

described and a small number of studies examining attempts to reduce these have been reported. These will be reviewed together with a study carried out by the author involving a randomised controlled trial of a six-session, home-based family intervention aimed at reducing the negative aspects of caregiving and psychological morbidity, as well as improving coping and well-being.

It is concluded that short-term interventions, whether mainly educational or more ambitious in scope, have a modest impact on carers' understanding of the illness and the development of positive attitudes to the patient. Reducing caregiver distress or psychological morbidity is more difficult, as is changing coping styles.

The nature of work with carers is discussed, including aspects of the helping relationship which differ from conventional psychological therapies. Approaches which promise a greater impact on caregiver distress and coping will be considered.

PSYCHOLOGICAL TREATMENT OF MEDICALLY UNEXPLAINED PHYSICAL SYMPTOMS IN A MEDICAL OUTPATIENT CLINIC

A.M. Van Hemert, A.E.M. Speckens, P. Spinhoven, K. Hawton, J. Bolk. Departments of Psychiatry and General Medicine, Leiden University Hospital, the Netherlands; University Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, England

Unexplained physical symptoms are common, both in general practice and in the general hospital setting. It is often claimed that the outcome of these symptoms in terms of recovery and medical care utilisation is poor. Patients with unexplained symptoms are considered to benefit from psychological therapy but may be reluctant to accept referral to a psychiatrist or psychologist.

We compared the efficacy of cognitive behavioural therapy and optimised medical care in 79 general medical outpatients with persistent unexplained physical symptoms. The study was introduced to the patients by their attending physician and the treatment took place in the medical clinic. This therapy comprised identifying and modifying dysfunctional automatic thoughts and offering behavioural treatment to break the vicious cycle of the symptoms and their consequences.

Cognitive behavioural therapy seemed to be feasible and effective in reducing both the severity of the symptoms and the accompanying functional limitations. Basic principles of cognitive behavioural therapy could probably be incorporated in routine clinical care.

S23. WHO ICD-10: Evaluation and evolution

Chairmen: JE Cooper, A Bertelsen

ICD-10 CHAPTER V AND DSM-IV: RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THEM, AND COMMENTS UPON SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

John E. Cooper. Emeritus Professor of Psychiatry, University of Nottingham, 25 Ireton Grove, Attenborough, Nottingham NG9 6BJ, UK

In spite of their different origins and purposes (one international and one national) these classifications are more remarkable for their similarities of content than for their differences. This is because a) they both reflect the same body of internationally available descriptive knowledge about psychiatric disorders, and b) a series of meetings

was held between the WHO advisers on ICD-10 and members of the Task Forces developing DSM-IV, with the shared purpose of removing unnecessary differences between the two emerging classifications. The most obvious differences are in presentation rather than content: because of its responsibility to different types of mental health workers in different countries across the world, the WHO adopted a strategy of "different versions for different purposes" (e.g. clinical psychiatry, primary care, and research).

Some of the remaining differences in content, such as the differences in the criteria for schizophrenia, will be examined, and some unsolved problems shared by the two classifications will be identified.

WHO ICD-10 EVALUATION AND EVOLUTION: FORENSIC IMPLICATIONS

Paul Cosyns. Professor of Psychiatry, University of Antwerp, Wilrijkstraat 10, B-2650 Edegem, Belgium

Forensic psychiatry is situated at the interface between Law and Psychiatry. The communication gap between the 2 disciplines rests on the differences of their basic paradigm. Law focus on social deviance and has to dispense justice. Psychiatry focus on psychopathology and has to treat persons affected by psychiatric disorders. The concept of "(ir-) responsibility" is a third dimension to be evaluated separately besides "social deviance" and "psychopathology".

European forensic psychiatry presents at least a double heterogeneity: that of national legal systems and that of psychiatric nosologies. ICD-10 improves substantially the heterogeneity of psychiatric taxonomies, but, in forensic psychiatry, the influence of the legal system is prominent and this "sets the rules of the game" within which forensic psychiatrists work. Legal requirements however, should not dictate psychiatric response, which should be guided by scientific knowledge and ethical concerns.

ICD-10 states in his introduction that "social deviance or social conflict alone, without personal dysfunction, should not be included in mental disorder as defined here". Can ICD-10 help the forensic psychiatrist in distinguishing social deviance with and without psychiatric disorder?

The correct use and advantage of ICD-10 in forensic psychiatry will be reviewed. We will focus on the most prominent ICD-10 diagnosis for civil and penal law.

Each instrument has however his own "instructions of use" and can consequently be "misused". Recommendations will be made in an European perspective on:

- elaboration of an European Glossary of Forensic Psychiatric Technical terms.
- educational programs in Forensic Psychiatry
- fixation of minimal standard requirements for the qualification of an expert and the content of the report
- an ethical code for psychiatric experts.

ICD-10 CHAPTER V (F): DIFFICULTIES AND DEFICIENCIES

H. Dilling. Institute of Psychiatry, Lübeck Medical University, Ratzeburger Allee 160, D-23538 Lübeck, Germany

Chapter V (F) of ICD-10 has been used in many psychiatric institutions for several years and is used in many scientific studies often in parallel to DSM-III-R and DSM-IV. There is no doubt that ICD-10 diagnoses are judged to be superior compared to ICD-8 and ICD-9. Operationalized, criteria oriented diagnoses show a high interrater reliability, a high reliability between different institutions and a good international comparability.

As points of criticism are mentioned loss of local psychiatric