 2014 addressed both). Gradients have to come into existence somehow, and that somehow must involve processes such as speciation, extinction, immigration, and extirpation (the localised loss of species). Yet those factors need to be disentangled to fall under the extinction research umbrella. At the opposite spatial scale, ecologists routinely publish compare-and-contrast analyses of diversity and composition in nearby ecological communities drawn from relatively natural and anthropogenically disturbed habitats. This sort of work is not really about extinction, which is quite unfortunate because little is often known about the fate of certain groups other than their ensemble diversity. Insects and most marine organisms, for example, often fall in that category, with relatively few species belonging to such taxa having been evaluated by the IUCN (Hochkirch et al., 2021).

Regardless, to be relevant to *Cambridge Prisms: Extinction*, something needs to be said in detail about the fact that an extinction or multiple extinctions did occur, is occurring, or is likely to occur – it is not sufficient just to note in a general way that a species is extinct or that it is threatened.

At the same time, it is important to emphasise that research on mass extinctions or on individual species is only part of the domain of extinction research. Entities that are not even

biological are also relevant, including cultural ones, as exemplified by our recent Special Issue. Languages are of key interest here. Amano et al. (2016) – a study of language extinction at the global scale – is a clear example. And so are biological entities that are not species *per se*: entire ecosystems may go extinct or be locally or regionally extirpated, and those cases are both intrinsically important and scientifically informative (Rodríguez et al., 2011).

We also must emphasise that extinction research goes not only beyond biology but beyond science as normally circumscribed. For instance, works in areas like philosophy and economics can fall within the domain (e.g. Swanson, 1999; Kasperbauer, 2017). Indeed, research outside of science that concerns the prospect of human extinction (Matheny, 2007) certainly has a home in this field as we conceive it – as does research that puts biological extinction in the context of humanity's future (Dirzo et al., 2022).

In summary, we are eager to see extinction research expand as an interdisciplinary nexus, and for that to happen academics must be clear on what the nexus really is. We suggest that potential authors of a submission to *Cambridge Prisms: Extinction* consider the following criteria for evaluating whether an individual piece of research falls within scope: (1) the system under consideration – biological or otherwise – must include a reproducing community of individuals that may collectively cease to exist; (2) the system is either extinct or at risk of extinction; (3) the research is concerned primarily with documenting or explaining this fact, not just with documenting general features of the system. Although perhaps abstract, we feel that this brief definition captures the spirit of the term "extinction research", and we look forward to seeing its application as a spur to future investigation.

Alvarez, L. W., Alvarez, W., Asaro, F., and Michel, H. V. 1980. Extraterrestrial cause for the Cretaceous-Tertiary mass extinction. *Science* 208, 1095-1108.

Amano, T., Sandel, B., Eager, H., Bulteau, E., Svenning, J.-C., Dalgaard, B., Rahbek, C., Davies, R. G., and Sutherland, W. J. 2016. Global distribution and drivers of language extinction risk. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 281, 20141574.

Brook, B. W., and Alroy, J. 2017. Pattern, process, inference and prediction in extinction biology. *Biology Letters* 13, 20160828.

Delvaux, C. S. et al. 2023. Native diversity buffers against severity of non-native tree invasions. *Nature* 621, 773-781.

- Dirzo, R., Ceballos, G., and Ehrlich, P. R. 2022. Circling the drain: the extinction crisis and the future of humanity. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 377, 20210378.
- Hochkirch, A., et al. 2021. A strategy for the next decade to address data deficiency in neglected biodiversity. *Conservation Biology* 35, 502-509.
- Kaperbauer, T. J. 2017. Should we bring back the passenger pigeon? The ethics of de-extinction. *Ethics, Policy and Environment* 20, 1-14.
- Lamkin, M., and Miller, A. I. 2016. On the challenge of comparing contemporary and deep-time biological extinction rates. *BioScience* 66, 785-789.
- Lawton, J. H., and May, R. M. 1995. *Extinction rates*. Oxford University Press.
- Li, Q., Gao, K.-Q., Vintner, J., Shawkey, M. D., Clarke, J. A., D'Alba, L., Meng, Q., Briggs, D. E. G., and Prum, R. O. 2010. Plumage color patterns of an extinct dinosaur. *Science* 327, 1369-1372.
- Mannion, P. D., Upchurch, P., Benson, R. B. J., and Goswami, A. 2014. The latitudinal biodiversity gradient through deep time. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 29, 42-50.
- Matheny, J. G. 2007. Reducing the risk of human extinction. *Risk Analysis* 27, 1335-1344.
- Raup, D. M. 1990. Biological extinction in Earth history. *Science* 231, 1528-1533.
- Rodríguez, J. P., Rodríguez-Clark, K. M., Baillie, J. E. M., Ash, N., Benson, J., Boucher, T., Brown, C., Burgess, N. D., Collen, B., Jennings, M., Keith, D. A., and Nicholson, E. 2011. Establishing IUCN red list criteria for threatened ecosystems. *Conservation Biology* 25, 21-29.
- Rudwick, M. J. S. 1997. *Georges Cuvier, fossil bones, and geological catastrophes*. University of Chicago Press.
- Soulé, M. E. 1985. What is Conservation Biology? A new synthetic discipline addresses the dynamics and problems of perturbed species, communities, and ecosystems. *BioScience* 35, 727-734.
- Swanson, T. M. 1999. The economics of extinction revisited and revised: a generalised framework for the analysis of problems of endangered species and biodiversity losses. *Oxford Economic Papers* 46, 800-821.