## THE LEAST COMMUTATIVE CONGRUENCE ON A SIMPLE REGULAR $\omega$ -SEMIGROUP<sup>†</sup>

## by C. BONZINI, A. CHERUBINI and B. PIOCHI

(Received 22 March, 1988; revised 17 October, 1988)

**Introduction.** Piochi in [10] gives a description of the least commutative congruence  $\lambda$  of an inverse semigroup in terms of congruence pairs and generalizes to inverse semigroups the notion of solvability. The object of this paper is to give an explicit construction of  $\lambda$  for simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroups exploiting the work of Baird on congruences on such semigroups. Moreover the connection between the solvability classes of simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroups is studied.

As usual  $\sigma$  indicates the least group congruence.  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  the Green's relations,  $\mathbb{N}$  the set of non negative integers,  $\mathbb{Z}$  the additive group of the integers. For notations and definitions not given in this paper the reader is referred to [9].

## 1. Preliminary results.

DEFINITION 1. An  $\omega$ -semigroup S is a semigroup whose set E of idempotents form an  $\omega$ -chain

$$e_0 > e_1 > \ldots > e_n > \ldots$$

under the natural order defined on E by the rule  $e \ge f$  if and only if ef = f = fe.

For a regular  $\omega$ -semigroup. Munn in [6] proved the following result.

THEOREM A. Let S be a regular  $\omega$ -semigroup.

If S has no kernel, then it is the union of an  $\omega$ -chain of groups.

If the kernel of S coincides with S, then S is a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup.

If S has a proper kernel, then S is a (retract) ideal extension of a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup K by a finite chain of groups with 0 adjoined  $H^0$ . Moreover this extension is determined by means of a homomorphism of H into the group of units of K.

Piochi in [10] characterized (by means of congruence pairs) the least commutative congruence of an inverse semigroup, proving

THEOREM B ([10], Th. 2.4 and Th. 2.6). Let S be an inverse semigroup and E its semilattice of idempotents. Define on E the relation  $e \sim f$  if and only if there exist a,  $b \in S$  such that  $e = abb^{-1}a^{-1}$ ,  $f = baa^{-1}b^{-1}$  and denote by  $\lambda_E$  the transitive closure of  $\sim$ . Denote by S' the subsemigroup of S generated by the elements  $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  with  $a, b \in S$  and put

$$\partial(S) = \{a \in S \mid a^{-1}a\lambda_E e \text{ for some } e \in E \text{ and } ae \in S'\}.$$

Then  $(\lambda_E, \partial(S))$  is a congruence pair and the congruence associated with it is the least commutative congruence on S.

Henceforward the least commutative congruence on a semigroup S will be denoted by  $\lambda_S$  (or simply by  $\lambda$ ). We remark that the congruence  $\lambda$  is denoted  $\gamma$  in [10]; here we changed notation, in order to avoid confusion with the mappings  $\gamma_i$  of Theorem C below.

† Work supported by M.P.I.

Glasgow Math. J. 32 (1990) 13-23.

The main aim of this paper is to give an explicit construction of  $\lambda$  for a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup. The construction of  $\lambda$  for the non-simple case is a result of a routine nature but adds to the technical problems, hence here is deleted; however it can be found in [4].

2. The least commutative congruence on a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup. Several authors, e.g. Kocin [5] and Munn [6], gave structure theorems for simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroups. The one given by Munn is the following

THEOREM C. Let d be a positive integer and let  $\{G_i \mid i = 0, ..., d-1\}$  be a set of d pairwise disjoint groups. Let  $\gamma_{d-1}$  be a homomorphism of  $G_{d-1}$  into  $G_0$  and, if d > 1, let  $\gamma_i$  be a homomorphism of  $G_i$  into  $G_{i+1}$  (i = 0, ..., d-2). For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\bar{n}$  denote the integer equivalent to n modulo d, belonging to  $\mathbb{N}$  and less than d and let  $\gamma_n = \gamma_{\bar{n}}$ . For m,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and m < n write

$$\alpha_{m,n} = \gamma_m \gamma_{m+1} \dots \gamma_{n-1}$$

and for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\alpha_{n,n}$  denote the identity automorphism of  $G_{\bar{n}}$ . Let S be the set of the ordered triples  $(m, a_i, n)$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \le i \le d - 1$  and  $a_i \in G_i$ . Define a multiplication in S by the rule that

$$(m, a_i, n)(p, b_j, q) = (m + p - r, (a_i \alpha_{u,w})(b_j \alpha_{v,w}), n + q - r)$$

where  $r = \min\{n, p\}$ , u = nd + i, v = pd + j and  $w = \max\{u, v\}$ . Denote the so formed groupoid by  $S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$ . Then  $S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  is a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup with exactly d  $\mathbb{D}$ -classes and any simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup is isomorphic to a semigroup  $S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i = 0, \ldots, d - 1$  write  $e_i^n = (n, e_i, n)$ , where  $e_i$  is the identity of the group  $G_i$ . The elements  $e_i^n$  are the idempotents of  $S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  and we have

$$e_0^0 > e_1^0 > \ldots > e_{d-1}^0 > e_0^1 > \ldots > e_{d-1}^1 > e_0^2 > \ldots$$

NOTATION. In the remainder of the paper  $\bar{n}$  will denote, as in previous theorem, the integer equivalent to *n* modulo *d*, belonging to  $\mathbb{N}$  and less than *d*, and, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , the endomorphism  $\alpha_{i,i+d}$  of  $G_{\bar{i}}$  will be indicated by  $\alpha_i$ .

REMARK 2.1. For every  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  with i < j we have obviously  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{\overline{i}}$  and, putting  $i = md + \overline{i}, j = nd + \overline{j}, \alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{\overline{i},\overline{j}}\alpha_j^{n-m}$  if  $\overline{i} \le \overline{j}$  and  $\alpha_{i,j} = \alpha_{\overline{i},\overline{j}+d}\alpha_{\overline{i}}^{n-m-1}$  if  $\overline{i} > \overline{j}$ .

REMARK 2.2. For fixed *i* satisfying  $0 \le i \le d - 1$ , put

 $S_i = \{ (m, a_i, n) \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in G_i \}.$ 

Then  $S_i$  is a bisimple inverse semigroup of S ([2], p. 462) and the Reilly multiplication ([7], formula (1)) applies with  $\alpha = \alpha_i$ .

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  be a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup. Then its least commutative congruence  $\lambda$  is a group congruence contained in  $\sigma \vee \mathbb{H}$ .

*Proof.* First we recall that the congruence  $\lambda_E$  defined in Th. B is, by Th. 2.2 of [3], a uniform congruence of E. Moreover, for every  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for every i such that  $0 \le i \le d-1$ , we have  $e_i^m \lambda_E e_i^n$ . In fact, putting  $a = (m, e_i, n)$ ,  $b = (n, e_i, m)$  we have  $e_i^m = abb^{-1}a^{-1}$  and  $e_i^n = baa^{-1}b^{-1}$ . Hence, by the remarks preceding Lemma 2.1 of [3], we immediately deduce that  $\lambda_E$  is the universal congruence  $\omega_E$  on E, hence  $\lambda$  is a group

congruence. Finally we remark that, since  $S/\sigma \vee \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{Z}$  (see [2], Corollary 3.1),  $\sigma \vee \mathbb{H}$  is a commutative congruence; so  $\lambda$  is contained in  $\sigma \vee \mathbb{H}$ .

COROLLARY 2.4. If  $\rho$  is a commutative congruence on  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$ , then  $\rho$  is a group congruence.

DEFINITION 2.5 ([2], p. 463). Let  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$ . A subset A of  $G = G_0 \times G_1 \times \ldots \times G_{d-1}$  which satisfies the conditions

(i)  $A = A_0 \times A_1 \times \ldots \times A_{d-1}$  for some  $A_i \subseteq G_i$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, d-1$ ,

(ii)  $A_i \trianglelefteq G_i, i = 0, ..., d-1,$ 

(iii)  $A_{d-1}\gamma_{d-1} \subseteq A_0$  and  $A_i\gamma_i \subseteq A_{i+1}$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots, d-2$ ,

is called a  $\gamma$ -admissible subset of G.

 $\Gamma^*$  denotes the set of the  $\gamma$ -admissible subsets of G satisfying the condition (iv) rad A = A where rad  $A = \operatorname{rad} A_0 \times \ldots \times \operatorname{rad} A_{d-1}$  and

rad  $A_i = \{a_i \in G_i \mid a_i \alpha_i^n \in A_i \text{ for some nonnegative integer } n\}$ .

LEMMA 2.6 ([2], Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5). Let  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  and let  $\rho$  be a congruence on S such that  $\rho \in [\sigma, \sigma \vee \mathbb{H}]$ . Put  $A^{\rho} = A_0^{\rho} \times \ldots \times A_{d-1}^{\rho}$  where  $A_i^{\rho} = \{a_i \in G_i \mid (0, a_i, 0) \in \ker \rho\}$ . Then the following conditions hold.

(i)  $A^{\rho} \in \Gamma^*$ .

(ii) ker  $\rho = \{(m, a_i, m) \mid m \in \mathbb{N}, a_i \in A_i^{\rho}, i = 0, ..., d-1\}.$ 

(iii) Let  $x = (m, g_i, n)$ ,  $y = (p, h_j, q)$  be two elements of S, then we have  $x \rho y$  if and only if m - n = p - q and  $(g_i \alpha_{u,w})(h_j^{-1} \alpha_{v,w}) \in A_k^{\rho}$  where u = nd + i, v = qd + j,  $w = \max\{u, v\}, k = \bar{w}$ .

Conversely, for every  $A \in \Gamma^*$ , the relation  $\rho$  defined by (iii) is a congruence on S belonging to  $[\sigma, \sigma \lor \mathbb{H}]$  such that  $A^{\rho} = A$ .

REMARK 2.7. By Lemma 2.6 it follows that a group congruence  $\rho$  contained in  $\sigma \vee \mathbb{H}$  is completely determined by the subset  $A^{\rho}$  of G. Hence, by Lemma 2.3,  $\lambda$  can be described by means of  $A^{\lambda}$ .

REMARK 2.8. We recall that for every two congruences  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  on an inverse semigroup, we have  $\rho \leq \tau$  if and only if  $\operatorname{tr} \rho \leq \operatorname{tr} \tau$  and  $\ker \rho \subseteq \ker \tau$ . Hence, if  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  and  $\rho, \tau \in [\sigma, \sigma \vee \mathbb{H}]$  then  $\rho \leq \tau$  if and only if  $A^{\rho} \subseteq A^{\tau}$ .

DEFINITION 2.9. Let H be a group and  $\phi$  an endomorphism of H. For every  $a, b \in H$  we call

 $(a\phi^r)(b\phi^s)(a^{-1}\phi^t)(b^{-1}\phi^u) \qquad (r, s, t, u \in \mathbb{N})$ 

a  $\phi$ -commutator of a and b, and, if it is unambiguous, we put

$$(a\phi')(b\phi^s)(a^{-1}\phi')(b^{-1}\phi^u) = [a, b]_{\phi}.$$

We denote by  $H'_{\phi}$  the subgroup of H generated by the  $\phi$ -commutators of H and we call it the  $\phi$ -derivate of H.

LEMMA 2.10. The following properties hold.

- (i)  $H'_{\phi} \supseteq H'$  where H' indicates the derivate of the group H.
- (ii) If  $g\phi^k \in H'_{\phi}$  for some non negative integer k, then  $g \in H'_{\phi}$ .

(iii)  $H'_{\phi} \trianglelefteq H$ .

*Proof.* Property (i) is obvious, property (ii) easily follows because  $g(g^{-1}\phi^k)$  is a  $\phi$ -commutator of g and of the identity of H. To prove property (iii), let  $a, b, g \in H$  and consider a  $\phi$ -commutator

$$[a, b]_{\phi} = (a\phi^{r})(b\phi^{s})(a^{-1}\phi^{t})(b^{-1}\phi^{u}).$$

If t < r,

$$g[a, b]_{\phi}g^{-1} = g((a\phi')\phi'^{-\prime})g^{-1}(a^{-1}\phi')(a\phi')g(b\phi^s)gg^{-1}(a^{-1}\phi')g^{-1}(b^{-1}\phi^s)(b\phi^s)g(b^{-1}\phi'')g^{-1}(b^{-1}\phi')g^{-1}(b^{-1}\phi')g^{-1}(b^{-1}\phi'')g^{-1}(b^{$$

If, on the contrary  $t \ge r_{t}$ ,

$$g[a, b]_{\phi}g^{-1} = g(a\phi^{r})(b\phi^{s})((a^{-1}\phi^{r})\phi^{t-r})(g^{-1}\phi^{t-r})b^{-1}b(g\phi^{t-r})(b^{-1}\phi^{u})g^{-1}$$
  
= [g(a\phi^{r}), b]\_{\phi}[b, g]\_{\phi}.

LEMMA 2.11. Let  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  and  $\lambda$  its least commutative congruence. Then  $A^{\lambda} = G'_{\alpha}$  where  $G'_{\alpha} = (G_0)'_{\alpha_0} \times \ldots \times (G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that  $G'_{\alpha} \in \Gamma^*$ . In fact condition (i) of Definition 2.5 obviously holds; conditions (ii) and (iv) follow by Lemma 2.10. Moreover  $\alpha_i \gamma_i = \gamma_i \alpha_{i+1}$  for every  $i = 0, \ldots, d-2, \alpha_{d-1}\gamma_{d-1} = \gamma_{d-1}\alpha_0$ . Let  $0 \le j \le d-1$ . For every  $a_j, b_j \in G_j$  and for every  $\alpha_j$ -commutator of  $a_j, b_j$  we have

$$[a_{d-1}, b_{d-1}]_{\alpha_{d-1}} \gamma_{d-1} = [a_{d-1}\gamma_{d-1}, b_{d-1}\gamma_{d-1}]_{\alpha_0}$$

and  $[a_j, b_j]_{\alpha_j} \gamma_j = [a_j \gamma_j, b_j \gamma_j]_{\alpha_{j+1}}$  with  $j \le d-2$ , i.e. condition (iii) of Definition 2.5 holds. Now, let  $\rho$  be the group congruence induced by  $G'_{\alpha}$  following Lemma 2.6. We will show that  $\rho$  is commutative, i.e. that for every  $x, y \in S$  we have  $xy \rho yx$ . Put

 $x = (m, g_i, n),$   $y = (p, h_j, q), (g_i \in G_i, h_j \in G_j; 0 \le i, j \le d - 1; m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}),$ then

 $xy = (m + p - r, (g_i \alpha_{u,w})(h_j \alpha_{v,w}), q + n - r)$ 

and

$$yx = (p + m - s, (h_j\alpha_{a,c})(g_i\alpha_{b,c}), q + n - s)$$

where  $r = \min\{n, p\}$ ,  $s = \min\{q, m\}$ , u = nd + i, v = pd + j,  $w = \max\{u, v\}$ , a = qd + j, b = md + i,  $c = \max\{a, b\}$ .

Obviously condition

$$(m+p-r) - (q+n-r) = (p+m-s) - (q+n-s)$$
(1)

holds. Now consider the element

$$g = (((g_i \alpha_{u,w})(h_j \alpha_{v,w})) \alpha_{l,t})(((h_j \alpha_{a,c})(g_i \alpha_{b,c}))^{-1} \alpha_{k,t})$$

with

$$l = (n + q - r)d + \bar{w}, \qquad k = (q + n - s)d + \bar{c}, \qquad t = \max\{l, k\}.$$

We have

$$g = ((g_i \alpha_{u,w}) \alpha_{l,t})((h_j \alpha_{v,w}) \alpha_{l,t})((g_i^{-1} \alpha_{b,c}) \alpha_{k,t})((h_j^{-1} \alpha_{a,c}) \alpha_{k,t})$$
  
=  $((g_i \alpha_{i,z}) \alpha_z^{i_1})((h_j \alpha_{j,z}) \alpha_z^{i_2})((g_i \alpha_{i,z})^{-1} \alpha_z^{i_3})((h_j \alpha_{j,z})^{-1}) \alpha_z^{i_4})$  (2)

where, if  $\bar{i} \ge \max\{i, j\}$ ,  $z = \bar{i}$ , otherwise  $z = \bar{i} + d$  and  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ ,  $i_3$ ,  $i_4$  are suitable non negative integers (Remark 2.1). By (2) it follows that  $g \in (G_{\bar{i}})'_{\alpha\bar{i}}$ ; hence by (1) and by condition (iii) of Lemma 2.6,  $xy \rho yx$ .

Now, let  $\tau$  be a commutative congruence on S; we shall prove that  $\rho \leq \tau$ . The congruence  $\tau' = \tau \land (\sigma \lor \mathbb{H})$  is commutative; hence it is a group congruence by Corollary 2.4. Let  $A^{\tau'}$  be the  $\gamma$ -admissible subgroup of G induced by  $\tau'$  following Lemma 2.6 and let

$$(g_i\alpha_i^p)(h_i\alpha_i^n)(g_i^{-1}\alpha_i^q)(h_i^{-1}\alpha_i^m) \quad (i=0,\ldots,d-1;g_i,h_i\in G_i;p,n,q,m\in\mathbb{N})$$

be an  $\alpha_i$ -commutator of  $G_i$ . Put  $x = (m + k, g_i, n + k)$ ,  $y = (p + k, h_i, q + k)$  where  $k = \min\{r, s\}$ ,  $r = \min\{p, n\}$ ,  $s = \min\{q, m\}$ . Since  $\tau'$  is a commutative congruence we have  $xy \tau' yx$ , hence recalling condition (iii) of Lemma 2.6 and Remark 2.2 it follows that

$$[(g_i\alpha_i^{p+k-i})(h_i\alpha_i^{n+k-i})]\alpha_{u,w}[(g_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{q+k-j})(h_i^{-1}\alpha_i^{m+k-j})]\alpha_{v,w}\in A_i^{\tau}$$

where  $t = \min\{n + k, p + k\}$ ,  $j = \min\{q + k, m + k\}$ , u = (n + q + 2k - t)d + i, v = (n + q + 2k - j)d + j and  $w = \max\{u, v\}$ , whence

$$(g_i\alpha_i^p)(h_i\alpha_i^n)(g_i^{-1}\alpha_i^q)(h_i^{-1}\alpha_i^m) \in A_i^{\tau'};$$

thus  $(G_i)'_{\alpha_i} \subseteq A_i^{\tau'}$  and  $G'_{\alpha} \subseteq A^{\tau'}$ . So  $\rho \le \tau' \le \tau$ , hence  $\rho = \lambda$ .

By previous Lemmas we can deduce the following description of the least commutative congruence for a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup.

THEOREM 2.12. Let  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  be a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup and  $\lambda$  its least commutative congruence. Then

 $(m, g_i, n) \lambda(p, h_i, q)$  if and only if m - n = p - q

and

$$(g_i\alpha_{u,w})(h_j^{-1}\alpha_{v,w})\in (G_z)'_{\alpha_2}$$

with

$$u = nd + i$$
,  $v = qd + j$ ,  $w = \max\{u, v\}$ ,  $z = \overline{w}$ 

REMARK 2.13.  $\lambda$  is a group congruence such that

 $\ker \lambda = \{ (m, g_i, m) \mid m \in \mathbb{N}, g_i \in (G_i)'_{\alpha_i}; i = 0, ..., d - 1 \}.$ 

Clearly ker  $\lambda$  is an  $\omega$ -chain of groups.

THEOREM 2.14. Let  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  be a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup. Denote by  $\mathscr{G}$  the direct product  $G_0/(G_0)'_{\alpha_0} \times \ldots \times G_{d-1}/(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}$  and consider the subgroup K of  $\mathscr{G}$  defined by

 $\mathcal{H} = \{ (g_i \alpha_{i,d} (G_0)'_{\alpha_0}, \dots, g_i \alpha_{i,d+s} (G_s)'_{\alpha_i}, \dots, g_i \alpha_{i,2d-1} (G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}) \mid g_i \in G_i; i, s = 0, \dots, d-1 \}.$ The mapping f of  $S/\lambda$  onto  $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$f:(m, g_i, n)\lambda \rightarrow ((g_i\alpha_{i,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0}, \ldots, g_i\alpha_{i,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), m-n)$$

is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Consider  $(p, h_i, q)\lambda \in S/\lambda$  and its image

$$((h_j\alpha_{j,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,h_j\alpha_{j,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), p-q).$$

First we prove that  $(m, g_i, n)\lambda = (p, h_j, q)\lambda$  iff

$$((g_i\alpha_{i,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,g_i\alpha_{i,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), m-n) = ((h_j\alpha_{j,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,h_j\alpha_{j,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), p-q).$$

In fact suppose  $(m, g_i, n)\lambda(p, h_j, q)$ ; then from Theorem 2.12 it follows that m - n = p - q and  $g_i \alpha_{u,w} h_j^{-1} \alpha_{v,w} \in (G_{\bar{w}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{w}}}$  with u = nd + i, v = qd + j and  $w = \max\{u, v\}$ . Hence, by Remark 2.1  $(g_i \alpha_{i,\bar{w}+d}) \alpha_{w}^{i_1} (h_j^{-1} \alpha_{j,\bar{w}+d}) \alpha_{w}^{i_2} \in (G_{\bar{w}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{w}}}$  for some nonnegative integers  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$  and, since we have

$$(g_i\alpha_{i,\bar{w}+d})\alpha_{\bar{w}}^{i_1}(h_j^{-1}\alpha_{j,\bar{w}+d})\alpha_{\bar{w}}^{i_2}(g_i^{-1}\alpha_{i,\bar{w}+d})(h_j\alpha_{j,\bar{w}+d})\in (G_{\bar{w}})'_{\alpha_i}$$

we deduce  $(g_i^{-1}\alpha_{i,\bar{w}+d})(h_i\alpha_{j,\bar{w}+d}) \in (G_{\bar{w}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{w}'}}$ . Hence for every nonnegative integer k

$$[(g_i^{-1}\alpha_{i,\bar{w}+d})(h_j\alpha_{j,\bar{w}+d})]\alpha_{\bar{w}+d,\bar{w}+\bar{k}+d} \in (G_{\bar{w}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{w}}}\alpha_{\bar{w}+d,\bar{w}+\bar{k}+d} \subseteq (G_{\overline{w+k}})'_{\alpha_{\overline{w+k}}}$$

and, by Remark 2.1,

$$[(g_i^{-1}\alpha_{i,\overline{w+k}+d})(h_j\alpha_{j,\overline{w+k}+d})]\alpha_{\overline{w+k}+d}^{i_3} \in (G_{\overline{w+k}})'_{\alpha_{\overline{w+k}}}$$

for some nonnegative integer  $i_3$ . So (ii) of Lemma 2.10 gives

$$(g_i^{-1}\alpha_{i,\overline{w+k}+d})(h_j\alpha_{j,\overline{w+k}+d}) \in (G_{\overline{w+k}})'_{\alpha_{\overline{w+k}}}$$

whence, since k is an arbitrary nonnegative integer,  $(g_i^{-1}\alpha_{i,t+d})(h_j\alpha_{j,t+d}) \in (G_t)'_{\alpha_t}$  for every integer t with  $0 \le t \le d-1$ . Thus, we deduce that  $(m, g_i, n)\lambda(p, h_j, q)$  implies  $h_j\alpha_{j,t+d} \in g_i\alpha_{i,t+d}(G_t)'_{\alpha_t}$  for every  $t = 0, \ldots, d-1$  and m-n = p-q whence

$$((g_i\alpha_{i,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,g_i\alpha_{i,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), m-n) = ((h_j\alpha_{j,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,h_j\alpha_{j,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), p-q).$$

Conversely, let

$$((g_i\alpha_{i,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,g_i\alpha_{i,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), m-n) = ((h_j\alpha_{j,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,h_j\alpha_{j,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), p-q).$$

This implies m - n = p - q and  $g_i^{-1} \alpha_{i,\bar{k}+d} h_j \alpha_{j,\bar{k}+d} \in (G_{\bar{k}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{k}}}$  for every nonnegative integer k whence

$$(g_i\alpha_{i,\bar{k}+d})\alpha_{\bar{k}}^{j_1}(h_j^{-1}\alpha_{j,\bar{k}+d})\alpha_{\bar{k}}^{j_2}\in (G_{\bar{k}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{k}}}$$

for every nonnegative integers  $j_1$ ,  $j_2$ . Let u = nd + i, v = qd + j and  $w = \max\{u, v\}$ . Then for every  $j_1$ ,  $j_2$  such that

$$\min\{\bar{k} + (j_1 + n + 1)d, \, \bar{k} + (j_2 + q + 1)d\} \ge w,$$

we have

$$\alpha_{i,\bar{k}+d}\alpha_{\bar{k}}^{j_{1}} = \alpha_{i,\bar{k}+(1+j_{1})d} = \alpha_{u,\bar{k}+(j_{1}+n+1)d} = \alpha_{u,w}\alpha_{w,\bar{k}+(j_{1}+n+1)d}$$

and

$$\alpha_{j,\bar{k}+d}\alpha_{\bar{k}}^{j_{2}} = \alpha_{v,\bar{k}+(j_{2}+q+1)d} = \alpha_{v,w}\alpha_{w,\bar{k}+(j_{2}+q+1)d}$$

Now let  $w = \bar{w} + td$ ; if  $n \ge q$ , choosing  $\bar{k} = \bar{w}$  and  $j_1 = t$ ,  $j_2 = t + n - q$ , we have

$$\bar{k} + (j_1 + n + 1)d = \bar{w} + td + nd + d = w + (n + 1)d = \bar{k} + (j_2 + q + 1)d$$

18

Hence, by Remark 2.1,  $(g_i \alpha_{u,w} h_i^{-1} \alpha_{v,w}) \alpha_{\tilde{w}}^{n+1} \in (G_{\tilde{w}})'_{\alpha_{\tilde{w}}}$ . Analogously, if n < q, putting  $j_1 = t + q - n$ ,  $j_2 = t$ , we obtain

$$(g_i\alpha_{u,w}h_j^{-1}\alpha_{v,w})\alpha_{\bar{w}}^{q+1}\in (G_{\bar{w}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{w}}},$$

In both the cases from (ii) of Lemma 2.10 it follows that  $(g_i \alpha_{u,w} h_j^{-1} \alpha_{v,w}) \in (G_{\bar{w}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{w}}}$  with u = nd + i, v = qd + j and  $w = \max\{u, v\}$ , so we have  $(m, g_i, n)\lambda = (p, h_i, q)\lambda$ . Thus f is well defined and injective.

The mapping f is obviously onto and finally it is a homomorphism; in fact consider

$$[(m, g_i, n)\lambda][(p, h_j, q)\lambda] = (m+p-r, (g_i\alpha_{nd+i,w})(h_j\alpha_{pd+i,w}), n+q-r)\lambda$$

with  $w = \max(nd + i, pd + j), r = \min(n, p)$ .

- - -

$$f((m + p - r, (g_i \alpha_{nd+i,w})(h_j \alpha_{pd+j,w}), n + q - r)\lambda) = (((g_i \alpha_{nd+i,w} h_j \alpha_{pd+j,w}) \alpha_{\bar{w},d} (G_0)'_{\alpha_0}, \dots, (g_i \alpha_{nd+i,w} h_j \alpha_{pd+j,w}) \alpha_{\bar{w},2d-1} (G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}), m + p - n - q).$$

Also

$$((g_i\alpha_{i,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,g_i\alpha_{i,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}},m-n)((h_j\alpha_{j,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,h_j\alpha_{j,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}),p-q) = ((g_i\alpha_{i,d}h_j\alpha_{j,d}(G_0)'_{\alpha_0},\ldots,g_i\alpha_{i,2d-1}h_j\alpha_{j,2d-1}(G_{d-1})'_{\alpha_{d-1}}),m-n+p-q).$$

Moreover

$$g_i\alpha_{i,d+\bar{h}}h_j\alpha_{j,d+\bar{h}}(h_j^{-1}\alpha_{pd+j,w})\alpha_{\bar{w},d+\bar{h}}(g_i^{-1}\alpha_{nd+i,w})\alpha_{\bar{w},d+\bar{h}}\in (G_{\bar{h}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{h}}};$$

in fact, if w = pd + j,  $(h_j \alpha_{pd+j,w}) \alpha_{\bar{w},d+\bar{h}} = h_j \alpha_{j,d+\bar{h}}$  and, by Remark 2.1,  $g_i \alpha_{i,d+\bar{h}} (g_i^{-1} \alpha_{nd+i,w}) \alpha_{\bar{w},d+\bar{h}} \in (G_{\bar{h}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{h}}}$ ; if w = nd + i,  $g_i \alpha_{i,d+\bar{h}} = (g_i \alpha_{nd+i,w}) \alpha_{\bar{w},d+\bar{h}}$  and by Remark 2.1,  $h_j \alpha_{j,d+\bar{h}} (h_j^{-1} \alpha_{pd+j,w}) \alpha_{\bar{w},d+\bar{h}} \in (G_{\bar{h}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{k}}}$ , hence the result follows because  $(G_{\bar{h}})'_{\alpha_{\bar{h}}}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G_{\bar{h}}$ . Thus, we have

$$f([(m, g_i, n)\lambda](p, h_j, q)\lambda]) = f((m, g_i, n)\lambda)f((p, h_j, q)\lambda)$$

When d = 1, S is a bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroup, usually denoted by  $S = S(G, \alpha)$ . Thus we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.15. The kernel of the least commutative congruence  $\lambda$  on a bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroup S is the commutator subsemigroup S', which is an  $\omega$ -chain of groups S<sub>i</sub> isomorphic to  $(G')_{\alpha}$  for every integer i. The semigroup  $S/\lambda$  is a commutative group which is isomorphic to the direct product  $G/(G')_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let x be an element of ker  $\lambda$ , thus from Remark 2.13 it follows that

$$x = (m, a\alpha^{r}b\alpha^{s}a^{-1}\alpha^{t}b^{-1}\alpha^{v}, m) = \{(m, a\alpha^{r}, m)(m, b\alpha^{s}, m)(m, a^{-1}\alpha^{r}, m)(m, b^{-1}\alpha^{s}, m)\}$$
  
.  $\{(m, b\alpha^{s}, m)(m, a\alpha^{r}, m)(m, a^{-1}\alpha^{t}, m)(m, b^{-1}\alpha^{s}, m)\}\{(m, b\alpha^{s}, m)(m, b^{-1}\alpha^{v}, m)\}.$ 

Moreover, denoting by e the identity of G, for every  $b \in G$  and s, v nonnegative integers, if  $s \leq v$  we have

$$(m, b\alpha^{s}, m)(m, b^{-1}\alpha^{v}, m) = [(m, b\alpha^{s}, 0), (0, e, v - s)] \in S'$$

and if s > v we have

$$(m, b\alpha^{s}, m)(m, b^{-1}\alpha^{v}, m) = \{(m, b\alpha^{v}, m)(m, b^{-1}\alpha^{s}, m)\}^{-1} = [(m, b\alpha^{v}, 0), (0, e, s - v)]^{-1} \in S'.$$

Now, we consider

 $(m, b\alpha^s, m)(m, a\alpha^r, m)(m, a^{-1}\alpha^t, m)(m, b^{-1}\alpha^s, m) = (m, b\alpha^s a\alpha^r a^{-1}\alpha^t b^{-1}\alpha^s, m).$ 

If  $r \leq t$ , by a simple calculus, we have

$$(m, b\alpha^{s}a\alpha^{r}a^{-1}\alpha^{t}b^{-1}\alpha^{s}, m) = [(m, b\alpha^{s}a\alpha^{r}b^{-1}\alpha^{s}, 0), (0, b\alpha^{s}b^{-1}\alpha^{s+t-r}, t-r)]$$
  
. 
$$(m, b\alpha^{s+m}b^{-1}\alpha^{s+m+t-r}, m)(m, b\alpha^{s+t-r}b^{-1}\alpha^{s}, m) \in S',$$

if r > t

$$(m, b\alpha^s a\alpha^r a^{-1}\alpha^r b^{-1}\alpha^s, m) = (m, b\alpha^s a\alpha^r a^{-1}\alpha^r b^{-1}\alpha^s, m)^{-1} \in S'$$

Hence  $x \in S'$  and ker  $\lambda = S'$ , moreover, from Remark 2.13 it follows that S' is an  $\omega$ -chain of groups  $S_i$  isomorphic to  $(G')_{\alpha}$ . Finally from Lemma 2.3 it follows that  $\lambda$  is a group congruence and from Theorem 2.14 we deduce that  $S/\lambda$  is isomorphic to the direct product  $[G/(G')_{\alpha}] \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

For a direct proof see [11], Theorem 2.5.

3. Solvability of simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroups. In [10] the following definition was introduced for inverse semigroups:

DEFINITION 3.1. Let S be an inverse semigroup. Denote  $\delta_0(S) = S$ ,  $\lambda_0 = \omega_S$ , the universal congruence on S, and for  $i \ge 1$ , let  $\lambda_{i,S}$  (or simply  $\lambda_i$ ) be the least commutative congruence on  $\delta_{i-1}(S) = \ker \lambda_{i-1}$  (trivially  $\lambda_1 = \gamma$ ). S is called *solvable of solvability class c* or c-solvable if c is the least index i such that  $\lambda_c = \mathrm{id}_{\delta_{c-1}(S)}$ , the identity map on  $\delta_{c-1}(S)$ .

LEMMA 3.2 ([10], 3.3). S is solvable of class c if and only if c is the least index i such that  $\delta_{i-1}(S)$  is commutative.

Since any simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup is trivially inverse, it makes sense to ask about its solvability. We want to prove that there is a strict connection between the solvability of S and that of the groups  $G_i$ .

We remark that a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup is a Bruck-Reilly semigroup over T where T is a chain  $G_0 > G_1 > \ldots > G_{d-1}$  of groups [6, Structure Theorem], hence we state the result for Bruck-Reilly semigroups. We recall the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let T be a monoid,  $\alpha$  be a homomorphism of T into its group of units. The Bruck-Reilly semigroup over T is the semigroup  $B(T, \alpha)$  of the triplets (m, a, n): m, n are nonnegative integers,  $a \in T$  and the multiplication is defined as follows:

$$(m, a, n)(p, b, q) = (m + p - r, (a\alpha^{p-r})(b\alpha^{n-r}), n + q - r),$$

where  $r = \min(n, p)$  and  $\alpha^0$  is the identity map on T.

It is well-known (see [9], e.g.) that  $B(T, \alpha)$  is a simple monoid for each T and  $\alpha$ , and that it is inverse if and only if T is inverse.

THEOREM 3.4. Let  $S = B(T, \alpha)$  be a Bruck-Reilly semigroup over an inverse monoid T. Then S is solvable if and only if T is solvable. If S is solvable of class n then T is solvable of class n or n - 1.

**Proof.** If S is a solvable semigroup of class n, then, by Theorem 3.5 of [10], T is immediately seen to be solvable, and its solvability class is less than or equal to n, since T is (isomorphic to) a subsemigroup of S.

To prove that the condition is sufficient, consider firstly a commutator of elements of S:

$$c = [(m, a, n), (p, b, q)].$$
  
Let  $r = \min(n, p), t = \min(m, q), v = \min(n + q - r, n + q - t).$  Then  
 $c = (m + p - r + n + q - t - v, (a^{-1}\alpha^{p-r}b^{-1}\alpha^{n-r})\alpha^{n+q-t-v}(a\alpha^{q-t}b\alpha^{m-t})\alpha^{n+q-r-v}, m$   
 $+ p - t + n + q - r - v).$ 

Remark that:

$$n+q-r-t-v = \begin{cases} = -t \Leftrightarrow v = n+q-r \Leftrightarrow t \le r \Leftrightarrow t = \min(m, q) \le \min(n, p) \\ = -r \Leftrightarrow v = n+q-t \Leftrightarrow r \le t \Leftrightarrow r = \min(n, p) \le \min(m, q) \end{cases}$$

If we denote  $k = \min(m, n, p, q)$ , then we have proved that:

$$c = [(m, a, n), (p, b, q)] = (m + p - k, a\alpha^{p-k}b\alpha^{n-k}a^{-1}\alpha^{q-k}b^{-1}\alpha^{m-k}, m + p - k).$$

Since the product of two elements (m, a, m) and (p, b, p) is again of type (n, x, n) then:

$$(m, a, n) \in S'$$
 implies that  $m = n$ .

Let

$$(m, a, n)(p, e, p) = (m + p - \min(n, p), \dots, n + p - \min(n, p)),$$

where  $(p, e, p) \in E_s$ . Such a product belongs to S' only if  $m + p - \min(n, p) = n + p - \min(n, p)$ , i.e. only if m = n. Then

 $(m, a, n) \in \delta(S)$  implies that m = n.

Now, since  $(m, a, m) \in \delta(S)$  implies trivially that  $a \in T = \delta_0(T)$ , suppose, by induction, that we have proved:

for 
$$i \ge 2$$
,  $(m, a, m) \in \delta_{i-1}(S)$  implies that  $a \in \delta_{i-2}(T)$ .  
Consider  $c = [(p, a, p), (q, b, q)] \in (\delta_{i-1}(S))'$ ; for  $k = \min(p, q)$ , one has:  
 $c = (p+q-k, a\alpha^{q-k}b\alpha^{p-k}a^{-1}\alpha^{q-k}b^{-1}\alpha^{p-k}, p+q-k)$   
 $= (p+q-k, [a\alpha^{q-k}, b\alpha^{p-k}], p+q-k).$ 

Since the commutator subsemigroup and the derivative of any inverse semigroup T are trivially closed with respect to powers of any endomorphism of T, then we get:

$$(m, a, m) \in (\delta_{i-1}(S))'$$
 implies that  $a \in (\delta_{i-2}(T))'$ . (3)

Let (m, e, m) and  $(p, f, p) \in E_s$ , and  $(m, e, m)\lambda_{\delta_{i-1}(s)}(p, f, p)$ . Then, there exists a sequence:

$$(n_0, a_0, n_0), (q_0, b_0, q_0), \ldots, (n_h, a_h, n_h), (q_h, b_h, q_h)$$

of elements of  $\delta_{i-1}(S)$  such that

$$(m, e, m) = (n_0, a_0, n_0)(q_0, b_0, q_0)(q_0, b_0^{-1}, q_0)(n_0, a_0^{-1}, n_0),(q_h, b_h, q_h)(n_h, a_h, n_h)(n_h, a_h^{-1}, n_h)(q_h, b_h^{-1}, q_h) = (p, f, p),$$

and, for every j such that  $0 \le j \le h$ , if  $u_i = \min(m_i, q_i)$  we get

$$(q_{j}, b_{j}, q_{j})(n_{j}, a_{j}, n_{j})(n_{j}, a_{j}^{-1}, n_{j})(q_{j}, b_{j}^{-1}, q_{j}) = (n_{j+1}, a_{j+1}, n_{j+1})(q_{j+1}, b_{j+1}, q_{j+1})(q_{j+1}, b_{j+1}^{-1}, q_{j+1})(n_{j+1}, a_{j+1}^{-1}, n_{j+1}); n_{j} + q_{j} - u_{j} = n_{j+1} + q_{j+1} - u_{j+1}$$

and

$$b_{j}\alpha^{n_{j}-u_{j}}a_{j}\alpha^{q_{j}-u_{j}}a_{j}^{-1}\alpha^{q_{j}-u_{j}}b_{j}^{-1}\alpha^{n_{j}-u_{j}} = a_{j+1}\alpha^{q_{j+1}-u_{j+1}}b_{j+1}\alpha^{n_{j+1}-u_{j+1}}b_{j+1}^{-1}\alpha^{n_{j+1}-u_{j+1}}a_{j+1}^{-1}\alpha^{q_{j+1}-u_{j+1}}.$$
Also

$$m = n_0 + q_0 - u_0,$$
  

$$p = n_h + q_h - u_h,$$
  

$$e = a_0 \alpha^{q_0 - u_0} b_0 \alpha^{n_0 - u_0} b_0^{-1} \alpha^{n_0 - u_0} a_0^{-1} \alpha^{q_0 - u_0}$$
  

$$f = b_h \alpha^{n_h - u_h} a_h \alpha^{q_h - u_h} a_h^{-1} \alpha^{q_h - u_h} b_h^{-1} \alpha^{n_h - u_h}$$

At last:

 $(m, e, n)\lambda_{\delta_{i-1}(S)}(p, f, p)$  implies m = p and  $e\lambda_{\delta_{i-2}(T)}f$ . (4)

Let

$$(m, a, m) \in \delta_i(S) = \delta(\delta_{i-1}(S));$$

then there exists an idempotent  $(m, e, m)\lambda_{\delta_{i-1}(S)}(m, a^{-1}a, m)$  such that:

 $(m, ae, m) \in (\delta_{i-1}(S))'.$ 

By (3) and (4), this implies that  $e\lambda_{\delta_{i-2}(T)}a^{-1}a$  and  $ae \in (\delta_{i-2}(T))'$ . Hence:

for every  $i \ge 1$ ,  $(m, a, m) \in \delta_i(S)$  implies  $a \in \delta_{i-1}(T)$ .

If T is solvable of class n, then  $\delta_{n-1}(T)$  is the first derivate subsemigroup which is commutative; thus one can easily see that  $\delta_n(S)$  must be commutative, too, and S is solvable of solvability class less than or equal to n + 1.

Now, from Theorem 3.4 of [10] we can deduce the announced result on a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup:

COROLLARY 3.5. Let  $S = S(d, G_i, \gamma_i)$  be a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup. Then S is solvable if and only if all the groups  $G_i$  are solvable. If S is n-solvable, then the greatest solvability class of the groups is n or n - 1.

REMARK 3.6. When  $S = S(G, \alpha)$  is a bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroup, then it is solvable of class *n* if and only if *G* is solvable of class *n* or n - 1. Both of these possibilities may occur. In fact consider the two following special cases of endomorphism  $\alpha$  of the group *G*:

If the endomorphism  $\alpha$  is nilpotent, that is if  $\alpha^n(G) = 1$  for some  $n \ge 1$ , then  $(G')_{\alpha} = G$ . As  $\delta(S)$  is a Clifford semigroup, we have that if G is solvable of class n - 1, then  $\delta(S)$  is solvable of class n - 1. Thus S is solvable of class n.

If  $\alpha = id_G$ , then  $(G)'_{\alpha} = G'$ . Now, if G is a solvable group of class n, then G' is solvable of class n - 1. Hence  $\delta(S)$  is solvable of class n - 1 and S is solvable of class n.

REMARK 3.7. It follows from Theorem 3.11 of [10] that the maximum group homomorphic image of a solvable inverse semigroup is solvable. The converse is not true in general; actually, here we have a new family of counter-examples.

22

In [7], Munn and Reilly proved that if  $\alpha$  is nilpotent, then  $S(G, \alpha)/\sigma$  is isomorphic to the additive group of integers. Hence, one can easily build up bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroups which are not solvable, if G is not solvable, but where  $S/\sigma$  is solvable, since it is isomorphic to a commutative group.

## REFERENCES

1. J. E. Ault, Group congruences on a bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroup, Semigroup Forum 10 (1975), 351–366.

**2.** G. R. Baird, On a sublattice of the lattice of congruences on a simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroup, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 13 (1972), 461-471.

3. G. R. Baird, Congruences on simple regular  $\omega$ -semigroups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 14 (1972), 155-167.

4. C. Bonzini and A. Cherubini, The least commutative congruence on a regular  $\omega$ -semigroup, Quaderno n. 21/1987, Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Universita' di Milano.

5. B. P. Kocin, The structure of inverse ideally simple  $\omega$ -semigroups, Vestnik Leningrad Univ. 237 (1968), 41-50.

6. W. D. Munn, Regular ω-semigroups, Glasgow Math. J. 9 (1968), 46-66.

7. W. D. Munn and N. R. Reilly, Congruences on a bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroup, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Ass.*, 7 (1966), 184-192.

8. M. Petrich, Congruences on simple  $\omega$ -semigroups, Glasgow Math. J. 20 (1979), 87-101.

9. M. Petrich, Inverse semigroups (Wiley & Sons, 1984).

10. B. Piochi, Solvability in inverse semigroups, Semigroup Forum 34 (1987), 287-303.

11. B. Piochi, The least commutative congruence on bisimple  $\omega$ -semigroup, Rapp. Dip. Mat. Univ. Siena, 158 (1987), 1-14.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università, Via Saldini, 50 20133 Milano.

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università, Via del Capitano, 15 53100 Siena.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, Politecnico, Piazza L. da Vinci, 32 20133 Milano.