Conclusions: Findings suggest that peer-led interventions concerning wine and other drinking products, narrated in their cultural dimension (e.g. their story, identity, local traditions, practices and the tacit knowledge implied in their production), may encourage a limited and competent consumption among young people.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPP0404

The role of temperamental self-regulation in predicting relapse in Alcohol Use Disorder

E. Santens¹*, G. Dom², E. Dierckx³ and L. Claes⁴

¹Addiction Psychiatry, Alexianen Zorggroep Tienen, Tienen; ²Psychiatry, University of Antwerp, Antwerp; ³Psychology, University of Brussels, Brussels and ⁴Psychology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.719

Introduction: Alcohol Use Disorders (AUDs) are highly prevalent psychiatric disorders with often a poor treatment outcome in terms of high dropout rates and relapses. A vulnerability to disinhibition or a lack of self-regulation/low Effortful Control (EC) seems to be a core risk factor associated with both the initiation and continuation of AUDs. EC is the regulative dimension of temperament that involves attentional control, inhibitory control and activation control, and reflects self-regulation abilities that develop later in life parallel with the maturation of the prefrontal cortex.

Objectives: In this study we want to investigate whether EC, operationalised in terms of self-report and in terms of behavioral measures, can predict relapse. When a low EC indeed turns out to be a significant contributor to relapse, treatment interventions aiming at strengthening EC could result in better treatment outcomes and less relapse in AUD patients.

Methods: The sample consisted of 75 adult patients with AUD (68.9% males, mean age 47,4y) admitted at a specialized, inpatient treatment unit for addiction. To assess the regulative temperament dimension, we used the Effortful Control Scale (ECS) from the Adult Temperament Questionnaire Short-Form), a self-report questionnaire as well as five behavioral/neuropsychological tasks using the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery: Cambridge Gambling Task (CGT), Stop-Signal Task (SST), Intra-Extradimensional Set Shift (IED), Spatial Working Memory (SWM) and Spatial Span (SSP).

Results: We performed binary logistic regression analyses with EC/CANTAB measures as predictors and relapse/no relapse (during treatment and after 3 months follow up) as dependent variable. According to these analyses, the self-report measure of EC nor the behavioral tasks CGT, SST, SWM and SSP (CANTAB) were able to significantly predict relapse neither during treatment nor after 3 months follow-up. Only the IED (outcome measure stages completed) was significant in predicting relapse (p<0.05) during follow-up. **Conclusions:** In our study we investigated whether self-regulation as measured by self-report questionnaires and behavioral tasks could predict relapse during treatment and after 3 months follow-up in a sample of inpatients with AUD. In contrast to some findings in literature, in our sample most of the used measures were not able to predict relapse. One hypothesis for these findings is that our sample of inpatients at a specialized addiction treatment unit is

too homogeneous, all presenting lower levels of self-regulation. Future research should thus focus on larger samples and less homogeneous population. Only the IEC (outcome measure stages completed) was able to predict relapse during follow-up.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPP0405

Cortical folding complexity and attentional impulsivity in chronic cocaine users: an MRI study

N. Trevisan^{1,2}, F. Di Camillo^{1,*}, G. Cattarinussi^{1,3}, N. Ghiotto¹, M. Sala⁴ and F. Sambataro^{1,2}

¹Department of Neuroscience (DNS); ²Padova Neuroscience Center, University of Padova, Padua, Italy; ³Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychitry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom and ⁴Department of pathophysiology and Transplantation, University of Milan, Milan, Italy *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2023.720

Introduction: Cocaine use is a worldwide health problem with psychiatric, somatic, and socioeconomic complications, being the second most widely used illicit drug in the world. Despite several structural neuroimaging studies, the alterations in cortical morphology associated with cocaine use and addiction are still poorly understood. Interestingly, findings from human studies and animal models examined how impulsivity is a risk factor for the emergence of substance use disorders.

Objectives: In this study we aimed at investigating the complexity of cortical folding (CCF) in patients with cocaine addiction using fractal dimension (FD). Since the frontal, parietal, temporal and insular cortices have been shown to play an important role in decision making and impulsivity, we hypothesized that CCF in the brain of patients would be altered in these regions. Furthermore, we predicted the association between CCF changes and 1) the duration of cocaine use for its widespread neurotoxic effects and 2) impulsivity characteristics in those regions implicated in the predisposition to addiction. **Methods:** We compared the CCF between patients with cocaine addiction (n=52) and controls (n=36) and correlated it with characteristics of addiction and impulsivity. Demographic data, history, and current substance use were collected. Furthermore, the Barratt Impulsivity Scale (BIS-11) was administered. **Results:**

| | Patients with cocaine addiction (N=52) | Healthy controls (N=36) | <u>χ</u> 2 or t | p |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Age (M \pm SD, years) | $\textbf{31.3} \pm \textbf{6.51}$ | $\textbf{30.1} \pm \textbf{7.62}$ | 0.795 | 0.429 |
| Education (M±SD, years) | $\textbf{10.9} \pm \textbf{2.9}$ | 13.2 ± 3.53 | -3.276 | 0.002 |
| Total intracranial volume (M±SD, μl) | 1442.7 ± 104.6 | 1460.4 ± 98.31 | -0.796 | 0.428 |
| BIS Total score (M \pm SD) | $\textbf{61.1} \pm \textbf{14.6}$ | $\textbf{40.2} \pm \textbf{10.4}$ | 6.52 | <0.001 |
| BIS Attentive score (M \pm SD) | $\textbf{17.1} \pm \textbf{5.23}$ | $\textbf{11.6} \pm \textbf{5.23}$ | 4.79 | <0.001 |
| BIS Motor score (M±SD) | $\textbf{18.4} \pm \textbf{7.79}$ | 13.3 ± 5.72 | 2.97 | 0.004 |
| BIS NonPlanning score (M±SD) | $\textbf{25.6} \pm \textbf{6.82}$ | $\textbf{15.3} \pm \textbf{5.29}$ | 6.70 | <0.001 |
| Duration of cocaine use (M±SD, years) | 10.8 ± 6.4 | | | |
| Age of onset of cocaine use (M±SD, years) | $\textbf{20.7} \pm \textbf{4.99}$ | | | |