Epilepsy refers to recurrent (two or more) unprovoked seizures. Photosensitivity is defined as an abnormal electroencephalographic or clinical response to light. Seizures triggered by light stimuli have been known as photosensitive seizures. The International League against Epilepsy (ILAE) has proposed substituting the word “photosensitive” by the term “visual-sensitive”. Seizures and epileptic syndromes triggered by stimuli are designated as “reflex”. Visual-sensitive are the most common of the reflex seizures, perhaps because there are many “seizure-triggering visual stimuli” in the environment.

The electroencephalogram (EEG) incorporating intermittent photic stimulation (IPS) has become an essential tool for studying visual-sensitive seizures. The introduction, first of television (TV) and then of video games, created clinical interest in the subject to which many, primarily from Europe, have contributed. However, clinical and electroencephalographic methods have often varied between studies, confounding information on the subject. The “Pokemon epidemic” catalyzed new efforts to understand and address visual-sensitive seizures. Fortunately, several recent initiatives should promote uniformity. Kastelein-Nolst Trenité et al have proposed a classification for visual-sensitive epilepsy syndromes. Specific suggestions have also been made for standardizing methods for IPS in Europe. The ILAE is in the process of revising the classification for epileptic seizures and syndromes. Visual-sensitive seizures have not yet generated much interest in North America. For all these reasons, we felt that a review of the topic would be timely.

**Visual stimuli that evoke visual-sensitive seizures**

1. **Simple Flicker** (Intermittent light stimulation)

Several common stimuli may provoke seizures. These include: flickering sunlight when traveling through avenues of trees or reflected off the sea or snow; lightning; headlights of cars; flickering light during movies, in amusement arcades, in discotheques, or from Christmas lights.

**ABSTRACT:** Photosensitivity, photosensitive seizures, and photosensitive epilepsy are discussed. The International League against Epilepsy has suggested the term “visual-sensitive” replace “photosensitive”. Visual-sensitive seizures may be more common than is realized. A classification for visual-sensitive epilepsies is presented. Chromosomal and DNA testing may help to refine the classification further. A standardized approach for neurophysiologic testing, such as that proposed by European experts, is recommended. These steps should promote evidenced-based management for this group of disorders.
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(2) Patterns

The first case of pattern-sensitive seizures was described by Bickford et al.23 Since then, several investigators have discussed the subject.4,5,17,23,27a Reported triggers include striped wallpaper, furnishings, clothing, Venetian blinds, picket fences, escalator treads, and air conditioner grills; ironing striped garments was considered particularly seizure evoking.17 Alternating black and white patterns or gratings oscillating in a direction orthogonal to their line orientation were highly epileptogenic; paroxysmal activity was very likely when the pattern changed direction 10 to 20 times per second, and patterns that differed in brightness were more epileptogenic than those that differed in color.17

(3) Self-Induction

Some children may self-induce seizures by (i) moving their hands in front of their eyes, (ii) eye-closure or (iii) staring at patterns.5,11,14,17,23,27,28 The case reported by Bickford et al23 would self-induce seizures by “actively gazing” at window screens or patterned fabrics on his father’s tie, jacket, or coat.23,27 Self-induction, as a trigger may be overlooked.28

(4) Television (TV) and Electronic screen (video) games

(a) TV: Jeavons & Harding11 credit Livingston as being the first to report “epileptic seizures” whilst watching TV. On the evening of December 16, 1997, around 700 children in Japan had seizures whilst watching a popular animated cartoon “Pokemon”. Most of the seizures occurred during a scene in which red and blue frames alternated at 12 Hz for 4 seconds.29,31

(b) Video games32-36 Quirk et al33 estimated that in Great Britain, within the 7 to 19 years age group, the annual incidence of first seizures triggered by playing video games was 1.5/100,000.33

(c) Mechanisms responsible for TV and video game provoked seizures. A number of factors are responsible:

Innate

An age-dependent genetic predisposition to visual-sensitive seizures from provoking stimuli is the most important cause.8,14,19,37 However, since visual-sensitive seizures can occur in those with symptomatic epilepsies, acquired factors must also play a role. Withdrawal of anti-convulsant treatment, sleep deprivation, and fever in children may enhance the risk in the predisposed.8,14,32,36,37

Technical

The TV screen is the most common stimulus for visual-sensitive seizures whether it is used for viewing broadcast material, video play back or video games.14,28 Television sets generate flicker at the frequency of the mains current, even when the set is on without a displayed image.8,38 The frequency of the AC mains current, 50Hz in Europe and 60Hz in North America, is a key but not sole determinant of TV provoked seizures.5,11,14,37,38 Harding & Jeavons14 found that 61% of their subjects had abnormalities to 50Hz IPS compared to 22% at 60Hz IPS, explaining the greater incidence of TV related seizures in Europe compared to North America.14,38 A 100Hz screen reduces the risk of attacks.34,35

Pattern sensitivity may also be involved in TV related seizures14,38,39 and is an important trigger for seizures provoked by video games.32-36 Some programs are more likely to evoke seizures than others; programs with steady maximal brightness (defined as the brightness of the brightest scene steadily present in a program for >10 seconds) exceeding 100 lux were found to be activating but those with <50 lux were considered relatively safe.36,40

Additionally, a number of other factors also make a contribution.14,32-37 These include: (i) high contrast between images, for example bright and dark frames alternating, (ii) speed of image change (image changes slower than three per second carry the lowest risk), (iii) color; red flicker and alternating red and blue color were found to be most provocative, and (iv) short distance from the screen, typically under 60 cms or approaching the TV to adjust the set. Cognitive processes that occur during play may be important in provoking video game related seizures.3,32-37

(5) Other visual stimuli and visual-sensitive seizures

Fixation-off seizures (FOS) or EEG abnormalities are provoked by eliminating central vision and fixation for example when eyes are closed in a lighted room or when fixation is abolished.17,18

Scotosensitive seizures or EEG abnormalities occur in total darkness or when there is marked reduction of background light; thus, seizures often occur in darkness or when going into a dim lit room from bright sunlight.17,18

Both types may co-exist with sensitivity to IPS.18

Electrophysiological data

(A) Normal response

The normal EEG response to photic stimulation is characterized by the occurrence of visual evoked potentials time-locked to the flash frequency; sub-or suprathreshold responses
may occur.\textsuperscript{20}

(B) \textbf{EEG abnormalities in visual-sensitive patients}

The literature is confounded by varying definitions of abnormal responses, and differences in all aspects of the recording technique. The terms, “photoconvulsive response” and “photoparoxysmal response” (PPR) have been used to describe the EEG abnormalities during IPS. Photoparoxysmal response is preferred because convulsive refers to a clinical phenomenon.

Several sub-types of responses to IPS have been described and their significance debated.\textsuperscript{20,41-44} Reilly & Peters classified the response to IPS into one of four groups:\textsuperscript{41}

(i) \textit{Prolonged}. Epileptiform complexes or paroxysmal slow activity continuing beyond termination of the stimulus and occurring at a frequency or frequencies unrelated to the flash were called prolonged (Figure 1). If the response consisted of spikes or sharp and slow wave complexes, it was considered prolonged only if the last fast component clearly occurred more than 100 msec after the last flash.

(ii) \textit{Self-limited}. These responses were morphologically similar to that described for the prolonged class but ended either spontaneously during the flash (Figures 2 & 3) or ended at the same time as the flash.

(iii) \textit{Flash-dependent}. Responses clearly time-locked to the flash rate that contained sharp or spike components were called flash-dependent. Generally, they persisted as long as the flashes continued but some of them ceased spontaneously, and

(iv) \textit{Photomyoclonic}. This response was generally limited to and always involved the anterior portion of the head. It consisted of muscle responses related to individual flashes.

Reilly and Peters\textsuperscript{41} found that some patients showed more than one type of response (Figures 1, 2, & 3 are from the same EEG). They also observed that the duration of the response in relation to the flashes was related to its diagnostic value. Responses continuing beyond the flash train were highly associated with a convulsive disorder; self-limited and flash-dependent responses were not as highly linked, whilst photomyoclonic responses were not related to epilepsy, an opinion shared by others.\textsuperscript{1,15} Several investigators have confirmed that generalized PPRs have a high association with epilepsy (70%-90%) and visual-sensitive seizures (60%).\textsuperscript{15,20,41-44}

In 1999, The International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology defined PPR as: “abnormal response to intermittent photic stimulation characterized by spike-and-slow-wave and polyspike-and-slow-wave complexes. Responses are graded from occipital spikes time-locked to the flashes to generalized epileptiform discharges which may outlast the stimulus by a few seconds.”\textsuperscript{45} The universal adoption of this definition should enhance consistency between studies on PPRs. Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenité DG et al\textsuperscript{20} have proposed a classification of EEG responses to IPS. The classification is similar to that described by Reilly and Peters\textsuperscript{41}:

1. \textit{Photic following}: (a) at flash rate or (b) at harmonics; we suggest the addition of (c) asymmetric

2. \textit{Orbitofrontal photomyoclonus}

3. \textit{Posterior–stimulus-dependent response}. Examples would include occipital spikes and the high-amplitude visual evoked potentials seen in neuronal ceroid lipofuscinosis.

4. \textit{Posterior-stimulus-independent response}

(a) \textit{Limited to stimulus train}. The activity is confined to or maximal over the posterior region of the head and is independent of flash frequency. The response could be epileptiform or in the delta or theta ranges, or

(b) \textit{Self-sustaining}. These outlast the stimulus train.

5. \textit{Generalized PPR}

(a) \textit{Limited to the stimulus train}, or

(b) \textit{Self-sustaining and}

6. \textit{Activation of pre-existing epileptogenic area}, an entity they
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\caption{Generalized limited PPR. Relatively synchronous poly-spike slow wave paroxysm at 15 Hz stimulation (with eyes closed). No overt clinical correlate. Same patient as in Figure 1.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image2.png}
\caption{Generalized limited PPR. Relatively synchronous spike-slow wave paroxysm at 6 Hz stimulation (with eyes closed). No overt clinical correlate. Note the brief occurrence of a generalized fragment (or a frontally predominant) spike-slow wave paroxysm unrelated to photic stimulation. Same patient as in Figures 1 and 2. She also had similar abnormalities in the base-line record.}
\end{figure}
A classification for visual-sensitive epilepsies

Readers should consult the original reference - found unequivocal PPRs in 8.3% of 743 normal children aged one year to 15 years, studied cross-sectionally. Newmark and Penry concluded that from the information then available, that less than 2% of presumed nonepileptic subjects would have a PPR. The prevalence of abnormal EEG responses to IPS is estimated to range from 0.5% to 5%. Roy et al found that 14 of 1940 (0.72%) entrants for training as pilots had a PPR on the EEG (one had a seizure during testing), compared to 3% of 1000 with known epilepsy.

(d) The genetics of the PPR

The PPR in those with idiopathic generalized visual-sensitive epilepsy and in their nonepileptic relatives is likely inherited on an autosomal dominant basis with the maximum age of penetrance being 5-20 years. However, visual-sensitivity in affected individuals may persist into adult life.

(e) Technical aspects

Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenité et al. described a consensus proposal from European experts, to standardize IPS testing, a proposal endorsed by Zifkin & Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenité and Rubboli et al. We provide a brief summary of the proposal:

(i) The Grass PS22 photostimulator is considered the gold standard.

(ii) IPS should be performed three minutes after hyperventilation. Patient should be positioned 30 cm from the photic stimulator (nasion to lamp), with dim surrounding light. Flashes should be delivered in separate trains of ten seconds (s) for each frequency. The eyes should be open for the first 5 s and closed for the next 5 s. An interval of 7 s should separate each train. The following frequencies should be used: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, and 20 Hz and then 60, 50, 40, 30, and 25 Hz. The stimulator should be turned off if a generalized PPR is seen and testing stopped.

We are unaware of a similar initiative to test for pattern sensitivity or video game induced seizures, although a number of authors have outlined methods to test for pattern sensitivity. Since negative epileptic myoclonus can occur during photic stimulation, EMG leads should also be applied and (a period of) testing may have to be done in the sitting position. Otherwise, the clinical phenomenon may be missed.

The American EEG Society, the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology, and the task force of the Canadian Society of Clinical Neurophysiologists make only passing reference to IPS.

THE PROPOSED ILAE CLASSIFICATION

The ILAE has proposed a new diagnostic scheme for “people with epileptic seizures and epilepsy” to replace the current classifications. Readers should consult the original reference as detailed discussion of the proposal is beyond the scope of this paper.

**Axis 1:** A description of ictal phenomenology.

**Axis 2:** Epileptic seizure type and precipitating factors for reflex seizures. The proposal incorporates “reflex seizures in generalized epilepsy syndromes” under “Generalized Seizures” and “reflex seizures in focal epilepsy syndromes” under “Focal Seizures”. Within this axis, the ILAE has included “precipitating stimuli for reflex seizures”, visual stimuli forming a subtype. The nature of the visual mechanism provoking the seizure should be specified in this axis and clinical and EEG data used to determine if the seizure is generalized or focal.

**Axis 3:** Syndromic diagnosis wherever possible. Reflex epilepsies, a category “still under discussion”, are included among the syndromes.

**Axis 4:** Etiology. Presumably, in this axis, one can use the terms idiopathic, symptomatic, or probably symptomatic (formerly cryptogenic) to designate the cause.

**Axis 5:** This is meant to designate impairment from the epilepsy.

A PROPOSED CLASSIFICATION FOR VISUAL-SENSITIVE SEIZURES AND EPILEPSIES

The ILAE proposed the use of the term “visual-sensitive” rather than “photosensitive” but a definition is not provided. We would define visual-sensitive seizures as seizures provoked by visual stimuli or alteration of visual stimuli. Thus, visual stimuli would encompass (i) positive stimuli such as simple flicker, patterns, TV, video games, abrupt change of background lighting and fixation off, (ii) negative stimuli such as darkness and (iii) self-induced triggers/mechanisms.

The ILAE “anticipated” distinct “approaches to organization, categorization, and classification” of epilepsy syndromes for specific purposes. A classification for visual-sensitive epilepsies would comply with this philosophy. The following classification for the visual-sensitive epilepsies is a slight modification of that discussed by Kasteleijn-Nolst Trenité et al. and Guerrini & Genton. The classification is based on the frame-work formulated by the ILAE and can be easily revised as knowledge accrues.

I. Seizure type

Visual-sensitive seizures may be generalized (tonic-clonic, absence, myoclonic, etc.) or focal (secondarily generalized; motor; sensory with elementary visual or non-visual and experiential symptoms, etc.). The triggers for such seizures may be overlooked without careful history taking; sensory seizures, absence seizures or seizures with “subtle” motor manifestations may not be reported by patients or recorded by observers.

II. Visual-sensitive Epilepsy Syndromes

A. Idiopathic

1. Generalized

a. Visual-sensitive generalized epilepsy: Seizures are generalized, usually manifesting between five years and 19 years of age; females are more affected than males and there is a tendency for visual-sensitive seizures to decrease but not disappear after 25 years of age. The EEG shows a PPR and there
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is a family history of similar seizures and EEG responses.

1b. Pattern-sensitive epilepsy: Brinciotti et al\textsuperscript{62} described two unrelated families with individuals whose seizures were provoked by environmental visual patterns. Although those sensitive to patterns are also sensitive to flicker stimuli, some patients with pattern-sensitive epilepsy do not show any abnormality to IPS.\textsuperscript{14} Further observations are necessary to determine if idiopathic pattern-sensitive epilepsy is distinct from the better-described idiopathic visual-sensitive epilepsy in which flicker is the principal trigger. Overlap cases may well occur.

1c. Other idiopathic generalized visual-sensitive epilepsies: In this group, seizures occur spontaneously but are also triggered by visual stimuli; PPRs may be seen on the EEG; examples in this category would include:

(i) Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME)
(ii) Childhood absence epilepsy (CAE)
(iii) Juvenile absence epilepsy (JAE)
(iv) Epilepsy with myoclonic astatic seizures
(v) Epilepsy with myoclonic absences, and
(vi) Benign myoclonic epilepsy in infancy

In the study of Wolf \& Goosses,\textsuperscript{63} 18\% of those with CAE, 7.5\% of those with JAE and 30.5\% of those with JME were found to be visual-sensitive to IPS.

2. Focal. A number of authors have discussed cases that would fall into this group\textsuperscript{17,18,64-67} Examples in this category include:

(i) (Idiopathic visual-sensitive) Occipital lobe epilepsy
(ii) Early and late onset childhood occipital epilepsy and
(iii) Photogenic partial seizures

FOS and scotosensitive seizures often occur in childhood occipital epilepsy.\textsuperscript{17,18} Taylor et al\textsuperscript{68} have drawn attention to the phenotypic overlap between JME and idiopathic photosensitive (visual-sensitive) occipital lobe epilepsy suggesting “shared genetic determinants” between these two epilepsy syndromes, one regarded as generalized, and the other as focal.

B. Symptomatic

1. Generalized

Symptomatic or probably symptomatic (formerly, cryptogenic) generalized visual-sensitive epilepsies: In this group, visual-sensitive seizures constitute only one aspect of the symptomatology and there is an underlying cause. Examples within this class include:

(i) Progressive myoclonus/myoclonic epilepsies (example: ceroid lipofuscinosi, Lafora disease and myoclonic epilepsy with ragged red fibers MERRF); Ohtsuka et al\textsuperscript{69} described two siblings with MERRF who had an abnormal response to IPS but did not have clinical visual-sensitive seizures and
(ii) Chromosomal abnormality. Example: Refractory myoclonic visual-sensitive (photosensitive) epilepsy with complex rearrangement of chromosome 2.\textsuperscript{70}

2. Focal.

Symptomatic focal visual-sensitive epilepsies:

Examples within this category include:

(i) Occipital lobe epilepsies\textsuperscript{71-72}
(ii) Temporal lobe epilepsy with focal PPR\textsuperscript{73-75} and
(iii) Frontal lobe epilepsy (partial complex seizures) with ring 20 chromosome anomaly.\textsuperscript{76} Seizures in this child often occurred whilst playing video games.

C. Visual-sensitive epilepsies not classifiable as either idiopathic or symptomatic

Dravet Syndrome (severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy) would be an example in this category. Infants with this condition have been reported to be sensitive to constant bright illumination and may self-induce seizures.\textsuperscript{77} Prospective studies are needed to determine if some visual stimuli are relatively specific for particular epilepsy syndromes.

Reading epilepsy is classified separately from the visual-sensitive epilepsies.\textsuperscript{3,4,78}

EVIDENCE FOR FOCAL OR REGIONAL ORIGIN OF VISUAL-SENSITIVE SEIZURES

Clinical,\textsuperscript{15-18,20,37,38,61,64,65} EEG,\textsuperscript{12,13,15} functional MRI,\textsuperscript{79} magnetoencephalographic,\textsuperscript{80} physiologic\textsuperscript{81,82} and experimental data\textsuperscript{83,84} strongly support a focal or regional (occipital) origin for visual-sensitive seizures. Hennessy \& Binnie\textsuperscript{60} suggested that all visual-sensitive seizures by definition might be partial with rapid secondary generalization, originating in the visual cortex. Wilkins et al\textsuperscript{62} share this opinion and suggest that in “visually sensitive individuals,” cortical neurons in the occipital cortex have characteristics that contribute to seizure precipitation. The corpus callosum plays an important role in propagation between hemispheres.\textsuperscript{85}

NEUROTRANSMITTER AND MOLECULAR GENETIC MECHANISMS

Disturbances in dopaminergic\textsuperscript{86} and serotonergic\textsuperscript{87} mechanisms have been proposed. Cossette et al\textsuperscript{88} reported a mutation in the GABRA1 gene on chromosome 5 in a family with JME.\textsuperscript{88} All affected members had generalized polyspike and waves with visual sensitivity. However, a correlation between this mutation and visual sensitivity is unlikely as individual IV-2 who had a PPR without clinical seizures did not have the mutation. Chromosome 2 apparently harbors genes involved in voltage-gated ion channels and neurotransmitters, genes which may be involved in visual-sensitivity.\textsuperscript{70} Stephani et al\textsuperscript{50} have discussed candidate genes with promising links to the PPR. Recently, Pinto et al\textsuperscript{89} described possible linkage for the PPR to chromosomal regions 7q32 and 16p13.

MANAGEMENT

We have summarized the data from several sources.\textsuperscript{1,5,11,14,16-18,24,25,32,54,80} In predisposed individuals, the risk of seizures may be minimized by covering one eye before exposure to provoking stimuli.

(1) Minimizing the risk of video game/TV induced seizures

(i) The viewing distance should be at least four times the diagonal screen measurement. Dr. Takahashi (personal communication) suggests a viewing distance of at least three meters.
(ii) The room should be well lighted.
(iii) Prolonged play (example > one hour per session) should be avoided.
(iv) The subject should not play when sleep deprived or febrile.
(v) Binnie et al\(^2\) suggest that people with known epilepsy or a family history of photosensitivity should not play electronic games until an EEG examination including a period of patterned photic stimulation has been carried out. 
(vi) Those known to be visually sensitive should not play electronic games when they are alone. 
(vii) The subject should not approach the TV screen to adjust the set. 
(viii) From a technical viewpoint, screens with 100-Hz., games of < 50 lux and the use of special optical filters or glasses may minimize the risk of visual-sensitive seizures.\(^{31,92}\)

(2) Minimizing the risk of seizures provoked by other visual stimuli. 

(i) Photosensitive subjects should wear polarized glasses in the sun. 
(ii) Seizures that occur exclusively in darkness (example at night) may be minimized with the use of a night light.\(^{18}\)

(3) Those who have both unprovoked and visual-sensitive seizures should be treated with an anticonvulsant as should those who have frequent visual-sensitive seizures because of poor compliance to preventative measures. Valproic acid is considered the first choice in this situation but the benzodiazepines, clobazam, ethosuximide, lamotrigine, levetiracetam, and topiramate are apparently also effective.\(^{16,90}\) Covani et al\(^{9}\) list lamotrigine as their second choice. To the best of our knowledge, these opinions are not been based on randomized controlled trials. Prospective studies are needed to determine if lamotrigine would be a better choice than valproic acid for females of childbearing age with visual-sensitive epilepsy. De Bittencourt\(^37\) suggested that drugs like phenytoin and carbamazepine might provoke visual-sensitive seizures.

(4) Minimizing epileptogenic potential of electronic games and TV Programs

Standards to minimize visual-seizure seizures from televised programs have been adopted in some countries.\(^{7,9,3,9,4}\) Similar policies have been suggested for video material, electronic screen games, and the Internet.\(^9\)
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