p. 216, ll. 14 sqq. For the influence of Persian architecture on that of the early Buddhists I may refer to Grünwedel, *Buddhistische Kunst in Indien* (1900), pp. 16–18.

An interesting similarity may be traced between the *tout* ensemble of the building represented in the Sānchī stūpa, which is reproduced in a plate accompanying Dr. Burgess' article in this Journal for 1902 (facing p. 44), and the buildings of the Achæmenids to which I have referred. This edifice also has 'Lion Capitals.'

F. W. THOMAS.

Om MANI PADME HUM.

The Tibetans, who have so much to say concerning the mystic import of this famous formula (Rockhill, Land of the Lāmas, pp. 326 sqq.), do not appear to throw light upon its grammatical form. Nor does Koeppen's Religion des Buddha (ii, pp. 59 sqq.) deal with this side of the matter.

I can see no reason whatever for departing from the view of Hodgson (J.A.S.B., 1835, p. 196) that the formula relates to [Avalokiteśvara] Padmapāni or from that of Mill (*ibid.*, p. 198) that *Maņipadme* is one word. I should not, however, follow Wilson (*Essays* ii, pp. 334 and 356) in regarding *Maņipadma* as a simple alias of *Padmapāņi*. On the analogy of other Dhāranīs such as *Om Vajragandhe hūm*, *Om Vajrāloke hūm*, *Om Vajrapuspe hūm*, would it not be more probable that *maṇipadme* is a vocative referring to a feminine counterpart of that Bodhisattva, i.e. Tārā?

F. W. THOMAS.

ERRATUM.

In the R.A.S. Journal (January), 1906, p. 220, l. 21, the Chinese characters for Mo-la-p'o should have been

摩 臘 婆.