

Notes from the Editor

IN THIS ISSUE

Our cover photo of Hannah Arendt offers respect not only to Arendt in the year of the one-hundredth anniversary of her birth, but also to the first of the trio of political theory articles that lead this issue of the *APSR*, Patchen Markell's "The Rule of the People: Arendt, *Arché*, and Democracy." Markell uses the writings of Arendt, arguably one of the foremost political theorists of the twentieth century, as a window on the debate about what the people "do" to exert power either as rule or against rule in a democracy. Markell proposes a new definition of democratic rule, not as something people "do" but as "an ongoing process of responsiveness to events." His essay moves beyond providing a fresh interpretation of Arendt's thought to undertake the broader task of presenting a new conceptualization of democratic rule that warrants the attention of anyone interested in the nature and operation of democracy.

In "Reforming Reformed Religion: J. S. Mill's Critique of the Enlightenment's Natural Religion," the second contribution to this issue by a political theorist, Robert Devigne challenges the notion that liberalism has little to say about the "good life." Devigne argues that Mill places special emphasis on the role of religion in the development of an individual's life. In support of this interpretation, Devigne presents an impressive integration of Mill's vast and diverse writings, thereby greatly improving our understanding both of Mill and of liberal philosophy more broadly.

Notwithstanding widespread celebrations of the virtues of capitalism, concern remains that, as Shirley Chisholm once said, "When morality comes up against profit, it is seldom that profit loses." In "Ethics and Incentives: A Political Approach," Ruth W. Grant unmasks power relations implicit in voluntary transactions and develops criteria for distinguishing legitimate from illegitimate incentives. By breaking down power and legitimacy into their various forms, Grant provides a framework for analyzing the relationship between ethics and incentives. Her interpretation should be of special interest to scholars of international relations concerned with cooperation and just war, comparativists concerned with issues of political economy, and Americanists concerned with questions involving social welfare and judicial politics.

Grant's analysis of ethical issues bridges directly into the first of four articles in this issue that focus on the operation of interest groups. Prior analyses of political corruption claim (whether cynically or realistically) that the rewards created by bribery tend to lure individuals of higher ability to public office. However, in "*Plata o Plomo?*: Bribe and Punishment in a Theory of Political Influence," Ernesto Dal Bó, Pedro Dal Bó, and Rafael Di Tella contend that the ability of pressure groups to bribe politicians tends to decrease the quality of individuals drawn to politics. When interest groups have the option of offering either bribes or

punishments, the average value of bribes falls, rendering political office less attractive to more able individuals and diminishing the quality of public policy and services. This analysis has some otherwise-nonobvious implications for why countries where private violence is prevalent also experience higher levels of corruption and poor governance.

Do interest groups get what they pay for in election campaigns and does their involvement enhance voter welfare? Voters use the information that is presented in campaign ads – ads whose content is determined by the groups that sponsor them – to help make up their minds about for whom to vote. The potential for manipulation is obvious. So would partial public financing of campaign ads increase the ability of voters to make appropriate vote choices? In "Campaign Finance and Voter Welfare with Entrenched Incumbents," Scott Ashworth constructs a formal model to assess the impact of public financing or contribution limits on voter welfare and to see how the incumbency advantage affects the tradeoffs that are involved in public financing and contribution limits. Ashworth's analytic results shed new light on how interest groups shape election outcomes and how potential campaign finance reforms could improve voter welfare.

Why do interest group representatives devote so much time and effort to dealing with legislators who already agree with them? This is just one of the anomalies that Richard L. Hall and Alan V. Deardorff address in "Lobbying as Legislative Subsidy." Examining lobbying in light of the opportunity costs for legislators, Hall and Deardorff posit that interest groups attempt to distribute legislators' resources more favorably, contrary to standard treatments of exchange and persuasion. This emphasis on the group mobilization of legislators shifts the focus of research away from tallies of roll call votes and toward the content of legislative activity.

How does the separation of powers among branches of government affect the strategies that interest groups pursue and their success in pursuing them? In "Judicial Lobbying: The Politics of Labor Law Constitutional Interpretation," Matias Iaryczower, Pablo T. Spiller, and Mariano Tommasi probe these questions through a combination of formal modeling and statistical analysis of judicial decisions in Argentina. A crucial consideration, Iaryczower and associates argue, is the extent of judicial independence from elective bodies. It follows that prior considerations of the effects of lobbying have sometimes been misdirected because they were focused on legislators rather than judges. At the same time, legislatures can impose constraints on courts. This argument underlines the importance of embedding analyses of lobbying in the larger institutional context rather than treating that context as a given.

This issue of the *APSR* closes with an article that is likely to attract considerable interest beyond its home field of judicial politics, and with two different analyses in the comparative political economy tradition.

Much of what governments do consists of talk of one sort or another: legislators debate, executives declaim, judges opine. Words, words, words... but to what effect? In "The Influence of Oral Arguments on the U.S. Supreme Court," Timothy R. Johnson, Paul J. Wahlbeck, and James F. Spriggs II bring to bear on that question a recently unearthed and highly provocative data source, the grades that a member of the Court, Associate Justice Harry Blackmun, assigned to the attorneys who made oral arguments before the Court. Does the quality of the attorneys' presentations hold any sway over justices' votes on the merits of the cases under consideration? The answer should be of interest not only to those eager for an unusually up-close look at how factors other than the characteristics of a case per se can affect the decisions of the Court, but more broadly to those interested in the ways in which information affects the choices of political decision makers.

Why do some governments implement policies intended to stifle economic development? In "Economic Backwardness in Political Perspective," Daron Acemoglu and James A. Robinson argue that political elites may oppose innovation if it threatens to erode their own political power. Acemoglu and Robinson's novel insight is that this is a curvilinear relationship. That is, those in power are more likely to block organizational or technological innovations when their position is neither secure nor seriously challenged. By contrast, when the rulers either are securely entrenched or are subject to strong political competition, they are more likely to promote economic development. Rather than depicting political elites as either champions or suppressors of economic change, then, Acemoglu and Robinson identify a basic contextual factor as the key to understanding the political response to economic backwardness.

It is commonplace to observe that voters care about the economy, but are they more influenced by the performance of the economy in general, or by their own pocketbooks? Kaspar Richter provides new perspective on this issue in "Wage Arrears and Economic Voting in Russia." Using an innovative matching estimation method to analyze data from the Russian Longitudinal Monitoring Survey, Richter finds that wage arrears had a strong impact on the willingness of workers to vote for the incumbent in the 1996 Russian presidential election, significantly lessening Boris Yeltsin's vote share in the second round polling. Consequently, the outcome of the election should be understood, not as a choice between communism and democracy, as it has often been portrayed, but as a reflection of individual economic interests. Richter's focus on wage arrears enables him simultaneously to explore the effects of an important economic and political phenomenon in Russia and to make an original contribution to the vast research literature on economic voting.

INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTRIBUTORS

General Considerations

The *APSR* strives to publish scholarly research of exceptional merit, focusing on important issues and

demonstrating the highest standards of excellence in conceptualization, exposition, methodology, and craftsmanship. Because the *APSR* reaches a diverse audience of scholars and practitioners, authors must demonstrate how their analysis illuminates a significant research problem, or answers an important research question, of general interest in political science. For the same reason, authors must strive for a presentation that will be understandable to as many scholars as possible, consistent with the nature of their material.

The *APSR* publishes original work. Therefore, authors should not submit articles containing tables, figures, or substantial amounts of text that have already been published or are forthcoming in other places, or that have been included in other manuscripts submitted for review to book publishers or periodicals (including on-line journals). In many such cases, subsequent publication of this material would violate the copyright of the other publisher. The *APSR* also does not consider papers that are currently under review by other journals or duplicate or overlap with parts of larger manuscripts that have been submitted to other publishers (including publishers of both books and periodicals). Submission of manuscripts substantially similar to those submitted or published elsewhere, or as part of a book or other larger work, is also strongly discouraged. If you have any questions about whether these policies apply in your particular case, you should discuss any such publications related to a submission in a cover letter to the Editor. You should also notify the Editor of any related submissions to other publishers, whether for book or periodical publication, that occur while a manuscript is under review by the *APSR* and which would fall within the scope of this policy. The Editor may request copies of related publications.

If your manuscript contains quantitative evidence and analysis, you should describe your procedures in sufficient detail to permit reviewers to understand and evaluate what has been done and, in the event that the article is accepted for publication, to permit other scholars to carry out similar analyses on other data sets. For example, for surveys, at the least, sampling procedures, response rates, and question wordings should be given; you should calculate response rates according to one of the standard formulas given by the American Association for Public Opinion Research, *Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys* (Ann Arbor, MI: AAPOR, 2000). This document is available on the Internet at <http://www.aapor.org/default.asp?page=survey_methods/standards_and_best_practices/standard_definitions>. For experiments, provide full descriptions of experimental protocols, methods of subject recruitment and selection, subject payments and debriefing procedures, and so on. Articles should be self-contained, so you should not simply refer readers to other publications for descriptions of these basic research procedures.

Please indicate variables included in statistical analyses by capitalizing the first letter in the variable name and italicizing the entire variable name the first time each is mentioned in the text. You should also use

the same names for variables in text and tables and, wherever possible, should avoid the use of acronyms and computer abbreviations when discussing variables in the text. All variables appearing in tables should have been mentioned in the text and the reason for their inclusion discussed.

As part of the review process, you may be asked to submit additional documentation if procedures are not sufficiently clear; the review process works most efficiently if such information is given in the initial submission. If you advise readers that additional information is available, you should submit printed copies of that information with the manuscript. If the amount of this supplementary information is extensive, please inquire about alternate procedures.

The *APSR* uses a double-blind review process. You should follow the guidelines for preparing anonymous copies in the Specific Procedures section below.

Manuscripts that are largely or entirely critiques or commentaries on previously published *APSR* articles will be reviewed using the same general procedures as for other manuscripts, with one exception. In addition to the usual number of reviewers, such manuscripts will also be sent to the scholar(s) whose work is being criticized, in the same anonymous form that they are sent to reviewers. Comments from the original author(s) to the Editor will be invited as a supplement to the advice of reviewers. This notice to the original author(s) is intended (1) to encourage review of the details of analyses or research procedures that might escape the notice of disinterested reviewers; (2) to enable prompt publication of critiques by supplying criticized authors with early notice of their existence and, therefore, more adequate time to reply; and (3) as a courtesy to criticized authors. If you submit such a manuscript, you should therefore send as many additional copies of their manuscripts as will be required for this purpose.

Manuscripts being submitted for publication should be sent to Lee Sigelman, Editor, *American Political Science Review*, Department of Political Science, The George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052. Correspondence concerning manuscripts under review may be sent to the same address or e-mailed to apsr@gwu.edu.

Manuscript Formatting

Manuscripts should not be longer than 45 pages including text, all tables and figures, notes, references, and appendices. This page size guideline is based on the U.S. standard 8.5 × 11-inch paper; if you are submitting a manuscript printed on longer paper, you must adjust accordingly. The font size must be at least 11 points for all parts of the paper, including notes and references. The entire paper, including notes and references, must be double-spaced, with the sole exception of tables for which double-spacing would require a second page otherwise not needed. All pages should be numbered in one sequence, and text should be formatted using a normal single column no wider than 6.5 inches, as is typical for manuscripts (rather than the double-column format of the published version of the *APSR*), and printed on

one side of the page only. Include an abstract of no more than 150 words. The *APSR* style of embedded citations should be used, and there must be a separate list of references at the end of the manuscript. Do not use notes for simple citations. These specifications are designed to make it easier for reviewers to read and evaluate papers. Papers not adhering to these guidelines are subject to being rejected without review.

For submission and review purposes, you may place footnotes at the bottom of the pages instead of using endnotes, and you may locate tables and figures (on separate pages and only one to a page) approximately where they fall in the text. However, manuscripts accepted for publication must be submitted with endnotes, and with tables and figures on separate pages at the back of the manuscript with standard indications of text placement, e.g., [Table 3 about here]. In deciding how to format your initial submission, please consider the necessity of making these changes if your paper is accepted. If your paper is accepted for publication, you will also be required to submit camera-ready copy of graphs or other types of figures. Instructions will be provided.

For specific formatting style of citations and references, please refer to articles in the most recent issue of the *APSR*. For unusual style or formatting issues, you should consult the latest edition of *The Chicago Manual of Style*. For review purposes, citations and references need not be in specific *APSR* format, although some generally accepted format should be used, and all citation and reference information should be provided.

Specific Procedures

Please follow these specific procedures for submission:

1. You are invited to submit a list of scholars who would be appropriate reviewers of your manuscript. The Editor will refer to this list in selecting reviewers, though there obviously can be no guarantee that those you suggest will actually be chosen. Do not list anyone who has already commented on your paper or an earlier version of it, or any of your current or recent collaborators, institutional colleagues, mentors, students, or close friends.
2. Submit five copies of manuscripts *and* a diskette or CD containing a pdf file of the anonymous version of the manuscript. If you cannot save the manuscript as a pdf, just send in the diskette or CD with the word-processed version. Please ensure that the paper and diskette or CD versions you submit are identical; the diskette or CD version should be of the **anonymous** copy (see below). Please review all pages of all copies to make sure that all copies contain all tables, figures, appendices, and bibliography mentioned in the manuscript and that all pages are legible. Label the diskette or CD clearly with the (first) author's name and the title of

the manuscript (in abridged form if need be), and identify the word processing program and operating system. If you are unable to create a diskette or CD, please note this in your submission, and you will be asked to e-mail the appropriate file.

3. To comply with the *APSR's* procedure of double-blind peer reviews, only one of the five copies submitted should be fully identified as to authorship and four should be in anonymous format.
4. For anonymous copies, if it is important to the development of the paper that your previous publications be cited, please do this in a way that does not make the authorship of the submitted paper obvious. This is usually most easily accomplished by referring to yourself in the third person and including normal references to the work cited in the list of references. In no circumstances should your prior publications be included in the bibliography in their normal alphabetical location but with your name deleted. Assuming that text references to your previous work are in the third person, you should include full citations as usual in the bibliography. Please discuss the use of other procedures to render manuscripts anonymous with the Editor prior to submission. You should not thank colleagues in notes or elsewhere in the body of the paper or mention institution names, web page addresses, or other potentially identifying information. *All acknowledgments must appear on the title page of the identified copy only.* Manuscripts that are judged not anonymous will not be reviewed.
5. The first page of the four anonymous copies should contain only the title and an abstract of no more than 150 words. The first page of the identified copy should contain (a) the name, academic rank, institutional affiliation, and contact information (mailing address, telephone, fax, e-mail address) for all authors; (b) in the case of multiple authors, an indication of the author who will receive correspondence; (c) any relevant citations to your previous work that have been omitted from the anonymous copies; and (d) acknowledgments, including the names of anyone who has provided comments on the manuscript. If the identified copy contains any unique references or is worded differently in any way, please mark this copy with "Contains author citations" at the top of the first page.

No copies of submitted manuscripts can be returned.

ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO THE *APSR*

Back issues of the *APSR* are available in several electronic formats and through several vendors. Except for the last three years (as an annually "moving wall"), back issues of the *APSR* beginning with Volume 1,

Number 1 (November 1906), are available on-line through JSTOR (<http://www.jstor.org/>). At present, JSTOR's complete journal collection is available only via institutional subscription, e.g., through many college and university libraries. For APSA members who do not have access to an institutional subscription to JSTOR, individual subscriptions to its *APSR* content are available. Please contact Member Services at APSA for further information, including annual subscription fees.

Individual members of the American Political Science Association can access recent issues of the *APSR* and *PS* through the APSA website (www.apsanet.org) with their username and password. Individual non-member access to the online edition will also be available, but only through institutions that hold either a print-plus-electronic subscription or an electronic-only subscription, provided the institution has registered and activated its online subscription.

Full text access to current issues of both the *APSR* and *PS* is also available on-line by library subscription from a number of database vendors. Currently, these include University Microfilms Inc. (UMI) (via its CD-ROMs General Periodicals Online and Social Science Index and the on-line database ProQuest Direct), Online Computer Library Center (OCLC) (through its on-line database First Search as well as on CD-ROMs and magnetic tape), and the Information Access Company (IAC) (through its products Expanded Academic Index, InfoTrac, and several on-line services [see below]). Others may be added from time to time.

The *APSR* is also available on databases through six online services: Datarstar (Datarstar), Business Library (Dow Jones), Cognito (IAC), Encarta Online Library (IAC), IAC Business (Dialog), and Newsearch (Dialog).

The editorial office of the *APSR* is not involved in the subscription process to either JSTOR for back issues or the other vendors for current issues. Please contact APSA, your reference librarian, or the database vendor for further information about availability.

BOOK REVIEWS

The *APSR* no longer contains book reviews. As of 2003, book reviews have moved to *Perspectives on Politics*. All books for review should be sent to the *Perspectives on Politics* Book Review Editor, Jeffrey C. Isaac. The address is Professor Jeffrey C. Isaac, Review Editor, *Perspectives on Politics*, Department of Political Science, Woodburn Hall, 1100 E. 7th St., Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7110. E-mail: isaac@indiana.edu.

If you are the author of a book you wish to be considered for review, please ask your publisher to send a copy to the *Perspectives on Politics* Book Review Editors per the mailing instructions above. If you are interested in reviewing books for *Perspectives on Politics*, please send your vita to the Book Review Editors; you should not ask to review a specific book.

OTHER CORRESPONDENCE

The American Political Science Association's address, telephone, and fax are 1527 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, (202) 483-2512 (voice), and (202) 483-2657 (fax). E-mail: apsa@apsanet.org. Please direct correspondence as follows.

Information, including news and notes, for *PS*:

Dr. Robert J-P. Hauck, Editor, *PS*
E-mail: rhauck@apsanet.org

Circulation and subscription correspondence (domestic claims for nonreceipt of issues must be made within four months of the month of publication; overseas claims, within eight months):

Sean Twombly,
Director of Member Services
E-mail: membership@apsanet.org

Reprint permissions:
E-mail: Rights@cambridge.org

Advertising information and rates:

Advertising Coordinator,
Cambridge University Press
E-mail: advertising@apsanet.org

EXPEDITING REQUESTS FOR COPYING APSR AND PS ARTICLES FOR CLASS USE AND OTHER PURPOSES

Class Use

The Comprehensive Publisher Photocopy Agreement between APSA and the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) permits bookstores and copy centers to receive expedited clearance to copy articles from the *APSR* and *PS* in compliance with the Association's policies and applicable fees. The general fee for articles is 75 cents per copy. However, current Association policy levies no fee for the first 10 copies of a printed article, whether in course packs or on reserve. Smaller classes that rely heavily on articles (i.e., upper-level undergraduate and graduate classes) can take advantage of this provision, and faculty ordering 10 or fewer course packs should bring it to the attention of course pack providers. APSA policy also permits free use of the electronic library reserve, with no limit on the number of students who can access the electronic reserve. Both large and small classes that rely on these articles can take advantage of this provision. The CCC's address, telephone, and fax are 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (978) 750-8400 (voice), and (978) 750-4474 (fax). This agreement pertains only to the reproduction and distribution of APSA materials as hard copies (e.g., photocopies, microfilm, and microfiche).

The Association of American Publishers (AAP) has created a standardized form for college faculty

to submit to a copy center or bookstore to request copyrighted material for course packs. The form is available through the CCC, which will handle copyright permissions.

APSA also has a separate agreement pertaining to CCC's Academic E-Reserve Service. This agreement allows electronic access for students and instructors of a designated class at a designated institution for a specified article or set of articles in electronic format. Access is by password for the duration of a class.

Please contact your librarian, the CCC, or the APSA Reprints Department for further information.

APSR Authors

If you are the author of an *APSR* article, you may use your article in course packs or other printed materials without payment of royalty fees and you may post it at personal or institutional web sites as long as the APSA copyright notice is included.

Other Uses of APSA-Copyrighted Materials

For any further copyright issues, please contact the APSA Reprints Department.

INDEXING

Articles appearing in the *APSR* before June 1953 were indexed in *The Reader's Guide to Periodical Literature*. Current issues are indexed in *ABC Pol Sci*; *America*, *History and Life 1954-*; Book Review Index; Current Contents: Social and Behavioral Sciences; Econ-Lit; *Energy Information Abstracts*; Environmental Abstracts; Historical Abstracts; Index of Economic Articles; Information Service Bulletin; International Index; International Political Science Abstracts; the *Journal of Economic Literature*; Periodical Abstracts; Public Affairs; Public Affairs Information Service International Recently Published Articles; Reference Sources; Social Sciences and Humanities Index; Social Sciences Index; Social Work Research and Abstracts; and Writings on American History. Some of these sources may be available in electronic form through local public or educational libraries. Microfilm of the *APSR*, beginning with Volume 1, and the index of the *APSR* through 1969 are available through University Microfilms Inc., 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48106 (www.umi.com). The Cumulative Index to the *American Political Science Review*, Volumes 63 to 89: 1969-95, is available through the APSA.

ERRATA

In the last issue of *APSR* (99:4, 543) there was a small error in Figure 2 of "Military Coercion in Interstate Crises" by Branislav L. Slantchev. Please visit the author's website <<<<http://polisci.ucsd.edu/slantchev/published/pdf/bs003x020.pdf>>>> for a corrected version of the figure.