Sources of total, non-milk extrinsic, and intrinsic and milk sugars in the diets of older adults living in sheltered accommodation
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The WHO recommends limiting non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES) consumption to ≤10 % energy to reduce the risk of unhealthy weight gain and dental caries, and to restrict frequency of intake to ≤4 times/d to reduce risk of dental caries. Older adults, especially those from low-income backgrounds, are at increased risk of dental caries, yet there is little information on sugars intake (frequency of intake and food sources) in this age group. The aim of this report is to present baseline data from a community-based dietary intervention study of older adults from socially deprived areas of North East England, on the quantity and sources of total sugars, NMES, and intrinsic and milk sugars, and on frequency of NMES intake. Dietary intake was assessed using two 3-d estimated food diaries, completed by 201 participants (170 female, thirty-one male) aged 65–85 years (mean 76.7 (sd 5.5) years) recruited from sheltered housing schemes. Total sugars represented 19.6 %, NMES 9.3 %, and intrinsic and milk sugars 10.3 % of daily energy intake. Eighty-one (40.3 %) exceeded the NMES intake recommendation. Mean frequency of NMES intake was 3.4 times/d. The fifty-three participants (26.4 %) who exceeded the frequency recommendation (≤4 times/d) obtained a significantly greater percentage of energy from NMES compared with those participants who met the recommendation. The food groups ‘biscuits and cakes’ (18.9 %), ‘soft drinks’ (13.1 %) and ‘table sugar’ (11.1 %) made the greatest contributions to intakes of NMES. Interventions to reduce NMES intake should focus on limiting quantity and frequency of intake of these food groups.

Non-milk extrinsic sugars: Sugars: Dietary intakes: Older adults

In the report Dietary Sugars and Human Disease11, sugars were classified into intrinsic and extrinsic sugars. Extrinsic sugars were defined as sugars not located within the cellular structure of food, and were further divided into milk sugars (lactose, naturally present in milk and dairy foods) and non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES). The term NMES, generally only adopted in the UK, is synonymous with the term ‘free sugars’: ‘all monosaccharides and disaccharides added to foods by the manufacturer, cook or consumer, plus sugars naturally present in honey, syrups and fruit juices’ used by WHO22. WHO recommend that to reduce the risk of dental caries (tooth decay), free sugars should not exceed 10 % of total daily energy intake33, and the UK dietary reference value for NMES is also ≤10 % of total daily energy intake, or ≤11 % of food energy44. Frequency of NMES intake is also associated with the development of caries55; it is recommended to limit consumption to ≤4 times/d to reduce risk56,60.

Loss of teeth, once considered an inevitable consequence of ageing, has slowed dramatically with increased preventive measures such as exposure to fluoride and improved oral hygiene77. Increased tooth retention in older adults has led to increased prevalence of dental caries, with those from socially deprived backgrounds most at risk88. Caries of the root surfaces is a particular problem for older adults: the root surface becomes exposed due to gingival recession as a result of episodes of periodontal disease, and risk factors such as a dry mouth, or wearing partial dentures, are more common in older people99. Intake of NMES is strongly related to root caries in older adults90,10.

There are few published data on NMES intakes of older adults worldwide, particularly dietary sources of NMES and frequency of intake. In the USA the 1994–1996 Continuing Survey of Food Intakes by Individuals (CSFII), ‘added sugars’ contributed 12.5 % of daily energy intake (46 g/d) for women aged ≥60 years and 11.6 % of daily energy intake (59 g/d) for
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The main food and drink contributors were sweetened grains (cookies, cakes), sugars, sweets (table sugar, honey, syrups, candies, jams, jellies, gelatine desserts), soft drinks (colas, ginger ale, root beer), and milk/milk products (chocolate milk, ice cream, sweetened yoghurt). Intake was highest in the lowest income group. In the free-living sample of the UK National Diet and Nutrition Survey of people aged 65 years and over (NDNS), NMES intake was 11·3 % of food energy (44 g/d) for women and 12·6 % of food energy (64 g/d) for men; 58 % of NDNS participants had an intake of ≥ 11 % food energy. The main food and drink sources of NMES were sugar, preserves, sweet spreads and confectionery, providing 42 % of total intake, of which table sugar provided 28 % and preserves and sweet spreads 10 %. Cereals and cereal products provided 29 %, mostly from buns, cakes and pastries (13 %). Soft drinks, including fruit juices, provided a further 13 %. However, these data are now a decade old.

It is necessary to have accurate and up-to-date information on the food and drink sources and frequency of intake of NMES in older adults to design effective interventions. The aims of this paper were therefore to report on sugars intake (amount and frequency) and the percentage contribution of different food groups to intake of sugars by older adults from socially deprived backgrounds.

Methods

Study design

This paper reports results from the baseline evaluation (August 2002 to February 2003) of a cluster-randomised community-based peer-led intervention with older adults living in sheltered housing schemes in relatively socially deprived areas of North East England. Ethical approval was obtained from the Local Research Ethics Committees in the study areas; the nature of the study was described verbally and written consent was obtained from all participants.

Participants

Townsend scores were computed from the postal codes of sheltered housing schemes as a measure of social and economic deprivation. A nutritionist visited schemes in the most deprived areas to recruit study participants aged 65–85 years who lived alone, with a partner or one other person. Exclusion criteria included: on a therapeutic diet; member of minority ethnic group; living with extended family; living in an institution; severe visual or hearing impairment; planning to move accommodation. Recruitment ceased when thirty-two schemes with nine participants per scheme had been recruited.

Diet assessment

Two 3-d estimated food diaries (2 weekdays and 1 weekend day), collected on the fourth day with an interview and separated by 2 weeks, were used to assess usual dietary intake. A photographic food atlas was used to ascertain portion sizes. Dietary data were coded using an electronic version of the most up to date UK McCance and Widdowson food composition tables, entered into a purpose-designed Microsoft Access database (Microsoft Access 2000; version 9) and nutrient intakes calculated.

Calculation of NMES and sources of NMES

NMES are chemically indistinguishable from other sugars, therefore NMES content of foods and drinks must be estimated from total sugar content. The method used in this study was that developed by the Human Nutrition Research Centre (HNRC) at Newcastle University. NMES are defined as ‘added sugars’ plus sugars from fruit in fruit juices and other soft drinks. Fruit sugars deriving from the fruit in jams and yoghurts, and from dried fruit, are classified as intrinsic, whilst all sugars in chocolate are classified as NMES. Foods were grouped to determine the relative importance of dietary sources of NMES, based on food groups developed by the HNRC.

Calculation of frequency of intake of NMES

Because participants recorded in their food diaries the time of consumption of all foods and drinks it was possible to identify separate eating occasions. All eating occasions on which NMES-containing foods or drinks were consumed were identified. Even if more than one NMES-containing food or drink item was consumed at an eating occasion, this was counted as one. The number of eating occasions on which NMES were consumed was summed for each day and an average of 6 d calculated.

Statistical analysis

The mean of 6-d dietary intake was calculated for each participant in Access and imported into SPSS® version 12.0.1 (Statistical Package for Social Science Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for analysis. Descriptive data are presented as means and standard deviations. Differences in NMES intake between groups meeting and not meeting the WHO recommendation for frequency of intake (<4 times/d) were compared using t tests.

Results

Of 304 residents who volunteered to participate, 201 (170 women, thirty-one men) completed both 3-d food diaries. Mean Townsend Score was 4·79 (SD 1·89), mean age was 76·7 (SD 5·5) years (women 76·8 (SD 5·7) years; men 76·0 (SD 4·2) years), and mean BMI was 29·2 (SD 5·3) kg/m² (women 29·6 (SD 5·4) kg/m²; men 27·4 (SD 4·1) kg/m²). Mean total energy intake was 5·96 (SD 1·44) MJ/d for women and 8·42 (SD 2·02) MJ/d for men. The mean physical activity level (PAL; ratio of energy intake to BMR) was 1·2, and 44·8 % of participants had a PAL <1·1.

Total sugars intake represented 19·6 (SD 6·6 %) of energy intake (76·8 (SD 34·5) g/d), NMES 9·3 (SD 4·9 %) of energy intake (37·1 (SD 23·7) g/d), and intrinsic and milk sugars 10·3 (SD 4·7 %) of energy intake (39·7 (SD 19·6) g/d). Eighty-one participants (40·3 %) exceeded the WHO recommendation of ≤ 10 % energy from NMES.

Mean daily frequency of NMES intake was 3·4 (SD 1·2), and ranged from 0·5 to 8·5, with fifty-three participants (26·4 %) exceeding the recommendation of ≤ 4 times/d. Participants who met the recommendation obtained 8·0 (SD 4·1) % energy from NMES compared with 12·9 (SD 5·0 %) for those with an intake >4 times/d (P < 0·0005).
Sources of sugars in older adults’ diets

The relative contribution of food groups to total sugars, intrinsic and milk sugars, and NMES is detailed in Table 1. The food groups that made the greatest contribution to NMES intake were biscuits and cakes, soft drinks (particularly fruit juices), table sugar, confectionery, and sweet puddings. Within the soft drinks group, fruit juice was the largest contributor to NMES. Other sources within this group were ginger ale as a mixer, tonic water and lemonade as an alternative to alcoholic drinks, fruit flavoured squash and fruit juice drinks. The group ‘other sources’ made a substantial contribution to total sugars and intrinsic and milk sugars, with the greatest contribution from vegetables and potatoes, white tea and coffee, cereals (e.g. breads, rice, pasta), and milky drinks (e.g. Ovaltine). The defined food groups accounted for all but 5·1 g of NMES, which mostly came from milky drinks, soup, alcohol, and vegetables and potatoes.

Discussion

In this sample of older adults from socially deprived backgrounds, NMES accounted for 9·3 % of energy intake and mean intake was 37 g/d, both less than the maximum recommended values of ≤ 10 % energy or 60 g/d(3,4). These values are somewhat lower than those reported for the NDNS of older adults(13). The NDNS and HNRC methods for calculating NMES produce only minor differences in estimated intake and methodological issues are unlikely to account for these differences(2). Although mean NMES intake was below the maximum recommended intake, 40·3 % of participants had an intake > 10 % energy, compared with the NDNS value of 58 %(13).

The food groups that made the greatest contribution to NMES intakes (biscuits and cakes (18·9 %), soft drinks (13·1 %) and table sugar (11·1 %)), were similar to findings from the NDNS(13) and the CSFII(12). This compares with English adolescents, for whom the greatest contribution came from soft drinks (38 %), confectionery (23 %) and biscuits and cakes (15 %)(19). NMES also made a greater contribution to energy intake (16·1 %)(19). The identification of the main sources of NMES in the diets of older adults should help health educators to provide more specific dietary advice.

Dental caries is associated with both NMES intake and with frequency of consumption(7). Further analysis of the NDNS showed that more frequent intake of foods and drinks high in sugars was associated with a higher prevalence of root caries, with a sharp increase at ≥ 9 times/d(10). The mean frequency of intake found in this study (3·4 times/d) meets the WHO and Eurodiet recommendation of ≤ 4 times/d(10). However, a quarter of participants exceeded this target, and they had significantly higher NMES intakes compared with those who met the frequency recommendation, illustrating how quantity and frequency are related(3,7). Advice to reduce the frequency of consumption of NMES is likely also to reduce quantity.

The relatively low proportion of men who participated in this study (15·4 %) is a limitation: the small number of other studies that include information on NMES intakes suggest that men obtain a higher percentage of energy from NMES than do women(13,20,21), although the main sources of NMES did not vary by gender in the NDNS(13) or CSFII(12). The average PAL of the group was low with a high percentage of participants with an energy intake:BMR < 1·1. The mean PAL was, however, comparable to that reported in the NDNS(13). The relatively low PAL reflects the high body weight and low energy intakes. Since the Schofield equation applies to all those over 60 years, it does not take into account decreasing lean mass with increasing age and so becomes less applicable as age increases. ‘Dieting’ and under-reporting by some cannot be ruled out and the latter may occur as a result of memory lapses, lack of interest or socially desirable reporting.

Table 1. Contribution of food groups to intake of total sugars, non-milk extrinsic sugars (NMES) and intrinsic and milk sugars (g/d) and as a percentage of intake

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Total sugars</th>
<th>NMES</th>
<th>Intrinsic and milk sugars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g/d</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>g/d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confectionery</td>
<td>4·5 ± 6·6</td>
<td>5·5 ± 7·1</td>
<td>3·9 ± 6·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweets and chocolates</td>
<td>3·3 ± 6·2</td>
<td>3·9 ± 6·8</td>
<td>3·2 ± 6·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ice cream</td>
<td>1·2 ± 2·1</td>
<td>1·5 ± 2·7</td>
<td>0·7 ± 1·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft drinks</td>
<td>6·0 ± 11·5</td>
<td>6·5 ± 9·6</td>
<td>6·0 ± 11·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft drinks</td>
<td>1·8 ± 4·3</td>
<td>2·3 ± 4·6</td>
<td>1·7 ± 4·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit juice</td>
<td>4·2 ± 10·3</td>
<td>4·2 ± 8·4</td>
<td>4·2 ± 10·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biscuits and cakes</td>
<td>7·0 ± 6·8</td>
<td>9·8 ± 9·3</td>
<td>6·0 ± 5·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table sugar</td>
<td>5·7 ± 11·4</td>
<td>11·9 ± 11·9</td>
<td>5·7 ± 11·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy, milk and yoghurt</td>
<td>8·6 ± 7·2</td>
<td>11·5 ± 9·2</td>
<td>1·3 ± 2·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet puddings</td>
<td>4·6 ± 5·8</td>
<td>6·4 ± 7·7</td>
<td>3·1 ± 4·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breakfast cereals</td>
<td>3·1 ± 4·4</td>
<td>4·0 ± 4·8</td>
<td>2·4 ± 3·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit</td>
<td>16·1 ± 15·9</td>
<td>19·3 ± 14·5</td>
<td>0·9 ± 2·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh fruit</td>
<td>12·9 ± 12·4</td>
<td>15·9 ± 13·3</td>
<td>0·1 ± 0·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dried fruit</td>
<td>1·6 ± 6·7</td>
<td>1·4 ± 4·4</td>
<td>0·0 ± 0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canned/stewed fruit</td>
<td>1·6 ± 3·3</td>
<td>2·0 ± 4·0</td>
<td>0·8 ± 2·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserves and syrups</td>
<td>3·5 ± 5·3</td>
<td>4·4 ± 6·8</td>
<td>2·7 ± 4·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sources</td>
<td>17·7 ± 7·1</td>
<td>26·2 ± 12·8</td>
<td>5·1 ± 5·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>76·8 ± 34·5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>37·1 ± 23·7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The reported intake of NMES may therefore be an underestimation. Despite having an on-site warden, residents living in sheltered accommodation did so relatively independently. Participants had their own kitchens and were responsible for providing their own meals, although some had assistance with shopping. As such, they could be considered as largely representative of the vast majority of older adults living independently\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^3\).

Greater retention of teeth presents its own challenges with the increased prevalence and susceptibility to dental caries. It is important, therefore, that older adults also appreciate the necessity of reducing both quantity and frequency of intake of NMES. The findings from this study suggest that they should be advised to reduce intake of biscuits and cakes, table sugar, confectionery, and sweet puddings, and to consume fruit juice with meals, rather than between, to reduce frequency of intake.
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