

ON THE SCATTERING OF WAVES BY NEARLY HARD OR SOFT INCOMPLETE VERTICAL BARRIERS IN WATER OF INFINITE DEPTH

P. F. RHODES-ROBINSON¹

(Received 4 May 1995; revised 12 October 1995)

Abstract

In this paper the scattered progressive waves are determined due to progressive waves incident normally on certain types of partially immersed and completely submerged vertical porous barriers in water of infinite depth. The forms are approximate only, and are obtained using perturbation theory for nearly hard or soft barriers having high and low porosities respectively. The results for arbitrary porosity are difficult to obtain, in contrast to the well known hard limit of impermeable barriers.

1. Introduction

Two problems that have received considerable attention in the theory of surface waves involve progressive waves incident normally on impermeable incomplete vertical barriers in water of infinite depth and extent, the barriers being either partially immersed or completely submerged with a single tip in the water at a specified depth. The effect of the barriers is to partly reflect and partly transmit the incident waves, without loss of energy if surface tension is ignored. The linearized solutions for the velocity potentials in these two transmission problems were obtained long ago by Ursell [6], using Havelock's [3] classical wave-maker theory to set up integral equations for the unknown horizontal velocity in the gap below or above the barrier; they may also be solved by complex variable techniques. The scattered waves (only) were obtained by an integral equation method in Williams [8] after a reformulation.

If the barriers are no longer impermeable but porous, comparable results with loss of energy are difficult to obtain by any of these methods. A number of results involving porous walls or barriers extending throughout the depth of water have been obtained recently by Chakrabarti and Sahoo [1] and Rhodes-Robinson [5] to extend known

¹Department of Mathematics, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand.

© Australian Mathematical Society, 1998, Serial-fee code 0334-2700/98

impermeable results in simpler problems, but this does not seem possible here.

To make some progress, we consider herein the two asymptotic situations when the barriers are *nearly* impermeable ('hard') or completely porous ('soft') and set up suitable perturbation solutions involving certain hard and soft limit solutions. The former are known from Ursell [6], Evans [2] and Rhodes-Robinson [4] to an extent that enables the scattered waves (only) to be found to the first order; the latter are classical and the full first-order solution is obtainable. The corresponding scattered amplitude and energy ratios are calculated, and tabulated numerical values given for the expansion coefficients. Two new Bessel function integrals arise for the nearly hard barriers, and these are fully investigated also.

2. General formulation

Water occupies the region of infinite depth $y > 0$ and contains a single fixed vertical barrier along part of $x = 0$ that has its tip $(0, c)$ at depth c below the equilibrium free surface $y = 0$; the barrier is either partially immersed or completely submerged, and the remainder of $x = 0$ forms a gap either below or above the barrier. The barrier is porous—in fact is assumed to have fine pores—and has porosity constant $k > 0$; in the familiar case of an impermeable (hard) barrier $k = 0$, and for a completely porous (soft) barrier $k \rightarrow \infty$ (this barrier has no effect on waves so is removable). The effect of surface tension is omitted in this investigation so that the wave motion is under the action of gravity alone with acceleration g . The usual tip singularity is allowed for and there is no motion at infinite depth.

The infinitesimal motion is harmonic in time t with angular frequency σ and may be described by a velocity potential of the form $\text{Re}[\phi(x, y)e^{-i\sigma t}]$, where ϕ is complex-valued. The scattered motion to be investigated is due to incident progressive waves with potential $e^{-Ky-iKx}$, where the wave number is $K = \sigma^2/g$. If $\phi = \phi_1$ ($x > 0$), $\phi = \phi_2$ ($x < 0$), the potentials ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are given by the linearized coupled boundary-value problem in the region of water

$$\nabla^2 \phi_1 = 0 = \nabla^2 \phi_2,$$

$$K\phi_1 + \phi_{1y} = 0 = K\phi_2 + \phi_{2y} \quad \text{on } y = 0,$$

$$\phi_1, \phi_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\phi_{1x} = -ik(\phi_1 - \phi_2) = \phi_{2x} \quad \text{on barrier},$$

$$\phi_1 = \phi_2 \quad \text{in gap},$$

$$r [|\phi_{1x}|^2 + |\phi_{1y}|^2], r [|\phi_{2x}|^2 + |\phi_{2y}|^2] \quad \text{are bounded as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow e^{-Ky-iKx} + Re^{-Ky+iKx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \phi_2 \rightarrow Te^{-Ky-iKx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty,$$

where $r = [x^2 + (y - c)^2]^{1/2}$ is the distance from the tip and the reflected and

transmitted amplitude constants R, T are part of the solution that involves two non-dimensional parameters Kc, kc . More details on the barrier conditions are given in Rhodes-Robinson [5].

A reformulation reduces this transmission problem if the incident waves are subtracted out by putting $\phi = \Phi + e^{-Ky - iKx}$; then the potential Φ is antisymmetric about the gap and in $x > 0$ satisfies the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \Phi &= 0, \\ K\Phi + \Phi_y &= 0 \quad \text{on } y = 0, \quad \Phi \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty, \\ \Phi_x + 2ik\Phi &= iKe^{-Ky} \quad \text{on barrier}, \quad \Phi = 0 \quad \text{in gap}, \\ r [|\Phi_x|^2 + |\Phi_y|^2] &\text{ is bounded as } r \rightarrow 0, \\ \Phi &\rightarrow Re^{-Ky + iKx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where R is part of the solution and it should be noted that the barrier condition is linear in both k and k^{-1} .

Note also due to the antisymmetry that ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are related by

$$\phi_1(x, y) + \phi_2(-x, y) = 2e^{-Ky} \cos Kx$$

and in particular

$$R + T = 1. \tag{2.1}$$

The above problem has been solved in full by Ursell [6] when $k = 0$ for the two barriers envisaged, but now such an achievement seems difficult and is not attempted herein. Instead nearly hard or soft perturbation solutions are sought corresponding to small or large values respectively of the parameter kc , with coefficients depending on the parameter Kc ; the linear form that these expansions should have is indicated by that of the barrier condition noted above. Emphasis is placed on the determination of the scattered amplitude constants R, T in order to calculate the scattered amplitude ratios $|R|, |T|$ and energy ratios $|R|^2, |T|^2$. Note that $|R|^2 + |T|^2 < 1$, since energy is lost with a porous barrier.

3. Perturbation formulation for nearly hard barriers

First suppose that $\epsilon = kc$ is *small* and look for a perturbation solution to the above problem of the linear form

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \epsilon \Phi_1 \tag{3.1}$$

to the first order in ϵ , where Φ_0, Φ_1 are hard limit ($k \rightarrow 0$) potentials that involve Kc ; also let

$$R = R_0 + \epsilon R_1, \quad T = T_0 + \epsilon T_1 \tag{3.2}$$

and note that

$$R_0 + T_0 = 1, \quad R_1 + T_1 = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

from (2.1).

The perturbation potential Φ_0 in $x > 0$ satisfies the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \Phi_0 &= 0, \\ K \Phi_0 + \Phi_{0y} &= 0 \text{ on } y = 0, \quad \Phi_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty, \\ \Phi_{0x} &= iK e^{-Ky} \text{ on barrier, } \Phi_0 = 0 \text{ in gap,} \\ r [|\Phi_{0x}|^2 + |\Phi_{0y}|^2] &\text{ is bounded as } r \rightarrow 0, \\ \Phi_0 &\rightarrow R_0 e^{-Ky+iKx} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(unperturbed problem), where R_0 is part of the solution; this is of course the familiar transmission problem referred to above for a hard barrier with the incident waves subtracted out. Note that $|R_0|^2 + |T_0|^2 = 1$ as no energy is lost here.

The perturbation potential Φ_1 in $x > 0$ satisfies the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \Phi_1 &= 0, \\ K \Phi_1 + \Phi_{1y} &= 0 \text{ on } y = 0, \quad \Phi_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } y \rightarrow \infty, \\ \Phi_{1x} &= -(2i/c)\Phi_0(0, y) \text{ on barrier, } \Phi_1 = 0 \text{ in gap,} \\ r [|\Phi_{1x}|^2 + |\Phi_{1y}|^2] &\text{ is bounded as } r \rightarrow 0, \\ \Phi_1 &\rightarrow R_1 e^{-Ky+iKx} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(first-order correction problem), where R_1 is part of the solution; this is a familiar hard wave-maker problem for a special normal velocity depending on the previous solution. Once R_0, R_1 and therefore $T_0 = 1 - R_0, T_1 = -R_1$ are obtained from (3.3), the scattered amplitude ratios are calculated using (3.2) as

$$|R| = a_0 - \epsilon a_1, \quad |T| = b_0 - \epsilon b_1 \tag{3.4}$$

to the first order in ϵ , where

$$a_0 = |R_0|, \quad b_0 = |T_0|, \quad a_1 = -\frac{\text{re}[R_0 \bar{R}_1]}{|R_0|}, \quad b_1 = -\frac{\text{re}[T_0 \bar{T}_1]}{|T_0|};$$

and then the scattered energy ratios as

$$|R|^2 = c_0 - \epsilon c_1, \quad |T|^2 = d_0 - \epsilon d_1 \tag{3.5}$$

likewise, where

$$c_0 = a_0^2, \quad d_0 = b_0^2, \quad c_1 = 2a_0a_1, \quad d_1 = 2b_0b_1.$$

Note also from (3.5) that $|R|^2 + |T|^2 = 1 - \epsilon(c_1 + d_1)$ as $c_0 + d_0 = 1$, and the loss of energy is $\epsilon(c_1 + d_1)$.

The expansion coefficients $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1, c_0, c_1, d_0, d_1$ in (3.4), (3.5) all depend on Kc and we now obtain these for partially immersed and completely submerged barriers, for which Φ_0, R_0 and R_1 are known or may be found.

4. Solution for nearly hard partially immersed barrier

This barrier occupies $x = 0, 0 \leq y \leq a$ so that $c = a$ and the parameters are $Ka, \epsilon = ka$.

The full unperturbed solution was obtained in Ursell [6] as

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{1}{B_1(Ka)} \left[\pi I_1(Ka) e^{-Ky+iKx} + \int_0^\infty \frac{J_1(ua) e^{-ux}}{u^2 + K^2} (u \cos uy - K \sin uy) du \right] \tag{4.1}$$

($x > 0$) so that

$$R_0 = \frac{\pi I_1(Ka)}{B_1(Ka)}, \quad T_0 = \frac{i K_1(Ka)}{B_1(Ka)} \tag{4.2}$$

from (3.3), where $I_1, J_1, K_1(z)$ are Bessel functions and $B_1(z) = \pi I_1(z) + i K_1(z)$.

The first-order correction outgoing waves are obtained using the formula determined in Evans [2] for the amplitude constant as

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{(-2i)^2}{a^2 B_1(Ka)} \int_0^a \frac{Y e^{KY}}{(a^2 - Y^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^Y \Phi_0(0, s) e^{-Ks} ds dY \\ &= \frac{-4}{a^2 B_1^2(Ka)} \int_0^a \frac{Y}{(a^2 - Y^2)^{1/2}} \left[\pi I_1(Ka) \frac{\sinh KY}{K} + \int_0^\infty \frac{J_1(ua)}{u^2 + K^2} \sin uY du \right] dY \end{aligned}$$

from (4.1)

$$= \frac{-2\pi}{a B_1^2(Ka)} \left[\frac{\pi I_1^2(Ka)}{K} + \int_0^\infty \frac{J_1^2(ua)}{u^2 + K^2} du \right]$$

on interchanging the order of integration and noting the integral representations

$$I_1(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{v \sinh zv}{(1-v^2)^{1/2}} dv, \quad J_1(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{v \sin zv}{(1-v^2)^{1/2}} dv;$$

thus

$$R_1 = -\frac{\alpha_1(Ka)}{B_1^2(Ka)}, \quad T_1 = \frac{\alpha_1(Ka)}{B_1^2(Ka)} \quad (4.3)$$

from (3.3), where $\alpha_1(z) = 2\pi[\pi I_1^2(z) + S_1(z)]/z$ and

$$S_1(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{J_1^2(zv)}{v^2 + 1} dv. \quad (4.4)$$

Hence the expansion coefficients in (3.4) are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \frac{\pi I_1(Ka)}{D_1(Ka)}, & b_0 &= \frac{K_1(Ka)}{D_1(Ka)}, \\ a_1 &= \frac{\pi I_1(Ka)\alpha_1(Ka)}{D_1^3(Ka)}, & b_1 &= \frac{K_1(Ka)\alpha_1(Ka)}{D_1^3(Ka)} \end{aligned}$$

and in (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \frac{\pi^2 I_1^2(Ka)}{D_1^2(Ka)}, & d_0 &= \frac{K_1^2(Ka)}{D_1^2(Ka)}, \\ c_1 &= \frac{2\pi^2 I_1^2(Ka)\alpha_1(Ka)}{D_1^4(Ka)}, & d_1 &= \frac{2K_1^2(Ka)\alpha_1(Ka)}{D_1^4(Ka)} \end{aligned}$$

from (4.2), (4.3), where $D_1(z) = |B_1(z)| = [\pi^2 I_1^2(z) + K_1^2(z)]^{1/2}$.

Numerical values of the expansion coefficients for $0 < K_a < 2.5$ calculated to 4 decimal place accuracy are given in Appendix 1 (Table 1), together with their limits as $Ka \rightarrow 0, \infty$; this accuracy is not sufficient for $Ka > 2.5$ to produce non-zero values, but higher accuracy can be achieved to extend the range if necessary. The expansions obtained using these values in (3.4), (3.5) are suitable for all $Ka > 0$ due to the finite limits of all coefficients.

Calculations of the integral S_1 in (4.4) involved in these are given in Appendix 2 (Table 3); the integral cannot be evaluated explicitly, although asymptotic forms can be derived.

5. Solution for nearly hard completely submerged barrier

This barrier occupies $x = 0, y \geq b$ so that $c = b$ and the parameters are $Kb, \epsilon = kb$.

The full unperturbed solution was also given in Ursell [6] as

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{i}{B_0(Kb)} \left[-K_0(Kb)e^{-Ky+iKx} + \int_0^\infty \frac{J_0(ub)e^{-ux}}{u^2 + K^2} (u \cos uy - K \sin uy) du \right] \quad (5.1)$$

($x > 0$) so that

$$R_0 = -\frac{iK_0(Kb)}{B_0(Kb)}, \quad T_0 = \frac{\pi I_0(Kb)}{B_0(Kb)} \quad (5.2)$$

from (3.3), where $I_0, J_0, K_0(z)$ are Bessel functions and $B_0(z) = \pi I_0(z) - iK_0(z)$.

The first-order correction outgoing waves are obtained using the formula given in Rhodes-Robinson [4], Section 5 for the amplitude constant as

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{-4i}{bB_0(Kb)} \int_b^\infty \frac{e^{KY}}{(Y^2 - b^2)^{1/2}} \int_Y^\infty \Phi_0(0, s)e^{-Ks} ds dY \\ &= \frac{4}{bB_0^2(Kb)} \int_b^\infty \frac{1}{(Y^2 - b^2)^{1/2}} \left[K_0(Kb) \frac{e^{-KY}}{2K} + \int_0^\infty \frac{J_0(ub)}{u^2 + K^2} \sin uY du \right] dY \end{aligned}$$

from (5.1)

$$= \frac{2}{bB_0^2(Kb)} \left[\frac{K_0^2(Kb)}{K} + \pi \int_0^\infty \frac{J_0^2(ub)}{u^2 + K^2} du \right]$$

on interchanging the order of integration and noting the integral representations

$$K_0(z) = \int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-zv}}{(v^2 - 1)^{1/2}} dv, \quad J_0(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_1^\infty \frac{\sin zv}{(v^2 - 1)^{1/2}} dv \quad (z > 0);$$

thus

$$R_1 = \frac{\alpha_0(Kb)}{B_0^2(Kb)}, \quad T_1 = -\frac{\alpha_0(Kb)}{B_0^2(Kb)} \quad (5.3)$$

from (3.3), where $\alpha_0(z) = 2[K_0^2(z) + \pi S_0(z)]/z$ and

$$S_0(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{J_0^2(zv)}{v^2 + 1} dv. \quad (5.4)$$

Hence the expansion coefficients in (3.4) are

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \frac{K_0(Kb)}{D_0(Kb)}, & b_0 &= \frac{\pi I_0(Kb)}{D_0(Kb)}, \\ a_1 &= \frac{K_0(Kb)\alpha_0(Kb)}{D_0^3(Kb)}, & b_1 &= \frac{\pi I_0(Kb)\alpha_0(Kb)}{D_0^3(Kb)} \end{aligned}$$

and in (3.5)

$$c_0 = \frac{K_0^2(Kb)}{D_0^2(Kb)}, \quad d_0 = \frac{\pi^2 I_0^2(Kb)}{D_0^2(Kb)},$$

$$c_1 = \frac{2K_0^2(Kb)\alpha_0(Kb)}{D_0^4(Kb)}, \quad d_1 = \frac{2\pi^2 I_0^2(Kb)\alpha_0(Kb)}{D_0^4(Kb)}$$

from (5.2), (5.3), where $D_0(z) = |B_0(z)| = [\pi^2 I_0^2(z) + K_0^2(z)]^{1/2}$.

Numerical values of the expansion coefficients for $0 < Kb \leq 2.5$ calculated to 4 decimal place accuracy are given in Appendix 1 (Table 2), together with their limits as $Kb \rightarrow 0, \infty$; similar comments pertain on accuracy here for $Kb > 2.5$ as before. The expansions obtained using these values in (5,6) becomes less suitable for smaller Kb due to the infinite limits of some coefficients, being then valid only for smaller $\epsilon = kb$.

Calculations of the integral S_0 in (5.4) involved in these are also given in Appendix 2 (Table 3); again the integral cannot be evaluated explicitly, although asymptotic forms can be derived.

6. Perturbation formulation for nearly soft barriers

Now suppose that kc is *large* so that $\delta = (kc)^{-1}$ is small and look for a perturbation solution to the problem in Section 2 of the linear form

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \delta\Phi_1 \tag{6.1}$$

to the first order in δ , where Φ_0, Φ_1 are soft limit ($k \rightarrow \infty$) potentials that involve Kc again; also let

$$R = R_0 + \delta R_1, \quad T = T_0 + \delta T_1 \tag{6.2}$$

and note again that

$$R_0 + T_0 = 1, \quad R_1 + T_1 = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

from (3.1).

The perturbation potential Φ_0 is now trivially obtained as

$$\Phi_0 = 0 \tag{6.4}$$

(unperturbed solution) for any soft (removable) barrier so that

$$R_0 = 0, \quad T_0 = 1 - R_0 = 1 \tag{6.5}$$

from (6.3).

The perturbation potential Φ_1 in $x > 0$ satisfies the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \Phi_1 &= 0, \\ K \Phi_1 + \Phi_{1y} &= 0 \quad \text{on } y = 0, \quad \Phi_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty, \\ \Phi_1 &= \frac{1}{2} K c e^{-Ky} \quad \text{on barrier, } \Phi_1 = 0 \quad \text{in gap,} \\ r [|\Phi_{1x}|^2 + |\Phi_{1y}|^2] &\text{ is bounded as } r \rightarrow 0, \\ \Phi_1 &\rightarrow R_1 e^{-Ky+iKx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

(first-order correction problem), where R_1 is part of the solution; the full solution is obtained for any barrier similarly as in Havelock's [3] classical wave-maker problem. Note that R_1 is always found to be real and positive.

Once R_1 and therefore $T_1 = -R_1$ are obtained from (6.3b), the scattered amplitude and energy ratios are easily calculated using (6.2) after noting (6.5) as

$$|R| = \delta R_1, \quad |T| = 1 - \delta R_1 \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$|R|^2 = 0, \quad |T|^2 = 1 - 2\delta R_1 \tag{6.7}$$

in terms of R_1 to the first order in δ ; the loss of energy is $2\delta R_1$ from (6.7). The expansion coefficients in (6.6), (6.7) depend on Kc again.

To conclude we obtain Φ_1 and R_1 for the two particular barriers described earlier.

7. Solutions for nearly soft barriers

For the *partially immersed* barrier $\delta = (ka)^{-1}$. The full first-order solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &= \frac{Ka}{\pi} e^{-Ka} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux} \sin ua}{u^2 + K^2} (u \cos uy - K \sin uy) du \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} Ka (1 - e^{-2Ka}) e^{-Ky+iKx} \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

($x > 0$) so that

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2} Ka (1 - e^{-2Ka}). \tag{7.2}$$

Numerical values of R_1 for $0 \leq Ka \leq 2.5$ (again, say) can easily be calculated from this exact formula and the limit is infinite as $Ka \rightarrow \infty$. The expansions (6.6),

(6.7) obtained using these become less suitable for larger Ka due to the infinite limit of some coefficients, being then valid only for smaller $\delta = (ka)^{-1}$.

For the *completely submerged* barrier $\delta = (kb)^{-1}$. The full first-order solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 = & -\frac{Kb}{\pi} e^{-Kb} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ux} \sin ub}{u^2 + K^2} (u \cos uy - K \sin uy) du \\ & + \frac{1}{2} K b e^{-2Kb} e^{-Ky+iKx} \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

so that

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2} K b e^{-2Kb}. \quad (7.4)$$

Numerical values of R_1 for $0 \leq Kb \leq 2.5$ can again be calculated from this exact formula and the limit is zero as $Kb \rightarrow \infty$. The expansions (6.6), (6.7) obtained using these are now suitable for all $Kb \geq 0$ due to the finite limits of the coefficients.

Acknowledgement

I am indebted to Professor J. F. Harper for providing the asymptotic forms (at his own initiative) and computations (at my request) in the Appendices below.

References

- [1] A. Chakrabarti and T. Sahoo, "Reflection of water waves by a nearly vertical porous wall", *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **37** (1996) 417–429.
- [2] D. V. Evans, "A note on the waves produced by the small oscillations of a partially immersed vertical plate", *J. Inst. Math. Applics.* **17** (1976) 135–140.
- [3] T. H. Havelock, "Forced surface waves on water", *Philos. Mag.* **8** (1929) 569–576.
- [4] P. F. Rhodes-Robinson, "The effect of surface tension on the progressive waves due to incomplete vertical wave-makers in water of infinite depth", *Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A* **435** (1991) 293–319.
- [5] P. F. Rhodes-Robinson, "On waves in the presence of vertical porous boundaries", *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, **39** (1997), 104–120.
- [6] F. Ursell, "The effect of a fixed vertical barrier on surface waves in deep water", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **43** (1947) 374–382.
- [7] G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel Functions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge, 1944).
- [8] W. E. Williams, "Note on the scattering of water waves by a vertical barrier", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **62** (1966) 507–509.

Appendix 1: Expansion coefficients for nearly hard barriers

Numerical values calculated to 4 decimal place accuracy are given in Tables 1, 2, together with their limits.

TABLE 1. Values of expansion coefficients for a nearly hard partially immersed barrier.

Ka	a_0	a_1	b_0	b_1	c_0	c_1	d_0	d_1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0.1	0.0160	0.0005	0.9999	0.0301	0.0003	0.0000	0.9997	0.0603
0.2	0.0660	0.0093	0.9978	0.1403	0.0044	0.0012	0.9956	0.2801
0.3	0.1541	0.0572	0.9881	0.3670	0.0237	0.0176	0.9763	0.7253
0.4	0.2816	0.2134	0.9595	0.7271	0.0793	0.1201	0.9207	1.3954
0.5	0.4394	0.5620	0.8983	1.1489	0.1931	0.4938	0.8069	2.0642
0.6	0.6033	1.0911	0.7975	1.4423	0.3640	1.3164	0.6360	2.3006
0.7	0.7437	1.6173	0.6685	1.4540	0.5530	2.4055	0.4470	1.9441
0.8	0.8447	1.9493	0.5353	1.2353	0.7135	3.2931	0.2865	1.3225
0.9	0.9089	2.0564	0.4170	0.9435	0.8261	3.7381	0.1739	0.7869
1.0	0.9471	2.0143	0.3211	0.6829	0.8969	3.8153	0.1031	0.4385
1.1	0.9691	1.9020	0.2467	0.4841	0.9392	3.6865	0.0608	0.2388
1.2	0.9818	1.7679	0.1900	0.3422	0.9639	3.4714	0.0361	0.1301
1.3	0.9891	1.6351	0.1471	0.2432	0.9784	3.2347	0.0216	0.0716
1.4	0.9934	1.5129	0.1145	0.1744	0.9869	3.0059	0.0131	0.0399
1.5	0.9960	1.4038	0.0896	0.1263	0.9920	2.7962	0.0080	0.0226
1.6	0.9975	1.3075	0.0704	0.0923	0.9950	2.6084	0.0050	0.0130
1.7	0.9985	1.2228	0.0556	0.0681	0.9969	2.4418	0.0031	0.0076
1.8	0.9990	1.1481	0.0441	0.0507	0.9981	2.2941	0.0019	0.0045
1.9	0.9994	1.0821	0.0351	0.0380	0.9988	2.1629	0.0012	0.0027
2.0	0.9996	1.0235	0.0280	0.0286	0.9992	2.0461	0.0008	0.0016
2.1	0.9997	0.9710	0.0224	0.0217	0.9995	1.9416	0.0005	0.0010
2.2	0.9998	0.9239	0.0179	0.0166	0.9997	1.8475	0.0003	0.0006
2.3	0.9999	0.8813	0.0144	0.0127	0.9998	1.7625	0.0002	0.0004
2.4	0.9999	0.8427	0.0116	0.0098	0.9999	1.6853	0.0001	0.0002
2.5	1.0000	0.8074	0.0093	0.0075	0.9999	1.6148	0.0001	0.0001
∞	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

TABLE 2. Values of expansion coefficients for a nearly hard completely submerged barrier.

Kb	a_0	a_1	b_0	b_1	c_0	c_1	d_0	d_1
0	1	∞	0	∞	1	∞	0	∞
0.1	0.6104	8.0687	0.7921	10.4702	0.3726	9.8503	0.6274	16.5866
0.2	0.4835	2.6848	0.8753	4.8606	0.2338	2.5963	0.7662	8.5094
0.3	0.3929	1.2472	0.9196	2.9194	0.1543	0.9799	0.8457	5.3693
0.4	0.3227	0.6639	0.9465	1.9470	0.1042	0.4285	0.8958	3.6857
0.5	0.2667	0.3802	0.9638	1.3740	0.0711	0.2028	0.9289	2.6484
0.6	0.2210	0.2275	0.9753	1.0039	0.0489	0.1006	0.9511	1.9582
0.7	0.1835	0.1402	0.9830	0.7508	0.0337	0.0514	0.9663	1.4761
0.8	0.1525	0.0880	0.9883	0.5707	0.0232	0.0268	0.9768	1.1280
0.9	0.1267	0.0561	0.9919	0.4389	0.0161	0.0142	0.9839	0.8708
1.0	0.1053	0.0361	0.9944	0.3406	0.0111	0.0076	0.9889	0.6774
1.1	0.0874	0.0233	0.9962	0.2660	0.0076	0.0041	0.9924	0.5300
1.2	0.0726	0.0152	0.9974	0.2088	0.0053	0.0022	0.9947	0.4165
1.3	0.0602	0.0099	0.9982	0.1646	0.0036	0.0012	0.9964	0.3286
1.4	0.0499	0.0065	0.9988	0.1301	0.0025	0.0006	0.9975	0.2599
1.5	0.0413	0.0043	0.9991	0.1031	0.0017	0.0004	0.9983	0.2060
1.6	0.0342	0.0028	0.9994	0.0819	0.0012	0.0002	0.9988	0.1636
1.7	0.0283	0.0018	0.9996	0.0651	0.0008	0.0001	0.9992	0.1302
1.8	0.0233	0.0012	0.9997	0.0518	0.0005	0.0001	0.9995	0.1037
1.9	0.0193	0.0008	0.9998	0.0413	0.0004	0.0000	0.9996	0.0826
2.0	0.0159	0.0005	0.9999	0.0330	0.0003	0.0000	0.9997	0.0660
2.1	0.0131	0.0003	0.9999	0.0263	0.0002	0.0000	0.9998	0.0527
2.2	0.0108	0.0002	0.9999	0.0210	0.0001	0.0000	0.9999	0.0421
2.3	0.0089	0.0001	1.0000	0.0168	0.0001	0.0000	0.9999	0.0337
2.4	0.0073	0.0001	1.0000	0.0135	0.0001	0.0000	0.9999	0.0269
2.5	0.0060	0.0001	1.0000	0.0108	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.0216
∞	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0

Appendix 2: Bessel function integrals

The integrals

$$S_n(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{J_n^2(zv)}{v^2 + 1} dv \quad (n = 0, 1) \quad (\text{A2.1})$$

are even in z (real) and positive in value, but cannot be evaluated analytically except for $z = 0$.

The asymptotic forms are

$$S_0(z) \sim \frac{\pi}{2} - z \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - J_0^2(w)}{w^2} dw = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4z}{\pi},$$

$$S_1(z) \sim z \int_0^\infty \frac{J_1^2(w)}{w^2} dw = \frac{4z}{3\pi}$$

as $z \rightarrow 0$ from Watson [7]; and

$$S_0(z) \sim \frac{1}{\pi z} (\ln 8z + \gamma), \quad S_1(z) \sim \frac{1}{\pi z} (\ln 8z + \gamma - 2)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma = 0.577216\dots$ is Euler's constant. The latter forms are obtained after converting (A2.1) to the alternative integral

$$S_n(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 e^{-2zw} Q_{n-\frac{1}{2}}(1-2w^2) dw \quad (n = 0, 1) \quad (\text{A2.2})$$

in terms of Legendre functions, and using Watson's lemma.

Numerical values of the integrals for $0 \leq z \leq 20$ calculated to 6 decimal place accuracy from either (A2.1) or (A2.2) are given in Table 3; only those for $0 < z \leq 2.5$ are used herein, however.

TABLE 3. Values of Bessel function integrals.

z	$S_0(z)$	$S_1(z)$	z	$S_0(z)$	$S_1(z)$
0.0	1.570796	0.000000	5.0	0.272172	0.141899
0.1	1.450963	0.038731	5.1	0.268055	0.140426
0.2	1.344767	0.070839	5.2	0.264073	0.138982
0.3	1.250409	0.097374	5.3	0.260220	0.137567
0.4	1.166346	0.119222	5.4	0.256489	0.136181
0.5	1.091253	0.137127	5.5	0.252875	0.134822
0.6	1.023992	0.151715	5.6	0.249371	0.133491
0.7	0.963580	0.163515	5.7	0.245973	0.132187
0.8	0.909173	0.172971	5.8	0.242676	0.130908
0.9	0.860040	0.180458	5.9	0.239475	0.129655
1.0	0.815549	0.186292	6.0	0.236366	0.128427
1.1	0.775153	0.190740	6.1	0.233344	0.127223
1.2	0.738376	0.194027	6.2	0.230407	0.126042
1.3	0.704806	0.196341	6.3	0.227550	0.124884
1.4	0.674084	0.197843	6.4	0.224770	0.123749
1.5	0.645895	0.198669	6.5	0.222064	0.122635
1.6	0.619967	0.198931	6.6	0.219429	0.121542
1.7	0.596059	0.198727	6.7	0.216862	0.120470
1.8	0.573962	0.198137	6.8	0.214360	0.119418
1.9	0.553490	0.197229	6.9	0.211920	0.118385
2.0	0.534481	0.196062	7.0	0.209542	0.117372
2.1	0.516792	0.194684	7.1	0.207221	0.116377
2.2	0.500297	0.193137	7.2	0.204956	0.115400
2.3	0.484883	0.191454	7.3	0.202745	0.114441
2.4	0.470450	0.189665	7.4	0.200586	0.113499
2.5	0.456911	0.187794	7.5	0.198476	0.112574
2.6	0.444187	0.185862	7.6	0.196415	0.111665
2.7	0.432208	0.183887	7.7	0.194401	0.110772
2.8	0.420910	0.181881	7.8	0.192431	0.109894
2.9	0.410239	0.179859	7.9	0.190505	0.109031
3.0	0.400142	0.177828	8.0	0.188620	0.108183
3.1	0.390576	0.175799	8.1	0.186777	0.107350
3.2	0.381499	0.173777	8.2	0.184972	0.106530
3.3	0.372874	0.171769	8.3	0.183205	0.105724
3.4	0.364668	0.169779	8.4	0.181475	0.104932
3.5	0.356850	0.167810	8.5	0.179780	0.104152
3.6	0.349394	0.165866	8.6	0.178120	0.103385
3.7	0.342274	0.163949	8.7	0.176493	0.102630
3.8	0.335468	0.162061	8.8	0.174898	0.101888
3.9	0.328955	0.160204	8.9	0.173335	0.101157
4.0	0.322715	0.158377	9.0	0.171801	0.100437
4.1	0.316732	0.156583	9.1	0.170298	0.099729
4.2	0.310989	0.154822	9.2	0.168823	0.099032
4.3	0.305473	0.153093	9.3	0.167375	0.098346
4.4	0.300169	0.151397	9.4	0.165955	0.097670
4.5	0.295065	0.149734	9.5	0.164561	0.097004
4.6	0.290149	0.148103	9.6	0.163192	0.096348
4.7	0.285411	0.146505	9.7	0.161848	0.095702
4.8	0.280842	0.144938	9.8	0.160527	0.095066
4.9	0.276431	0.143403	9.9	0.159231	0.094438
5.0	0.272172	0.141899	10.0	0.157957	0.093820

z	$S_0(z)$	$S_1(z)$	z	$S_0(z)$	$S_1(z)$
10.0	0.157957	0.093820	15.0	0.113877	0.071276
10.1	0.156705	0.093211	15.1	0.113262	0.070946
10.2	0.155474	0.092611	15.2	0.112655	0.070618
10.3	0.154265	0.092019	15.3	0.112055	0.070295
10.4	0.153076	0.091436	15.4	0.111461	0.069974
10.5	0.151907	0.090860	15.5	0.110875	0.069657
10.6	0.150757	0.090293	15.6	0.110295	0.069343
10.7	0.149626	0.089733	15.7	0.109722	0.069032
10.8	0.148514	0.089181	15.8	0.109155	0.068724
10.9	0.147419	0.088637	15.9	0.108594	0.068419
11.0	0.146342	0.088100	16.0	0.108040	0.068118
11.1	0.145282	0.087570	16.1	0.107492	0.067819
11.2	0.144239	0.087048	16.2	0.106950	0.067523
11.3	0.143212	0.086532	16.3	0.106414	0.067230
11.4	0.142200	0.086023	16.4	0.105883	0.066940
11.5	0.141205	0.085520	16.5	0.105358	0.066652
11.6	0.140224	0.085024	16.6	0.104839	0.066368
11.7	0.139258	0.084535	16.7	0.104326	0.066086
11.8	0.138307	0.084052	16.8	0.103818	0.065807
11.9	0.137369	0.083574	16.9	0.103315	0.065530
12.0	0.136446	0.083103	17.0	0.102817	0.065256
12.1	0.135535	0.082638	17.1	0.102325	0.064984
12.2	0.134638	0.082178	17.2	0.101838	0.064715
12.3	0.133754	0.081725	17.3	0.101356	0.064449
12.4	0.132883	0.081276	17.4	0.100878	0.064184
12.5	0.132024	0.080833	17.5	0.100406	0.063923
12.6	0.131176	0.080396	17.6	0.099938	0.063663
12.7	0.130341	0.079964	17.7	0.099475	0.063406
12.8	0.129517	0.079537	17.8	0.099017	0.063152
12.9	0.128704	0.079115	17.9	0.098563	0.062899
13.0	0.127903	0.078698	18.0	0.098114	0.062649
13.1	0.127112	0.078285	18.1	0.097669	0.062401
13.2	0.126332	0.077878	18.2	0.097229	0.062155
13.3	0.125562	0.077475	18.3	0.096792	0.061912
13.4	0.124802	0.077077	18.4	0.096361	0.061670
13.5	0.124053	0.076684	18.5	0.095933	0.061431
13.6	0.123313	0.076295	18.6	0.095509	0.061193
13.7	0.122582	0.075910	18.7	0.095089	0.060958
13.8	0.121861	0.075530	18.8	0.094674	0.060725
13.9	0.121149	0.075154	18.9	0.094262	0.060493
14.0	0.120446	0.074782	19.0	0.093854	0.060264
14.1	0.119752	0.074414	19.1	0.093450	0.060037
14.2	0.119067	0.074050	19.2	0.093050	0.059811
14.3	0.118390	0.073690	19.3	0.092653	0.059587
14.4	0.117722	0.073334	19.4	0.092260	0.059366
14.5	0.117061	0.072982	19.5	0.091871	0.059146
14.6	0.116409	0.072633	19.6	0.091485	0.058928
14.7	0.115764	0.072289	19.7	0.091103	0.058711
14.8	0.115128	0.071948	19.8	0.090724	0.058497
14.9	0.114498	0.071610	19.9	0.090348	0.058284
15.0	0.113877	0.071276	20.0	0.089976	0.058073