α-Retinol and 3,4-didehydroretinol support growth in rats when fed at equimolar amounts and α-retinol is not toxic after repeated administration of large doses
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Abstract

Dietary α-carotene is present in oranges and purple-orange carrots. Upon the central cleavage of α-carotene in the intestine, α-retinol and retinal are formed and reduced to α-retinol (αR) and retinol. Previous reports have suggested that αR has 2% biopotency of all-trans-retinyl acetate due in part to its inability to bind to the retinol-binding protein. In the present work, we carried out three studies. Study 1 re-determined αR’s biopotency compared with retinol and 3,4-didehydroretinol in a growth assay. Weanling rats (n = 40) were fed a vitamin A-deficient diet for 8 weeks, divided into four treatment groups (n = 10/group) and orally dosed with 50 nmol/d retinyl acetate (14.3 μg retinol), α-retinol acetate (14.3 μg αR), 3,4-didehydroretinyl acetate (14.2 μg DR) or cottonseed oil (negative control). Supplementation was continued until the control rats exhibited deficiency signs 5 weeks after the start of supplementation. Body weights and AUC values for growth response were determined. The rats (n = 40) received 70 nmol retinyl acetate and 0, 17.5, 35 or 70 nmol α-retinyl acetate daily for 3 weeks. Although liver retinol concentrations differed among the groups, αR did not appreciably interfere with retinol storage. In study 3, the accumulation and disappearance of αR over time and potential liver pathology were determined. The rats (n = 15) were fed 3.5 μmol/d α-retinyl acetate for 21 d and the groups were killed at 1-, 2- and 3-week intervals. No liver toxicity was observed. In conclusion, αR and didehydroretinol are more biopotent than previously reported at sustained equimolar dosing of 50 nmol/d, which is an amount of retinol known to keep rats in vitamin A balance.
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Studies conducted in the 1950s suggested that α-retinol (αR) (Fig. 1) is a biologically inactive form of vitamin A, with approximately 2% biopotency being reported by comparing the results obtained in the rat growth and liver storage bioassays of the geometric isomers of vitamin A aldehyde with the US Pharmacopeia Vitamin A Reference Standard[1]. Another study reported 2.6% bioactivity[2], and Snieder et al.[3] confirmed the lack of bioactivity, but suggested that αR has partial vitamin A function. On more than one occasion, αR’s lack of bioactivity was eloquently discussed by Pitt[4–6]. In 1969, it was concluded that αR had only 2.1% of the activity of retinol (ROH) when assayed for growth-promoting activity in rats[7]. αR has been shown to be very effective at inducing signs of hypervitaminosis A in both in vitro and in vivo models[8]. On the other hand, Shantz & Brinkman[9] demonstrated that 3,4-didehydroretinol (DR; vitamin A₂), which is the predominant form of vitamin A in some freshwater fish, has 40% biological activity compared with ROH (vitamin A₁). Methods to quantify and selectively determine analogue concentrations in tissues were not available when these studies were carried out. A more recent study has quantified αR using HPLC after α-carotene dosing in gerbils and found significant liver αR storage[9]. Therefore, it was hypothesised that αR could be used as a chylomicron tag as it appeared to be sequestered in the liver.

The difference between the biological activity of αR and that of DR can be partially explained by the inability of αR compared with the ability of DR to bind to the retinol-binding protein (RBP), the specific carrier protein of ROH. RBP is synthesised by hepatic parenchymal cells as a 24 kDa precursor, which is then converted to the RBP by the co-translational removal of a 3.5 kDa polypeptide[10]. This protein product is called apo-RBP and bolo-RBP when complexed with ROH or an analogue[11]. Moreover, DR is carried by the retinol transporter from the intestine at the same rate as ROH[12]. In 1975, Muhlil & Gloeß[13] tested the binding affinity of ROH and its analogues to the RBP. Unlike ROH, αR failed to bind in vitro with apo-RBP, but DR did bind at a slower saturation time than ROH did. As a result, they suggested that the fission
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of the ring as in γ-retinol or changing the double bond from the 5,6-position (in β-retinol) to the 4,5-position as in αR prevents the compound from binding to the RBP.

These discoveries are consistent with the findings of a study carried out by Tanumihardjo & Howe(9), who found that αR is not present in the serum of gerbils fed β-carotene, even though abundant amounts are present in the liver. Liver αR concentration was found to be very similar to the difference in liver ROH concentrations of the α-carotene and control groups, consistent with the central cleavage of α-carotene in the intestinal brush border. In addition, α-carotene may have a negative effect on the absorption of β-carotene in rats(114).

In rats fed a mixture of α-carotene and β-carotene (1:2), liver ROH stores have been shown to be decreased compared with those fed β-carotene alone(114). This suggests a potential interaction or competition between αR and ROH, but the degree and site of interaction have not been clearly defined.

In the present study, we carried out three studies in rats. In study 1, we determined the biopotency of αR and DR compared with that of ROH in a controlled experiment where liver reserves could be accurately quantified with HPLC and ROH fed at a concentration known to keep rats in vitamin A balance, i.e. 50 nmol/d(115). In study 2, we investigated the influence of αR on liver ROH storage during graded feeding of αR to the rats while equalising the amounts of ROH across the treatment groups at a level higher than that used in study 1 to allow for some ROH storage. Therefore, the overall influence of physiologically graded doses of αR on the uptake and storage of ROH could be determined. In study 3, we determined the accumulation and disappearance of αR over time and potential liver pathology by feeding large doses of αR to the rats.

Materials and methods

Syntheses of α-retinyl acetate and 3,4-didehydroretinyl acetate

α-Retinyl acetate was synthesised using a previously described method for the synthesis of [13C]retinyl acetate(116), except that α-ionone (Sigma Aldrich) was substituted with β-ionone as the starting reagent and 13C was not added. 3,4-Didehydroretinyl acetate was synthesised using previously published methods(117) and stored at −70°C until use. The synthesised acetate esters were purified (>95%) on 8% water-deactivated neutral Al2O3, using hexanes and diethyl ether. The purity of both the compounds was confirmed by TLC, UV–visible spectrophotometry and HPLC equipped with photodiode array detection.

Animals and diet

Animal use was approved by the University of Wisconsin (UW)-Madison Animal Care and Use Committee, and all animal procedures were carried out by adhering to the Public Health Service Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The facilities of the UW College of Agriculture and Life Sciences are AAALAC (Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care) accredited and frequently inspected internally and externally to ensure compliance. The rats (n 98) were housed individually on aspen bedding in a temperature- and humidity-controlled environment under a 12 h light–12 h dark cycle. Shaven aspen wood was chosen as bedding as it absorbs moisture, eliminates odour and has low nutritional value. Maize cobs, which are another option, would have interfered with this bioassay due to kernel contamination. Upon arrival to the laboratory, the rats were fed a vitamin A-free purified diet ad libitum(118). The vitamin A-deficient diet (TD 04175; Harlan-Teklad) contained the following (in g/kg diet): casein (200); DL-methionine (3); sucrose (280); maize starch (215); maltodextrin (150); cellulose (50); soybean oil (55); mineral mix AIN-93G TD 94046 (35); calcium phosphate (3·2); vitamin mix without added A, D, E and choline TD 83171 (5); vitamin D3 (0·0044); vitamin E (0·242); choline dihydrogen citrate (3·5); tert-butylhydroquinone (TBHQ) (0·01).

Study 1

Rats were chosen for this study because they are a defined model for growth assays and could quickly be made vitamin A deficient as they are born with low stores. For the biopotency study, 21-d-old weanling male Sprague–Dawley rats (n 40) (Charles River) were fed a vitamin A-deficient diet and weighed daily for the duration of the study. At the beginning
of week 9, the rats were divided into four weight-matched
treatment groups (n 10/group) and orally dosed with 50 nmol
retinyl acetate (14·3 μg ROH), α-retinyl acetate (14·3 μg αR),
3,4-didehydroretinyl acetate (14·2 μg DR) or plain cottonseed
oil vehicle (negative control group). All the doses were
administered in 100 μl cottonseed oil. This dose (50 nmol)
was chosen because it maintains vitamin A balance in male
rats (19,20). The daily dosing regimen was continued until
most of the rats in the control group had abnormal secretions
on the eyes and 20% of these were showing severe signs
of vitamin A deficiency (i.e. scuffy coat and swollen ankles).
All the rats were killed 5 weeks after the start of supplemental
dosing, and tissue samples were collected. Aliquots of serum
and weighed samples of liver, kidney, spleen and lung were
analysed for ROH, αR and DR by HPLC.

Serum analyses

Serum was analysed using a published procedure (9) with
a slight modification. The internal standard C23 alcohol,
a synthetised β-apo-carotenol (9) (Fig. 1), was added to 500 μl
serum, and an equal volume of ethanol with 0·1% butylated
hydroxytoluene was added to the sample. The sample was
extracted three times with 1 ml hexane. Supernatant fractions
were pooled and dried under Ar. The extract was reconsti-
tuted in 100 μl methanol–dichloroethane (50:50, v/v), and
50 μl were injected into the HPLC system. The isotropic
HPLC system included a guard column, a Waters Symmetry®
C18 column (3·5 μm, 4·6 × 75 mm), a Waters Resolve™ C18 column (5 μm, 3·9 × 300 mm), a Rheodyne injector, a Shimadzu SPD-10A UV–VIS detector, a Waters Delta 600 pump and controller, and a Shimadzu C-R7A Plus
data processor. The mobile phase was acetonitrile–water
(87:5:12·5, v/v) with 10 mM-ammonium acetate as a modifier
at a flow rate of 0·7 ml/min.

Rat tissue analysis

Liver, kidney, lung and spleen samples were analysed using
published methods (9,10,11) with a minor modification. Tissue
samples (0·5 g) were ground with sodium sulphate (1·5 g) in
a mortar. Purified C23 alcohol was added to determine the
extraction efficiency. The tissue samples were extracted
repeatedly with dichloromethane to 10 ml; 5 ml were dried
under Ar. The film was redissolved in 0·75 ml ethanol and
saponified with 0·4 ml potassium hydroxide–water (50:50,
w/v) at 45°C for 30 min. The reaction was quenched with
0·5 ml water. The solution was extracted three times
with 0·5 ml hexane. The hexane layers were pooled, washed
with 0·5 ml water and dried under Ar. The film was redissolved
in 100 μl methanol–dichloroethane (50:50, v/v) for the liver
samples and 200 μl for the kidney, lung and spleen samples.
An aliquot of 50 μl was injected into the HPLC system for
the liver samples and that of 25 μl for the other tissue samples.
The Waters HPLC system included the columns described
above and a Waters 1525 binary HPLC pump, a 717
autosampler and a 996 photodiode array detector. The
mobile phase was the same as that mentioned above, but
the flow rate was 0·8 ml/min. The results obtained for tissue
vitamin A are reported as those for ‘retinol’, which included
retinol and retinyl esters because tissues were saponified.

Study 2

For the interaction study, 21-d-old weanling male Sprague–
Dawley rats (n 40) were fed a vitamin A-deficient diet for
2 weeks. The rats were divided into four treatment groups
(n 10/group). To allow for some liver ROH storage, in this
study, a dose of ROH higher than that used in study 1 was
used (i.e. 70 nmol as retinyl acetate), which has resulted in ade-
quate liver reserves over time in prior studies (18,22). Each group
was orally dosed with 70 nmol ROH/d and 0, 17·5, 35 or 70 nmol
αR (as α-retinyl acetate) daily. Doses were administered in
100 μl cottonseed oil. All the rats were killed 3 weeks after
the start of dosing, and tissues were collected. Serum and liver
samples were analysed using the procedures used in study 1.

Study 3

For the toxicity study, 21-d-old weanling male Sprague–Dawley
rats (n 18) were vitamin A-depleted for 3 weeks and then given
3·5 μmol α-retinyl acetate/d dissolved in cottonseed oil or cot-
tonneed oil alone (control) for 21 d. The rats (n 5) were killed
on days 1, 8 and 15 after the administration of the final dose.
The control rats (n 3) were killed on day 1. Serum, liver and
kidney samples were collected at each time point. Serum
samples collected from both the control and αR groups were
analysed for biochemical indicators of liver toxicity, which
included K, urea, albumin, alkaline phosphatase, alanine amino-
transferase, γ-glutamyltransferase, cholesterol and total bilirubin
from a routine chemistry panel. Histological sections of livers
were analysed using haematoxylin and eosin stain by the
UW School of Medicine and Public Health. Serum analyses
were carried out by the UW Veterinary Medical Teaching
Hospital Pathology Services.
Statistical analysis

Animal data were analysed using the Statistical Analysis System software (SAS Institute, Inc., version 9.1, 2002-3). The outcomes of interest (i.e. rat weights and serum and tissue ROH, αR and DR concentrations) were evaluated using ANOVA. A test of effect slices was used to determine the day the body weights were different among the groups. The AUC values for body-weight change were calculated by trapezoidal approximation. Values are presented as means and standard deviations. Significance was assessed at α < 0.05.

Results

Study 1

Body weights and weight changes. After the start of dosing, group differences in body weight approached significance (P=0.054), and the day main effect and the group × day interaction were significant (both P<0.0001). Using a test of effect sizes for group and day, the difference among the groups was found on day 19, and this was observed until the end of the dosing period (Fig. 2). The final body weights did not differ between the ROH and DR groups, but were lower in the αR and control groups. Interestingly, liver weights were the same in the ROH (19.1 (SD 2.1) g) and DR (20.0 (SD 2.5) g) groups, but were higher than those in the αR (14.6 (SD 1.5) g) and control (13.3 (SD 1.6) g) groups, which did not differ from each other. Kidney weights were highest in the ROH group (3.44 (SD 0.28) g), which differed from the control (3.07 (SD 0.17) g) and DR (3.06 (SD 0.49) g) groups but did not differ from the αR group (3.32 (SD 0.4) g). Kidney weights did not differ among the αR, DR and control groups.

Organ retinol concentrations. All the rats in study 1 were severely vitamin A deficient (defined as <70 nmol ROH/g liver)(19). Despite adequate serum ROH concentrations in the ROH group (1.37 (SD 0.21) mmol/l), liver reserves were fourteen times less than this deficiency cut-off (Table 1; Fig. 3), and the kidney had seven times more ROH than the liver. In fact, in all the treatment groups, kidney ROH concentrations were higher than liver ROH concentrations by five to ten times. Although the same amounts of treatment compounds were fed to the rats, more ROH was stored in the ROH group compared with DR and αR in the DR and αR groups, respectively. ROH was not detected in the lung and spleen of the control, DR and αR groups, which supports the findings of prior work(21,23). DR was detected only in the tissue samples of the DR group that were analysed and αR was detected only in those of the αR group.

Much lower concentrations of DR and αR were detected in the liver, kidney, spleen and lung of the DR and αR groups, respectively, compared with ROH concentrations in the ROH group, suggesting an increased utilisation or impaired uptake of these analogues (Table 1).

Serum concentrations. The rats in the ROH group were able to maintain an adequate serum ROH concentration (1.37 (SD 0.21) μmol/l) when fed 50 nmol/d. Serum ROH was detectable but deficient (<0.10 μmol/l) in the control,

| Table 1. Concentrations of retinol (ROH), 3,4-didehydroretinol (DR) and α-retinol (αR) in rat liver, kidney, lung, spleen and serum after equimolar oral dosing (50 nmol) for 5 weeks (Mean values and standard deviations, n=10) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Dietary treatment               | Control                         | ROH             | DR              | αR              |
| Liver (nmol/g)                  | Mean (SD)                       | 0.01 (0.01)     | ND              | ND              |
| Kidney (nmol/g)                 | Mean (SD)                       | 0.01 (0.01)     | ND              | ND              |
| Lung (nmol/g)                   | Mean (SD)                       | 0.08 (0.02)     | ND              | ND              |
| Spleen (nmol/g)                 | Mean (SD)                       | 0.06 (0.02)     | ND              | ND              |
| Serum (μmol/l)                  | Mean (SD)                       | 0.01 (0.01)     | ND              | ND              |
| Control                         | Mean (SD)                       | 0.01 (0.01)     | ND              | ND              |
| DR                              | Mean (SD)                       | 0.01 (0.01)     | ND              | ND              |
| αR                              | Mean (SD)                       | 0.06 (0.02)     | ND              | ND              |
| ND                              | not detected                    | not detected    | not detected    | not detected    |
| a,b,c Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P<0.05) between the treatment groups. Comparisons are either for retinol concentrations only (i.e. liver, kidney and serum) or between the test compounds (i.e. liver, lung and spleen). |
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Study 2

The final body weights of rats in study 2 (345·1 (SD 20·4) g) did not differ among the groups and nor did the liver weights (16·2 (SD 1·6) g). Despite adequate serum ROH concentrations (1·21 (SD 0·07) μmol/l), which did not differ among the groups, αR was undetectable. On the other hand, liver ROH concentrations did differ among the groups (P=0·042); although when corrected for total liver weight, only the difference in total liver ROH concentrations approached significance (P=0·07). Liver ROH concentrations were highest in the group that received the lowest dose of αR, which did not differ from the group that received the highest dose. As anticipated, liver αR concentrations responded in a dose-dependent manner (i.e. 262 (SD 34), 449 (SD 25) and 893 (SD 138) nmol/liver for the 17·5, 35 and 70 nmol/d doses, respectively; P<0·0001). These represent total dose liver retentions of 71·3, 61·1 and 60·7 %, respectively.

Study 3

No toxicity was evident in the αR group compared with the control group after 21 d. No stellate cell hypertrophy (Fig. 4) or increases in serum markers of liver toxicity were detected (Table 3). Liver and kidney αR concentrations decreased quickly between day 1 and day 8, but did not change on day 15 (Table 4).

Discussion

In the 1950s, the estimates of bioactivity for αR and DR in rats were 2 and 40 % that for ROH, respectively. Bioactivities of 40–50 and 120–130 % were demonstrated for αR and DR, respectively, in the same animal model using an equimolar feeding approach. Large doses of αR were given in prior studies, therefore, sustained chylomicron delivery from repeated dosing to replenish the tissues with small amounts did not occur. Thus, most of the dose was shunted to the liver and not recirculated to meet tissue needs because of the inability of αR to bind to the RBP. The data reported herein on αR are consistent with the finding reported by Clamon et al.124 that α-retinyl acetate sustains the growth of hamsters when given intraperitoneally. In prior studies with DR, the preparation was isolated from crude fish liver oil and a distilled fraction in oil was fed to the rats.25 Therefore, it is difficult to discern exactly how much 3,4-didehydroretinyl ester was fed during that 28 d study, because only the amount of oil fed was measured and reported. The preparations used in the present study were chemically synthesised and carefully

Table 2. Comparison of bioactivities of α-retinol (αR) and 3,4-didehydroretinol (DR) with that of retinol (ROH)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary treatment</th>
<th>Final body weight (g)</th>
<th>Area under the growth curve (group × day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Difference from the control (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>470c ± 46·2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αR</td>
<td>508b ± 34·0</td>
<td>37·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>580a ± 41·8</td>
<td>90·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROH</td>
<td>546c ± 36·2</td>
<td>75·5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a,b,c,d Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (P<0·05) between the treatment groups.

* Rats were fed 50 nmol/d of the test compounds for 5 weeks. Body weights were measured daily.
puriﬁed, and an accurately measured amount equalised to retinyl acetate amounts known to maintain balance in rats was fed each day.

Quadro et al. (26) have shown that RBP-knockout mice survive well and exhibit little evidence of vitamin A deﬁciency when fed a vitamin A-adequate diet. Presumably, their extrahepatic tissues obtain enough vitamin A from retinyl esters in lipoproteins to support growth and function. This explains why aR can support growth in rats despite its inability to bind to the RBP. aR can be transported to tissues via chylomicrons and their remnants. It can be utilised as a tag to trafﬁc ROH, and without the use of RBP-deﬁcient animals (28).

The growth effects of vitamin A are mediated by retinoic acid via retinoic acid nuclear receptor (29), which may indicate that the growth effect of aR is functioning by the same mechanism. The apparent conclusion is that the analogues are oxidised to carboxylic acid analogues and that they bind to retinoid nuclear receptor proteins. This phenomenon might have implications for pharmaceutical or cosmetic applications. Due to the limited knowledge of the role of α-retinoic acid in growth promotion, a future study to determine the interaction of α-retinoic acid with retinoic acid nuclear receptor or perhaps retinoid X receptor (29) is certainly important.

3,4-Didehydroretinoic acid is a known ligand of retinoic acid nuclear receptor-α, -β and -γ with the same afﬁnity as retinoic acid (30). 3,4-Didehydroretinoic acid supports avian growth and development, and binding assays for retinoic acid nuclear receptor-β have been found to be nearly identical for chick and mouse receptors (31). Furthermore, at high doses of 3,4-didehydroretinyl acetate and retinyl acetate given to dams, both have been found to cause terata in foetuses but not to the extent of retinoic acid alone (32). These data support similar bioactivities. The ﬁnding of a higher growth AUC value for DR should be investigated with 3,4-didehydroretinoic acid compared with retinoic acid in a similarly designed rat study.

Furthermore, the difference between the present study and previous work may be partly due to changes in animal feeding and care since the 1950s. Currently, animal care facilities are often sterile or near-sterile environments behind barriers. Veterinary care and personal protective equipment are commonplace. Considering the severe degree of vitamin A deﬁciency in the growth assay study, even a mild infection among the rats would have certainly caused death in all the groups. The normal serum ROH concentrations in the ROH-treated rats, which were severely vitamin A deﬁcient based on liver reserves, indicate that the rats were healthy and not suffering from infection, which elicits the acute-phase response reducing serum ROH concentrations (33). Moreover, diet formulations have improved over the past few decades and are more than adequate to meet nutritional requirements. Thus, co-nutrient deﬁciency is not a confounder when a diet is designed to be single-nutrient deﬁcient.

One of the notable improvements in the present study over those in the 1950s is that the treatment compounds were fed at equimolar amounts at a level known to keep rats (weight approximately 420 g) in vitamin A balance (15). Although this level of ROH resulted in very little ROH storage, it maintained serum ROH concentrations. A disadvantage of the present study is that lower doses of DR and aR were not included. Future studies should investigate lower doses of each of these compounds for the maintenance of rat growth. At the end of the present study, the rats maintained on the various analogues were found to continue growing and, therefore, longer-term studies with lower doses may help to deﬁne the value of growth assays. liver storage assays. Although the storage rates of the compounds were not equal and not included in the estimation of bioactivity reported herein, the severe ROH deﬁciency in the rats, even in the ROH group, supports a higher bioactivity than previously published for both DR and aR. Furthermore, extreme differences in the liver retention of aR were observed in studies 1, 2 and 3. In study 1, where ROH was not made available, only 0·3% of the cumulative aR doses were recovered in the liver. This is

**Table 3. Comparison of serum chemistry proﬁle of rats dosed with 3.5 μmol α-retinyl acetate/d (n 5) with that of rats dosed with cottonseed oil alone (control, n 3) for 21 d** (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary treatment</th>
<th>Control*</th>
<th>α-Retinol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mmol/l)</td>
<td>6·1 1·42</td>
<td>5·32 0·46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mg/l)</td>
<td>160 17·5</td>
<td>158 13·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/l)</td>
<td>34·7 3·8</td>
<td>30·8 2·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline phosphatase (U/l)</td>
<td>224 88·3</td>
<td>248 34·4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase (U/l)</td>
<td>44·3 9·45</td>
<td>38·0 4·06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Glutamyltransferase (U/l)</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/l)</td>
<td>780 255</td>
<td>810 206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (mg/l)</td>
<td>8·3 8·5</td>
<td>6·4 3·0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Serum was collected 1 d after the administration of the final dose.
in stark contrast with study 2, where 61–71% of the cumulative doses were recovered, further supporting αR bioactivity. The huge decrease in αR concentrations between day 1 and day 8 in study 3 supports utilisation, considering that no ROH was administered during this study. Liver ROH concentrations observed in study 2 suggest that αR does not interfere with ROH in intestinal absorption and hepatic metabolism when fed at physiological levels. However, a sparing effect of ROH may have occurred at the low dose of αR (17.5 nmol/d), which could also be used for growth as shown in study 1.

A notable limitation of the present study is that vision testing was not carried out. Nonetheless, predictions from the literature indicate that the control and αR groups were probably night blind and 3,4-didehydroretinal probably replaced retinal in the rod cells at high serum DR concentrations. Indeed, rats maintained on DR exhibited normal retina integrity. Furthermore, in human subjects fed a fish-oil concentrate, night vision acuity has been shown to shift in favour of red light probably due to 3,4-didehydroretinal (λ<sub>max</sub> approximately 400 nm) replacing retinal (λ<sub>max</sub> approximately 370 nm) in the retina.

Significant serum ROH and DR concentrations were detected in rats dosed with retinyl acetate and 3,4-didehydroretinyl acetate, respectively, which is an indication of increased recycling considering low liver storage and comparatively higher concentrations in the kidney. On the other hand, only small amounts of αR were detected in the serum of α-retinyl acetate-dosed rats, supporting previous reports that αR cannot bind to the RBP. Serum DR concentrations in the DR group were 2.6 times less than serum ROH concentrations in the ROH group in study 1, but growth was maintained probably due to the sustained formation of 3,4-didehydroretinoic acid.

The finding that αR did not appreciably affect ROH storage suggests that αR has little influence on ROH metabolism at physiological doses in ratios consistent with typical dietary intake, unlike the finding that β-carotene has a negative effect on β-carotene absorption in rats, which was measured by liver ROH storage. If α-carotene had been fed to the rats in study 2, the bio potency of αR might have differed from that demonstrated in study 1. α-Carotene may affect β-carotene uptake earlier in the digestion process at the site of absorption or by competition at the carotenoid transporter on the apical surface of enterocytes, chylomicron assembly, or competition for the 15,15-carotenoid mono-oxygenase cleavage enzyme.

Data on the bioactivity of αR reported herein could change the calculated vitamin A value of some foods, especially orange carrots, which contain substantial amounts of α-carotene. Currently, αR is not considered to have vitamin A.

### Table 4. Total retinol (ROH) and α-retinol (αR) concentrations in rat liver, kidney, and serum after dosing with 3.5 μmol α-retinyl acetate for 21 d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time...</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Day 1</th>
<th>Day 8</th>
<th>Day 15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROH</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αR</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver (nmol/g)</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney (nmol/g)</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum, alcohol form (μmol/l)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum, ester form (μmol/l)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ND**: not detected.

---

*Table 4. Total retinol (ROH) and α-retinol (αR) concentrations in rat liver, kidney, and serum after dosing with 3.5 μmol α-retinyl acetate for 21 d*
activity, yet it clearly supports growth in rats and is not toxic at moderate intakes. During vitamin A deficiency, αR, presumably through the formation of α-retinoic acid as needed, most probably supports functions supported by retinoic acid. Thus, growth assays with α-retinoic acid and retinoic acid should be carried out to determine the difference in bioactivities. The results obtained in the toxicity study suggest that at very high liver αR concentrations, no hepatotoxicity occurs, but that αR is quickly cleared. Nonetheless, at αR concentrations >1.7 μmol/g liver, significant amounts of α-retinyl esters were found to be circulating in the blood (>10% of total retinol), most probably on lipoproteins. Future studies should determine at what liver ROH concentration this begins to occur, because liver retinyl esters >10% of total are considered a biomarker of excessive vitamin A status in humans(38).
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