Assessing sleeping energy expenditure in children using heart-rate monitoring calibrated against open-circuit indirect calorimetry: a pilot study
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Total energy expenditure (EE) can be assessed in children by the heart-rate (HR) monitoring technique calibrated against open-circuit indirect calorimetry (IC). In this technique, sleeping EE is usually estimated as the lowest value of a 30 min resting EE measurement £ 0·90 to give an average for the total sleeping period. However, sleeping is a dynamic process in which sleeping EE is modulated by the effect of factors such as body movement and different sleep stages. The aim of the present study was to determine a new method to improve the sleeping EE measurement by taking into account body movements during sleep. Twenty-four non-obese children participated in the present study. All subjects passed through a calibration period. HR and EE measured by IC were simultaneously collected during resting, the postprandial period, and during different levels of activity. Different methods for computing sleeping EE (resting EE £ 0·90 with different breakpoints (‘flex point’ HR with linear regression or ‘inflection point’ (IP) HR with the third order polynomial regression equation (P3)) were compared with EE measured for least 2·0 h in eight sleeping children. The best method of calculation was then tested in sixteen children undergoing HR monitoring and with a body movement detector. In a subset of eight children undergoing simultaneous sleeping EE measurement by IC and HR, the use of the equation resting EE £ 0·8 when HR<IP and P3 when HR>IP during the sleeping period gave the lowest difference (1 (SD 5·4) %) compared with other methods (linear or polynomial regressions). The new formula was tested in an independent subset of sixteen other children. The difference between sleeping EE computed with the formula resting EE £ 0·90 and sleeping EE computed with resting EE £ 0·8 when HR<IP and the P3 equation when HR>IP during sleeping periods was significant (13 (SD 5·9) %) only for active sleeping subjects (n 6 of 16 subjects). The correlation between the difference in the results from the two methods of calculation and body movements was close (r 0·63, P<0·005, Spearman test) as well as computed sleeping EE (Spearman test, r 0·679, P<0·001), indicating that this new method is reliable for computing sleeping EE with HR monitoring if children are moving during sleep and improves the total EE assessment.

Children: Sleeping energy expenditure: Heart-rate monitoring: Indirect calorimetry

Assessing total energy expenditure (EE) in children under free-living conditions is of interest for research into obesity, metabolic disorders and infective diseases, and to assess overall energy needs (Torun et al. 1996). The method used should be accurate, non-invasive, inexpensive, robust and socially acceptable (Schutz & Deurenberg, 1996). A method developed by Spurr et al. (1986, 1988), the heart-rate (HR) monitoring technique calibrated against indirect calorimetry (IC), fulfils these criteria and has been validated against reference methods such as the doubly-labelled water method (Emons et al. 1992; Livingstone et al. 1992; Maffeis et al. 1995) and whole-body IC
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using calorimetric chambers (Bitar et al. 1996; Treuth et al. 1998). It has also been used in epidemiological studies (Panter-Brick et al. 1996a,b; Wareham et al. 1997). This technique needs a two-step procedure. First, a calibration period (including different activities such as resting, post-prandial periods and different levels of physical activity on a cycle ergometer or a treadmill) is carried out with simultaneous recording of HR and EE by IC. This calibration period is used to define an individual regression equation between the HR and EE data. Then, HR is recorded minute-by-minute for 24 h. Finally, EE is compared with the HR results using the regression equation as defined previously.

The major shortcoming of HR monitoring is that this technique is not a good predictor of EE at low levels of physical activity, especially during sleeping periods. Separation of sleeping periods from the daytime-activity periods contributes to an improvement in the calculation of 24 h total EE, since for young children in particular, sleep constitutes almost half of the day. Sleeping EE or sleeping metabolic rate computed by a polynomial third-order equation (P3) (Bitar et al. 1996) or a linear regression equation (Treuth et al. 1998) overestimated sleeping EE when compared with IC as a reference method. In fact, HR is often lower during sleep than during the resting calibration period. Thus, the regression equation should not be used to compute sleeping EE, and the use of predicted BMR or resting EE to estimate the cost of sleep results in an overestimation of 14% (Geissler et al. 1986; Goldberg et al. 1988). Several recent studies permit determination of the BMR with a good precision in children. It is well established that the sleeping EE is 5% less than the BMR in adults and 10% less in children (Astrup et al. 1990; Bitar et al. 1996, 2000; Klausen et al. 1997; Beghin et al. 2000; van Mil et al. 2000).

The use of the equation sleeping EE = resting EE × 0.90 to determine an average estimate of the sleeping EE has been shown previously to be a good predictor of sleeping EE in healthy children (Astrup et al. 1990; Bitar et al. 1995, 2000; Klausen et al. 1997; Beghin et al. 2000; van Mil et al. 2000). However, this equation gives a fixed sleeping EE value that cannot take into account the changes in sleeping EE depending on sleep stages and especially nightly body movements. During the course of a night, two different types of sleep alternate, called non-rapid-eye movement and rapid-eye movement sleep (Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968), while sleeping EE fluctuates (Brebbia & Altshuler, 1965, 1968; Haskell et al. 1981; Fontvieille et al. 1994). Moreover, this equation cannot take into account other factors modifying sleeping EE, such as body movements, thermal conditions, post-prandial EE, hormone secretions and infectious diseases that could occur during the night and be detected by HR variations. The equation sleeping EE = resting EE × 0.90 should only be considered as an average estimate of sleeping EE over the total sleeping period. The need for a precise measurement of sleeping EE is particularly interesting in several situations, e.g. enteral or parenteral nutrition (where energy is usually infused during the night into children at home) or in children who have increased body movements during sleep (sleeping disturbance, neurological, genetic or psychiatric diseases). The purpose of the present study was to find a more accurate method to compute sleeping EE using HR data and, in particular, a method that would take body movement during sleep into account.

Subjects and methods

Subjects

Twenty-four non-obese children volunteered to participate in the present study. The first group (eight children) participated in simultaneous recording of nocturnal IC and HR (six boys and two girls aged 8.9 (SD 3.0) years, and a second group of sixteen children was studied for validation of the new method; they were only assessed over a 24 h period using HR monitoring (twelve boys and four girls aged 8.7 (SD 2.7) years). Children were recruited from the children of the hospital workers. Before the study began, the purpose and the objectives were carefully explained. All volunteers, having passed a thorough physical and medical examination, were considered free from any acute conditions (such as infections) known to affect EE. Written informed consent was obtained from both children and parents and the study was approved by the Lille University Research Ethics Committee (Comité Consultatif de Protection des Personnes dans la Recherche Biomédicale de Lille).

The weights and heights of the subjects (8.8 (SD 2.9) years, 28.4 (SD 8.1) kg, 1.288 (SD 0.132) m) were all within the normal ranges of the French population (Sempé et al. 1979) and there were no differences in the eight subjects undergoing sleeping EE measurement by simultaneous IC and HR monitoring and the sixteen in which sleeping EE was only computed with two different methods. Body resistance was measured using a body impedance meter (50 Hz, BIA 101/S; RJL Systems, Detroit, MI, USA) and fat-free mass (FFM) was calculated using the Schaefer formula (Schaefer et al. 1994).

Energy expenditure

EE was measured by IC, using an open-circuit ventilated hood system (Deltatrac II; Datex Instrumentation Corporation, Helsinki, Finland). Validity and accuracy of expired CO2 (V CO2) and inspired O2 (V O2) flows and the RER were checked and calibrated by reference to alcohol combustion every 6 months according to the calorimeter’s manufacturers (Datex Instrumentation Corporation). The manufacturers (Datex Instrumentation Corporation) certified a CV < 2% for each measurement of V CO2 and V O2 flows and the RER.

Before each test, the calorimeter was calibrated with a reference gas mixture (CO2 –O2 (5 : 95, v/v); Datex Instrumentation Corporation). EE was calculated every minute from V O2 (ml/min) and V CO2 (ml/min) using the Weir formula (Weir, 1949) without correction for protein.

After an adaptation period of 15 min under the transparent ventilated canopy system, continuous respiratory exchange measurements were initiated. During the first calorimetric measurements, the child rested quietly while watching videotapes or reading in dorsal decubitus. Special
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EE measured by IC was also recorded during a period of at least 2 h between 22:00 and 04:00 hours, simultaneously with HR monitoring, after a fasting period of up to 5 h. In our present study, care was taken to keep the child asleep during sleeping EE measurement. The calorimeter was turning on 5 h before the sleeping EE measurement started at home in the bedroom. He was invited to read a book or watch videotapes during the 2 h before the sleeping period to habituate him to the presence of the equipment. Moreover, after he was asleep, an investigator was present in the room to check the validity of the procedure (both sleeping state and measurement quality) during all the sleeping EE measurements.

Heart rate monitoring

The equipment used for HR monitoring was a Holter 24 or 48 h recorder with two channels of electrocardiographic data (Synesis; ELA Medical, Montrouge, France). The HR values, defined as the mean of the HR recorded every minute over the specific period, were calculated with Synetec software (version 1.1; ELA Medical).

Timing of measurements and programme of activities of the calibration period

On the day of the test, the child arrived by car at the Clinical Investigation Centre of Lille Medicine University Hospital (CIC-9301-INSERM-CHU) at 08.00 hours, having fasted from 20.00 hours the day before. Weight and height were measured. The child was fitted with probes for continuous recording of HR using an Ag–AgCl 2 electrode. After an adaptation period of 15 min under the ventilated canopy system, continuous respiratory exchange measurements were made for 30 min, with the child remaining recumbent.

Postprandial EE and HR were then measured (preceded by a new adaptation period of 15 min with the canopy positioned over the head) 1 h after a non-standardized breakfast.

EE was also determined at different levels of calibrated physical exercise. These consisted of cycling on a cycle ergometer (Philips, Paris, France), beginning at 5.0 W and increasing the braking force every 30 s by 2.5 W to obtain a maximal HR close to the theoretical maximal heart frequency (220 – age (years)). After this calibration period, children were fitted with probes for a 24 h continuous HR recording during a school day without sporting activities.

Computing the sleeping energy expenditure

Two methods were used to compute sleeping EE: linear regression or P3. For the first method, a two-phase individual crossover linear regression with a breakpoint called the flex point was used to compute sleeping EE in each subject according to previous published methods. The flex point was defined as the mean HR value of the five highest HR values during rest and the five lowest HR values measured during the postprandial period or during exercise (Spurr et al. 1986, 1988; Livingstone et al. 1992). Two linear regression equations were calculated: linear equation 1 and linear equation 2 as shown in Fig. 1. Sleeping EE was computed using linear regression equation 1 when HR was below the flex point and linear regression equation 2 when HR was above the flex point. The second method of calculation was an individual HR v. EE regression equation with a P3 describing the relationship HR v. EE (EE = a×HR3 + b×HR2 + c×HR + d, where a, b, c and d are numeric factors as shown in P3 in Fig. 1) (Beghin et al. 2000). A threshold HR called inflection point (IP) was defined to discriminate the use of a multiple of resting EE or the P3 to compute sleeping EE from HR monitoring. The IP was defined by the following equation:

IP = (-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4\alpha \chi})/2\alpha,

where \( \delta = b^2 - 4\alpha \chi \) (derived equation of EE = a×HR3 + b×HR2 + c×HR + d).

Several equations were tested: resting EE×0.95, resting EE×0.90, resting EE×0.80, or resting EE×0.75 when HR<IP and P3 when HR>IP. The use of resting EE×0.80 in the new formula was finally chosen to compute sleeping EE, giving the lower difference between the new method and resting EE×0.90 as reference (results not shown). Thus, sleeping EE was computed by resting EE×0.80 when HR<IP and with P3 when HR>IP.

Detection of body movements during sleep

Total body movements were measured during the night by a TriTrac-R3D accelerometer (Professional Products, Reining International, Madison, WI, USA). The device measures acceleration in three individual planes and integrates acceleration to yield a single value termed ‘vector magnitude’ (the square root of the sum squared of activity counts in each vector) (Bouten et al. 1994, 1997; Westerterp, 1999). The use of TriTrac-R3D equipment has been shown to be reliable (Welk & Corbin, 1995; Coleman et al. 1997) and accurate (Jakicic et al. 1999; Masse et al. 1999) for detecting body movement. It was worn attached to the anterior torso of the subject at the level of the waist, perpendicular to the mid-line of the anterior thigh.

Statistical analysis

Variables were compared either using the non-parametric Wilcoxon rank test (where significant difference was defined as P<0.05) and correlations were tested by Spearman test using SPSS 7.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL).
USA). Agreements between methods for determining EE were assessed using the Bland-Altman method (Bland & Altman, 1986). The lack of agreement between methods can be evaluated by calculating the bias, estimated from the mean difference and the standard deviation of the difference in the precision of the individual regression equations.

**Results**

**Comparison of sleeping energy expenditure using different methods vs. indirect calorimetry in eight children**

Eight children participated in both HR monitoring and IC during sleeping. Values for IP and flex point in each subject and values for sleeping EE obtained with different methods were compared with sleeping EE measured by IC in Table 1. The mean difference between predicted sleeping EE by resting EE \( \times 0.80 \) plus the P3 equation method or resting EE \( \times 0.90 \) method and measured sleeping EE were close (1.0 (SD 5.4) and 1.6 (SD 6.0) % respectively). The other methods gave higher mean percentage difference compared with measurement by IC. The degree of agreement between sleeping EE measured by IC and sleeping EE computed with the method resting EE \( \times 0.8 + P3 \) is shown as a Bland-Altman plot in Fig. 2. All values of sleeping EE lie within the limits of agreement (±2SD of the mean difference between the two methods (mean value 3.1, range -16.2–22.5 kJ/h)). These results shown that the two methods are close.

**Comparison of sleeping energy expenditure using both methods in sixteen children**

Sixteen children participated only in HR monitoring and in wearing the accelerometer during sleeping period. As shown in Fig. 3, differences between the two methods were significantly correlated with body movements \((r 0.63, P < 0.005)\). Differences between the two methods were <5% when body movements were no more than 6000 vector magnitude ‘counts’. This cut-off point was used to distinguish less active from active children during the sleeping period.

Table 2 shows a comparison of sleeping EE assessed with the ‘resting EE \( \times 0.80 \) plus P3 equation’ and ‘resting EE \( \times 0.90 \)’ equations, according to body movements in the sixteen patients only studied with HR monitoring. The mean difference in sleeping EE assessed with the ‘resting EE \( \times 0.80 + P3 \)’ and the ‘resting EE \( \times 0.90 \)’ equation for total data was >5% (5.4 (SD 7.3) %) and this difference was significant \((P < 0.05)\). When body movements were <6000 vector magnitude ‘counts’, there was no difference in sleeping EE assessed with both.
### Table 1. Comparison of measured sleeping energy expenditure with sleeping energy expenditure computed by different methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>HR value for break point (beats per min)</th>
<th>Measured sleeping EE (kJ/h)</th>
<th>Calculation of sleeping EE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP (beats per min)</td>
<td>FP (beats per min)</td>
<td>EE × 0·8 if HR &lt; IP, P3 if HR &gt; IP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>178.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, heart rate; EE, energy expenditure; IP, inflection point; P3, third order polynomial equation; FP, flex point.

* For details of subjects and procedures, see p. 534.

Discussion

A number of studies have used BMR or resting EE predicted by Benedict's (1919), Talbot's (1921), Kelsch's (1902), Schofield's (1985) or the Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization/United Nations University (World Health Organization, 1985) equations to assess sleeping EE or sleeping metabolic rate. These equations do not take into account factors influencing the lowest sleeping EE values are obtained between this period, because as shown by Fontvielle (1992). When we chose to select the sleeping EE for a specific method, we were not different from that obtained by others (Brebbia & Altschuler, 1965, 1968). These observations indicate that measurement of IC compared with HR monitoring in children (Spearman test, P = 0.05).

Fig. 4 shows the high correlation between body movement during sleep (Haskell et al., 1985), although a few did not show a difference (Palca et al., 1965; Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968; Wells & Davies, 1995). Moreover, the effect of sleep stage on sleeping EE (Brebbia & Altschuler, 1965). Although a few did not show a difference (Palca et al., 1965; Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968; Wells & Davies, 1995), the influence of sleep stage on sleeping EE in children (Azaz et al., 1968; Hall et al., 1992; Butte et al., 1996) increases from sleep stage 1 to stage 4, with stage 4 being the lowest. The RER of 0.8 (SD 0.2) measured in our present study (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968) was in agreement with previous studies (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968). In a previous study, we showed that the sleeping EE (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968) was measured by IC for at least 20 (range 20–25) h between 22:00 and 04:00 hours. We chose the lowest sleeping EE values are obtained between this period, because as shown by Fontvielle (1992). When we chose to select the sleeping EE for a specific method, we were not different from that obtained by others (Brebbia & Altschuler, 1965, 1968). These observations indicate that measurement of IC compared with HR monitoring in children (Spearman test, P = 0.05).

Fig. 4 shows the high correlation between body movement during sleep (Haskell et al., 1985), although a few did not show a difference (Palca et al., 1965; Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968; Wells & Davies, 1995). Moreover, the effect of sleep stage on sleeping EE (Brebbia & Altschuler, 1965). Although a few did not show a difference (Palca et al., 1965; Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968; Wells & Davies, 1995), the influence of sleep stage on sleeping EE in children (Azaz et al., 1968; Hall et al., 1992; Butte et al., 1996) increases from sleep stage 1 to stage 4, with stage 4 being the lowest. The RER of 0.8 (SD 0.2) measured in our present study (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968) was in agreement with previous studies (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968). In a previous study, we showed that the sleeping EE (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968) was measured by IC for at least 20 (range 20–25) h between 22:00 and 04:00 hours. We chose the lowest sleeping EE values are obtained between this period, because as shown by Fontvielle (1992). When we chose to select the sleeping EE for a specific method, we were not different from that obtained by others (Brebbia & Altschuler, 1965, 1968). These observations indicate that measurement of IC compared with HR monitoring in children (Spearman test, P = 0.05).

Fig. 4 shows the high correlation between body movement during sleep (Haskell et al., 1985), although a few did not show a difference (Palca et al., 1965; Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968; Wells & Davies, 1995). Moreover, the effect of sleep stage on sleeping EE (Brebbia & Altschuler, 1965). Although a few did not show a difference (Palca et al., 1965; Rechtschaffen & Kales, 1968; Wells & Davies, 1995), the influence of sleep stage on sleeping EE in children (Azaz et al., 1968; Hall et al., 1992; Butte et al., 1996) increases from sleep stage 1 to stage 4, with stage 4 being the lowest. The RER of 0.8 (SD 0.2) measured in our present study (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968) was in agreement with previous studies (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968). In a previous study, we showed that the sleeping EE (Haskell et al., 1981; Palca et al., 1966; Brebbia et al., 1968) was measured by IC for at least 20 (range 20–25) h between 22:00 and 04:00 hours. We chose the lowest sleeping EE values are obtained between this period, because as shown by Fontvielle (1992). When we chose to select the sleeping EE for a specific method, we were not different from that obtained by others (Brebbia & Altschuler, 1965, 1968). These observations indicate that measurement of IC compared with HR monitoring in children (Spearman test, P = 0.05).
24.00 and 04.00 hours with the higher changes in sleep stages. We considered that the period of 22.00 to 04.00 hours was representative and provided the largest range of sleeping EE values in children 13 years of age.

As in our previous study (Béghin et al. 2000), we used a P3 equation to compute total EE from HR data. The P3 equation was constructed by a calibration procedure with a cycle ergometer. The use of a cycle ergometer in HR monitoring has been validated against the doubly-labelled water technique with a good accuracy in the study population (Livingstone et al. 1992; Bitar et al. 1996; Treuth et al. 1998). However, the use of polynomial or linear regression equations in our present study overestimated sleeping EE as assessed by HR monitoring, as shown
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**Fig. 2.** Bland-Altman plot of the difference between sleeping energy expenditure measured by open-circuit indirect calorimetry and resting energy expenditure x 0.90. —, Mean difference between the measured and computed values during the total calibration period; ——, upper and lower limits of agreement, calculated as the mean difference ± 2sd r 0.99, P < 0.001. For details of subjects and procedures, p. 534.

![Correlation graph](image)

**Fig. 3.** Correlation between body movement and the difference of both methods of calculation of sleeping energy expenditure (EE) in sixteen children (resting EE x 0.90 and resting EE x 0.80 + third order polynomial equation (P3)).

\[ y = 0.0012x - 1.902; r 0.79, P < 0.05 \]

For details of subjects and procedures, p. 534.
Table 2. Comparison of sleeping energy expenditure (EE) assessed with the ‘resting EE × 0.8 + third order polynomial equation’ and ‘resting EE × 0.9’ equation according to body movements during sleep‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body movements during sleep (vector magnitude ‘counts’)</th>
<th>Calculation of sleeping EE (kJ/h)</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resting EE × 0.8 + P3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resting EE × 0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6000</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>171.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>171.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1489</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2108</td>
<td>175.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3959</td>
<td>171.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2385</td>
<td>230.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3646</td>
<td>142.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4227</td>
<td>179.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5046</td>
<td>192.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5146</td>
<td>200.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>2954.8</td>
<td>174.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1528.8</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6000</td>
<td>6807</td>
<td>188.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7870</td>
<td>246.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8866</td>
<td>230.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12975</td>
<td>150.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14877</td>
<td>221.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15684</td>
<td>163.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11179.9</td>
<td>200.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3810.8</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6020.4</td>
<td>184.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4783.2</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P3, third order polynomial equation.

* P < 0.05 (wilcoxon rank test).

† NS.

‡ For details of subjects and procedures, see p. 534.

Fig. 4. Correlation between body movement and sleeping energy expenditure in sixteen children. y = 0.004x + 0.03; r 0.63, P < 0.01. For details of subjects and procedures, p. 534.
previously by Bitar et al. (1996) and Beghin et al. (2000).
So, the introduction of an IP as shown in Fig. 1 and using
resting EE × 0.8 when HR<IP and the P3 equation when
HR>IP improved the sleeping EE assessment. The mean
difference between this method and measured sleeping
EE was indeed only 1%. We think, therefore, that the
more precise the method of calculation of sleeping EE,
the more accurate will be the assessment of total EE
with the HR monitoring method.
Our present study cannot be considered as a validation
study, since we might have validated the new algorithm
method against the same reference method using an inden-
tpendent second group of subjects. However, we tested
this formula in an independent subset of sixteen control
subjects, but compared the results with sleeping EE com-
puted by the previous method (sleeping EE = resting
EE × 0.90) (Table 2) as a reference method, instead of IC
as a reference method. Indeed, measurement of sleeping
EE by indirect calorimetry using the Deltatrac II (Datex
Instrumentation Corporation) is difficult to do for an
entire night (for technical reasons, tolerance and accep-
tance by the child) and cannot be carried out when children
are moving during sleep (loss of flow could occur during
the measurement). So, we decided to use resting EE ×
0.90 as the reference method to compare the new mode of
calculation resting EE ×0.80 if HR<IP or P3 if
HR>IP. Differences between sleeping EE computed with
the formula resting EE ×0.90 and sleeping EE computed
with resting EE × 0.80 when HR<IP and P3 when
HR>IP during sleeping periods were increased when
body movement increased. The correlation between differ-
ces between two methods and body movement was

Table 3. Comparison of total energy expenditure (EE) measured
by different methods in children*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Calculation of total EE (kJ/d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resting EE × 0.8 + P3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>4005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-0</td>
<td>5269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-2</td>
<td>5246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>5353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-6</td>
<td>5229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-2</td>
<td>4762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-3</td>
<td>5559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-5</td>
<td>5965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-8</td>
<td>7533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-2</td>
<td>7031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-4</td>
<td>7317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-5</td>
<td>7961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-1</td>
<td>6895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-2</td>
<td>6865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-2</td>
<td>7157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-3</td>
<td>7237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-4</td>
<td>9831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-5</td>
<td>8117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-3</td>
<td>8034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-4</td>
<td>9818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-5</td>
<td>7903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-6</td>
<td>7612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-0</td>
<td>8247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-1</td>
<td>9700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1598-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P3, third order polynomial equation.
*For details of subjects and procedures, see p. 534.
†Δ, Difference between total EE computed by the two methods.
close as shown in Fig. 3. A cut-off point of body movements as >6000 vector magnitude ‘counts’ was defined according to correlation between body movements during the night and the differences between the two methods. Differences between sleeping EE computed with the formula resting EE × 0·90 and sleeping EE computed with resting EE × 0·80 when HR < IP and P3 when HR > IP during sleeping period gave no significant difference (0·8 (SD 2·6) %) for less active sleeping children (n = 10 of 16 subjects), but significant difference (13 (SD 5·9) %) for active children (n = 6 of 16 subjects). The difference between the methods was significantly > 5 %. Analysis of data in less active subjects (body movement < 6000 vector magnitude ‘counts’ during sleep) showed that the mean difference decreased to <1 %. As FFM is the most determinant of EE, sleeping EE was expressed as kJ/min per kg FFM (Zhang et al. 2002). The difference between the two methods and body movement was close, because of the high correlation between body movement and sleeping EE (kJ/min per kg FFM) as shown in Fig. 4 for sixteen children (Spearman test, P < 0·01).

These results show that using the new formula sleeping EE = resting EE × 0·80 when HR < IP and the P3 equation when HR > IP gave results for sleeping EE similar to the resting EE × 0·90 equation only for less active subjects. However, the use of the resting EE × 0·90 equation to assess sleeping EE does not take into account factors influencing sleeping EE, such as body movements during sleep, and is only an average of sleeping EE for motionless children. Although not validated in this situation, accelerometry was used to detect body movement during sleep. Fig. 5 shows the high correlation between sleeping EE pattern and sleeping body movements assessed by a Tri-Trac-R3D accelerometer (Professional Products) for a representative subject. To the best of our knowledge, there is no reference to validate the use of accelerometry to detect body movement during sleep. Sleeping EE computed by the new method was often higher than the resting EE × 0·80 baseline when body movement was detected. These results confirm the influence of body movement on sleeping EE and show that sleeping EE will be better computed by the new formula described earlier.

Another important factor in assessing total EE in free-living conditions is that the investigator cannot be present all the time and cannot determine exactly the time spent in sleep. The starting time of sleep is often unreliable; children move a lot before sleeping and cannot recall exactly the time they start to sleep. Thus, the use of the resting EE × 0·90 equation during this period is inadequate and underestimates EE if the child is moving. The use of the new procedure is a good approach when using an IP. The IP separates periods without body movement during which HR < IP and sleeping EE = resting EE × 0·80 and periods of body movement when HR > IP and P3 is used.

Based on our present results, we suggest the use of this new procedure for evaluating total EE: during the night, sleeping EE = resting EE × 0·80 when HR < IP and P3 when HR > IP; during the day, diurnal EE = resting EE when HR < IP and P3 when HR > IP.

Our present results were presented according to age and compared with two other studies using the doubly-labelled water and HR methods (Livingstone et al. 1992; Fontvieille et al. 1993). The impact of this new method of calculation of sleeping EE on total EE is low, since total EE computed with the ‘resting EE × 0·80 plus P3’ and ‘resting EE × 0·90’ mode of calculation were close with a difference of 3·9 (SD 5·3) %. However, the impact of our new method on total EE results was important for a subset of children with differences reaching 17·9 % for some of them. These differences were observed in four subjects where body movements during the sleeping period were high.

Moreover, this new method takes into account that variation of HR during sleep could have an important impact on sleeping EE assessment in some groups of patients: children treated with enteral or parenteral nutrition (where energy is usually infused during the night into children at home) or in children who have important body movements during sleep (sleep disturbance, neurological, genetic or psychiatric diseases). Validation studies against whole-body IC in a larger sample should confirm this approach.
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