# Sufficient conditions for a continuous linear operator to be weakly compact

# Joe Howard and Kenneth Melendez

A locally convex topological vector (LCTV) space E is said to have property V (Dieudonné property) if for every complete separated LCTV space F, every unconditionally converging (weakly completely continuous) operator  $T: E \rightarrow F$  is weakly compact. First, an investigation of the permanence of property V is given. The permanence of the Dieudonné is analogous. Relationships between property V and the Dieudonné property are then given.

## 1. Preliminaries

In the following definitions  $(E, \tau)$  and  $(F, \tau')$  will denote separated locally convex topological vector spaces (LCTVS) with topologies  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  respectively. All linear operators are to be continuous. We use this fact without making further reference to it. A series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  in  $(E, \tau)$  is unconditionally convergent (uc) if it is subseries convergent relative to  $\tau$ . Equivalent conditions for uc series are given in [4]. A series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  in  $(E, \tau)$  is said to be weakly unconditionally convergent (wuc) if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f(x_i)| < \infty$  for every f in E'. An equivalent condition for wuc is that  $S = \left\{\sum_{i \in \sigma} x_i : \sigma$  finite $\right\}$  be bounded relative to  $\tau$ . Received 18 April 1972. A linear operator  $T: E \rightarrow F$  (F complete) is said to be unconditionally converging (uc operator) if it sends every wuc series in E into uc series in F. T is said to be weakly compact if T maps bounded sets of E into weakly relatively compact sets of F. This definition, which can be found in Lemma 1 of [2], differs slightly from the more common one given in [1]. It is easy to show using condition (E) of [4] that every weakly compact operator is a uc operator.

N(E) is to denote JE (J is the natural map) plus all  $\sigma(E'', E')$ limits of wuc series in E. N(E) is a subset of E''. The following theorem is a consequence of Proposition 9.4.9 of [1], and therefore a proof will not be given.

THEOREM 1.1. The following conditions on E are equivalent.

- (1) For every complete separated LCTVS F, every uc operator  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is weakly compact.
- (1') As (1), F being restricted to a Banach space.
- (2) Any continuous linear map  $T : E \to F$  (F as in (1)) for which  $T''(N(E)) \subset F$  satisfies  $T''(E'') \subset F$ .
- (2') As (2), F being restricted to a Banach space.
- (3) Any equicontinuous, convex, balanced, and  $\sigma(E', N(E))$ -compact set in E' is also  $\sigma(E', E'')$ -compact.

That (1) and (2) are equivalent can be seen from the facts that  $T : E \to F$  is uc if and only if  $T''(N(E)) \subset F$  and  $T : E \to F$  is weakly compact if and only if  $T''(E'') \subset F$ .

DEFINITION 1.2. Any LCTVS E which has one of the above equivalent properties is said to have property V.

This definition is a generalization of property V for Banach spaces studied by Pełczyński in [5].

Let K(E) denote JE plus all  $\sigma(E'', E')$ -limits of weak Cauchy sequences in E. By using K(E) instead of N(E) in Proposition 9.4.9 of [1], we have a theorem similar to Theorem 1.1 above. We state this as a definition.

DEFINITION 1.3. E is said to have the Dieudonné property if one of

the following equivalent properties is satisfied.

- (1) For every complete separated LCTVS F, every operator  $T: E \rightarrow F$  which transforms weak Cauchy sequences into weakly convergent sequences is weakly compact.
- (1') As (1), F being restricted to a Banach space.
- (2) Any continuous linear map  $T : E \neq F$  (F as in (1)), for which  $T''(K(E)) \subset F$  satisfies  $T''(E'') \subset F$ .
- (2') As (2), F being restricted to a Banach space.
- (3) Any equicontinuous, convex, balanced and  $\sigma(E', K(E))$ -compact set in E' is also  $\sigma(E', E'')$ -compact.

A complete discussion of the Dieudonné property is found in [1] and [2].

Another property which is somewhat related to both property V and the Dieudonné property is the following property.

DEFINITION 1.4. A LCTV space E is said to have property (u) if for every weak Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E there exists a wuc series

 $\sum u_k$  such that the sequence  $\left\{x_n - \sum_{k=1}^n u_k\right\}$  converges weakly to 0.

### 2. Permanence of property V

Since condition (3) of Theorem 1.1 is a condition on the dual space E', compatible topologies for E must all agree on E having (or not having) property V. Hence, if E is a Banach space having (not having) property V, then E with the weak topology has (does not have) property V. In particular,  $l_1$  with the weak topology does not have property V since  $l_1$  with the norm topology does not [5]. An example of a LCTV space which does have property V is a reflexive space.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Suppose E is the regular inductive limit [3] of the LCTVS  $E_n$ . If each  $E_n$  has property V, then E has property V.

Proof. Let  $T : E \rightarrow F$  be a uc operator, F complete, and B a

bounded subset of E. Then for some n,  $f_n^{-1}(B)$  is a bounded set in  $E_n$  where  $f_n$  is the continuous linear mapping from  $E_n$  to E such that  $\bigcup f_n(E_n)$  spans E. Now define  $T_n$  such that the diagram



is commutative. Then  $T_n = Tf_n$  is a uc operator and since  $E_n$  has property V,  $T_n$  is a weakly compact operator. Hence the weak closure of  $T_n \left( f_n^{-1}(B) \right) = T(B)$  is compact in the weak topology of F. Therefore Tis weakly compact, and E has property V.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Projective limits do not necessarily preserve property  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}$  .

Proof. Let R denote the reals and define the map  $h: E + E_f = R$ by  $h: e \to f(e)$  where f belongs to E'. Now if we take  $E = l_1$  with the weak topology, then  $l_1$  is the projective limit of  $|l_{\infty}|$  copies of R, where  $|l_{\infty}|$  denotes the cardinality of  $l_{\infty} \cdot R = E_f$  has property Vsince it is reflexive, but  $l_1$  with the weak topology does not.

REMARK. Suppose  $E = E_1 \times E_2$ . Then  $T : E \rightarrow F$  is weakly compact if and only if  $T|E_1$  and  $T|E_2$  (the restriction of T to  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ , respectively) is weakly compact. This is also true for uc operators. Hence, if  $E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_n$  are LCTVS with property V, then  $E_1 \times E_2 \times \ldots \times E_n$  has property V. The following proposition shows that this is also true for infinite products.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Suppose E is the infinite direct product of the LCTVS  $E_n$ . If each  $E_n$  has property V, then E has property V. Proof. Let  $T : E \Rightarrow F$  be a continuous operator, F a Banach space, and  $h_n$  the natural map of  $E_n$  into E. Then  $T_n = T \circ h_n$  is continuous from  $E_n$  into F, so  $T_n = 0$  for all but a finite set of indices. Therefore, it suffices to prove the case for a finite product. But this is contained in the above remark.

REMARK. The direct sum of spaces with property V has property V. The proof is analogous to that for the direct products.

PROPOSITION 2.4. If E is a normed linear space having property V, then every quotient space E has property V.

Proof. Let M be a subspace of E. Define T such that the diagram



is commutative where j is the canonical map and F is complete. Assume S is a uc operator and let  $\sum x_n$  be a wuc series in E. Since j is continuous,  $\sum j(x_n)$  is a wuc series in E/M and therefore  $\sum T(x_n) = \sum Sj(x_n)$  is a uc series in F. So T is a uc operator and since E has property V, T is a weakly compact operator.

Let  $B \neq \{0\}$  be a bounded set in E/M. Since E is a normed space,  $j^{-1}(B)$  is a bounded set in E and hence the weak closure of  $Tj^{-1}(B) = S(B)$  is compact in the weak topology of F. Therefore S is a weakly compact operator, so E/M has property V.

REMARKS. (1) For LCTVS, Property V is not necessarily preserved for quotient spaces. In Problem 20, page 195 of [7], there is given a Montel space E which has a quotient space isomorphic to  $l_1$ . Since E is a Montel space, E is reflexive and hence has property V. However,  $l_1$  does not have property V. Since an inductive limit topology can be considered as a quotient topology, this example also shows that property V is not preserved by inductive limits. (2) Property V is not preserved for subspaces since  $l_1$  is linearly isometric to a subspace of C(S), S a compact Hausdorff space, and C(S) has property V [5] while  $l_1$  with the norm topology does not. However a space E has property V if and only if every complemented subspace has property V.

(3) It is an open question whether property V is preserved under tensor products. Swartz has partially answered this question in [8].

#### 3. The Dieudonné property and property V

Permanence properties for the Dieudonné property are analogous to those for property V ; hence they are omitted.

If E has property V then E has the Dieudonné property since every wcc operator (a wcc operator transforms weak Cauchy sequences into weakly convergent sequences) is a uc operator. In general the converse is not true (Example 3.3), however a space having property (u) (Definition 1.4) is a sufficient condition for the converse to hold.

LEMMA 3.1. E has property (u) if and only if N(E) = K(E).

Proof. Since  $N(E) \subset K(E)$  it will suffice to show  $K(E) \subset N(E)$ . If  $G \in K(E)$ , then there exists a weak Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E such that  $w^* - \lim_n Jx_n = G$ . Since E has property (u), there exists a wuc series n

$$\sum u_i$$
 in  $E$  such that  $\left\{x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i\right\}$  converges weakly to 0, thus

$$w^* - \lim_{n \to i=1}^{n} Ju_i = G \text{ and } G \in N(E).$$

Conversely, assume K(E) = N(E) and let  $\{x_n\}$  be a weak Cauchy sequence in E. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is weak Cauchy there exists a  $G \in K(E)$  such that  $w^* - \lim_n Jx_n = G$ , and since K(E) = N(E) we have  $G \in N(E)$ , which

implies that there exists a wuc series  $\sum u_i$  such that

188

 $w^* - \lim_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Ju_i = G$ , thus  $\left\{ x_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_i \right\}$  converges weakly to 0, so *E* has property (u).

THEOREM 3.2. If E has property (u), then E has property V if and only if E has the Dieudonné property.

Proof. It suffices to show that every uc operator is a wcc operator. Let  $T: E \rightarrow F$  be a uc operator. Then  $T''(N(E)) \subset JE$ , but since E has property (u), N(E) = K(E), so  $T''(K(E)) \subset JF$ . Since T is a wcc operator if and only if  $T''(K(E)) \subset JF$ , T is a wcc operator.

REMARK. It is not possible to refine Theorem 3.2 to: E has property V if and only if E has the Dieudonné property and property (u). For example C[0, 1] has both property V and the Dieudonné property, but not property (u).

EXAMPLE 3.3. James defined a Banach space  $B_3$  such that  $B_3$ ,  $B'_3$ , and  $B''_3$  are separable but  $B''_3$  is non-separable and  $B''_3 = B_3 \oplus l_1 \cdot B''_3$ is separable, so every bounded sequence in  $B_3$  will have a Cauchy subsequence, and thus every wcc operator will be weakly compact. Hence  $B'_3$ has the Dieudonné property.

However, the identity map  $i: B'_3 + B'_3$  is a uc operator, since if  $B'_3$  contained a subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ , it would contain a subspace isomorphic to m and  $B'_3$  would not be separable, a contradiction. If i were weakly compact, then the unit disk of  $B'_3$  would be weakly compact, hence  $B'_3$  would be reflexive, which it is not, so  $B'_3$  does not have property V. Notice i is an example of a uc operator that is not a wcc operator.

REMARK. Several conclusions can easily be seen by considering the sets N(X) and K(X). Here are a few.

- (1) If N(X) = X'', then X has property V.
- (2) If K(X) = X'', then X has the Dieudonné property.
- (3) N(X) = JX if and only if X has no subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$

(equivalent to every wuc series is uc).

(4) X is weakly complete if and only if X has property (u) and N(X) = JX if and only if K(X) = JX.

It can be shown that if Y is a subspace of X, then  $N(Y) = Y'' \cap N(X)$  and  $K(Y) = Y'' \cap K(X)$ . This gives the inheritance properties (such as those given in this paper) for subspaces.

#### References

- [1] R.E. Edwards, Functional analysis. Theory and applications (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, Chicago, San Francisco, Toronto, London, 1965).
- [2] A. Grothendieck, "Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type C(K) ", Canad. J. Math. 5 (1953), 129-173.
- [3] L.V. Kantorovich and G.P. Akilov, Functional analysis in normed spaces (translated from the Russian by D.E. Brown; The Macmillan Company, New York; Pergamon Press, Oxford, London, Edinburgh, New York, Paris, Frankfurt, 1964).
- [4] Charles W. McArthur, "On a theorem of Orlicz and Pettis", Pacific J. Math. 22 (1967), 297-302.
- [5] A. Pełczyński, "Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact", Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. math. astronom. phys. 10 (1962), 641-648.
- [6] A.P. Robertson and Wendy Robertson, Topological vector spaces (Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 53. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1964).
- [7] Helmut H. Schaefer, Topological vector spaces (The Macmillan Company, New York; Collier-Macmillan, London, 1966).
- [8] C. Swartz, "Unconditionally converging operators on the space of continuous functions", (to appear).

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,

Oklahoma State University,

Stillwater,

Oklahoma, USA.