Asparagus officinalis extract controls blood glucose by improving insulin secretion and β-cell function in streptozotocin-induced type 2 diabetic rats
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Abstract

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the anti-diabetic mechanism of Asparagus officinalis, a dietary agent used for the management of diabetes. Streptozotocin (90 mg/kg) was injected in 2-d-old Wistar rat pups to induce non-obese type 2 diabetes. After confirmation of diabetes on the 13th week, diabetic rats were treated with a methanolic extract of A. officinalis seeds (250 and 500 mg/kg per d) or glibenclamide for 28 d. After the treatment, fasting blood glucose, serum insulin and total antioxidant status were measured. The pancreas was examined by haematoxylin–eosin staining and immunostained β- and α-cells were observed using a fluorescence microscope. Treatment of the diabetic rats with the A. officinalis extract at doses of 250 and 500 mg/kg suppressed the elevated blood glucose in a dose- and time-dependent manner. The 500 mg/kg, but not 250 mg/kg, dose significantly improved serum insulin levels in the diabetic rats. The insulin:glucose ratio was significantly increased at both doses in the A. officinalis-treated rats. Both qualitative and quantitative improvements in β-cell function were found in the islets of the A. officinalis-treated rats. The extract showed potent antioxidant activity in an in vitro assay and also improved the total antioxidant status in vivo. In most cases, the efficacy of A. officinalis (500 mg/kg) was very similar to a standard anti-diabetic drug, glibenclamide. Thus, the present study suggests that A. officinalis extract exerts anti-diabetic effects by improving insulin secretion and β-cell function, as well as the antioxidant status.
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Diet (nutrition) plays an important role in the management of diabetes, and for preventing and/or delaying the development of type 2 diabetes. Dietary approaches can be used alone (in the case of the onset of type 2 diabetes and in mild hyperglycaemia) or in combination with oral hypoglycaemic agents or insulin. Often, the success or failure of the management of diabetes depends on the knowledge of the diabetic patient regarding the dietary principles related to diabetes. Although there have been major advances in the control of diabetes through oral hypoglycaemic agents and insulin, the management of diabetes by dietary agents such as vegetables, fruits and spices is more appropriate and economical in developing countries such as Pakistan. There is an inverse association between vegetable consumption and chronic disease reduction, such as cancer, CVD and diabetes(1–5).

Asparagus officinalis L. is a dietary agent native to most European, African and Asian countries. Its medicinal usage has been reported in the British and Indian Pharmacopoeias and in traditional systems of medicine such as Ayurveda, Unani and Siddha. Asparagus has been used as a vegetable and medicine in many countries, owing to its delicate flavour and diuretic properties. Asparagus is frequently used in salads, vegetable dishes and soups. Green asparagus is a good source of vitamin C and its stalks are rich in antioxidants. Among the vegetables commonly consumed in the USA and Europe, asparagus has been reported to be a rich source of antioxidants, in terms of both quality and quantity(4,5). In a comparative study among thirty-four fruits and vegetables(5), asparagus has been placed 7th in the rank of radical scavengers and 13th in ferric-reducing power.

The chemical constituents of A. officinalis have been studied to some extent. The compounds so far reported include saponins(6,7), saccharides(8–10), acetylenic compounds(11) and sulphur-containing compounds(12–15). Various reports have suggested that polysaccharides derived from this plant exhibit antioxidant properties(16–18). To our knowledge, there are no studies reporting on any identified compound isolated from A. officinalis as an anti-diabetic.

Abbreviations: AO250, diabetic rats treated with 250 mg/kg Asparagus officinalis extract; AO500, diabetic rats treated with 500 mg/kg Asparagus officinalis extract; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Dib, untreated diabetic rats; DPPH, 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl; GB, glibenclamide; H&E, haematoxylin and eosin; n-STZ, neonatal streptozotocin-induced; STZ, streptozotocin; TAS, total antioxidant status.
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Studies on the extracts of *A. officinalis* have revealed a wide range of biological activities including diabetes (19). These activities include anti-tumour (20), antifungal (21), diuretic (22) and immunostimulatory effects (23,24). Asparagus has also been shown to exert potent antioxidant properties (25). The aqueous extract of *A. officinalis* was reported for the first time for treating diabetes in a survey conducted in the West Bank region of Israel (19). Recently, Zhao et al. (27) reported that the aqueous extract of the by-products of *A. officinalis* exerts anti-diabetic activity in streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetic rats. In their study, only the blood glucose-lowering effect coupled with hypotriacylglycerolaemic activity has been shown. However, the precise anti-diabetic mechanism has not yet been elucidated. Most importantly, there has been no morphological study observing the pancreatic islets and β-cells in diabetic rats treated with *A. officinalis*.

STZ-induced diabetes has been widely used to study the pathophysiology of diabetes. The induction of STZ during adulthood produces severe diabetes that often needs insulin administration to ensure survival for longer periods. In the case of neonatal STZ-induced (n-STZ) rats, the development of hyperglycaemia, abnormal glucose tolerance and mild hypoinsulinaemia is an insidious process that is almost asymptomatic at the beginning and becomes manifested in the adult stage, the features that resemble the natural history of diabetes in humans (28,29). The n-STZ diabetic rats have similar insulin secretory characteristics to those found in non-obese type 2 diabetic subjects (30,31). Thus, the n-STZ model is considered to be one of the suitable experimental animal models for non-obese type 2 diabetes for testing potential anti-diabetic agents.

The present study was conducted to investigate the effect of *A. officinalis* on insulin secretion and pancreatic β-cell function in n-STZ non-obese type 2 diabetic rats by measuring blood glucose, serum insulin and total antioxidant status (TAS). In addition, morphological examination of the pancreas was also performed to further substantiate the beneficial effect of *A. officinalis* on pancreatic β-cell function. The immunohistochemical method for the triple staining of insulin, glucagon and the nuclei was combined with morphometrical analysis. The efficacy of *A. officinalis* was compared with a standard anti-diabetic drug, glibenclamide.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

STZ and glibenclamide were obtained from Sigma; Insulin ELISA kit from Crystal Chem, Inc.; TAS kit from Randox. Insulin (guinea-pig anti-insulin) antibody was from Abcam and glucagon (mouse anti-glucagon, Clone K79bB10) from Sigma. The fluorescent-labelled secondary antibodies, Texas Red-donkey anti-guinea-pig IgG and Cy2-donkey anti-mouse IgG were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch. 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was obtained from Wako Pure Chemical.

**Preparation of the extract**

Seeds of *A. officinalis* (1 kg) were bought from a local market in Karachi, Pakistan. The plant material belongs to Drosh City, Chitral District, Khyber-Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. The seeds were authenticated by a taxonomist at the University of Karachi, and a voucher specimen (no. 73 960) was deposited at the Karachi University Herbarium, Pakistan. The powdered seeds were soaked twice in 3 litres of 80% aqueous methanol for 72 h at room temperature. The pooled extracts were filtered, concentrated and evaporated to dryness under vacuum by using a rotary evaporator. Finally, the crude extract was freeze-dried to obtain the experimental extract (76.5 g). The extract was dissolved in distilled water before its administration to the diabetic rats. The extract yield was 7.6%.

**In vitro studies**

1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical-scavenging activity assay. The radical-scavenging property of the extract was evaluated by assessing the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)-scavenging activity according to Fenglin et al. (32). Propyl gallate was used as a positive control.

α-Glucosidase inhibitory assay. An in vitro assay for α-glucosidase inhibitory activity was performed according to Atta-ur-Rahman et al. (33). Acarbose was used as a positive control.

**In vivo studies**

**Animals.** Wistar rats of both sexes obtained from the animal house of the International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi, Pakistan were used throughout the study. The animals were kept in a temperature- and humidity-controlled environment (25 ± 2°C; 50–55% humidity, respectively) with a 12 h light–12 h dark cycle. The rats were maintained in clean cages with *ad libitum* access to water and food. The experimental design of the present study was conducted according to the guidelines for care and management of laboratory animals, and the animal experimental protocol was approved by the institutional animal ethical committee.

**Induction of type 2 diabetes.** A freshly prepared solution of STZ (90 mg/kg) in 10 ml citrate buffer (0.1 M, pH 4.5) was intraperitoneally injected to 2- to 3-d-old Wistar rat pups to obtain type 2 diabetic rat models (34). After 12 weeks of STZ induction, an oral glucose tolerance test at a dose of 3 g/kg glucose was performed and blood glucose was measured at 0, 30, 60 and 120 min, respectively. The rats with fasting blood glucose levels of 7.6–10.9 mmol/l at 0 min and the highest rise to 12.5–22.1 mmol/l at 30 min were included in the study. Blood was withdrawn from the tail tip every week to measure blood glucose levels using a glucometer (Accu-Chek® Go; Roche Diagnostics).

**Chronic extract treatment.** The experimental rats were divided into five groups of six animals each: age-matched non-diabetic control that did not receive STZ (group I); diabetic rats without any treatment (Db, group II); diabetic rats treated with *A. officinalis* at a dose of 250 mg/kg (Db + AO250, group III); diabetic rats treated with *A. officinalis* at a dose of 500 mg/kg (Db + AO500, group IV); diabetic rats treated with *A. officinalis* at a dose of 1000 mg/kg (Db + AO1000, group V).
500 mg/kg (Db + AO500, group IV); diabetic rats treated with 5 mg glibenclamide/kg (Db + GB, group V). The extract and GB were administered orally once daily via syringe for 28 d to the diabetic rats. The control rats were given an equivalent volume of water. Animal weights were measured every week throughout the experiment and the dose was adjusted accordingly.

Collection of blood samples and estimation of biochemical parameters. At 13 weeks after the administration of STZ, blood samples from overnight fasted rats of each group were collected from the tail vein and the day was designated as day 1 (start day of the treatment). After 28 d of the extract treatment, i.e. on day 29, rats were anaesthetised (sodium thiopental, 60 mg/kg) and killed, and their venous blood was collected. The blood samples were centrifuged, and serum was separated within 30 min, divided into aliquots and kept at −80 °C for biochemical assay. Thereafter, the pancreas was carefully and rapidly excised, trimmed of fat and adipose tissue, and fixed in 10 % neutral buffered formalin overnight. Then, all the formalin-fixed tissues were dehydrated in graded 2-propanol series and cleared with xylene. This was immediately followed by paraffin embedding using an embedding system. The tissues were cut into 5 μm serial sections with a microtome for haematoxylin–eosin (H&E) and immunohistochemical staining, and mounted on slides coated with gelatin.

Fasting serum glucose was measured by the glucose oxidase method (%CV 5-43%) and fasting serum insulin was measured using the Ultra Sensitive Rat Insulin ELISA kit (%CV 3-95%) on days 1 and 29, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. TAS was measured using the ABTS substrate (w% 3.95 %) on days 1 and 29, according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Randox). Serum creatinine, alanine aminotransferase and liver, kidney, gastrointestinal tract, spleen and heart. Serum creatinine, alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase were measured by standard techniques using the Reflotron Plus Dry Chemistry Analyzer (Roche Diagnostics).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 12.0 statistical package for Windows (SPSS, Inc.). Data are expressed as means with their standard errors. The significance of the differences among the mean values was calculated using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s and Bonferroni post hoc tests, respectively. To compare data within the groups, a paired t test (two-tailed) was performed. Morphometric data are expressed as medians with their ranges and compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

In vitro antioxidant and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities of Asparagus officinalis

A. officinalis was evaluated for its radical-scavenging activity using DPPH. A. officinalis at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml exhibited 86.8 % radical-scavenging activity, as shown by a
significant decrease in the absorbance of DPPH radicals. These results suggest that *A. officinalis* has potent antioxidant activity, as the positive control propyl gallate exhibited 91.4% radical-scavenging activity. *A. officinalis* exhibited only 32% inhibition of α-glucosidase at a concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. This inhibitory result suggests that this extract has a very little effect on delaying glucose absorption.

**Effect of the 28 d treatment of Asparagus officinalis extract on fasting blood glucose**

In the type 2 diabetic rats, fasting blood glucose was increased moderately after 3 months of STZ induction compared with the non-diabetic rats (8.28 (SEM 0.12) mmol/l v. 4.50 (SEM 0.23) mmol/l, *P*<0.001; Fig. 1). These fasting blood glucose levels did not alter significantly during the experimental periods (1–29 d). After the *A. officinalis* extract treatment, there was a significant decrease in fasting blood glucose in a dose- and time-dependent manner. At the 250 mg/kg dose, the blood glucose levels were significantly decreased compared with the untreated diabetic rats with respect to the values of day 15 (7.64 (SEM 0.11) mmol/l v. 8.39 (SEM 0.20) mmol/l, *P*<0.001) and day 29 (6.77 (SEM 0.17) mmol/l, *P*<0.001). The 500 mg/kg dose decreased the blood glucose levels more efficiently compared with the 250 mg/kg dose. This dose (500 mg/kg) significantly decreased the blood glucose levels compared with the untreated diabetic rats with respect to the values of day 15 (6.54 (SEM 0.18) mmol/l v. 8.39 (SEM 0.20) mmol/l, *P*<0.001) and day 29 (5.44 (SEM 0.13) mmol/l v. 8.51 (SEM 0.23) mmol/l, *P*<0.001).

In *A. officinalis*-treated rats, comparison of the blood glucose values with their baseline values (day 1) showed that both the doses of *A. officinalis* extract significantly decreased the fasting blood glucose levels in a time-dependent manner.

![Fig. 1. Effect of Asparagus officinalis extract on fasting blood glucose (mmol/l) in type 2 diabetic rats. Values are means, with standard errors represented by vertical bars (n=6). Mean values were significantly different from those of the non-diabetic control rats: †*P*<0.01, ‡*P*<0.001 (one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test). Mean values were significantly different from those of the untreated diabetic rats: ††*P*<0.01, ‡‡*P*<0.001 (one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test). Con, age-matched non-diabetic control; Db, untreated diabetic rats; AO250, diabetic rats treated with 250 mg/kg *A. officinalis* extract; AO500, diabetic rats treated with 500 mg/kg *A. officinalis* extract; GB, glibenclamide.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms)
insulin/mmol glucose was available in the control rats; however, only 5.9 (SEM 0.39) pmol insulin/mmol glucose was available in the diabetic rats (Fig. 3). After the 28d treatment of A. officinalis extract, interestingly, there was a 1.7- and 2.6-fold increase in insulin:glucose ratio in the AO 250- and AO 500-treated diabetic rats compared with the untreated diabetic rats (Db, 5.40 (SEM 0.33) pmol/mmol; Db + AO 250, 9.15 (SEM 0.40) pmol/mmol; Db + AO 500, 14.15 (SEM 0.73) pmol/mmol). GB also increased the insulin:glucose ratio (14.72 (SEM 0.77) pmol/mmol) comparable to the value of AO 500.

Morphology of rat pancreas

The results of typical H&E staining obtained upon histological examination are shown in Fig. 4. In the control rats (Fig. 4(a)), islets of different sizes (small, medium and large) and shapes were found in all the pancreatic sections throughout the study period. On the contrary, diabetic pancreatic sections showed a reduction in pancreatic islet area and number (Fig. 4(b)). In the 250 and 500 mg/kg A. officinalis-treated diabetic groups, the pancreatic area and number was more than that observed...
in the untreated diabetic group (Fig. 4(c) and (d), respectively). The GB-treated group also showed improved islet size (Fig. 4(e)) similar to the AO500-treated group.

**Immunohistochemical studies on the pancreas**

Pancreatic islets stained for insulin, glucagon and DAPI fluorescence in the experimental rats are shown in Fig. 5. Insulin-positive cells were found abundantly in the islets of the control rats, and were located mostly in the centre of the islet (Fig. 5(a)). Different sizes of the total β-cell area were seen in the pancreas of the control rats. The ratio of the β- and α-cells was found to be 4:1. In the untreated diabetic rats, the insulin-positive cells were also found in the centre of the islet; however, the total number and the area of the β-cells were reduced significantly (Fig. 5(b)). In the diabetic rats, the large islets were absent and only a few β-cells containing the islets were visible. In contrast, when the diabetic rats were treated with *A. officinalis* extract for 28 d, the average size of the total β-cell area and β-cell number was increased in both AO250- and AO500-treated groups (Fig. 5(c) and (d), respectively). The GB-treated diabetic group also showed an increased total β-cell area and number of the β-cells (Fig. 5(e)) in the islets.

The glucagon-positive cells were found around the periphery of the insulin-positive cells in the control islets (Fig. 5(a)). In the diabetic rats, the distribution pattern of the glucagon-positive cells was similar to that of the control group (Fig. 5(b)); however, in some cases, the glucagon-stained cells were also seen scattered within the centre of the islets (data not shown). Interestingly, the number of the α-cells relatively increased in the diabetic rats. The *A. officinalis* extract had no effect on the distribution of the glucagon-positive cells in the diabetic rats (Fig. 5(c) and (d)). GB also had no effect on the distribution of the glucagon-positive cells in the diabetic rats (Fig. 5(e)).

A representation of the nuclei staining of the non-diabetic control (Fig. 5(a)), diabetic control (Fig. 5(b)), *A. officinalis* 250 and 500 mg/kg (Fig. 5(c) and (d), respectively), and GB-treated rats (Fig. 5(e)) is also shown for comparison.

**Morphometric studies on the pancreas**

In the control rats, the total β-cell area/islet, expressed as medians, was 18 420 (range 5375–37 543) μm², whereas in the diabetic rats, it was decreased significantly (6099 (range 3165–15 391) μm², P<0.001; Table 1). The β-cell area was 8918 (range 3123–15 068) and 10 205 (range 3065–17 575) μm² in

![Fig. 4.](image-url) **Fig. 4.** (a–e) Light microscopic study of the pancreatic islets (10 × ) in the different experimental groups: (a) non-diabetic control; (b) untreated diabetic; (c) *Asparagus officinalis* 250 mg/kg, (d) *A. officinalis* 500 mg/kg; (e) glibenclamide-treated. White dotted lines represent the islet area and the arrow indicates the small islets.
the AO250- and AO500-treated rats, respectively. These results indicate that *A. officinalis* can markedly (*P*, 0.001) increase the total β-cell area. In the control rats, the number of β-cells per islet, expressed as medians, was 111 (range 22–160). However, the β-cell number decreased significantly (*P*, 0.001) in the diabetic rats (28 (range 11–64) v. 111 (range 22–160), *P*, 0.001). There was a significant increase in β-cell number in the AO250-treated (35 (range 18–58)) and AO500-treated (44 (range 14–98)) rats, respectively. Although the β-cell area and number decreased significantly in the diabetic rats, very interestingly, the individual β-cell size, expressed as medians, was increased in the diabetic rats compared with the control rats (221 (range 85–699) μm² v. 202 (range 44–475) μm², *P*, 0.001). The *P* value is just outside the border of the significance level, suggesting that hyperplasia of the β-cell may have occurred in this type 2 diabetic rat model. The individual β-cell size was increased (very close to the significance level) in the AO250-treated rats compared with the control rats (222 (range 79–460) μm² v. 202 (range 44–475) μm², *P*, 0.065). There was no significant change in individual β-cell size in the

**Table 1.** Morphometric analysis of the pancreatic islets in the experimental rats
(Median values with their ranges; sixty islets/rats; n 6–9)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>β-Cell area/islet (μm²)</th>
<th>β-Cell no./islet</th>
<th>β-Cell size (μm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Con</td>
<td>18420</td>
<td>5375–37543</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db</td>
<td>6099*</td>
<td>3165–15391</td>
<td>28*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db + AO250</td>
<td>8918†</td>
<td>3123–15068</td>
<td>35†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db + AO500</td>
<td>10205††</td>
<td>3065–17575</td>
<td>44††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Db + GB</td>
<td>10177††</td>
<td>3071–16060</td>
<td>47††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Con, age-matched non-diabetic control; Db, untreated diabetic rats; AO250, diabetic rats treated with 250 mg/kg *Asparagus officinalis* extract; AO500, diabetic rats treated with 500 mg/kg *Asparagus officinalis* extract; GB, glibenclamide.

* Median values were significantly different from those of the non-diabetic control (*P*, <.001; Mann–Whitney U test).
† Median values were significantly different from those of the untreated diabetic: †*P*, <.05, ††*P*, <.01 (Mann–Whitney U test).
AO500- or GB-treated diabetic rats. These results suggest that β-cell hypertrophy is the phenomenon of this rat model; however, it is the hyperplasia, not hypertrophy, of the β-cell in the A. officinalis-treated diabetic rats that might have contributed to the anti-diabetic effect.

**Effect of the 28 d treatment of Asparagus officinalis extract on total antioxidant status**

Changes in TAS in each group of rats are shown in Fig. 6. A significant decrease in TAS was found in the untreated diabetic rats compared with the control rats (1·19 (SEM 0·06) mmol/l v. 1·86 (SEM 0·08) mmol/l, \(P<0·01\)). A. officinalis extract at a dose of 250 or 500 mg/kg for 28 d significantly increased the TAS in a dose-dependent manner (AO250, 1·61 (SEM 0·10) mmol/l; AO500, 1·88 (SEM 0·21) mmol/l). In the 500 mg/kg AO500-treated diabetic rats, TAS was found even more than that of the control rats. A mild but significant increase in TAS (1·55 (SEM 0·02) mmol/l) was also found in the GB-treated rats.

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrated that administration of a methanolic extract of A. officinalis seeds to n-STZ non-obese type 2 diabetic rats decreased blood glucose levels in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig. 1). Preliminary in vitro experiments for α-glucosidase inhibitory activity showed that A. officinalis extract has a very little effect on α-glucosidase, suggesting that A. officinalis may have a very little to no effect on delaying glucose absorption.

Serum insulin levels were significantly decreased in the untreated diabetic rats (Fig. 2). Pathological changes in serum insulin levels in diabetes reflect abnormalities in β-cell function or structure. The decrease in the β-cell function and size of the islets (Figs. 4 and 5) is a clear indication that the n-STZ type 2 diabetes in these rats was not due to insulin resistance but was because of β-cell dysfunction, which is the major pathophysiology of non-obese type 2 diabetic subjects in the Indo-Pak region (35,36).

The untreated diabetic rats had higher blood glucose levels and lower insulin levels than the non-diabetic controls (Figs. 1 and 2, respectively). Interestingly, the A. officinalis extract treatment significantly improved serum insulin levels as well as the insulin-glucose ratio in the diabetic rats (Figs. 2 and 3, respectively). The increased serum insulin levels may be related to the up-regulation of insulin synthesis by the β-cells, enhanced insulin secretion or insulin release from the pancreatic β-cells. Previously, it has been reported that the ethanolic extract of A. racemosus roots increased insulin secretion in the isolated islets of rats in vitro (37). Thus, the control of blood glucose levels is most probably due to the enhanced insulin secretion from the pancreatic β-cells in the A. officinalis-treated diabetic rats.

The A. officinalis treatment improved the size of the islets in the diabetic rats (Fig. 4). The β-cell is the most abundant cell type in the endocrine pancreas, and β-cell number is the most important factor that determines the islet area. It has been reported elsewhere that α-cells increased in diabetic rats and the distribution pattern of the α- and β-cells changed in STZ-induced diabetic rats (38,39). In the present study, it was not clear from H&E staining whether the increased size of the islets was due to the β- or α-cells (Fig. 4). To determine this, we stained the same pancreatic sections with insulin and glucagon antibodies to observe the insulin-positive β-cells and glucagon-positive α-cells simultaneously. The immunohistochemical data revealed that it was the β-cell that played the major role for the increased size of the islets (Fig. 5).

A. officinalis improved β-cell function in the diabetic rats. However, it was not clear whether this improvement of β-cell function was due to the hyperplasia or hypertrophy of the β-cells. The immunohistochemical and morphometric studies suggest that hyperplasia rather than hypertrophy in the A. officinalis-treated rats (Fig. 5 and Table 1) was responsible for the improvement in β-cell function. Therefore, the increased number of β-cells may have a direct role in controlling the blood glucose levels in this diabetic rat model. Furthermore, the high-resolution insulin immunohistochemical data revealed that most of the β-cells were degranulated in the treatment group, suggesting that A. officinalis stimulated the release of insulin from the pancreatic β-cells (data not shown). Therefore, the present biochemical results along with the immunohistochemical findings further support that the anti-diabetic activity of A. officinalis may be due to the enhanced insulin secretion and/or insulin release.

The β-cell number in the pancreatic islets of the A. officinalis-treated rats was significantly higher than that of the
untreated diabetic rats (Table 1). This suggests that A. officinalis may have a role in the regeneration or revitalisation of the β-cells or in the recovery of the partially damaged β-cells. A number of other plants have also been observed to exert anti-diabetic activity through these mechanisms (40–42).

Although the A. officinalis extract showed potent antioxidant activity in vitro, we asked whether the antioxidant had any role in the mechanism of the anti-diabetic property of the A. officinalis extract. In support to the antioxidant activity of the extract, we found that TAS was increased significantly in the A. officinalis-treated rats (Fig. 6). The mechanisms responsible for the increased antioxidant status of A. officinalis are not clear. However, a strong correlation between the antioxidant activity and the total phenol content of asparagus has been reported, suggesting that phenols could be mainly responsible for the antioxidant activity, as observed for other vegetables (43–45). The antioxidant activity of A. officinalis extract may prevent STZ-induced oxidative damage to the β-cells. Thus, it may also suggest that the anti-diabetic activity may partially be due to the antioxidant property of the extract. Studies are ongoing in our laboratory to elucidate this hypothesis.

In conclusion, we have shown that long-term administration of A. officinalis has anti-diabetic effects on non-obese type 2 diabetic rats. The anti-diabetic activity appears mainly due to the enhanced insulin secretion and the modulation of pancreatic β-cell function. The antioxidant may also play an indirect role in the anti-diabetic activity of A. officinalis. Altogether, these findings indicate that asparagus may be an effective therapeutic strategy for the management of non-obese type 2 diabetes. Further experiment and clinical studies are required to explore the additional mechanisms and establish its clinical utility.
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