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Steroid-induced catatonia

Sir: The case report "A steroid stupor in a surgical ward" (Doherty et al, Journal, January 1991, 158, 125–127) describes the development of secondary catatonia and stupor in a 17-year-old man. The patient had symptoms of bowel disease, became increasingly distressed at his failure to improve, and developed a catatonia syndrome upon the administration of steroids. He was treated with haloperidol and chlorpromazine for four weeks and then received ECT after which "he made an excellent response to a course of five treatments."

Anti-psychotic drugs were apparently given in the belief that the catatonia was a manifestation of a psychosis for which these drugs are deemed effective. But ECT has been known as an effective treatment for catatonia since the first experiments of Meduna with convulsive therapy in 1934, and those of Cerletti & Bini in their introduction of electroconvulsive therapy in 1938. There has not been any compelling evidence since then that any other treatment is as effective as ECT, although transient improvement has been reported after intravenous amobarbital or thiopentone. Some authors have recently suggested that catatonia may be responsive to multiple doses of lorazepam, but such observations need verification and comparison with ECT before being accepted.

Present classification of catatonia as a subtype of schizophrenia, as in DSM–III, leads to the mind-set exhibited in this case: catatonia is a manifestation of schizophrenia and must be responsive to antipsychotic drugs. But such a connection is fallacious. Catatonia is often described in patients with mania, depression, infections, endocrinopathy, and as in this case, secondary to drug toxicity. We have recently argued that catatonia should be considered a separate class in DSM–IV, distinct from schizophrenia (Fink & Taylor, 1991). Such a reclassification would have encouraged the physicians treating this patient to introduce ECT at the earliest opportunity, allowing the patient to recover sufficiently for any specific treatments for his bowel disease without the four week delay.
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Three thousand days of pregnancy

Sir: Recently, DePauw (Journal, December 1990, 157, 924–928) reported an interesting case of monosymptomatic hypochondriacal psychosis (MHP) in which the somatic delusion was that of pain and pseudocyesis. This case, as reported, presented a major treatment problem as the patient's pain and delusional belief appeared to be refractory to a large number of psychotropic agents and ECT. In addition, although pimozide appeared to be effective, the patient was inconsistent in her response to this drug and finally required (40 mg), almost four times the dose of pimozide usually necessary to obtain symptomatic relief in MHP.

These observations prompt me to raise two questions about this case:

(a) As Dr DePauw points out, pimozide is an opiate antagonist. At the dose of pimozide utilised, could the patient's improvement be simply attributed to pimozide's effect on pain perception?

(b) Recent reports (Ross et al, 1987; Hollander et al, 1989; Fishbain & Goldberg, 1991) indicate that some forms of monosymptomatic hypochondriasis (MH) and MHP could selectively respond to serotonin reuptake blockers such as clomipramine and fluoxetine. Because of the success of the serotonin reuptake blockers where other agents have failed, one author (Ross et al, 1987) has suggested a controlled double-blind study of pimozide v. clomipramine for the treatment of MH–MHP. It is to be noted that no serotonin reuptake blockers were used in the treatment of this patient. One wonders if these would have had some measure of success?
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Tardive dyskinesia, depression and ECT

Sr: It has been variously reported that ECT may precipitate (Flaherty et al, 1984) or ameliorate (Price & Levin, 1978) tardive dyskinesia in depressives. Furthermore, Keshavan & Goswamy (1983) have observed that tardive dyskinesia in bipolar affective illness is worse during the euthymic phase than during the depressive phase. The opposite has also been reported (Cutter et al, 1981).

Case report. An 83-year-old female patient had a six-year history of tardive dyskinesia induced by prochlorperazine maleate prescribed for dizziness at that time. She was admitted with a major depression with psychotic features (DSM-III), (Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD; Hamilton, 1960) = 24) and at that time scored 22 on the AIMS scale for tardive dyskinesia. HRSD and AIMS ratings were taken one day before and one day after each ECT treatment and one week after the final ECT (8th unilateral nondominant ECT). AIMS and HRSD scores before and after each of the 3rd to 8th ECT showed a mean fall of 1.4 points on each scale. Overall falls from before ECT to one week after treatment were 24 to 13 for the HRSD, and 22 to 16 for the AIMS.

This patient's tardive dyskinesia was clearly worse during the depressive phase of the illness and improved (but was still present) at recovery. Each treatment with ECT was associated with improvement in mood and tardive dyskinesia and this occurred in parallel. This case study supports the observation that tardive dyskinesia is worse in the depressive phase than in the euthymic phase and that ECT does not worsen the condition. The improvement with ECT may be due to the improvement in the depressive illness but an additional direct effect on tardive dyskinesia may also occur.


J. A. O. BESSON

Department of Mental Health
University Medical Buildings
Foresterhill
Aberdeen

A case of depression responding to spontaneous epilepsy but not ECT

Sr: We wish to report the case of a severely depressed man with a long history of manic–depressive psychosis whose depression improved following spontaneous grand-mal epilepsy, but not following repeated electroconvulsive therapy (ECT).

Case report. The patient was a 67-year-old author, with a 35-year history of manic–depressive psychosis. He had been an in-patient with a resistant psychotic depression for two years before his current episode, but had eventually responded to a combination of amitriptyline, phenelzine, and lithium. He had failed to respond to a course of 10 x bilateral ECT given twice weekly. Six months later the patient had a brief manic illness, and then started becoming increasingly depressed again. It was decided to start him on carbamazepine, initially 100 mg t.d.s. and then 200 mg t.d.s. Three weeks after starting carbamazepine his condition deteriorated further, and he had a depressive psychosis with profound psychomotor retardation and nihilistic delusions. He stopped eating and taking medication. ECT was instituted, but the first ECT failed to produce any improvement. Some three nights later the patient had a total of five spontaneous grand-mal seizures lasting 1–2 minutes before responding to intravenous valium. Despite full investigation, no cause for these was found and they were ascribed to acute carbamazepine withdrawal, which was not restarted.

Some 36 hours following the spontaneous epileptic seizures the patient’s mood was noted to be radically different. He was no longer depressed, was laughing and talking appropriately, and was eating and drinking normally. Over the following 10 days his condition deteriorated and it was decided to reinitiate ECT. Over the next six weeks the patient had a further 11 ECTs, which all produced bilateral seizures lasting at least 25 seconds, but he did not improve. The patient’s depression again proved resistant, only improving some eight months later on high doses of tricyclic antidepressants and monoamine oxidase inhibitors.

As far as we are aware, this is the first reported case in which spontaneous grand-mal seizures have improved the clinical state of a patient with depression, while ECT has had no effect. This differential response may possibly have been due to either the differences in time course between the spontaneous and induced seizures, or because the patient received...