Massive cerebral air embolism after blunt chest trauma with full neurological recovery
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INTRODUCTION
First described by Morgagni in 1769, systemic air embolism is a frequently reported event following blunt and penetrating chest trauma, as well as iatrogenic interventions.1,2 It is estimated that systemic air embolism occurs in 4%–14% of all cases of chest trauma,3,4 with mortality rates of 80% in blunt and 48% in penetrating chest trauma, making early detection crucial.5

Hemoptysis in blunt chest trauma strongly suggests the existence of a communication channel between the airways and the pulmonary blood vessels, which can only be proven in 22% of cases with a confirmed air embolism.5

Continuous echocardiography can detect intravascular air by identifying bubbles in the cardiac cavities.6

From a pathophysiological view, air enters the pulmonary venous system when the intravascular pressure is lower than the bronchial/alveolar air pressure and is the basis for avoiding high pressure ventilation settings in these patients.4,7,8 Systemic air embolism triggers neurological dysfunction. It has been suggested that 2 mL of air in the cerebral arteries can be fatal.4

Several therapeutic options based on physiological principles have been published. Unilateral lung ventilation when only one hemithorax is affected can be accomplished by purposeful mainstem bronchus intubation, by using a double lumen tube, by blocking the affected bronchus with an endobronchial blocker, or by hilar clamping during emergency thoracotomy.9

In order to reduce ventilation pressure, high-frequency jet ventilation has been used in cases where cerebral air embolism (CAE) was found or suspected.10 A high fraction of inhaled oxygen (FiO₂) near 1.0 can increase the arterial oxygen content but will also remove arterial air embolism, blunt chest trauma, bronchial fistula, treatment options, neurological recovery
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dissolved nitrogen from the blood, speeding the dissolu-
tion of bubbles because of an increased pressure
gradient of nitrogen between the bubbles and blood.9,11

Finally, hyperbaric oxygen therapy (HBOT) is widely
accepted as the ideal treatment option for systemic air
embolism, although this indication is controversial.12,13
Hyperbaric oxygenation is assumed to decrease the
physical size of the bubbles and may increase bubble
denitrogenation by forming a higher gradient of
dissolved oxygen between the blood and the air bub-
ble.12–15 Logistic and transport limitations of HBOT
render it impractical, and the restricted access to the
patient in the hyperbaric chamber precludes its
immediate use for a multitrauma patient.

**CASE REPORT**

**History**

A 28-year-old male, helmeted driver of a motorcycle
was admitted to the emergency department (arrival time
0855) via helicopter after he was involved in a motor
vehicle accident with a passenger car (accident time
0730). The prehospital physician noted that the patient
was vomiting and had a Glasgow Coma Scale of E1 M2
V4 prior to intubation at the accident scene. On arrival
to the hospital, the patient had reduced left-sided
breath sounds, a tender abdomen, and anisocoria. His
vital signs were heart rate 108/min, blood pressure 80/
40 mm Hg, and pulse oximetry of 80% while being
ventilated at a minute volume of 7 L/min, 12 breaths/
min, a PEEP 5cmH₂O, and a FiO₂ of 1.0. A laceration of
the left eyebrow was noted, but there were no remarkable
immediate use for a multitrauma patient.

A chest radiograph at 0910 showed a total atelectasis
of the left lung and right main bronchus intubation.
Cerebral CT scan at 0915 showed a massive intracranial
air embolism (Figure 1a [arrows]), as well as air in the
brachiocephalic trunk and the internal carotid arteries
on both sides. A small hemorrhage in the left thalamus
and in the right frontal operculum were also detected.
A chest CT identified bilateral (left > right) lung contu-
sion, aspiration, splenic rupture, liver laceration, and
mesenteric bleeding.

A left-sided chest tube had been placed on arrival for
absent breath sounds on the left. The right

mainstem bronchus was identified radiographically, the
endotracheal tube was withdrawn at 0914, and a central
venous catheter was inserted at 0920. The patient was
taken to the operating room for emergency laparotomy,
with a suture of the liver capsule and splenectomy at
1010. During surgery, 600 mL of autologous blood
were retransfused with two additional units of packed
red blood cells and six units of fresh frozen plasma. The
postoperative CT scan (approximately 6 hours after
admission, at 1530) showed complete resolution of the
abnormal air in the cranium and thorax, whereas the
extent of the intracerebral hemorrhage remained
unchanged (see Figure 1b). No signs of cerebral
ischemia were detected at any time.

HBOT was initially considered but, ultimately, not
performed due to these CT scan results.

During the 10-day intensive care unit course, intra-
cranial pressure was measured using an intracranial
transducer, and mean cerebral perfusion pressure was
maintained at 60–80 mm Hg. The target arterial pO₂
was 500-600 mm Hg (FiO₂ 0.9) with an arterial pCO₂
35–40 mm Hg for the initial 24 hours.

Follow-up CT scan on day 1 after admission again
showed intracerebral hemorrhages in the left thalamus
and the right frontoparietal, but again no cere-
brovascular air and no cerebral ischemic areas, where-
upon arterial PaO₂ was set >80 mm Hg.

A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the head on
day 3 after admission showed no evidence of ischemic
areas alongside small hematomas in the left thalamus,
the right frontobasal, as well as the postcentral region
and in the superior frontal gyrus. A small subarachnoid
hemorrhage was seen on the tentorium.

On day 6, sedation was tapered, and the patient was
extubated without complications. From days 6 to 11,
the patient showed clinical signs of post-trauma delirium
and retrograde amnesia with respect to the accident.
On day 11, the patient was transferred to the general
ward where he still showed slight cognitive deficits but
no focal neurological signs. A follow-up MRI (day 13)
and an electroencephalogram (EEG) (day 14) were
unremarkable. On day 22, the patient was discharged
home with completely normal neurological functions.

**DISCUSSION**

This case is notable for an excellent outcome compared
to a mortality rate of 80% in patients with arterial air
embolisms after blunt chest trauma and 20% of patients
with severe neurological deficiencies after CAE.\textsuperscript{5} Notably, in this case, besides advanced trauma life support and standard intensive care therapy with a higher pO\textsubscript{2} for one day, no specific treatment concerning the diagnosis CAE was applied.

Neurological outcome without neurological deficits implies that no ischemic areas developed in the brain, after blood flow was discontinued by gas. Therefore, one might speculate that the air embolism did not last long enough, and O\textsubscript{2} content was sufficiently high. We assume that the initial CT scan was taken at just the right time to find the intravascular cerebral air. However, the source of this “momentary cerebral air embolism” remains unclear. In theory, there may be a high number of unreported cases of very short-term embolic situations that are not routinely diagnosed.

Is there an explanation for that outstanding neurological recovery besides concluding that there was only minimal damage to the bronchial system without ongoing air leakage into the circulation? We think there could have been some more advantages that the patient had, mainly by coincidence but which are in accordance with the pathophysiological considerations and some of the treatment suggestions. First, by accident, only the less injured right lung was ventilated with high pressure because of right-sided intubation, which is one of the recommended treatment options.\textsuperscript{9}

Second, by chance, the injured left lung was not ventilated before a chest tube was applied. Thereby a prolonged hyperbaric situation in the injured lung was prevented. The first two aspects seem important taking into account further case reports presenting fatal pathology that initially began with the start of positive pressure ventilation.\textsuperscript{8}

Third, also by chance, when ventilation of the left lung started by repositioning the endotracheal tube

Figure 1. Computed tomography: Transverse and coronal slice of the head. (a) Immediately after admission (arrows on intravascular air). (b) Approximately 6 hours after admission.
proximal to the carina, pure oxygen ventilation was already established. Because the patient was ventilated with pure oxygen only, the body had the best chances to reabsorb the gas as fast as possible. This is in line with investigations by Annane et al. who showed that the rate of air removal in cerebral arteries of dogs was much faster when normobaric pure oxygen (FiO₂ 1.0) was ventilated compared to ambient air (FiO₂ 0.21). In conclusion, the imaging and detection of this embolism was only possible due to improvements of radiological diagnostics, which are faster and better than before. Due to this consideration, it could occur that cases similar to the described one will be reported more often. In consequence, it needs more investigations to recheck existing outcome results of CAE in chest trauma, which were developed mainly out of autopsy data from the eighties.

**Why should an emergency physician be aware of this?**

In our opinion, considering the existing data and the described case, we conclude some points that should be tried in treating patients with chest trauma preclinically. First, it is crucial to think of the possibility of air embolism already at the scene of the accident/truma. Furthermore, the indication for inserting a chest tube in chest trauma should be handled quite broadly. Besides ventilation with pure oxygen from the beginning, passing the endotracheal tube past the carina into the right main bronchus in case of isolated left-sided chest traumas may be an option in selected cases but cannot be given as a broad recommendation.16
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