Massive cerebral air embolism after blunt chest trauma with full neurological recovery
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ABSTRACT

Cerebral air embolism (CAE) is a common, often lethal, complication in blunt and penetrating chest trauma. The factors affecting the outcome of CAE patients are poorly understood, and there is no generally accepted treatment algorithm. In this report, we present the case of a 28-year-old male motorcyclist with a massive CAE, including bilateral internal carotid artery air on computed tomographic examination following blunt chest trauma. With prehospital intubation, oxygen, transfusion, and open laparotomy but without any specific treatment regarding the CAE, a follow-up computed tomography (CT) scan approximately 6 hours later showed resolution of the cerebrovascular air. Recovery was unremarkable, and the patient was discharged neurologically intact after 22 days.
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dissolved nitrogen from the blood, speeding the dissolution of bubbles because of an increased pressure gradient of nitrogen between the bubbles and blood.\textsuperscript{9,11}

Finally, hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) is widely accepted as the ideal treatment option for systemic air embolism, although this indication is controversial.\textsuperscript{12,13} Hyperbaric oxygenation is assumed to decrease the physical size of the bubbles and may increase bubble denitrogenation by forming a higher gradient of dissolved oxygen between the blood and the air bubble.\textsuperscript{12–15} Logistic and transport limitations of HBOT render it impractical, and the restricted access to the patient in the hyperbaric chamber precludes its immediate use for a multitrauma patient.

CASE REPORT

History

A 28-year-old male, helmeted driver of a motorcycle was admitted to the emergency department (arrival time 0855) via helicopter after he was involved in a motor vehicle accident with a passenger car (accident time 0730). The prehospital physician noted that the patient was vomiting and had a Glasgow Coma Scale of E1 M2 V4 prior to intubation at the accident scene. On arrival to the hospital, the patient had reduced left-sided breath sounds, a tender abdomen, and anisocoria. His vital signs were heart rate 108/min, blood pressure 80/40 mm Hg, and pulse oximetry of 80% while being ventilated at a minute volume of 7 L/min, 12 breaths/min, a PEEP 5cmH\textsubscript{2}O, and a FiO\textsubscript{2} of 1.0. A laceration of the left eyebrow was noted, but there were no remarkable external neck injuries or puncture, or extremity injuries. He had received 2 L of Ringer’s lactate prior to arrival as well as vasopressors, and initial blood work showed Hb 12.7 g/dL, INR 1.14, BE –1 mmol/L, pH 7.27.

A chest radiograph at 0910 showed a total atelectasis of the left lung and right main bronchus intubation. Cerebral CT scan at 0915 showed a massive intracranial air embolism (Figure 1a [arrows]), as well as air in the brachiocephalic trunk and the internal carotid arteries on both sides. A small hemorrhage in the left thalamus and in the right frontobasal, as well as the postcentral region alongside small hematomas in the left thalamus, were retransfused with two additional units of packed red blood cells and six units of fresh frozen plasma. The postoperative CT scan (approximately 6 hours after admission, at 1530) showed complete resolution of the abnormal air in the cranium and thorax, whereas the extent of the intracerebral hemorrhage remained unchanged (see Figure 1b). No signs of cerebral ischemia were detected at any time.

HBOT was initially considered but, ultimately, not performed due to these CT scan results.

During the 10-day intensive care unit course, intracranial pressure was measured using an intracranial transducer, and mean cerebral perfusion pressure was maintained at 60–80 mm Hg. The target arterial pO\textsubscript{2} was 500–600 mm Hg (FiO\textsubscript{2} 0.9) with an arterial pCO\textsubscript{2} 35–40 mm Hg for the initial 24 hours.

Follow-up CT scan on day 1 after admission again showed intracerebral hemorrhages in the left thalamus and the right front frontal operculum, but again no cerebrovascular air and no cerebral ischemic areas, whereupon arterial PaO\textsubscript{2} was set >80 mm Hg.

A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the head on day 3 after admission showed no evidence of ischemic areas alongside small hematomas in the left thalamus, the right frontobasal, as well as the postcentral region and in the superior frontal gyrus. A small subarachnoid hemorrhage was seen on the tentorium.

On day 6, sedation was tapered, and the patient was extubated without complications. From days 6 to 11, the patient showed clinical signs of post-trauma delirium and retrograde amnesia with respect to the accident. On day 11, the patient was transferred to the general ward where he still showed slight cognitive deficits but no focal neurological signs. A follow-up MRI (day 13) and an electroencephalogram (EEG) (day 14) were unremarkable. On day 22, the patient was discharged home with completely normal neurological functions.

DISCUSSION

This case is notable for an excellent outcome compared to a mortality rate of 80% in patients with arterial air embolisms after blunt chest trauma and 20% of patients...
with severe neurological deficiencies after CAE.\(^5\) Notably, in this case, besides advanced trauma life support and standard intensive care therapy with a higher pO\(_2\) for one day, no specific treatment concerning the diagnosis CAE was applied.

Neurological outcome without neurological deficits implies that no ischemic areas developed in the brain, after blood flow was discontinued by gas. Therefore, one might speculate that the air embolism did not last long enough, and O\(_2\) content was sufficiently high. We assume that the initial CT scan was taken at just the right time to find the intravascular cerebral air. However, the source of this “momentary cerebral air embolism” remains unclear. In theory, there may be a high number of unreported cases of very short-term embolic situations that are not routinely diagnosed.

Is there an explanation for that outstanding neurological recovery besides concluding that there was only minimal damage to the bronchial system without ongoing air leakage into the circulation? We think there could have been some more advantages that the patient had, mainly by coincidence but which are in accordance with the pathophysiological considerations and some of the treatment suggestions. First, by accident, only the less injured right lung was ventilated with high pressure because of right-sided intubation, which is one of the recommended treatment options.\(^9\)

Second, by chance, the injured left lung was not ventilated before a chest tube was applied. Thereby a prolonged hyperbaric situation in the injured lung was prevented. The first two aspects seem important taking into account further case reports presenting fatal pathology that initially began with the start of positive pressure ventilation.\(^8\)

Third, also by chance, when ventilation of the left lung started by repositioning the endotracheal tube

Figure 1. Computed tomography: Transverse and coronal slice of the head. (a) Immediately after admission (arrows on intravascular air). (b) Approximately 6 hours after admission.
proximal to the carina, pure oxygen ventilation was already established. Because the patient was ventilated with pure oxygen only, the body had the best chances to reabsorb the gas as fast as possible. This is in line with investigations by Annane et al. who showed that the rate of air removal in cerebral arteries of dogs was much faster when normobaric pure oxygen (FiO₂ 1.0) was ventilated compared to ambient air (FiO₂ 0.21). In conclusion, the imaging and detection of this embolism was only possible due to improvements of radiological diagnostics, which are faster and better than before. Due to this consideration, it could occur that cases similar to the described one will be reported more often. In consequence, it needs more investigations to recheck existing outcome results of CAE in chest trauma, which were developed mainly out of autopsy data from the eighties.

Why should an emergency physician be aware of this?

In our opinion, considering the existing data and the described case, we conclude some points that should be tried in treating patients with chest trauma preclinically. First, it is crucial to think of the possibility of air embolism already at the scene of the accident/truma. Furthermore, the indication for inserting a chest tube in chest trauma should be handled quite broadly. Besides ventilation with pure oxygen from the beginning, passing the endotracheal tube past the carina into the right main bronchus in case of isolated left-sided chest traumas may be an option in selected cases but cannot be given as a broad recommendation.¹⁶
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