Rationale for Penumbral Imaging

The ischemic penumbra concept arguably represents the most successful translation of scientific laboratory results into the clinical stroke realm. The penumbra was originally defined by Symon et al in the late 1970’s, based on experimental stroke studies performed in baboons.1-3 These studies indicated a range of cerebral blood flow (CBF) values are present within the vascular territory of an occluded cerebral artery. Furthermore, various CBF values ranging from an upper threshold, where electrical silence is first observed, to a lower threshold associated with loss of cellular integrity were identified.3 Regions between these two flow thresholds were described as 'penumbral' (Figure 1). The ischemic penumbra has since been defined as brain tissue which is oligemic and functionally inactive, but structurally intact and therefore potentially salvageable.3 Subsequent nuclear medicine positron emission tomography (PET) studies indicated that there are CBF thresholds for penumbra (18-22 ml/100 g/min) and irreversibly injured infarct 'core' (10 ml/100 g/min) in clinical stroke patients.4,5 A number of hemodynamic and metabolic changes occur following occlusion of a cerebral artery. Under ischemic conditions, vasodilation results in compensatory increases in cerebral blood volume (CBV) in the penumbra, while CBV in the infarct core is lower than normal unaffected tissue. Changes in CBV as well as an increase in the oxygen extraction fraction may temporarily meet metabolic demands and delay infarction.6

The extent of the ischemic penumbra is critical to the success of any acute stroke therapy, including thrombolysis. Patients without a penumbra are unlikely to benefit from any acute tissue salvage therapies, as irreversible injury has already occurred. Conversely, patients with penumbral tissue may benefit from tissue salvage therapies, regardless of the duration of symptoms. Until recently identification of the ischemic penumbra in a...
Figure 1: The ischemic penumbra. Following arterial occlusion (yellow denotes thrombus), cerebral blood flow (CBF) decreases non-uniformly throughout the territory of the affected vessel. Areas where CBF decreases below 10 ml/100 g/min are irreversibly injured (infarct core). Tissue with CBF between 10 and approximately 20 ml/100 g/min (absolute thresholds vary) is dysfunctional, but viable and potentially salvageable (ischemic penumbra). The penumbral is the target of all acute stroke therapies, including thrombolysis.

Figure 2: Examples of Perfusion-Diffusion weighted imaging (PWI-DWI) mismatch + pattern evolution. Both patients have large areas of decreased blood flow, demonstrated on PWI (green border). These are Tmax maps, which have been thresholded to include only areas of Tmax delay > 2s (Tmax+2s), providing an objective measure of the hypoperfused volume. Both patients have smaller regions of infarction indicated on DWI (red border). In the absence of treatment (Patient 1; top), the infarct grows substantially. Thrombolysis (Patient 2; bottom) results in reperfusion and attenuation of infarct growth.
Imaging the Ischemic Penumbra: CT Perfusion

Estimates of the extent of infarction can also be made with non-contrast CT (NCCT). Irreversibly damaged tissue is visible on NCCT as areas of decreased attenuation, relative to normal parenchyma, due to the presence of cerebral edema.\(^1\) Unfortunately, hypo-attenuation in hyper-acute stroke is not universally present, making it less reliable than DWI for delineating infarct core.\(^1^8\) Adding computed tomography perfusion (CTP) to the imaging protocol for ischemic stroke permits visualization of both infarct core and hypoperfused tissue at risk. Cerebral tissue with very low CBV has been shown to be destined for infarction, due to failure of autoregulatory protective mechanisms.\(^17\^-^2^3\) Regions where CBV is reduced are therefore irreversibly injured, consistent with ischemic core tissue (Table 1). Thus a mismatch between the volume of tissue with decreased blood flow (i.e. delayed Time to Peak (TTP) and/or low CBF) and that with low CBV can be used as a CTP-based operational definition of the ischemic penumbra (Figure 3).\(^2^2^-^2^5\) Similar to MRI, CTP-based mismatch definitions have also been shown to predict infarct growth in patients with persisting blood flow deficits.\(^2^6^,^2^7\)

The Mismatch Hypothesis: Current Evidence

The hypothesis that selection of thrombolysis patients on the basis of MRI or CTP defined mismatch improves outcome in an extended therapeutic time window has not yet been definitively proven. Nonetheless, many clinical centres around the world have begun to utilize PWI-DWI, or CTP, in the selection of potential acute stroke thrombolysis patients.\(^2^8\) There is substantial evidence that penumbral selection is feasible and that it ‘enriches’ the treatment response, particularly when thrombolysis is administered more than three hours after onset, a time window normally associated with a lower success rate.\(^2^9\)

A number of open label studies have demonstrated that it is feasible to select patients for thrombolytic therapy on the basis of MRI characteristics.\(^2^8\^-^3^0,^3^1\) In one study, patients with a minimum of 20% PWI-DWI mismatch treated with tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) within six hours of onset experienced significant attenuation of infarct expansion, relative to historical controls.\(^3^1\) Although this was not a randomized study, it certainly supported the hypothesis that mismatch tissue represents penumbra and that the natural history can indeed be altered by thrombolysis. Another comparison of 1210 patients selected for tPA treatment within three hours of onset, on the basis of either NCCT (n=714) or PWI-DWI mismatch (n=316; minimum of 20% assessed with TTP maps), indicated lower rates of hemorrhagic transformation were associated with the use of penumbral selection.\(^2^8\)

The diffusion and perfusion imaging evaluation for understanding stroke evolution (DEFUSE) study was an open label investigation of the effects of tPA treatment in ischemic stroke patients three to six hours after symptom onset.\(^3^2\) All patients were imaged with PWI and DWI prior to treatment, but enrolment was not based on the results of either of these studies. The study tested the hypothesis that the clinical response associated with reperfusion following tPA, would be observed more frequently in patients with a minimum of 20% PWI-DWI mismatch. Despite the relatively small sample size (n=74), patients with mismatch were five times more likely to recover

### Table 1: Perfusion and diffusion changes in penumbra and ischemic core tissue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfusion Parameter</th>
<th>Penumbra (Potentially Salvageable)</th>
<th>Core (Irreversibly Injured)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral Blood Flow (CBF)</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral Blood Volume (CBV)</td>
<td>↑ / ↔</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to Peak (TTP)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Transit Time (MTT)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax (TTP after deconvolution)</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion-Weighted Imaging (MRI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion-Weighted Image Intensity</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>↑ *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent Diffusion Coefficient</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>↓ *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Areas with diffusion restriction (i.e. high signal on DWI and low ADC) may reverse if timely reperfusion occurs, making these areas penumbral in nature.
(modified Rankin Score <2, i.e. independent) than those without mismatch. In addition, the DEFUSE study demonstrated that patients with very large DWI lesions (>100 ml) did not benefit from tPA, irrespective of the presence of mismatch. Furthermore, these patients were at increased risk of hemorrhagic transformation following treatment.

The echoplanar imaging thrombolysis evaluation trial (EPITHET) was a placebo controlled double-blind randomized study, designed specifically to test the mismatch hypothesis. One-hundred patients were randomized to placebo/tPA following DWI and PWI. Patients were not selected on the basis of MRI criteria, but were classified as mismatch/non-mismatch following randomization. The primary hypothesis was that tPA treatment would attenuate infarct expansion, assessed as the difference between acute DWI and day 90 T2-weighted image lesion volume, in patients with mismatch (Tmax+2s:DWI ratio>1.2). Although the primary endpoint was not significantly different between tPA and placebo treated patients, infarct growth was 30% smaller in the treatment group. In addition tPA treatment was associated with a significant 23% reduction in the proportion of mismatch patients who experienced infarct growth. The primary EPITHET endpoint was dependent on the ability of tPA to effectively restore blood flow. In mismatch patients with reperfusion, infarct growth was significantly attenuated. This demonstrates once again that the natural history of mismatch tissue is to proceed to infarction and that this can be reversed with effective reperfusion therapy. While some in the academic stroke community have dismissed the entire penumbral selection concept on the basis of a non-significant p-value in a small trial, the biological soundness of this approach to management is in fact supported by the EPITHET results and further studies are definitely warranted.

A series of studies assessing the novel thrombolytic desmoteplase, in a three to nine hour post onset treatment
window, included either PWI-DWI or CTP mismatch in the inclusion criteria. Investigators were asked to obtain perfusion imaging with either modality and visually assess mismatch, using any available perfusion maps that they chose. If the investigator felt that there was a mismatch of 20% or more, patients were enrolled to placebo or various doses of desmoteplase.84,86 Although initial studies appeared promising, the phase III study did not demonstrate a significant difference between treatment and placebo groups.86 The results of this study should not be viewed as a lack of evidence for the mismatch hypothesis. A post-hoc analysis indicated that many patients with very mild strokes were included, disproportionately in the placebo group. It is apparent that the definition of mismatch utilized in this trial was insufficient to identify patients at risk of infarct growth. In addition, it must be pointed out that the primary aim of this trial was not to test the mismatch hypothesis, but to demonstrate the efficacy of an unproven thrombolytic agent.

In many centres, CTP mismatch assessment has found its way into routine imaging and thrombolysis protocols.87 There are retrospective studies demonstrating that mismatch identified with CTP is predictive of tissue salvage and clinical response to both IV and intra-arterial thrombolytic therapy.88,89 Mismatch criteria were utilized in the final desmoteplase trial described above and one other prospective assessment of tenecteplase in ischemic stroke patients presenting three to six hours after symptom onset.90 A trial with a design similar to EPITHET, is required to definitively test the hypothesis that CTP mismatch can be used to select optimal responders to thrombolysis.

Obstacles to Penumbral Imaging in Acute Stroke Management

The mismatch hypothesis is biologically plausible and although level Ia evidence for its utility in selecting potential thrombolysis patients remains elusive, it is being used in many centres. Nonetheless, utilization rates around the world are highly disparate. A number of logistical, technical and ideological factors have contributed to this situation.

How do we define mismatch and how much is enough?

At this point no accepted or standardized definition of what constitutes a significant mismatch pattern exists. As discussed in the accompanying article, multiple perfusion parameters, including TTP, CBF, Mean Transit Time (MTT), and Tmax (TTP after deconvolution), can be used to define tissue at risk.90,91 It has not yet been established which of these parameters is best suited to defining acutely ischemic tissue. Optimal contrast bolus delay and dispersion correction algorithms, i.e. deconvolution, have yet to be determined.

It is also recognized that not all hypoperfused tissue will go on to infarct. There is a region of ‘benign oligemia’ surrounding the penumbra.12,16 Differentiating benign oligemia from penumbra is the key to the optimal mismatch definition, but this has proven to be exceedingly difficult in individual patients.91 The volume of putatively penumbral tissue will vary substantially with the threshold used to define significantly hypoperfused, i.e. non benign oligemic, tissue (Figures 4 and 5).91 As CBF (and MTT/TTP/Tmax) thresholds for infarction all vary with duration of ischemia and tissue type, it is impossible to define the area at risk on the basis of any absolute flow value.16,42,43 Innumerable combinations of perfusion parameters and thresholds make a multitude of mismatch definitions possible in any given patient.40,41 These are not merely theoretical considerations, as the choice of mismatch definition will result in the re-classification of many patients—which obviously has significant implications for both clinical trials and patient management.

What is very clear is that all definitions of mismatch to date have been far too liberal. The choice of a 20% threshold for defining mismatch is completely arbitrary and has no physiological correlates.44,45 In fact, this is generally a very small amount of tissue and is of questionable clinical significance in most patients. The EPITHET study has also made it clear that even utilizing a deconvolved PWI parameter and a threshold
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(Tmax+2s), perfusion imaging frequently over-estimates the volume of at risk tissue, by including areas which are oligemic, but will never go on to infarct.

**Unrealistic expectations of mismatch models**

There are different solutions to the mismatch definition problems described above. The simplest is simply to increase the cut-off point for defining threshold. Post-hoc analyses of EPITHET and DEFUSE indicate that mismatch ratios of 200 to 260%, rather than 20%, identify the target patient population that will respond best to tPA. An alternative is to apply more stringent thresholds, i.e. Tmax+8s, rather than +2s, to the perfusion parameters, making it less likely that areas of benign oligemia will be identified as penumbral.

Both of these approaches lead to one unavoidable issue. Applying more conservative definitions of mismatch ultimately reduces the number of patients who are considered eligible for therapy. Having gone through the exercise of obtaining penumbral imaging, there is often a tendency to want to follow-up with treatment. This is very counter-intuitive, however, as there is very good evidence that both penumbral volumes and the response to treatment decrease exponentially with time. Thus, we should actually expect that a minority of patients imaged beyond 4.5 hours after symptom onset will have penumbral patterns. Nonetheless, in order to identify these patients it is necessary to assess CBF in all potential candidates. This is an even greater problem in clinical trials, where there is inexcusable pressure to recruit patients as quickly as possible. Thus, there may be a tendency to ‘over-call’ mismatch or look for areas of penumbral tissue in patients who are unlikely to benefit. The two groups that seem to fall into this latter category are those with very small infarcts and patients with large parenchymal lesions, irrespective of the presence of mismatch (Figure 5). The former appears to have been a problem in particular for the latest desmoteplase trial. Finally, it is important to recognize that treatment does not guarantee complete salvage of mismatch tissue, even in the event of rapid reperfusion (Figure 4).

**Limited front-line perfusion and lesion volume assessment tools**

There is a significant discordance between the assessment of PWI and DWI in research studies and that practiced by physicians in the acute clinical setting. Studies utilize planimetric volume measurement tools and mathematical calculations to categorize patients as mismatch/non-mismatch. In contrast, clinicians are forced to make binary assessments (mismatch present or absent) based solely on the appearance of images on the console/PACS viewer. It has been demonstrated very clearly that clinicians can not reliably or even consistently assess the degree of mismatch with this type of approach to the images, and more objective definitions are required.

The EPITHET and DEFUSE studies utilized an a priori definition of perfusion deficits; Tmax+2s threshold—that is only tissue with a delayed time to peak of contrast arrival after deconvolution of two seconds or more was considered to be at risk (Figure 5). While this PWI parameter provides a standardized and consistent measure of blood flow deficits, it is
currently not available on software provided by MRI or CT manufacturers. None of the manufacturer-supplied software permits a threshold to be applied to PWI/CTP images. Thus, clinicians must rely on intensity/colour scales, which are often non-quantitative (relative), to visually estimate which areas are significantly hypoperfused (Figure 4). Software available on the console or workstation at many institutions does not even permit deconvolution, limiting assessment to TTP and relative CBF maps that will significantly over-estimate the volume of truly at risk tissue in many patients. In centres where deconvolution algorithms are available, this requires some operator input. Although this can be performed in a matter of minutes, it does require training and more importantly enthusiasm on the part of the clinicians, radiologists and technologists involved in the care of the patient.

An additional problem is the lack of accurate volume measurement tools available to the acute management team. Accurate stroke lesion volumes are obtained in imaging studies using planimetric techniques (Figure 5). Automated or semi-automated region of interest assessment tools may one day allow accurate stroke volume calculations in ‘real time,’ but this is generally not possible with currently available clinical CT or MRI software. A number of tools have been developed in order to assist clinicians and radiologists in rapidly assessing perfusion images in an objective and standardized fashion. One approach is to adapt the ASPECTS (Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score) system to PWI and DWI images. This has been demonstrated to accurately predict mismatch by volume. Similarly, ASPECT scores can be applied to CT perfusion images, thus providing a semi-quantitative estimate of penumbral tissue volume. A geometric approach, known as the ABC/2 method, is a validated technique for estimation of intracerebral hematoma volumes. Although this approach can also be applied to ischemic stroke lesions, reports are limited and the method has not yet been validated.

Lack of engagement by clinicians

It is clear that the mismatch hypothesis, while elegant has been over-simplified and practical implementation will require some investment of time and effort on the part of stroke clinicians. It is also evident that some familiarity with both imaging physics and cerebral blood flow regulation is required to interpret the results of penumbral investigations. This is currently not part of routine training for either clinicians or neuro-radiologists. In the absence of compelling evidence that these investigations will alter patient management, many clinicians have adopted an indifferent attitude towards blood flow imaging in particular. It is undoubtedly easier to treat patients on the basis of a pre-defined therapeutic time window, clinical findings and a NCCT. Obtaining and interpreting maps of blood flow often requires additional discussion between clinicians and radiologists. As outlined above, the technical challenges associated with these imaging studies also require time. While this additional time may not be justified in patients who are clearly eligible for tPA, it is absolutely essential if we are ever to offer therapy to patients in extended therapeutic windows, whether this be in an evidence-based fashion or empirically.

MRI Versus CT Perfusion and CT Angiography

There is considerable debate surrounding the optimal modality for assessing mismatch. It has been clearly demonstrated that MRI, specifically DWI, is more sensitive for detection of early ischemic changes. Using PWI and MRA, it is possible to obtain a comprehensive pathophysiological assessment, which may ultimately be used to guide thrombolytic and other tissue rescue therapies. In addition, concerns about the ability of MRI to detect intracranial hemorrhage are now known to be unwarranted. Therefore, in centres where rapid access protocols are in place, it is ideal to proceed directly to MRI in
Acute stroke. Nonetheless, MRI is generally less accessible hyper-acuteley and always involves more imaging time. In the case of thrombolysis, where ‘time is brain’, these delays are critical and unacceptable. In addition, there will always be patients with contraindications to MRI, most notably those with pacemakers, ferro-magnetic implants and other foreign bodies.

The major advantage of CTP is the fact that it can be performed with NCCT as part of the initial stroke assessment, with minimal delay to therapy. Combined with CTA and NCCT, CTP provides a comprehensive multimodal stroke assessment, which accurately predicts tissue outcome. Of course, this does require an established acute stroke assessment protocol, in order to avoid repeat trips to the scanner. Computed tomography perfusion does provide similar information to PWI, albeit in a more limited fashion. Unlike MRI, which is capable of imaging blood flow in the entire brain, CTP is limited to imaging within the extent of both the x-ray column and the detectors. Most multi-detector CT scanners currently in operation are limited by detector widths to CTP acquisition with only 2-4 cm cranio-caudal coverage of the brain. Thus, it is possible, that areas of hypoperfusion may not be recognized in acute stroke patients imaged with this technique, depending on placement of the CTP acquisition region. This can be overcome by performing two CTP exams in different locations, but this does result in further radiation and contrast exposure. This limitation has already been overcome by at least one CT manufacturer, however, as a multi-slice scanner capable of whole brain perfusion and simultaneous CTA, with a single contrast injection is now available.

Renal failure is relatively common in the acute stroke population, as hypertension and diabetes are risk factors shared by both conditions. Iodinated contrast agents do pose an increased risk of contrast induced nephropathy in these patients. However, a combined CTP-CTA protocol has been shown to be associated with very low (2%) rates of contrast induced nephropathy, defined as a rise in serum creatinine of >25%, none of which led to chronic kidney disease. Contrast induced nephropathy rates were not higher in patients imaged without a known serum Creatinine. The Canadian Association of Radiologists guidelines indicate that in emergent situations, contrast media may be used prior to obtaining serum creatinine levels, provided there are no risk factors for renal impairment, such as pre-existing kidney disease or diabetes. In these cases it is recommended that patients be prophylactically hydrated with 300-500 ml of IV crystalloid during transfer to the CT. There is also an association between severe renal impairment and a very rare reaction to gadolinium, known as nephrogenic systemic fibrosis. This is much less common than iodinated contrast induced nephropathy, but does need to be considered, particularly if the MRI contrast study is unlikely to change management.

What then is the imaging modality of choice in acute stroke? Clearly, either option is superior to NCCT alone. In the future, as imaging based algorithms for reperfusion strategies become more common, the utility of MRI versus advanced CT will likely vary with the available resources between different centres. Ultimately, access to both modalities will be required to maximize the number of patients in whom penumbral imaging can be performed, as a number of patients will have contraindications to either technique (Table 2).

Alternative Penumbral Definitions

Due to the relative lack of access to perfusion imaging modalities in many centres, attempts have been made to identify predictors of penumbral patterns, without actually imaging cerebral blood flow. These models all utilize a surrogate for tissue at risk, such as clinical deficits or an acute vessel occlusion, combined with evidence of limited parenchymal injury.

Vessel occlusion models

It has been hypothesized that vessel occlusion, identified with either CTA or MRA, co-localized with a lack of evidence of significant tissue injury will predict a penumbral pattern. Indeed, patients with large artery occlusion are more likely to have mismatch patterns. A post-hoc analysis of the DEFUSE study defined MRA-DWI mismatch as the presence of a visible occlusion on MRA and a DWI lesion volume <25 ml. This pattern was seen in 27 of 62 patients studied and reperfusion was associated with clinical improvement. An occluded artery in a patient with symptoms of acute stroke therefore appears to be a logical target for thrombolysis. Accordingly, vessel occlusion, identified on either CTA or MRA, combined with a lack of evidence of extensive early infarction, i.e. >1/3 of the middle cerebral artery territory, is being used as the primary inclusion criterion an ongoing randomized controlled trial of desmoteplase in acute stroke.

The vessel occlusion model does minimize the need for image post-processing, as occlusions can be seen on source images. It also eliminates all of the difficulties associated with blood flow image interpretation, and is therefore appealing to many clinicians. Unfortunately, it has been demonstrated that many patients with significant penumbral patterns do not have visible occlusions on MRA. Computed tomogram angiography is likely to be superior in this regard, due to higher spatial resolution and coverage, but patients who still may benefit from thrombolysis will be excluded from therapy if selection is based on this model. Paradoxically, CTA and NCCT based therapy will likely include some patients with completed infarcts and no penumbra, due to the lower sensitivity of NCCT for hyperacute changes. Computed tomogram angiography source image inspection helps somewhat in this regard, as they have been shown to improve the sensitivity for detection of early ischemic changes, relative to NCCT alone. This is due to the fact that CTA source images are volume-weighted, making areas with low CBV more apparent. Nonetheless, this model has the potential to both under-treat and over-treat certain acute stroke populations and should not be viewed as a complete replacement for perfusion imaging.

Clinical-Diffusion mismatch

An alternative to the PWI-DWI mismatch definition of mismatch is to utilize clinical information in lieu of perfusion data. Clinical-diffusion mismatch (CDM) has been defined as the co-existence of a small (≤25 ml) DWI lesion in the presence of significant neurological deficits (NIH Stroke Scale score ≥8). Accurate identification of CDM patterns requires planimetric measurement of the DWI volume, which makes real time use problematic. An alternative is to replace the DWI volume used in
the current definition with a maximum DWI ASPECT score, i.e. >7. Clinical-diffusion mismatch based on DWI ASPECTS, has been shown to predict early neurological deterioration, consistent with the natural history of penumbral evolution. Direct comparisons with PWI-DWI mismatch have not been made, but it seems likely that this rapid assessment tool will also predict penumbral patterns with reasonable accuracy. Clinical-diffusion mismatch has been shown to predict the presence of PWI-DWI mismatch with high specificity, suggesting it is a reasonable surrogate marker of the ischemic penumbra. However, similar to vessel occlusion models, many patients without CDM will have penumbral patterns and be excluded from therapy if perfusion imaging is not part of the assessment algorithm.

A Practical Approach to Penumbral Imaging

Despite all of the difficulties described above, imaging of the penumbra can be extremely useful to the treating physician. At the very least, definitive evidence of CBF abnormalities removes diagnostic uncertainty in cases of a normal CT and a clinical scenario consistent with a stroke mimic such as migraine, epilepsy or a conversion disorder. Although not universal, many clinicians will consider thrombolysis outside of established protocols, the most notable exception being patients presenting outside the therapeutic window of 4.5 hours after onset. This is known to be associated with higher risk of hemorrhagic complications. It therefore behoves the clinician to ensure there is a likelihood of benefit. If penumbral imaging is used in this decision making process, it is important to recognize which perfusion map(s) are being assessed and what each represents (Table 1). It should always be remembered that these maps have a tendency to over-estimate the true volume of tissue at risk. Thrombolysis should be restricted to patients with very large mismatch patterns (Figures 1 and 2). Patients with limited blood flow deficits or large established infarct core areas seen on DWI or CBV maps should not be treated (Figure 5).

Conclusions

It appears likely that in the future penumbral imaging will become increasingly important in selecting stroke patients for therapy beyond the current therapeutic time window. The most promising group of patients are those in which the temporal course of events is unknown, such as patients who are found dysphasic or who wake with symptoms. There are other compelling reasons to image blood flow in all acute stroke patients much more routinely. Changes in CBF are the fundamental basis of the stroke pathophysiology. Cerebral blood flow assessment makes it possible to make rational management decisions that are based on patient specific pathology, rather than broad clinical criteria that may not apply to individual cases. Although this will require a significant investment on the part of all involved in the care of these patients, it is a sound one that will undoubtedly result in improved patient care.

Ultimately, simplified and automated CBF assessment tools will be required to facilitate widespread utilization of these imaging modalities. These are being developed presently, although the concept of a ‘treat/do not treat’ map is still theoretical at the moment. Even if this does become possible in the future, clinicians would be well advised to understand the concepts underlying penumbral assessment, rather than treating it as a ‘black box’. In the meantime, the clinical stroke community must lead the way in the development and utilization of CBF imaging. Cases of diagnostic uncertainty should be viewed as opportunities to become familiar with the MRI and/or CTP/CTA tools presently available.
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